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# GLOBAL BEHAVIOR OF A THIRD ORDER RATIONAL DIFFERENCE EQUATION 
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Abstract. In this paper, we determine the forbidden set and give an explicit formula for the solutions of the difference equation

$$
x_{n+1}=\frac{a x_{n} x_{n-1}}{-b x_{n}+c x_{n-2}}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}
$$

where $a, b, c$ are positive real numbers and the initial conditions $x_{-2}, x_{-1}, x_{0}$ are real numbers. We show that every admissible solution of that equation converges to zero if either $a<c$ or $a>c$ with $(a-c) / b<1$.

When $a>c$ with $(a-c) / b>1$, we prove that every admissible solution is unbounded. Finally, when $a=c$, we prove that every admissible solution converges to zero.
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## 1. Introduction

Recently, there has been a great interest in studying properties of nonlinear and rational difference equations (see, for example [1]-[22]). Our motivation stems from some recent papers on difference equations which can be solved (see, e.g. [2], [5], [6], [9], [15], [16], [17], [18], [19], [20], [22]).

In this paper, we determine the forbidden set, give an explicit formula for the solutions and discuss the global behavior of solutions of the difference equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}=\frac{a x_{n} x_{n-1}}{-b x_{n}+c x_{n-2}}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_{0} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a, b, c$ are positive real numbers and the initial conditions $x_{-2}, x_{-1}, x_{0}$ are real numbers.

## 2. Forbidden set and solutions of equation (1.1)

In this section we derive the forbidden set and give an explicit formula for welldefined solutions of the difference equation (1.1).

Proposition 2.1. The forbidden set $F$ of equation (1.1) is

$$
\begin{aligned}
F=\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty}\{ & \left.\left(u_{0}, u_{-1}, u_{-2}\right): u_{0}=u_{-2} \frac{c}{b \sum_{l=0}^{n}(a / c)^{i}}\right\} \\
& \cup\left\{\left(u_{0}, u_{-1}, u_{-2}\right): u_{0}=0\right\} \cup\left\{\left(u_{0}, u_{-1}, u_{-2}\right): u_{-1}=0\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Suppose that $x_{0} x_{-1}=0$. We have the following cases:
Case 1. If $x_{0}=0$ and $x_{-1} \neq 0$, then $x_{3}$ is undefined.
Case 2. If $x_{-1}=0$ and $x_{0} \neq 0$, then $x_{2}$ is undefined.
Case 3. If $x_{-2}=0$ and $x_{0} x_{-1} \neq 0$, then $x_{1}=-(a / b) x_{-1} \neq 0$. Therefore, we have that $x_{-1}, x_{0}$ and $x_{1}$ are different from zero. This case is reduced to the case when the initial values $x_{-2}, x_{-1}$ and $x_{0}$ are different from zero, by shifting indices by one. The case is considered next.

Case 4. Now suppose that $x_{-i} \neq 0$ for all $i \in\{0,1,2\}$. From equation (1.1), using the substitution $t_{n}=x_{n-2} / x_{n}$, we obtain the linear nonhomogeneous difference equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{n+1}=\frac{c}{a} t_{n}-\frac{b}{a}, \quad t_{0}=\frac{x_{-2}}{x_{0}} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall deduce the forbidden set of equation (1.1).
Consider the mapping $f(x)=c / a x-b / a$ and suppose that we start from an initial point $\left(x_{0}, x_{-1}, x_{-2}\right)$ such that $x_{-2} / x_{0}=b / c$.

Now the backward orbits $x_{n-2} / x_{n}=v_{n}$ satisfy the equation

$$
v_{n}=f^{-1}\left(v_{n-1}\right)=\frac{a}{c} v_{n-1}+\frac{b}{c} \quad \text { with } v_{0}=\frac{x_{-2}}{x_{0}}=\frac{b}{c},
$$

hence we obtain $v_{n}=x_{n-2} / x_{n}=f^{-n}\left(v_{0}\right)=(b / c) \sum_{i=0}^{n}(a / c)^{i}$. Therefore, $x_{n}=$ $x_{n-2} c / b \sum_{i=0}^{n}(a / c)^{i}$.

On the other hand, we can observe that if we start from an initial point $\left(x_{0}, x_{-1}, x_{-2}\right)$ such that $t_{0}=x_{-2} / x_{0}=(b / c) \sum_{i=0}^{n_{0}}(a / c)^{i}$ for some $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$, then according to equation (2.1) we obtain

$$
t_{n_{0}}=\frac{x_{n_{0}-2}}{x_{n_{0}}}=\frac{b}{c} .
$$

This implies that $-b x_{n_{0}}+c x_{n_{0}-2}=0$. Therefore, $x_{n_{0}+1}$ is undefined. This completes the proof.

Theorem 2.2. Let $x_{-2}, x_{-1}$ and $x_{0}$ be real numbers such that $\left(x_{0}, x_{-1}, x_{-2}\right) \notin F$. If $a \neq c$, then the solution $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=-2}^{\infty}$ of equation (1.1) is

$$
x_{n}=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
x_{-1} \prod_{j=0}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \frac{a-c}{\theta(c / a)^{2 j+1}-b}, & n=1,3,5, \ldots  \tag{2.2}\\
x_{0} \prod_{j=0}^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \frac{a-c}{\theta(c / a)^{2 j+2}-b}, & n=2,4,6, \ldots
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\theta=(a-c+b \alpha) / \alpha$ and $\alpha=x_{0} / x_{-2}$.

Proof. We can write the solution (2.2) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{2 m+i}=x_{-2+i} \prod_{j=0}^{m} \beta_{i}(j), \quad i=1,2 \text { and } m=0,1, \ldots \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\beta_{i}(j)=\frac{a-c}{\theta(c / a)^{2 j+i}-b}, \quad i=1,2 .
$$

Hence we can see that

$$
x_{-1} \frac{a-c}{(c / a) \theta-b}=x_{-1} \frac{(a-c) a \alpha}{c(a-c+b \alpha)-b a \alpha}=x_{-1} \frac{a \alpha}{c-b \alpha}=\frac{a x_{0} x_{-1}}{-b x_{0}+c x_{-2}}=x_{1}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{0} \frac{a-c}{(c / a)^{2} \theta-b} & =x_{0} \frac{(a-c) a^{2} \alpha}{c^{2}(a-c+b \alpha)-b a^{2} \alpha}=x_{0} \frac{a^{2} \alpha}{c^{2}-b \alpha(c+a)} \\
& =\frac{a^{2} x_{0}^{2}}{c\left(c x_{-2}-b x_{0}\right)-b x_{0} a}=\frac{a x_{0} a x_{0} /\left(-b x_{0}+c x_{-2}\right)}{c-b x_{0} a /\left(-b x_{0}+c x_{-2}\right)}=\frac{a x_{0} x_{1} / x_{-1}}{c-b x_{1} / x_{-1}} \\
& =\frac{a x_{1} x_{0}}{-b x_{1}+c x_{-1}}=x_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, we see that (2.2) holds for $n=1, n=2$.

Now assume that $m>1$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{2 m+3} & =\frac{a x_{2 m+2} x_{2 m+1}}{-b x_{2 m+2}+c x_{2 m}}=\frac{a x_{0} \prod_{j=0}^{m} \beta_{2}(j) x_{-1} \prod_{j=0}^{m} \beta_{1}(j)}{-b x_{0} \prod_{j=0}^{m} \beta_{2}(j)+c x_{0} \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} \beta_{2}(j)} \\
& =\frac{a x_{0} \prod_{j=0}^{m} \beta_{2}(j) x_{-1} \prod_{j=0}^{m} \beta_{1}(j)}{x_{0} \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} \beta_{2}(j)\left(-b \beta_{2}(m)+c\right)}=\frac{a \beta_{2}(m) x_{-1} \prod_{j=0}^{m} \beta_{1}(j)}{-b \beta_{2}(m)+c} \\
& =\frac{a(a-c) / \theta(c / a)^{2 m+2}-b x_{-1} \prod_{j=0}^{m} \beta_{1}(j)}{-b(a-c) /\left(\theta(c / a)^{2 m+2}-b\right)+c}=\frac{a(a-c) x_{-1} \prod_{j=0}^{m} \beta_{1}(j)}{-b(a-c)+c\left(\theta(c / a)^{2 m+2}-b\right)} \\
& =\frac{a(a-c) x_{-1} \prod_{j=0}^{m} \beta_{1}(j)}{c \theta(c / a)^{2 m+2}-a b}=x_{-1} \frac{a-c}{\theta(c / a)^{2 m+3}-b} \prod_{j=0}^{m} \beta_{1}(j) \\
& =x_{-1} \prod_{j=0}^{m+1} \beta_{1}(j) .
\end{aligned}
$$

To complete the inductive proof, we shall show that formula (2.2) also holds for $x_{2 m+4}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{2 m+4} & =\frac{a x_{2 m+3} x_{2 m+2}}{-b x_{2 m+3}+c x_{2 m+1}}=\frac{a x_{-1} \prod_{j=0}^{m+1} \beta_{1}(j) x_{0} \prod_{j=0}^{m} \beta_{2}(j)}{-b x_{-1} \prod_{j=0}^{m+1} \beta_{1}(j)+c x_{-1} \prod_{j=0}^{m} \beta_{1}(j)} \\
& =\frac{a x_{-1} \prod_{j=0}^{m+1} \beta_{1}(j) x_{0} \prod_{j=0}^{m} \beta_{2}(j)}{x_{-1} \prod_{j=0}^{m} \beta_{1}(j)\left(-b \beta_{1}(m+1)+c\right)}=\frac{a \beta_{1}(m+1) x_{0} \prod_{j=0}^{m} \beta_{2}(j)}{-b \beta_{1}(m+1)+c} \\
& =\frac{a(a-c) /\left(\theta(c / a)^{2 m+3}-b\right) x_{0} \prod_{j=0}^{m} \beta_{2}(j)}{-b(a-c) / \theta(c / a)^{2 m+3}-b+c}=\frac{a(a-c) x_{0} \prod_{j=0}^{m} \beta_{2}(j)}{-b(a-c)+c\left(\theta(c / a)^{2 m+3}-b\right)} \\
& =\frac{a(a-c) x_{0} \prod_{j=0}^{m} \beta_{2}(j)}{c \theta(c / a)^{2 m+3}-a b}=x_{0} \frac{a-c}{\theta(c / a)^{2 m+4}-b} \prod_{j=0}^{m} \beta_{2}(j)=x_{0} \prod_{j=0}^{m+1} \beta_{2}(j) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the inductive proof of the theorem.

## 3. Global behavior of equation (1.1)

In this section, we investigate the global behavior of equation (1.1) with $a \neq c$, using the explicit formula for its solution.

Theorem 3.1. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=-2}^{\infty}$ be a solution of equation (1.1) such that ( $x_{0}, x_{-1}$, $\left.x_{-2}\right) \notin F$. Then the following statements are true.
(1) If $a<c$, then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=-2}^{\infty}$ converges to 0 .
(2) If $a>c$, then we have the following cases:
(a) If $(a-c) / b<1$, then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=-2}^{\infty}$ converges to 0 .
(b) If $(a-c) / b>1$, then both $\left\{x_{2 n}\right\}_{n=-1}^{\infty}$ and $\left\{x_{2 n+1}\right\}_{n=-1}^{\infty}$ are unbounded.

Proof. (1) If $a<c$, then $\beta_{i}(j)$ converges to 0 as $j \rightarrow \infty, i=1,2$. It follows that there exists $j_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\left|\beta_{i}(j)\right|<\mu$, with some $0<\mu<1$ for all $j \geqslant j_{0}$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|x_{2 m+i}\right| & =\left|x_{-2+i}\right|\left|\prod_{j=0}^{m} \beta_{i}(j)\right|=\left|x_{-2+i}\right|\left|\prod_{j=0}^{j_{0}-1} \beta_{i}(j)\right|\left|\prod_{j=j_{0}}^{m} \beta_{i}(j)\right| \\
& <\left|x_{-2+i}\right|\left|\prod_{j=0}^{j_{0}-1} \beta_{i}(j)\right| \mu^{m-j_{0}+1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

As $m$ tends to infinity, the solution $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=-2}^{\infty}$ converges to 0 .
(2) Suppose that $a>c$. Then we have the following cases:
(a) If $(a-c) / b<1$, then $\beta_{i}(j)$ converges to $-(a-c) / b \in(-1,0)$ as $j \rightarrow \infty, i=1,2$. Then there exists $j_{1} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, $\beta_{i}(j) \in\left(\mu_{1}, 0\right)$, with some $0>\mu_{1}>-1$ for all $j \geqslant j_{1}$ and $i=1,2$. Therefore, $\left|\beta_{i}(j)\right|<\mu_{1}$ for all $j \geqslant j_{1}$ and the solution $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=-2}^{\infty}$ converges to 0 as in (1).
(b) If $(a-c) / b>1$, then $\beta_{i}(j)$ converges to $-(a-c) / b<-1$ as $j \rightarrow \infty, i=1,2$. Then there exists $j_{2} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\beta_{i}(j)<\nu<-1$ for some $\nu<-1$ for all $j \geqslant j_{2}$ and $i=1,2$.
For large values of $m$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|x_{2 m+i}\right| & =\left|x_{-2+i}\right|\left|\prod_{j=0}^{m} \beta_{i}(j)\right|=\left|x_{-2+i}\right|\left|\prod_{j=0}^{j_{2}-1} \beta_{i}(j)\right|\left|\prod_{j=j_{2}}^{m} \beta_{i}(j)\right| \\
& >\left|x_{-2+i}\right|\left|\prod_{j=0}^{j_{2}-1} \beta_{i}(j)\right||\nu|^{m-j_{2}+1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From this and since $\left(x_{0}, x_{-1}, x_{-2}\right) \notin F$, we have that both the subsequences $\left\{x_{2 n}\right\}_{n=-1}^{\infty}$ and $\left\{x_{2 n+1}\right\}_{n=-1}^{\infty}$ are unbounded.

## 4. CASE $a-c=b$

Using the transformation $r_{n}=x_{n} / x_{n-1}$, equation (1.1) is reduced to the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{n+1}=\frac{a r_{n-1}}{-b r_{n} r_{n-1}+c}, \quad n=0,1, \ldots \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (4.1) has been studied in [2], [3], [4], [22].
In order to discuss equation (1.1) when $a-c=b$, we investigate the behavior of equation (4.1).

The following theorem gives the solution of equation (4.1) in terms of the parameters $a, b, c$.

Theorem 4.1. Let $r_{-1}, r_{0}$ be real numbers such that $r_{-1} r_{0}=\alpha \neq c / b \sum_{i=0}^{n}(a / c)^{i}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$. Then the solution of equation (4.1) is

$$
r_{n}= \begin{cases}r_{-1} \prod_{j=0}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \frac{\theta(c / a)^{2 j}-b}{\theta(c / a)^{2 j+1}-b}, & n=1,3,5, \ldots  \tag{4.2}\\ r_{0} \prod_{j=0}^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \frac{\theta(c / a)^{2 j+1}-b}{\theta(c / a)^{2 j+2}-b}, & n=2,4,6, \ldots\end{cases}
$$

where $\theta=(a-c+b \alpha) / \alpha$ and $\alpha=x_{0} / x_{-2}$.
We shall derive only some results concerning the behavior of the solutions of equation (4.1) with $a-c=b$ that we shall use.

The solution of equation (4.1) can be written as

$$
r_{2 m+i}=r_{-2+i} \prod_{j=0}^{m} \gamma_{i}(j), \quad i=1,2 \text { and } m=0,1, \ldots
$$

where

$$
\gamma_{i}(j)=\frac{\theta(c / a)^{2 j+i-1}-b}{\theta(c / a)^{2 j+i}-b}, \quad i=1,2
$$

Theorem 4.2. Assume that $a-c=b$ and let $\left\{r_{n}\right\}_{n=-1}^{\infty}$ be a solution of equation (4.1) such that $r_{-1} r_{0}=\alpha \neq c / b \sum_{i=0}^{n}(a / c)^{i}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$. Then the necessary and sufficient condition for the solution $\left\{r_{n}\right\}_{n=-1}^{\infty}$ to be a period-2 solution is $\alpha=-1$.

Proof. Necessity: Let $\{\ldots, \varphi, \psi, \varphi, \psi, \ldots\}$ be a period- 2 solution of equation (4.1). Then we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi=\frac{a \varphi}{-b \psi \varphi+c} \quad \text { and } \quad \psi=\frac{a \psi}{-b \varphi \psi+c} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

From equation (4.3) and since $a-c=b$, we get $\varphi \psi=-1$.
Sufficiency: If $\alpha=-1$, then $\theta=(a-c+b \alpha) / \alpha=0$. Therefore,

$$
r_{2 m+i}=r_{-2+i} \prod_{j=0}^{m} \gamma_{i}(j)=r_{-2+i}, \quad i=1,2 \text { and } m=0,1, \ldots
$$

Theorem 4.3. Assume that $a-c=b$ and let $\left\{r_{n}\right\}_{n=-1}^{\infty}$ be a solution of equation (4.1) such that $\alpha \neq-1$ and $r_{-1} r_{0}=\alpha \neq c / b \sum_{i=0}^{n}(a / c)^{i}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$. Then the solution $\left\{r_{n}\right\}_{n=-1}^{\infty}$ converges to a period-2 solution.

Proof. Let $\left\{r_{n}\right\}_{n=-1}^{\infty}$ be a solution of equation (4.1) such that $r_{-1} r_{0}=\alpha \neq$ $c / b \sum_{i=0}^{n}(a / c)^{i}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$.

The condition $\alpha \neq-1$ (where $a-c=b$ ) ensures that the solution $\left\{r_{n}\right\}_{n=-1}^{\infty}$ is not a period-2 solution.

As $\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{i}(j)=\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left(\theta(c / a)^{2 j+i-1}-b\right) /\left(\theta(c / a)^{2 j+i}-b\right)=1$, there exists $j_{2} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\gamma_{i}(j)>0$ for all $i=1,2$ and $j \geqslant j_{2}$.

Now for each $i \in\{1,2\}$, we have for large $m$

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{2 m+i} & =r_{-2+i} \prod_{j=0}^{m} \gamma_{i}(j)=r_{-2+i} \prod_{j=0}^{j_{2}-1} \gamma_{i}(j) \prod_{j=j_{2}}^{m} \gamma_{i}(j) \\
& =r_{-2+i} \prod_{j=0}^{j_{2}-1} \gamma_{i}(j) \exp \left(\sum_{j=j_{2}}^{m} \ln \gamma_{i}(j)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we show the convergence of the series $\sum_{j=j_{2}}^{\infty}\left|\ln \gamma_{i}(j)\right|$.
Using the asymptotic relations $(1+x)^{-1}=1+O(x)$ and $\ln (1+x)=x+O\left(x^{2}\right)$, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ln \gamma_{i}(j) & =\ln \frac{\theta(c / a)^{2 j+i-1}-b}{\theta(c / a)^{2 j+i}-b}=\ln \left(1+\frac{\theta}{a} \frac{(c / a)^{2 j+i-1}(a-c)}{\theta(c / a)^{2 j+i}-b}\right) \\
& =\ln \left(1+\frac{\theta(c-a)}{a b}\left(\frac{c}{a}\right)^{2 j+i-1}\right)+o\left(\left(\frac{c}{a}\right)^{2 j}\right) \\
& =\frac{\theta(c-a)}{a b}\left(\frac{c}{a}\right)^{2 j+i-1}+o\left(\left(\frac{c}{a}\right)^{2 j}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

From this and since $c / a<1$, by using a known criterion for the convergence of series we get that the the series $\sum_{j=j_{2}}^{\infty}\left|\ln \gamma_{i}(j)\right|$ converges.

Hence, there are two real numbers $\varrho_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} r_{2 m+i}=\varrho_{i}, \quad i \in\{0,1\}
$$

If we set $n=2 m+i-1, i=0,1$ in equation (4.1), we get

$$
r_{2 m+1}=\frac{a r_{2 m-1}}{-b r_{2 m-1} r_{2 m}+c} \quad \text { and } \quad r_{2 m+2}=\frac{a r_{2 m}}{-b r_{2 m} r_{2 m+1}+c}, \quad m=0,1, \ldots
$$

By taking the limit as $m \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varrho_{1}=\frac{a \varrho_{1}}{-b \varrho_{1} \varrho_{0}+c} \quad \text { and } \quad \varrho_{0}=\frac{a \varrho_{0}}{-b \varrho_{0} \varrho_{1}+c} . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\varrho_{1}=0$, then from the second equation in (4.4), we get $\varrho_{0}=0$. This is a contradiction, as the equilibrium point $\bar{r}=0$ of equation (4.1) is unstable (a repeller) when $a>c$ (see [2]).

This implies that $\varrho_{i} \neq 0, i=0,1$ and $\varrho_{0} \varrho_{1}=-1$. Therefore, $\left\{r_{n}\right\}_{n=-1}^{\infty}$ converges to the 2 -periodic solution

$$
\left\{\ldots, \varrho_{0}, \varrho_{1}, \varrho_{0}, \varrho_{1}, \ldots\right\} \quad \text { with } \varrho_{0} \varrho_{1}=-1 .
$$

Now we are ready to formulate the main results in this section.
Theorem 4.4. Assume that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=-2}^{\infty}$ is a solution of equation (1.1) such that $\left(x_{0}, x_{-1}, x_{-2}\right) \notin F$ and let $a-c=b$. If $\alpha=-1$, then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=-2}^{\infty}$ is an eventually periodic solution with period 4.

Proof. Assume that $a-c=b$. If $\alpha=-1$, then $\theta=0$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{2 m+i} & =x_{-2+i} \prod_{j=0}^{m} \frac{a-c}{\theta(c / a)^{2 j+i}-b}=x_{-2+i} \prod_{j=0}^{m}(-1) \\
& =x_{-2+i}(-1)^{m+1}, \quad i=1,2 \text { and } m=0,1, \ldots .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now if we set $m=2 n+l-1, l=0,1$, then

$$
x_{4 n+2 l+i-2}=x_{-2+i}(-1)^{2 n+l}, \quad i=1,2, l=0,1 \text { and } n=0,1, \ldots
$$

Therefore,

$$
x_{4 n-1}=x_{-1}, \quad x_{4 n}=x_{0}, \quad x_{4 n+1}=-x_{-1}, \quad x_{4 n+2}=-x_{0} .
$$

Theorem 4.5. Assume that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=-2}^{\infty}$ is a solution of equation (1.1) such that $\left(x_{0}, x_{-1}, x_{-2}\right) \notin F$ and let $a-c=b$. If $\alpha \neq-1$, then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=-2}^{\infty}$ converges to a period-4 solution $\left\{\mu_{0}, \mu_{1},-\mu_{0},-\mu_{1}\right\}$ such that $\mu_{1}=\mu_{0}\left|\varrho_{1}\right|$, where $\varrho_{1}$ is as in Theorem 4.3.

Proof. Suppose that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=-2}^{\infty}$ is a solution of equation (1.1) such that $\left(x_{0}, x_{-1}, x_{-2}\right) \notin F$ and let $a-c=b$. As

$$
\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \beta_{i}(j)=\frac{a-c}{\theta(c / a)^{2 j+i}-b}=-1, \quad i=1,2
$$

there exists $j_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\beta_{i}(j)<0$ for all $i=1,2$ and $j \geqslant j_{0}$.
Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|x_{2 m+i}\right| & =\left|x_{-2+i}\right|\left|\prod_{j=0}^{m} \beta_{i}(j)\right|=\left|x_{-2+i}\right|\left|\prod_{j=0}^{j_{0}-1} \beta_{i}(j)\right| \prod_{j=j_{0}}^{m}\left|\beta_{i}(j)\right| \\
& =\left|x_{-2+i}\right|\left|\prod_{j=0}^{j_{0}-1} \beta_{i}(j)\right| \exp \left(\sum_{j=j_{0}}^{m} \ln \left|\beta_{i}(j)\right|\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we show the convergence of the series $\sum_{j=j_{0}}^{\infty}\left|\ln \left(-\beta_{i}(j)\right)\right|$. Using the asymptotic relations $(1+x)^{-1}=1+x+O\left(x^{2}\right)$ and $\ln (1+x)=x+O\left(x^{2}\right)$, we have that

$$
\ln \left|\beta_{i}(j)\right|=\ln \left(1+\frac{\theta}{b}\left(\frac{c}{a}\right)^{2 j+i}+O\left(\left(\frac{c}{a}\right)^{4 j}\right)\right) .
$$

As $c / a<1$, we get that the series $\sum_{j=j_{0}}^{\infty} \ln \left|\beta_{i}(j)\right|$ is convergent.
This ensures that there are two positive real numbers $\mu_{0}, \mu_{1}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty}\left|x_{2 m+i}\right|=\mu_{i}, \quad i \in\{0,1\} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now set

$$
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} x_{4 m+l}=L_{l}, \quad l \in\{0,1,2,3\}
$$

As

$$
r_{4 m+1} r_{4 m+2}=\frac{x_{4 m+2}}{x_{4 m}} \quad \text { and } \quad r_{4 m+2} r_{4 m+3}=\frac{x_{4 m+3}}{x_{4 m+1}},
$$

using Theorem (4.3) we obtain $L_{2}=-L_{0}$ and $L_{3}=-L_{1}$.
On the other hand, from (4.5) we get

$$
\left|L_{2}\right|=\left|-L_{0}\right|=\left|L_{0}\right|=\mu_{0} \quad \text { and } \quad\left|L_{3}\right|=\left|-L_{1}\right|=\left|L_{1}\right|=\mu_{1} .
$$

Then

$$
L_{0}=\mu_{0} \quad \text { or } \quad L_{0}=-\mu_{0} \quad \text { and } \quad L_{1}=\mu_{1} \quad \text { or } \quad L_{1}=-\mu_{1} .
$$

Without loss of generality, we take $L_{0}=\mu_{0}$ and $L_{1}=\mu_{1}$. Then the solution $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=-2}^{\infty}$ converges to the period-4 solution

$$
\left\{\ldots, \mu_{0}, \mu_{1},-\mu_{0},-\mu_{1}, \mu_{0}, \mu_{1},-\mu_{0},-\mu_{1}, \ldots\right\} .
$$

Moreover, as $\left|x_{2 m+1}\right|=\left|x_{2 m} r_{2 m+1}\right|$, we have $\mu_{1}=\mu_{0}\left|\varrho_{1}\right|$ where

$$
\varrho_{1}=r_{-1} \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\theta(c / a)^{2 j}-b}{\theta(c / a)^{2 j+1}-b} \quad \text { and } \quad \mu_{0}=\left|x_{0}\right| \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{b}{\left|\theta(c / a)^{2 j}-b\right|} .
$$

## 5. CASE $a=c$

In this section, we study the case when $a=c$.
Proposition 5.1. Assume that $a=c$. Then the forbidden set $G$ of equation (1.1) is

$$
\begin{aligned}
G=\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty}\{ & \left.\left(u_{0}, u_{-1}, u_{-2}\right): u_{0}=u_{-2} \frac{a}{b(n+1)}\right\} \\
& \cup\left\{\left(u_{0}, u_{-1}, u_{-2}\right): u_{0}=0\right\} \cup\left\{\left(u_{0}, u_{-1}, u_{-2}\right): u_{-1}=0\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $x_{-2}, x_{-1}$ and $x_{0}$ be real numbers such that $\left(x_{0}, x_{-1}, x_{-2}\right) \notin G$. If $a=c$, then the solution $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=-2}^{\infty}$ of equation (1.1) is

$$
x_{n}= \begin{cases}x_{-1} \prod_{j=0}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \frac{a \alpha}{a-b \alpha(2 j+1)}, & n=1,3,5, \ldots,  \tag{5.1}\\ x_{0} \prod_{j=0}^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \frac{a \alpha}{a-b \alpha(2 j+2)}, & n=2,4,6, \ldots\end{cases}
$$

where $\alpha=x_{0} / x_{-2}$.
Proof. We can write the solution (5.1) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{2 m+i}=x_{-2+i} \prod_{j=0}^{m} \eta_{i}(j), \quad i=1,2 \text { and } m=0,1, \ldots \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\eta_{i}(j)=\frac{a \alpha}{a-b \alpha(2 j+i)}, \quad i=1,2 .
$$

By direct calculation, we can get the values of $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ as desired.

Now assume that $m>1$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{2 m+3} & =\frac{a x_{2 m+2} x_{2 m+1}}{-b x_{2 m+2}+a x_{2 m}}=\frac{a x_{0} \prod_{j=0}^{m} \eta_{2}(j) x_{-1} \prod_{j=0}^{m} \eta_{1}(j)}{-b x_{0} \prod_{j=0}^{m} \eta_{2}(j)+a x_{0} \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} \eta_{2}(j)} \\
& =\frac{a x_{0} \prod_{j=0}^{m} \eta_{2}(j) x_{-1} \prod_{j=0}^{m} \eta_{1}(j)}{x_{0} \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} \eta_{2}(j)\left(-b \eta_{2}(m)+a\right)}=\frac{a \eta_{2}(m) x_{-1} \prod_{j=0}^{m} \eta_{1}(j)}{-b \eta_{2}(m)+a} \\
& =\frac{a(a \alpha /(a-b \alpha(2 m+2))) x_{-1} \prod_{j=0}^{m} \eta_{1}(j)}{-b a \alpha /(a-b \alpha(2 m+2))+a}=\frac{a(a \alpha) x_{-1} \prod_{j=0}^{m} \eta_{1}(j)}{-b a \alpha+a(a-b \alpha(2 m+2))} \\
& =\frac{a \alpha}{a-b \alpha(2 m+3)} x_{-1} \prod_{j=0}^{m} \eta_{1}(j)=\eta_{1}(m+1) x_{-1} \prod_{j=0}^{m+1} \eta_{1}(j) \\
& =x_{-1} \prod_{j=0}^{m+1} \eta_{1}(j) .
\end{aligned}
$$

To complete the inductive proof, we shall show that formula (2.2) also holds for $x_{2 m+4}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{2 m+4} & =\frac{a x_{2 m+3} x_{2 m+2}}{-b x_{2 m+3}+a x_{2 m+1}}=\frac{a x_{-1} \prod_{j=0}^{m+1} \eta_{1}(j) x_{0} \prod_{j=0}^{m} \eta_{2}(j)}{-b x_{-1} \prod_{j=0}^{m+1} \eta_{1}(j)+a x_{-1} \prod_{j=0}^{m} \eta_{1}(j)} \\
& =\frac{a x_{-1} \prod_{j=0}^{m+1} \eta_{1}(j) x_{0} \prod_{j=0}^{m} \eta_{2}(j)}{x_{-1} \prod_{j=0}^{m} \eta_{1}(j)\left(-b \eta_{2}(m+1)+a\right)}=\frac{a \eta_{1}(m+1) x_{0} \prod_{j=0}^{m} \eta_{2}(j)}{-b \eta_{1}(m+1)+a} \\
& =\frac{a(a \alpha /(a-b \alpha(2 m+3))) x_{0} \prod_{j=0}^{m} \eta_{2}(j)}{-b a \alpha /(a-b \alpha(2 m+3))+a}=\frac{a(a \alpha) x_{0} \prod_{j=0}^{m} \eta_{2}(j)}{-b a \alpha+a(a-b \alpha(2 m+3))} \\
& =\frac{a \alpha}{a-b \alpha(2 m+4)} x_{0} \prod_{j=0}^{m} \eta_{2}(j)=\eta_{2}(m+1) x_{0} \prod_{j=0}^{m+1} \eta_{2}(j) \\
& =x_{0} \prod_{j=0}^{m+1} \eta_{2}(j) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the inductive proof of the theorem.

Theorem 5.2. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=-2}^{\infty}$ be a solution of equation (1.1) such that ( $x_{0}, x_{-1}$, $\left.x_{-2}\right) \notin G$. If $a=c$, then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=-2}^{\infty}$ converges to 0 .

Proof. It is sufficient to see that $\eta_{i}(j) \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty, i=1,2$.
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