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# ON DISCRETENESS OF SPECTRUM OF A FUNCTIONAL DIFFERENTIAL OPERATOR 
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Abstract. We study conditions of discreteness of spectrum of the functional-differential operator

$$
\mathcal{L} u=-u^{\prime \prime}+p(x) u(x)+\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}(u(x)-u(s)) \mathrm{d}_{s} r(x, s)
$$

on $(-\infty, \infty)$. In the absence of the integral term this operator is a one-dimensional Schrödinger operator. In this paper we consider a symmetric operator with real spectrum. Conditions of discreteness are obtained in terms of the first eigenvalue of a truncated operator. We also obtain one simple condition for discreteness of spectrum.
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## 1. The problem

1.1. Introduction. The first result about discreteness of the spectrum for the Schrödinger operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{0} u=-u^{\prime \prime}+p u \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u(x)$ is defined on the whole axis $\mathbb{R}=(-\infty, \infty)$ and $p(x)$ assumed to be continuous (and its $n$-dimensional variant) was obtained by K. Friedrichs [4], [5]. The spectrum is discrete and bounded from below if $\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} p(x)=+\infty$. A necessary and sufficient condition of discreteness of spectrum for the differential operator (1.1) was obtained by A. M. Molchanov [14]. The spectrum is discrete and bounded from below if and only if for any $a>0$

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \int_{x}^{x+a} p(t) \mathrm{d} t=+\infty
$$

Note the result of R.S. Ismagilov [8]: let $\lambda(\Delta)$ be the minimal eigenvalue of the operator $-u^{\prime \prime}+p u$ considered on the segment $\Delta$ with Dirichlet conditions on $\Delta$. For discreteness and boundedness from below of the spectrum of the operator $\mathcal{L}_{0}$ a necessary and sufficient condition is that $\lambda(\Delta) \rightarrow \infty$ when $\Delta$ moves to $\infty$ conserving its length. But the same result can be seen in the article of A. M. Molchanov [14]. Molchanov called this the principle of localization.

For further generalizations see for example [13] and references therein.
Here we study the functional differential operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L} u(x)=-u^{\prime \prime}(x)+p(x) u(x)+\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}(u(x)-u(s)) \mathrm{d}_{s} r(x, s) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $x \in(-\infty, \infty)$. This expression contains an expression with deviating argument as a special case:

$$
-u^{\prime \prime}+p(x) u(x)+\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i}(x)\left(u(x)-u\left(h_{i}(x)\right)\right) .
$$

Expression (1.2) is not only a generalization but may perhaps also have applications in quantum mechanics. In the case of finite interval $[0, l]$ this operator describes the behavior of a loaded string. The singular problem

$$
-\left(p u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+q u+\int_{0}^{l}(u(x)-u(s)) \mathrm{d}_{s} r(x, s)=\lambda \varrho u
$$

with Sturm-Liouville boundary conditions is studied in [11], [12]. A particular case

$$
\mathcal{L}_{1} u=-u^{\prime \prime}+p(x) u(x)+q(x)(u(x)-u(x-\delta))+q(x+\delta)(u(x)-u(x+\delta))
$$

of (1.2) is considered in [7].
Our aim is to generalize the principle of localization. However, for the operator (1.2) it cannot be obtained directly. This is a special feature of an ordinary differential operator. We introduce a pseudo eigenvalue $\widetilde{\mu}(\Delta)$, and use it to compare it with the eigenvalues of the truncated problem.
1.2. Results. This subsection summarizes the main results of the paper. Assume that the function $p$ in (1.2) is locally integrable (Lebesgue integrable on any segment), and essentially bounded from below. We can assume that $p(x) \geqslant 1$. The function $r(x, s)$ is nondecreasing in $s$ on $\mathbb{R}$ for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, measurable and locally integrable in $x$ for any $s \in \mathbb{R}$. We also assume that the function $\xi(x, s)=\int_{0}^{x} r(t, s) \mathrm{d} t$ is symmetric: $\xi(x, s)=\xi(s, x), x, s \in \mathbb{R}$. Denote $q(x)=r(x, \infty)-r(x,-\infty)$.

Let $\Delta=[a, b] \subset(-\infty, \infty)$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{\Delta} u=-u^{\prime \prime}+p(x) u(x)+\int_{a}^{b}(u(x)-u(s)) \mathrm{d}_{s} r(x, s) . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It may be called a truncated operator. Consider two eigenvalue problems

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{\Delta} u=\lambda u, \quad u(a)=u(b)=0 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{\Delta} u=\mu u, \quad u^{\prime}(a)=u^{\prime}(b)=0 . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\lambda(\Delta)$ be the minimal eigenvalue of the problem (1.4), and $\mu(\Delta)$ the minimal eigenvalue of the problem (1.5).

Theorem 1.1. For discreteness of the spectrum of $\mathcal{L}$ it is sufficient that one of the following conditions holds:
$\triangleright$ spectrum of $\mathcal{L}_{0}$ is discrete,
$\triangleright$ for any sequence of segments $\Delta_{n}$ of fixed length that tend to infinity,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim \mu\left(\Delta_{n}\right)=\infty \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, if $\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \int_{x}^{x+a} p(t) \mathrm{d} t=\infty$ for any $a>0$, then the spectrum of operator (1.2) is discrete.

Let us introduce the following condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=\underset{x \in \mathbb{R}}{\operatorname{ess} \sup } \frac{q(x)}{p(x)}<\infty \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 1.2. Suppose (1.7) holds. For discreteness of the spectrum of (1.2) it is necessary that the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda\left(\Delta_{n}\right)=\infty \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for any sequence of segments $\Delta_{n}$ of fixed length that tend to infinity.

Theorem 1.3. Suppose the condition (1.7) holds, then the spectra of both the operators $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{0}$ are discrete or neither of them is discrete.

## 2. Abstract scheme

We use a simple scheme, sufficient for our purpose. In contrast to the general spectral theory [1], [2], we avoid the use of unbounded operators. But actually this scheme is the same as that in [2], Chapter 10, except for notation. We also find it convenient explicitly use the embedding $T$ from $W$ to $H$ (see below). This scheme is also used in [10], [11], [12].

Let $W$ and $H$ be Hilbert spaces with inner products $[u, v]$ and $(f, g)$, respectively. Let $T: W \rightarrow H$ be a linear bounded operator. The equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
[u, v]=(f, T v), \quad \forall v \in W \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

has a unique solution $u=T^{*} f$ for any $f \in H$, where $T^{*}$ is the adjoint operator. Let $D_{\mathcal{L}}=T^{*}(H)$. Assume that
(1) the image $T(W)$ of the operator $T$ is dense in $H$,
(2) $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} T=0$.

Lemma 2.1. If the image $T(W)$ of the operator $T$ is dense in $H$, then $T^{*}$ is an injection.

Proof. Suppose $T^{*} f=0$ for a $f \in H$. Then for any $g \in T(W)$

$$
(f, g)=(f, T u)=\left[T^{*} f, u\right]=0
$$

Since $T(W)$ is dense in $H, f=0$.

Corollary 2.1 (Euler equation). The operator $T^{*}$ has an inverse $\mathcal{L}$ defined on the set $D_{\mathcal{L}}$. The equation (2.1) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L} u=f . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The spectral problem for the operator $\mathcal{L}$ we write in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L} u=\lambda T u . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\lambda_{0}$ be the greatest lower bound of the spectrum of $\mathcal{L}$. It is well known (see for example [2], Chapter 6) that

$$
\lambda_{0}=\inf _{u \neq 0} \frac{(\mathcal{L} u, T u)}{(T u, T u)}
$$

Since $(\mathcal{L} u, T u)=\left[T^{*} \mathcal{L} u, u\right]=[u, u]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{0}=\inf _{u \neq 0} \frac{[u, u]}{(T u, T u)}=\|T\|^{-2} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the equation (2.3) is equivalent to $u=\lambda T^{*} T u$, discreteness of the spectrum of the problem (2.3) is equivalent to compactness of $T^{*} T$. However, both the operators $T^{*} T$ and $T^{*}$ are compact [2], Chapter 10. Thus the following theorem holds.

Theorem 2.1. The spectrum of $\mathcal{L}$ is discrete if and only if $T$ is compact.

Theorem 2.2. Suppose $T$ is compact. Then the equation (2.3) has a nonzero solution $u_{n}$ only in the case of $\lambda=\lambda_{n}, n=0,1,2, \ldots$, i.e.

$$
\mathcal{L} u_{n}=\lambda_{n} T u_{n}, \quad n=1,2, \ldots
$$

The system $u_{n}$ forms an orthogonal basis in $W$. The sequence $\lambda_{n}$ forms a nondecreasing sequence of positive numbers

$$
0<\lambda_{0} \leqslant \lambda_{1} \leqslant \lambda_{2} \leqslant \ldots
$$

and $\lim \lambda_{n}=\infty$.
Remark 2.1. The minimal eigenvalue satisfies the equality (2.4).

## 3. Notation and important relations

According to the scheme in Section 2, we introduce two spaces $W$ and $H$.
3.1. Basic notation. Let $L_{2}(S, p)$ be the space ${ }^{1}$ of square integrable on $S$ with the weight $p$ functions, $L_{2}(S)=L_{2}(S, 1)$. Let $\mathbb{R}=(-\infty, \infty)$, let $L_{2}=L_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ be the Hilbert space of funcions measurable and square integrable on $\mathbb{R}$ with scalar product

$$
\begin{equation*}
(f, g)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) g(x) \mathrm{d} x \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us consider real functions having in view complex functions involved in the spectral problem. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
[u, v]=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(u^{\prime} v^{\prime}+p u v\right) \mathrm{d} x+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}}(u(x)-u(s))(v(x)-v(s)) \mathrm{d} \xi, \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]where the function $\xi(x, s)=\int_{0}^{x} r(t, s) \mathrm{d} t$ defines a measure on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$. It is easy to see that this form is symmetric independently of the symmetry of $\xi$.

Let $W$ be the set of all functions $u$ absolutely continuous on any segment $[a, b] \subset$ $\mathbb{R}$ such that $[u, u]<\infty$. Then $W$ is a Hilbert space with inner product $[u, v]$ (Lemma 5.1). Let $T: W \rightarrow L_{2}$ be the operator defined by the equality $T u(x)=u(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$. This operator is continuous (Lemma 5.2).

We can now use the scheme from Section 2. Lemma 5.5 asserts that the operator $\mathcal{L}$ (see (1.2)) is associated with the form (3.2):

$$
\text { form (3.2) } \rightarrow \text { operator (1.2). }
$$

Thus from Theorem 2.1 we have

Theorem 3.1. The spectrum of $\mathcal{L}$ is discrete if and only if the operator $T$ is compact.
3.2. More notation. We need the analogous notation for a finite interval. Let $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a measurable subset (we will use mainly a segment $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$ ), and

$$
(f, g)_{\Delta}=\int_{\Delta} f(x) g(x) \mathrm{d} x .
$$

Introduce two truncated forms. For $u, v \in W$

$$
[u, v]_{\Delta}=\int_{\Delta}\left(u^{\prime} v^{\prime}+p u v\right) \mathrm{d} x+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Delta \times \mathbb{R}}(u(x)-u(s))(v(x)-v(s)) \mathrm{d} \xi
$$

Integration on $\Delta \times \mathbb{R}$ signifies that one variable is in $\Delta$ but the other is in $\mathbb{R}$ (for example, $x \in \Delta, s \in \mathbb{R})$. Note that if $\Delta=\Delta_{1} \cup \Delta_{2}, \Delta_{1} \cap \Delta_{2}=\emptyset$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
[u, u]_{\Delta}=[u, u]_{\Delta_{1}}+[u, u]_{\Delta_{2}} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second truncated form is only for functions defined on a segment $\Delta=[a, b]$ :

$$
[u, v]_{\Delta}^{*}=\int_{\Delta}\left(u^{\prime} v^{\prime}+p u v\right) \mathrm{d} x+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Delta \times \Delta}(u(x)-u(s))(v(x)-v(s)) \mathrm{d} \xi .
$$

Let $W_{\Delta}$ be the set of functions absolutely continuous on $\Delta$, satisfying the inequality

$$
[u, u]_{\Delta}^{*}<\infty .
$$

The same abstract scheme from Section 2 can be applied to the form $[u, v]^{*}$. So, this corresponds to the operator $\mathcal{L}_{\Delta}$ (see (1.3)):

$$
[u, u]_{\Delta}^{*} \rightarrow \text { operator } \mathcal{L}_{\Delta} .
$$

We use two different spaces, the actual $W_{\Delta}$ and the subspace $\left\{u \in W_{\Delta}: u(a)=\right.$ $u(b)=0\}$. For each of these spaces the scheme from Section 2 can be used. For the former we have the corresponding spectral problem (1.5), for the latter it is (1.4). Thus, from (2.4) we have the equalities

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda(\Delta)=\inf _{\substack{u \in W_{\Delta}, u \neq 0 \\
u(a)=u(b)=0}} \frac{[u, u]_{\Delta}^{*}}{(T u, T u)_{\Delta}},  \tag{3.4}\\
& \mu(\Delta)=\inf _{u \in W_{\Delta}, u \neq 0} \frac{[u, u]_{\Delta}^{*}}{(T u, T u)_{\Delta}} . \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

We also need similar eigenvalues for the ordinary operator $\mathcal{L}_{0}$ to be considered on the segment $\Delta$ only. Let

$$
[u, v]_{\Delta}^{0}=\int_{\Delta}\left(u^{\prime} v^{\prime}+p u v\right) \mathrm{d} x
$$

and let $W_{\Delta}^{0}$ be the set of functions absolutely continuous on $\Delta$, satisfying the inequality

$$
[u, u]_{\Delta}^{0}<\infty .
$$

Denote the corresponding minimal eigenvalues of the operator $\mathcal{L}_{0}$ on $\Delta$ by $\lambda_{0}(\Delta)$ and $\mu_{0}(\Delta)$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda_{0}(\Delta) & =\inf _{\substack{u \in W_{\Delta}^{0}, u \neq 0 \\
u(a)=u(b)=0}} \frac{[u, u]_{\Delta}^{0}}{(T u, T u)_{\Delta}},  \tag{3.6}\\
\mu_{0}(\Delta) & =\inf _{u \in W_{\Delta}^{0}, u \neq 0} \frac{[u, u]_{\Delta}^{0}}{(T u, T u)_{\Delta}} \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

The equalities (3.4), (3.5), (3.6), (3.7) immediately imply the inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(\Delta) \leqslant \lambda(\Delta), \quad \mu_{0}(\Delta) \leqslant \lambda_{0}(\Delta) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{0}(\Delta) \leqslant \lambda(\Delta), \quad \mu_{0}(\Delta) \leqslant \mu(\Delta) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Introduce one more value, analogous to $\mu(\Delta)$. It is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\mu}(\Delta)=\inf _{u \in W, u \neq 0} \frac{[u, u]_{\Delta}}{(T u, T u)_{\Delta}} . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any segment $\Delta$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(\Delta) \leqslant \widetilde{\mu}(\Delta) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

This follows from the inequality

$$
[u, u]_{\Delta}^{*}=[u, u]_{\Delta}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Delta \times(\mathbb{R} \backslash \Delta)}(u(x)-u(s))^{2} \mathrm{~d} \xi \leqslant[u, u]_{\Delta} .
$$

The principle of localization in our case can be expressed by means of a pseudoeigenvalue $\widetilde{\mu}(\Delta)$ (Corollary 5.1 to Lemma 5.8):

Theorem 3.2. The spectrum of $\mathcal{L}$ is discrete if and only if $\widetilde{\mu}(\Delta) \rightarrow \infty$, when the segment $\Delta \rightarrow \infty$, for $\Delta$ of any fixed length.

To conclude this section we present two auxiliary statements.

### 3.3. Two lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. Suppose (1.7) holds. Then for any $\Delta$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda(\Delta) \leqslant(1+2 M) \lambda_{0}(\Delta) \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $u \in W_{\Delta}$. We can estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Delta \times \Delta}(u(x)-u(s))^{2} \mathrm{~d} \xi & \leqslant \int_{\Delta \times \Delta}\left(u(x)^{2}+u(s)^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} \xi=2 \int_{\Delta \times \Delta} u(x)^{2} \mathrm{~d} \xi \\
& =2 \int_{\Delta} u(x)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \int_{\Delta} \mathrm{d}_{s} r(x, s) \leqslant 2 \int_{\Delta} q(x) u(x)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[u, u]_{\Delta}^{*} } & \leqslant[u, u]_{\Delta}^{0}+2 \int_{\Delta} q(x) u(x)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leqslant[u, u]_{\Delta}^{0}+2 M \int_{\Delta} p(x) u(x)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \leqslant(1+2 M)[u, u]_{\Delta}^{0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The statement (3.12) follows from (3.4), (3.6).

Lemma 3.2. Suppose (1.7) holds. Let $\Delta$ be a segment, $u \in W$, and $u(x)=0$ if $x \notin \Delta$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
[u, u]_{\Delta} \leqslant\left(1+\frac{1}{2} M\right)[u, u]_{\Delta}^{*} . \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Delta \times(\mathbb{R} \backslash \Delta)}(u(x)-u(s))^{2} \mathrm{~d} \xi & =\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Delta \times(\mathbb{R} \backslash \Delta)} u(x)^{2} \mathrm{~d} \xi=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Delta} u(x)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \int_{\mathbb{R} \backslash \Delta} \mathrm{d}_{s} r(x, s) \\
& \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Delta} q(x) u(x)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
[u, u]_{\Delta} \leqslant[u, u]_{\Delta}^{*}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Delta} q(x) u(x)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \leqslant\left(1+\frac{1}{2} M\right)[u, u]_{\Delta}^{*} .
$$

## 4. Proofs of theorems

4.1. Proof of Theorem 1.1. For discreteness of the spectrum of $\mathcal{L}_{0}$ it is necessary and sufficient that $\mu_{0}(\Delta) \rightarrow \infty$ when $\Delta \rightarrow \infty$ conserving its length [14]. In view of inequalities (3.9) and (3.11) and Corollary 5.1 to Lemma 3.2 operator $T$ is compact. Hence the spectrum of $\mathcal{L}$ is discrete.
4.2. Proof of Theorem 1.2. Suppose $T$ is compact. Let $\Delta$ be a segment, and let $u$ be the eigenfunction of the problem (1.4) that corresponds to the eigenvalue $\lambda(\Delta)$. We can define $u(x)=0$ out of the segment $\Delta$. By virtue of Lemma 3.2

$$
\lambda(\Delta)=\frac{[u, u]_{\Delta}^{*}}{(T u, T u)_{\Delta}} \geqslant \frac{2}{(2+M)} \frac{[u, u]_{\Delta}}{(T u, T u)_{\Delta}} \geqslant \frac{2}{(2+M)} \widetilde{\mu}(\Delta) \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text { if } N \rightarrow \infty .
$$

4.3. Proof of Theorem 1.3. From Lemma 3.1 and from (3.4), (3.6) it follows that for any segment $\Delta$

$$
\lambda(\Delta) \leqslant(1+2 M) \lambda_{0}(\Delta)
$$

If the spectrum of $\mathcal{L}$ is discrete then $\lambda(\Delta) \rightarrow \infty$ when $\Delta \rightarrow \infty$. Then $\lambda_{0}(\Delta) \rightarrow \infty$. But this is the condition of Ismagilov for discreteness of the spectrum of $\mathcal{L}_{0}$.

## 5. Auxiliary propositions

### 5.1. Properties of the space $W$.

Lemma 5.1. The space $W$ is a Hilbert space.
Proof. The integral $\int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}}(u(x)-u(s))(v(x)-v(s)) \mathrm{d} \xi$ is finite (convergent), if $u, v \in W$. Thus $[u, v]$ in (3.2) is defined correctly. Now we have to show that $W$ is complete. Let $u_{n}$ be a sequence satisfying

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|u_{n}-u_{m}\right\|^{2}= & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(\left(u_{n}^{\prime}-u_{m}^{\prime}\right)^{2}+p(x)\left(u_{n}-u_{m}\right)^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x  \tag{5.1}\\
& +\int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}}\left(\left(u_{n}(x)-u_{m}(x)\right)-\left(u_{n}(s)-u_{m}(s)\right)\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} \xi \rightarrow 0
\end{align*}
$$

when $n, m \rightarrow 0$. Then there exist two functions $u \in L_{2}(\mathbb{R}, p)$ and $\varphi \in L_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $L_{2}(\mathbb{R}, p)$ and $u_{n}^{\prime} \rightarrow \varphi$ in $L_{2}(\mathbb{R})$.

Let $[a, b]$ be an arbitrary segment. It is clear that $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $L_{2}([a, b], p)$ and $u_{n}^{\prime} \rightarrow \varphi$ in $L_{2}([a, b])$. Let $u_{n}^{\prime}=\varphi+\delta_{n}$. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n}(x)=u_{n}(a)+\int_{a}^{x} \varphi(s) \mathrm{d} s+\int_{a}^{x} \delta_{n}(s) \mathrm{d} s . \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\int_{a}^{b} p(x)\left(u_{n}(a)+\int_{a}^{x} \varphi(s) \mathrm{d} s+\int_{a}^{x} \delta_{n}(s) \mathrm{d} s-u(x)\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \rightarrow 0
$$

The third term tends to zero uniformly on $[a, b]$ :

$$
\left(\int_{a}^{x} \delta_{n}(s) \mathrm{d} s\right)^{2} \leqslant \int_{a}^{x} \delta_{n}(s)^{2} \mathrm{~d} s \cdot \int_{a}^{x} 1 \mathrm{~d} x \leqslant \int_{a}^{b} \delta_{n}(s)^{2} \mathrm{~d} s \cdot \int_{a}^{b} 1 \mathrm{~d} x \rightarrow 0
$$

Thus, this term converges to zero in $L_{2}([a, b], p)$ and can be excluded:

$$
\int_{a}^{b} p(x)\left(u_{n}(a)+\int_{a}^{x} \varphi(s) \mathrm{d} s-u(x)\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \rightarrow 0
$$

It follows that there exists $\lim u_{n}(a)=c$, and

$$
c+\int_{a}^{x} \varphi(s) \mathrm{d} s-u(x)=0, x \in[a, b] .
$$

Thus, $u(x)$ is absolutely continuous on $[a, b]$ and $u^{\prime}(x)=\varphi(x)$. Since the segment $[a, b]$ is arbitrary, $u^{\prime}(x)=\varphi(x)$ on the whole axis.

To prove the convergence $u_{n}-u \rightarrow 0$ in $W$ note that the convergence

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(\left(u_{n}^{\prime}-u^{\prime}\right)^{2}+p\left(u_{n}-u\right)^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x \rightarrow 0
$$

follows from the definitions of $u$ and $\varphi=u^{\prime}$. To show that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}}\left(\left(u_{n}(x)-u(x)\right)-\left(u_{n}(s)-u(s)\right)\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} \xi \rightarrow 0
$$

denote $g(x, s)=u(x)-u(s), g_{n}(x, s)=u_{n}(x)-u_{n}(s)$. From (5.2) it follows that $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ uniformly on any segment. So, $g_{n}(x, s) \rightarrow u(x)-u(s)$ for all $x, s$. By virtue of (5.1), $g_{n} \rightarrow \widetilde{g}$ in $L_{2}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \xi)$. Thus, $\widetilde{g}=u(x)-u(s)$ for $\xi$-almost all $(x, s)$.

Lemma 5.2. The operator $T: W \rightarrow L_{2}$ defined by equality $T u(x)=u(x)$, $x \in(-\infty, \infty)$, is continuous.

Proof. This follows immediately from comparison of norms.

Lemma 5.3 ${ }^{2}$. Let $h(x)$ be a function square integrable on a segment $[a, b]$. If

$$
\int_{a}^{b} h(x) g(x) \mathrm{d} x=0
$$

for any function $g(x)$ square integrable on $[a, b]$ such that $\int_{a}^{b} g(x) \mathrm{d} x=0$, then $h(x)$ is a constant.

Proof. Choose a constant $c$ such that $\int_{a}^{b}(h(x)-c) \mathrm{d} x=0$. According to the requirement of the lemma $\int_{a}^{b} h(x)(h(x)-c) \mathrm{d} x=0$. Subtracting from this equality the equality $c \int_{a}^{b}(h(x)-c) \mathrm{d} x=0$ we obtain

$$
\int_{a}^{b}(h(x)-c)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x=0 .
$$

Thus, $h=c$.

[^1]Lemma 5.4. The image $T(W)$ of the space $W$ is dense in $L_{2}$.
Proof. Note that $W \subset L_{2}$ as sets. If the closure $\widetilde{W}$ in $L_{2}$ is not the $L_{2}$, there exists a function $h \in L_{2}, h \neq 0$, that is orthogonal to $\widetilde{W}$ :

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u(x) h(x) \mathrm{d} x=0, \quad \forall u \in W
$$

Consider now an arbitrary segment $[a, b]$ and all functions $u \in W$ that are equal to zero out of the segment $[a, b]$. In this case $u(a)=u(b)=0$, and

$$
0=\int_{a}^{b} u(x) h(x) \mathrm{d} x=-\int_{a}^{b} H(x) u^{\prime}(x) \mathrm{d} x
$$

where $H(x)=\int_{a}^{x} h(s) \mathrm{d} s$.
Thus, the last integral is equal to zero for any square integrable function $u^{\prime}(x)$ that satisfies the condition $\int_{a}^{b} u^{\prime}(x) \mathrm{d} x=0$. According to Lemma 5.3, $H(x)$ is a constant. Thus, $H(x)=0$ and $h(x)=0$ on $[a, b]$. The segment $[a, b]$ is arbitrary, therefore $h(x)=0$, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. This contradiction shows that $\widetilde{W}=L_{2}$.
5.2. Euler equation. According to Lemma 2.1 the equation

$$
[u, v]=(f, T v), \quad \forall v \in W
$$

has the unique solution $u=T^{*} f$ and the operator $T^{*}$ is an injection. Thus, the operator $T^{*}$ has an inverse $\mathcal{L}=\left(T^{*}\right)^{-1}$ defined on the set $D_{\mathcal{L}}=T^{*} L_{2}$.

Lemma 5.5. The operator $\mathcal{L}$ has the representation (1.2). The domain $D_{\mathcal{L}}$ consists of functions $u \in W$ with locally on $\mathbb{R}$ absolutely continuous derivative, and $u^{\prime \prime} \in L_{2}(\mathbb{R})$.

Proof. Let $u$ be the solution of $[u, v]=(f, T v)$. So, for all $v \in W$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(u^{\prime} v^{\prime}+p u v\right) \mathrm{d} x+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}}(u(x)-u(s))(v(x)-v(s)) \mathrm{d} \xi=\int_{\mathbb{R}} f v \mathrm{~d} x . \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By virtue of Lemma 5.9 for a $\xi$-measurable function $f$ we have

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}} f(x, s) \mathrm{d} \xi=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{d} x \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x, y) \mathrm{d}_{s} r(x, s)
$$

Using this formula and considering the symmetry of $\xi$ one can represent the second term in (5.3) in the form

$$
\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}}(u(x)-u(s))(v(x)-v(s)) \mathrm{d} \xi=\int_{\mathbb{R}} v(x) \mathrm{d} x \int_{\mathbb{R}}(u(x)-u(s)) \mathrm{d}_{s} r(x, s)
$$

Let $[a, b]$ be a segment. Consider all functions $v \in W$ that are equal to zero out of $(a, b): v=0$ if $x \notin[a, b]$. Let $h(x)=-p u-\int_{\mathbb{R}}(u(x)-u(s)) \mathrm{d}_{s} r(x, s)+f$, $H=\int_{a}^{x} h(s) \mathrm{d} s$. Thus,

$$
\int_{a}^{b} u^{\prime} v^{\prime} \mathrm{d} x=\int_{a}^{b} h v \mathrm{~d} x=-\int_{a}^{b} H v^{\prime} \mathrm{d} x
$$

or $\int_{a}^{b}\left(u^{\prime}+H\right) v^{\prime} \mathrm{d} x=0$. According to Lemma 5.3 this implies that $u^{\prime}+H$ is a constant, the derivative $u^{\prime \prime}$ exists, and $u^{\prime \prime}+h=0$. Finally, on $[a, b]$

$$
-u^{\prime \prime}+p u+\int_{\mathbb{R}}(u(x)-u(s)) \mathrm{d}_{s} r(x, s)=f
$$

Since $[a, b]$ is an arbitrary interval, the left hand side is an expression for the operator $\mathcal{L}$. From $u^{\prime \prime}+h=0$ it follows that $u^{\prime \prime} \in L_{2}(\mathbb{R})$.
5.3. Compactness of the operator $T$. By virtue of the criterium of Gelfand, (see Theorem 5.1) the necessary and sufficient condition of compactness is the uniform convergence on $\{T u$ : $[u, u] \leqslant 1\}$ of any sequence $f_{n} \in L_{2}$ that converges for any $z \in L_{2}$, i.e., $\left(f_{n}, z\right) \rightarrow 0$.

The following theorem [9], page 318, can be used to show compactness.

Theorem 5.1 (Gelfand). A set $E$ from a separable Banach space $X$ is relatively compact if and only if for any sequence of linear continuous functionals that converge to zero at each point, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n}(x) \rightarrow 0, \quad \forall x \in X \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

the convergence (5.4) is the uniform on $E$.
Lemma 5.6. Suppose $f_{n} \in L_{2}$, and $\left(f_{n}, z\right) \rightarrow 0$ for any $z \in L_{2}$. For any segment $\Delta=[a, b]$ the convergence $\left(f_{n}, T u\right)_{\Delta}$ is uniform for $\|u\| \leqslant 1$.

Proof. The set $\{u \in W:\|u\| \leqslant 1\}$ is the set of functions $u$ satisfying

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(u^{\prime 2}+p u^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}}(u(x)-u(s))^{2} \mathrm{~d} \xi \leqslant 1 .
$$

Since

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f_{n}(x) u(x) \mathrm{d} x=u(a) \int_{a}^{b} f_{n}(x) \mathrm{d} x+\int_{a}^{b} f_{n}(x) \int_{a}^{x} u^{\prime}(s) \mathrm{d} s \mathrm{~d} x
$$

and $u(a)$ is bounded (because of $\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}+u^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x \leqslant 1$ ) on the set $\|u\| \leqslant 1$, it remains to show that

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f_{n}(x) \int_{a}^{x} u^{\prime}(s) \mathrm{d} s \mathrm{~d} x \rightarrow 0
$$

uniformly. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\int_{a}^{b} f_{n}(x) \int_{a}^{x} u^{\prime}(s) \mathrm{d} s \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{2} & =\left(\int_{a}^{b} u^{\prime}(s) \mathrm{d} s \int_{s}^{b} f_{n}(x) \mathrm{d} x\right)^{2} \\
& \leqslant \int_{a}^{b} u^{\prime}(s)^{2} \mathrm{~d} s \int_{a}^{b} \varphi_{n}(s)^{2} \mathrm{~d} s \leqslant \int_{a}^{b} \varphi_{n}(s)^{2} \mathrm{~d} s
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\varphi_{n}(s)=\int_{s}^{b} f_{n}(x) \mathrm{d} x
$$

it is sufficient to show that $\varphi_{n} \rightarrow 0$ in the space $L_{2}$. In fact, $\varphi_{n} \rightarrow 0$ uniformly. To show this consider

$$
z_{s}(x)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } x \notin[s, b] \\ 1 & \text { if } x \in[s, b] .\end{cases}
$$

Note that

$$
\varphi_{n}(s)=f_{n}\left(z_{s}\right)
$$

(on the right hand side $f_{n}$ is considered as a functional). It is clear that the set $S=\left\{z_{s}: s \in[a, b]\right\}$ is relatively compact in $L_{2}$. By virtue of the same criterium of Gelfand $f_{n}$ converges uniformly on $S$. But this is the uniform convergence of $\varphi_{n}(s)$.

By Lemma 5.6 the question about compactness is reduced to the behavior on infinity.

Lemma 5.7. The operator $T$ is compact if and only if

$$
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{u \in W, u \neq 0} \frac{(T u, T u)_{|x|>N}}{[u, u]_{|x|>N}}=0 .
$$

Proof. Sufficiency. Let $f_{n}$ be a sequence $f_{n} \in L_{2}$, convergent for any $z \in L_{2}$, i.e., $\left(f_{n}, z\right) \rightarrow 0$. Then it is bounded, $\left(f_{n}, f_{n}\right) \leqslant M$. Let $\varepsilon>0$. Choose $N$ such that

$$
\sup _{u \in W, u \neq 0} \frac{(T u, T u)_{|x|>N}}{[u, u]_{|x|>N}}<\frac{\varepsilon}{2 M} .
$$

Then for $\|u\| \leqslant 1$

$$
\left(f_{n}, T u\right)_{|x|>N}^{2} \leqslant\left(f_{n}, f_{n}\right)(T u, T u)_{|x|>N} \leqslant M \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{2 M}=\frac{\varepsilon}{2} .
$$

On $[-N, N]$ uniform convergence is fulfilled, and for sufficiently large $n$ and all $\|u\| \leqslant 1$

$$
\left(f_{n}, T u\right)_{[-N, N]}^{2}<\frac{\varepsilon}{2} .
$$

Necessity. Suppose $T$ is compact but there exist $\varepsilon>0$ and sequences $N_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ and $u_{n}$ such that $\left[u_{n}, u_{n}\right]_{D_{n}}=1$, where $D_{n}=\left\{x:|x|>N_{n}\right\}$ and

$$
\left(T u_{n}, T u_{n}\right)_{D_{n}} \geqslant \varepsilon .
$$

Let $f_{n}=\chi_{D_{n}} T u_{n} /\left\|\chi_{D_{n}} T u_{n}\right\|$, where $\chi$ is the characteristic function of $D_{n}$. This sequence converges at any $z \in L_{2}$ :

$$
\left(f_{n}, z\right)^{2}=\left(f_{n}, z\right)_{D_{n}}^{2} \leqslant\left(f_{n}, f_{n}\right)(z, z)_{D_{n}}=(z, z)_{D_{n}} \rightarrow 0 .
$$

However,

$$
f_{n}\left(T u_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{\left\|\chi_{D_{n}} T u_{n}\right\|}\left(T u_{n}, T u_{n}\right)_{D_{n}} \geqslant \sqrt{\varepsilon},
$$

which contradicts the criterium of compactness of Gelfand.
Remark 5.1. From this proof of necessity we can see that instead of $|x|>N$ we can consider any segment $\Delta$. Since $\inf _{u \in W, u \neq 0}[u, u]_{\Delta} /(T u, T u)_{\Delta}=\widetilde{\mu}(\Delta)$ (see (3.10)), the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\Delta \rightarrow \infty} \widetilde{\mu}(\Delta)=\infty \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

is necessary for the compactness of $T$.
Lemma 5.8. If the operator $T$ is not compact, there exists an $\varepsilon>0$ such that for any $d>0$ there exists a sequence of segments $\Delta_{n}$ of length $d$ that tends to infinity and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{u \in W, u \neq 0} \frac{(T u, T u)_{\Delta_{n}}}{[u, u]_{\Delta_{n}}} \geqslant \varepsilon . \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. According to Lemma 5.7, if $T$ is not compact, there exist an $\varepsilon>0$, a sequence $N_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ and a sequence $u_{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(T u_{n}, T u_{n}\right)_{|x|>N_{n}} \geqslant \varepsilon\left[u_{n}, u_{n}\right]_{|x|>N_{n}} . \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us fix $n, N=N_{n}$ and $u=u_{n}$. Divide the set $\{|x|>N\}$ in segments of the length $d$, then for one segment $\Delta$ the inequality (5.6) will be satisfied. If not, we could sum the inequalities

$$
(T u, T u)_{\Delta}<\varepsilon[u, u]_{\Delta}
$$

and obtain a contradiction with (5.7).
This together with the remark to Lemma 5.7 yields

Corollary 5.1. $T$ is compact if and only if $\widetilde{\mu}(\Delta) \rightarrow \infty$ when $\Delta \rightarrow \infty$ (for $\Delta$ of any fixed length).
5.4. One generalization of the Fubini theorem. Reduction of double integral to repeated integral needs a generalization of the Fubini theorem. We are grateful to I. Shragin who found the relevant source.

Lemma $5.9([3])$. Let $(X, \mathcal{A})$ and $(Y, \mathcal{B})$ be measurable spaces, let $\mu$ be a measure on $(X, \mathcal{A})$, and $K: X \times \mathcal{B} \rightarrow[0, \infty]$ a kernel (i.e. for $\mu$-a.a. $x \in X, K(x, \cdot)$ is a measure on $(Y, \mathcal{B})$, for all $B \in \mathcal{B}, K(\cdot, B)$ is $\mu$-measurable on $X)$. Then
(1) The function $\nu$ defined on $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}$ by the equality

$$
\nu(E)=\int_{X} K\left(x, E_{x}\right) \mu(\mathrm{d} x), \quad E_{x}=\{y:(x, y) \in E\}
$$

is a measure,
(2) if $f: X \times Y \rightarrow[-\infty, \infty]$ is $\nu$-integrable on $X \times Y$, then

$$
\int_{X \times Y} f(x, y) \mathrm{d} \nu=\int_{X}\left(\int_{Y} f(x, y) K(x, \mathrm{~d} y)\right) \mu(\mathrm{d} x) .
$$

Remark 5.2. The function $\nu$ is the Lebesgue expansion from the set of all rectangles

$$
\nu(A \times B)=\int_{A} K(x, B) \mu(\mathrm{d} x), \quad A \in \mathcal{A}, B \in \mathcal{B}
$$
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ where $S$ is a measurable space; we accept also the measure, instead of the weight

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ This is a well known assertion, see for example [6], Chapter 1, Lemma 2; it is also a simple fact in functional analysis.

