Santu DEY; Buddhadev Pal; Arindam BHATTACHARYYA Some Classes of Lorentzian α -Sasakian Manifolds Admitting a Quarter-symmetric Metric Connection

Acta Universitatis Palackianae Olomucensis. Facultas Rerum Naturalium. Mathematica, Vol. 55 (2016), No. 2, 41–55

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/146060

Terms of use:

© Palacký University Olomouc, Faculty of Science, 2016

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ*: *The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

Some Classes of Lorentzian α -Sasakian Manifolds Admitting a Quarter-symmetric Metric Connection

Santu DEY^{1a*}, Buddhadev PAL², Arindam BHATTACHARYYA^{1b}

¹Department of Mathematics, Jadavpur University, Kolkata-700032, India ^a e-mail: santu.mathju@gmail.com ^b e-mail: bhattachar1968@yahoo.co.in

²Department of Mathematics, Institute of Science, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh 221005, India. e-mail: pal.buddha@gmail.com

(Received August 5, 2016)

Abstract

The object of the present paper is to study a quarter-symmetric metric connection in an Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold. We study some curvature properties of an Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold with respect to the quarter-symmetric metric connection. We study locally ϕ -symmetric, ϕ -symmetric, locally projective ϕ -symmetric, ξ -projectively flat Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold with respect to the quarter-symmetric metric connection.

Key words: Quarter-symmetric metric connection, Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold, locally ϕ -symmetric manifold, locally projective ϕ -symmetric manifold, ξ -projectively flat Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 53C25, 53C15

1 Introduction

The idea of semi-symmetric linear connection on a differentiable manifold was introduced by Friedmann and Schouten ([4]). Further, Hayden ([6]), introduced

^{*}The first author is supported by DST/INSPIRE Fellowship/2013/1041, Govt. of India.

the idea of metric connection with torsion on a Riemannian manifold. In ([22]), Yano studied some curvature conditions for semi-symmetric connections in Riemannian manifolds. In 1975, Golab ([5]) defined and studied quarter-symmetric connection in a differentiable manifold.

A linear connection ∇ on an *n*-dimensional Riemannian manifold (M^n, g) is said to be a quarter-symmetric connection ([5]) if its torsion tensor \tilde{T} defined by

$$\tilde{T}(X,Y) = \tilde{\nabla}_X Y - \tilde{\nabla}_Y X - [X,Y], \qquad (1.1)$$

is of the form

$$\tilde{T}(X,Y) = \eta(Y)\phi X - \eta(X)\phi Y, \qquad (1.2)$$

where η is 1-form and ϕ is a tensor field of type (1, 1). In addition, if a quartersymmetric linear connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ satisfies the condition

$$(\nabla_X g)(Y, Z) = 0, \tag{1.3}$$

for all $X, Y, Z \in \chi(M)$, where $\chi(M)$ is the set of all differentiable vector fields on M, then $\tilde{\nabla}$ is said to be a quarter-symmetric metric connection. In particular, if $\phi X = X$ and $\phi Y = Y$ for all $X, Y \in \chi(M)$, then the quarter-symmetric connection reduces to a semi-symmetric connection [4].

In 1980, R. S. Mishra and S. N. Pandey ([15]) studied quarter-symmetric metric connection and in particular, Ricci quarter-symmetric symmetric symmetric metric connection on Riemannian, Sasakian and Kaehlerian manifolds. Note that a quarter-symmetric metric connection is a Hayden connection with the torsion tensor of the form (1.2). Studies of various types of quarter-symmetric metric connection and their properties by various authors in ([1, 17, 18, 23]) among others.

The notion of locally symmetry of Riemannian manifolds have been weakened by many authors in several ways to a different extent. As a weaker version of local symmetry, T. Takahashi ([20]) introduced the notion of locally ϕ -symmetry on Sasakian manifolds. In the context of contact geometry the notion of ϕ -symmetry is introduced and studied by E. Boeckx, P. Buecken and L. Vanhecke ([2]) with several examples.

In monograph [8] are presented many properties of symmetric, recurrent, semi-symmetric, Einstein, Sasakian and other manifolds, see also [3, 10, 7, 9, 11, 12, 14, 13, 19].

In 2005, Yildiz and Murathan ([24]) studied Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifolds and proved that conformally flat and quasi conformally flat Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifolds are locally isometric with a sphere. In 2012, Yadav and Suthar ([25]) studied Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifolds.

Definition 1.1. An Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold M^n is said to be locally ϕ -symmetric if

$$\phi^{2}((\nabla_{W}R)(X,Y)Z) = 0, \qquad (1.4)$$

for all vector fields X, Y, Z, W orthogonal to ξ . This notion was introduced by Takahashi for Sasakian manifolds ([20]).

Definition 1.2. An Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold M^n is said to be ϕ -symmetric if

$$\phi^2((\nabla_W R)(X, Y)Z) = 0, \tag{1.5}$$

for arbitrary vector fields X, Y, Z, W.

The Projective curvature tensor is an important tensor from the differential geometric point of view. Let M be a n-dimensional Riemannian manifold. If there exists a one-to-one correspondence between each co-ordinate neighbourhood of M and a domain in Euclidian space such that any geodesic of the Riemannian manifold corresponds to a straight line in the Euclidean space, then M is said to be locally projectively flat. For $n \geq 3$, M is locally projectively flat if and only if the projective curvature tensor vanishes. Here the projective curvature tensor P is defined by

$$P(X,Y)Z = R(X,Y)Z - \frac{1}{n-1}[S(Y,Z)X - S(X,Z)Y],$$
(1.6)

for $X, Y, Z \in \chi(M)$, where S is the Ricci tensor of the manifold. In fact M is projectively flat if and only if it is of constant curvature. Thus the projective curvature tensor is the measure of the failure of a Riemannian manifold to be of constant curvature.

Definition 1.3. An Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold M^n is said to be locally projective ϕ -symmetric if

$$\phi^2((\nabla_W P)(X, Y)Z) = 0, \tag{1.7}$$

for all vector fields X, Y, Z, W orthogonal to ξ , where P is the projective curvature tensor defined in (1.6).

Definition 1.4. A Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold M^n is said to be ξ projective flat if

$$P(X,Y)\xi = 0, (1.8)$$

for all vector fields $X, Y \in \chi(M)$, This notion was first defined by Tripathi and Dwivedi ([21]). If equation (1.8) holds for X, Y orthogonal to ξ , we called such a manifold a horizontal ξ -projectively flat manifold.

In the present paper, we study Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold with respect to quarter-symmetric metric connection. Motivated by the above studies in this paper we give the relation between the Levi-Civita connection and the quarter-symmetric metric connection on a Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold. We characterize locally ϕ -symmetric Lorentzian α -Sasakian with respect to quarter-symmetric metric connection. Then we study ϕ -symmetric Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifolds with respect to quarter-symmetric metric connection. We also study locally projective ϕ -symmetric Lorentzian α -Sasakian with respect to quarter-symmetric metric connection. Next we cultivate ξ -projectively flat Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold with respect to quarter-symmetric metric connection. Finally we give an example of 3-dimensional Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifolds with respect to quarter-symmetric metric con-

2 Preliminaries

A n(=2m+1)-dimensional differentiable manifold M is said to be a Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold if it admits a (1, 1) tensor field ϕ , a contravariant vector field ξ , a covariant vector field η and Lorentzian metric g which satisfy the following conditions

$$\phi^2 X = X + \eta(X)\xi, \tag{2.1}$$

$$\eta(\xi) = -1, \phi\xi = 0, \eta(\phi X) = 0, \tag{2.2}$$

$$g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) + \eta(X)\eta(Y), \qquad (2.3)$$

$$g(X,\xi) = \eta(X), \tag{2.4}$$

$$(\nabla_X \phi)(Y) = \alpha g(X, Y)\xi + \eta(Y)X \tag{2.5}$$

 $\forall X, Y \in \chi(M)$ and for smooth functions α on M, ∇ denotes the covariant differentiation with respect to Lorentzian metric g ([16, 26]).

For a Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold, it can be shown that ([16], [26]):

$$\nabla_X \xi = \alpha \phi X, \tag{2.6}$$

$$(\nabla_X \eta)(Y) = \alpha g(\phi X, Y), \qquad (2.7)$$

for all $X, Y \in TM$. Further on a Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold, the following relations hold ([16])

$$g(R(X,Y)Z,\xi) = \eta(R(X,Y)Z) = \alpha^2 [g(Y,Z)\eta(X) - g(X,Z)\eta(Y)], \quad (2.8)$$

$$R(\xi, X)Y = \alpha^2 [g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X], \qquad (2.9)$$

$$R(X,Y)\xi = \alpha^2[\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y], \qquad (2.10)$$

$$R(\xi, X)\xi = \alpha^2 [X + \eta(X)\xi], \qquad (2.11)$$

$$S(X,\xi) = S(\xi,X) = (n-1)\alpha^2 \eta(X),$$
(2.12)

$$S(\xi,\xi) = -(n-1)\alpha^2,$$
 (2.13)

$$Q\xi = (n-1)\alpha^2\xi, \qquad (2.14)$$

where Q is the Ricci operator, i.e.

$$g(QX, Y) = S(X, Y).$$
 (2.15)

If ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection manifold M, then quarter-symmetric metric connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ in M is denoted by

$$\hat{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + \eta(Y)\phi(X). \tag{2.16}$$

3 Curvature tensor and Ricci tensor of Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold with respect to quarter-symmetric metric connection

Let $\hat{R}(X,Y)Z$ and R(X,Y)Z be the curvature tensors with respect to the quarter-symmetric metric connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ and with respect to the Riemannian

connection ∇ respectively on a Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold M. A relation between the curvature tensors $\tilde{R}(X,Y)Z$ and R(X,Y)Z on M is given by

$$\hat{R}(X,Y)Z = R(X,Y)Z + \alpha[g(\phi X,Z)\phi Y - g(\phi Y,Z)\phi X] + \alpha\eta(Z)[\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y].$$
(3.1)

Also from (3.1), we obtain

$$\tilde{S}(X,Y) = S(X,Y) + \alpha[g(X,Y) + n\eta(X)\eta(Y)], \qquad (3.2)$$

where \tilde{S} and S are the Ricci tensor with respect to $\tilde{\nabla}$ and ∇ respectively. Contracting (3.2), we obtain,

$$\tilde{r} = r, \tag{3.3}$$

where \tilde{r} and r are the scalar curvature tensor with respect to $\tilde{\nabla}$ and ∇ respectively.

Also we have

$$\dot{R}(\xi, X)Y = -\dot{R}(X, \xi)Y = \alpha^2[g(X, Y))\xi - \eta(Y)X] + \alpha\eta(Y)[X + \eta(X)\xi], \quad (3.4)$$

$$\eta(R(X,Y)Z) = \alpha^2 [g(Y,Z)\eta(X) - g(X,Z)\eta(Y)],$$
(3.5)

$$\tilde{R}(X,Y)\xi = (\alpha^2 - \alpha)[\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y], \qquad (3.6)$$

$$\tilde{S}(X,\xi) = \tilde{S}(\xi,X) = (n-1)(\alpha^2 - \alpha)\eta(X), \qquad (3.7)$$

$$\tilde{S}(\xi,\xi) = -(n-1)(\alpha^2 - \alpha),$$
(3.8)

$$\tilde{Q}X = QX - \alpha(n-1)X, \tag{3.9}$$

$$\tilde{Q}\xi = (n-1)(\alpha^2 - \alpha)\xi \tag{3.10}$$

$$\tilde{R}(\xi, X)\xi = (\alpha^2 - \alpha)[X + \eta(X)\xi], \qquad (3.11)$$

4 Locally ϕ -symmetric Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold with respect to the quarter-symmetric metric connection

A Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold M^n is said to be locally ϕ -symmetric with respect to the quarter-symmetric metric connection if

$$\phi^2((\tilde{\nabla}_W \tilde{R})(X, Y)Z) = 0, \qquad (4.1)$$

for all vector fields X, Y, Z, W orthogonal to ξ .

From the equation (2.16) and (3.1), we have

$$(\nabla_W \hat{R})(X, Y)Z = (\nabla_W \hat{R})(X, Y)Z + \eta(\hat{R}(X, Y)Z)\phi W.$$
(4.2)

Now differentiating equation (3.1) covariantly with respect to W, we get

$$(\nabla_W \hat{R})(X, Y)Z = (\nabla_W R)(X, Y)Z + \alpha[g((\nabla_W \phi)(X), Z)\phi Y + g(\phi X, Z)(\nabla_W \phi)(Y) - g((\nabla_W \phi)(Y), Z)\phi X - g(\phi Y, Z)(\nabla_W \phi)(X)] + \alpha(\nabla_W \eta)(Z)[\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y] + \alpha\eta(Z)[(\nabla_W \eta)(Y)X - (\nabla_W \eta)(X)Y].$$
(4.3)

In view of the equation (2.5) and (2.7), the above equation becomes

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_W \hat{R})(X,Y)Z &= (\nabla_W R)(X,Y)Z + \alpha^2 g(W,X)\eta(Z)\phi Y \\ &+ \alpha^2 g(W,Z)\eta(X)\phi Y + \alpha^2 g(\phi X,Z)[g(W,Y)\xi \\ &+ \eta(Y)W] - \alpha^2 g(W,Y)\eta(Z)\phi X \\ &- \alpha^2 g(W,Z)\eta(Y)\phi X - \alpha^2 g(\phi Y,Z)[g(W,X)\xi \\ &+ \eta(X)W] + \alpha^2 g(\phi W,Z)[\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y] \\ &+ \alpha^2 \eta(Z). \end{aligned}$$
(4.4)

Now using the equation (3.5), (2.2) and (4.4) in (4.2), we have

$$\begin{split} \phi^{2}((\tilde{\nabla}_{W}\tilde{R})(X,Y)Z) &= \phi^{2}((\nabla_{W}R)(X,Y)Z) + \alpha^{2}g(W,X)\eta(Z)\phi^{2}(\phi Y) \\ &+ \alpha^{2}g(W,Z)\eta(X)\phi^{2}(\phi Y) + \alpha^{2}g(\phi X,Z)\eta(Y)\phi^{2}W \\ &- \alpha^{2}g(W,X)\eta(Z)\phi^{2}(\phi X) - \alpha^{2}g(W,Z)\eta(Y)\phi^{2}(\phi X) \\ &- \alpha^{2}g(\phi Y,Z)\eta(X)\phi^{2}W + \alpha^{2}g(\phi W,Z)\eta(Y)\phi^{2}X \\ &- \alpha^{2}g(\phi W,Z)\eta(X)\phi^{2}Y + \alpha^{2}\eta(Z)[g(\phi W,Y)\phi^{2}X \\ &- g(\phi W,X)\phi^{2}Y] + \alpha^{2}[g(Y,Z)\eta(X) \\ &- g(X,Z)\eta(Y)]\phi^{2}(\phi W). \end{split}$$
(4.5)

Consider X, Y, Z and W are orthogonal to ξ , then equation (4.5) yields

$$\phi^{2}((\nabla_{W}R)(X,Y)Z) = \phi^{2}((\nabla_{W}R)(X,Y)Z).$$
(4.6)

Hence we can state the following

Theorem 4.1. In a Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold, the quarter-symmetric metric connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ is locally ϕ -symmetric iff the Levi-Civita connection ∇ is also locally ϕ -symmetric.

5 ϕ -symmetric Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold with respect to the quarter-symmetric metric connection

A Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold M^n is said to be ϕ -symmetric with respect to the quarter-symmetric metric connection if

$$\phi^2((\tilde{\nabla}_W \tilde{R})(X, Y)Z) = 0, \qquad (5.1)$$

for arbitrary vector fields X, Y, Z, W.

Let us consider a ϕ -symmetric Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifolds with respect to quarter-symmetric metric connection. Then by virtue of (2.1) and (5.1) we have

$$((\tilde{\nabla}_W \tilde{R})(X, Y)Z) + \eta((\tilde{\nabla}_W \tilde{R})(X, Y)Z)\xi = 0$$
(5.2)

from which it follows that

$$g((\nabla_W \hat{R})(X, Y)Z, U) + \eta((\nabla_W \hat{R})(X, Y)Z)g(\xi, U) = 0$$
(5.3)

Let e_i , i = 1, 2, ..., n be an orthonormal basis of the tangent space at any point of the manifold. Then putting $X = U = e_i$ in (5.3) and taking summation over $i, 1 \le i \le n$, we have

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_W \tilde{S})(Y, Z) + \sum_{i=1}^n \eta((\tilde{\nabla}_W \tilde{R})(e_i, Y)Z)\eta(e_i) = 0$$
(5.4)

The second term of (5.4) by putting $Z = \xi$ takes the form

$$\eta((\tilde{\nabla}_W \tilde{R})(e_i, Y)\xi)\eta(e_i) = g((\tilde{\nabla}_W \tilde{R})(e_i, Y)\xi,\xi)g(e_i,\xi),$$
(5.5)

By using (2.16) and (4.2), we can write

$$g((\tilde{\nabla}_W \tilde{R})(e_i, Y)\xi, \xi) = g((\nabla_W \tilde{R})(e_i, Y)\xi, \xi) + \eta(\tilde{R}(e_i, Y)\xi)\phi W$$
(5.6)

After some calculations, from (5.6) we have

$$g((\tilde{\nabla}_W \tilde{R})(e_i, Y)\xi, \xi) = g((\nabla_W \tilde{R})(e_i, Y)\xi, \xi).$$
(5.7)

In Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold, we have

$$g((\nabla_W \tilde{R})(e_i, Y)\xi, \xi) = 0.$$

So from (5.7) we get

$$g((\tilde{\nabla}_W \tilde{R})(e_i, Y)\xi, \xi) = 0$$
(5.8)

By replacing $Z = \xi$ in (5.4) and using (5.8), we get

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_W \tilde{S})(Y,\xi) = 0 \tag{5.9}$$

we know that

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_W \tilde{S})(Y,\xi) = \tilde{\nabla}_W \tilde{S}(Y,\xi) - \tilde{S}(\tilde{\nabla}_W Y,\xi) - \tilde{S}(Y,\tilde{\nabla}_W \xi).$$
(5.10)

Now using (2.6), (2.12), (2.16) and (3.7), we obtain

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_W \tilde{S})(Y,\xi) = (n-1)(\alpha^2 - \alpha)\alpha g(Y,\phi W) - (\alpha - 1)[S(Y,\phi W) + \alpha g(Y,\phi W)]$$
(5.11)

Applying (5.11) in (5.9), we obtain

$$S(Y, \phi W) = g(Y, \phi W)[(n-1)\alpha^2 - \alpha]$$
 (5.12)

Replacing W by ϕW we get

$$S(Y,W) = g(Y,W)[(n-1)\alpha^{2} - \alpha] - \alpha \eta(Y)\eta(W),$$
 (5.13)

Contracting (5.13), we get

$$r = (n-1)\alpha[n\alpha - 1] \tag{5.14}$$

This leads to the following theorem

Theorem 5.1. Let M be a ϕ -symmetric Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold with respect to quarter-symmetric metric connection $\tilde{\nabla}$. Then the manifold has a scalar curvature $r = (n-1)\alpha[n\alpha-1]$ with respect to Levi-Civita connection ∇ of M.

6 Locally Projective ϕ -symmetric Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold with respect to the quarter-symmetric metric connection

A Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold M^n is said to be locally projective ϕ -symmetric with respect to the quarter-symmetric metric connection if

$$\phi^2((\tilde{\nabla}_W \tilde{P})(X, Y)Z) = 0, \tag{6.1}$$

for all vector fields X, Y, Z, W orthogonal to ξ , where \tilde{P} is the projective curvature tensor defined as follows:

$$\tilde{P}(X,Y)Z = \tilde{R}(X,Y)Z - \frac{1}{n-1}[\tilde{S}(Y,Z)X - \tilde{S}(X,Z)Y],$$
(6.2)

where \tilde{R} and \tilde{S} are the Riemannian curvature tensor and Ricci tensor with respect to quarter-symmetric metric connection $\tilde{\nabla}$.

Using equation (2.16), we can write

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_W \tilde{P})(X, Y)Z = (\nabla_W \tilde{P})(X, Y)Z + \eta(\tilde{P}(X, Y)Z)\phi W,$$
(6.3)

Now differentiating equation (6.2) with respect to W, we get

$$(\nabla_W \tilde{P})(X, Y)Z = (\nabla_W \tilde{R})(X, Y)Z - \frac{1}{n-1} [(\nabla_W \tilde{S})(Y, Z)X - (\nabla_W \tilde{S})(X, Z)Y].$$
(6.4)

In view of equations (4.4) and (3.2) above equation reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_W \tilde{P})(X,Y)Z &= (\nabla_W R)(X,Y)Z + \alpha^2 g(W,X)\eta(Z)\phi Y \\ &+ \alpha^2 g(W,Z)\eta(X)\phi Y + \alpha^2 g(\phi X,Z)[g(W,Y)\xi \\ &+ \eta(Y)W] - \alpha^2 g(W,Y)\eta(Z)\phi X \\ &- \alpha^2 g(W,Z)\eta(Y)\phi X - \alpha^2 g(\phi Y,Z)[g(W,X)\xi \\ &+ \eta(X)W] + \alpha^2 g(\phi W,Z)[\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y] \\ &+ \alpha^2 \eta(Z) - \frac{1}{n-1}[(\nabla_W S)(Y,Z)X - (\nabla_W S)(X,Z)Y \\ &+ \alpha^2 n\{g(\phi W,Y)\eta(Z)X + g(\phi W,Z)\eta(Y)X\} \\ &- \alpha^2 n\{g(\phi W,X)\eta(Z)Y + \phi W,Z)\eta(X)Y\}], \end{aligned}$$
(6.5)

which on using equation (6.2) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_{W}\tilde{P})(X,Y)Z &= (\nabla_{W}P)(X,Y)Z + \alpha^{2}g(W,X)\eta(Z)\phi Y \\ &+ \alpha^{2}g(W,Z)\eta(X)\phi Y + \alpha^{2}g(\phi X,Z)[g(W,Y)\xi \\ &+ \eta(Y)W] - \alpha^{2}g(W,Y)\eta(Z)\phi X \\ &- \alpha^{2}g(W,Z)\eta(Y)\phi X - \alpha^{2}g(\phi Y,Z)[g(W,X)\xi \\ &+ \eta(X)W] + \alpha^{2}g(\phi W,Z)[\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y] \\ &+ \alpha^{2}\eta(Z) - \frac{\alpha^{2}n}{n-1}[\{g(\phi W,Y)\eta(Z)X \\ &+ g(\phi W,Z)\eta(Y)X\} - \{g(\phi W,X)\eta(Z)Y + \phi W,Z)\eta(X)Y\}]. \end{aligned}$$
(6.6)

Now using (3.5) on (6.2), we have

$$\eta(\tilde{P}(X,Y)Z) = \alpha^{2}[g(Y,Z)\eta(X) - g(X,Z)\eta(Y)] - \frac{1}{n-1}[\tilde{S}(Y,Z)\eta(X) - \tilde{S}(X,Z)\eta(Y)].$$
(6.7)

Applying the equations (2.2), (6.6) and (6.7) in (6.3), we get

$$\begin{split} \phi^{2}((\tilde{\nabla}_{W}\tilde{P})(X,Y)Z) &= \phi^{2}((\nabla_{W}P)(X,Y)Z) + \alpha^{2}g(W,X)\eta(Z)\phi^{2}(\phi Y) \\ &+ \alpha^{2}g(W,Z)\eta(X)\phi^{2}(\phi Y) + \alpha^{2}g(\phi X,Z)\eta(Y)\phi^{2}W \\ &- \alpha^{2}g(W,X)\eta(Z)\phi^{2}(\phi X) - \alpha^{2}g(W,Z)\eta(Y)\phi^{2}(\phi X) \\ &- \alpha^{2}g(\phi Y,Z)\eta(X)\phi^{2}Y + \alpha^{2}g(\phi W,Z)\eta(Y)\phi^{2}X \\ &- \alpha^{2}g(\phi W,Z)\eta(X)\phi^{2}Y + \alpha^{2}\eta(Z)[g(\phi W,Y)\phi^{2}X \\ &- g(\phi W,X)\phi^{2}Y] + \alpha^{2}[g(Y,Z)\eta(X) \\ &- g(X,Z)\eta(Y)]\phi^{2}(\phi W) - \frac{1}{n-1}[\tilde{S}(Y,Z)\eta(X) \\ &- \tilde{S}(X,Z)\eta(Y)]\phi^{2}(\phi W) - \frac{\alpha^{2}n}{n-1}[\{g(\phi W,Y)\eta(Z)\phi^{2}X \\ &+ g(\phi W,Z)\eta(Y)\phi^{2}X\} - \{g(\phi W,X)\eta(Z)\phi^{2}Y \\ &+ \phi W,Z)\eta(X)\phi^{2}Y\}]. \end{split}$$
(6.8)

By assuming X, Y, Z, W orthogonal to ξ , above equation reduces to

$$\phi^2((\tilde{\nabla}_W \tilde{P})(X, Y)Z) = \phi^2((\nabla_W P)(X, Y)Z).$$
(6.9)

Hence we can state as follows:

Theorem 6.1. A n-dimensional Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold is locally projective ϕ -symmetric with respect to quarter-symmetric metric connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ if and only if it is locally projective ϕ -symmetric with respect to the Levi-Civita connection ∇ . Again using the equations (2.2), (6.5) and (6.7) in (6.3), we get

$$\begin{split} \phi^{2}((\tilde{\nabla}_{W}\tilde{P})(X,Y)Z) &= \phi^{2}((\nabla_{W}R)(X,Y)Z) + \alpha^{2}g(W,X)\eta(Z)\phi^{2}(\phi Y) \\ &+ \alpha^{2}g(W,Z)\eta(X)\phi^{2}(\phi Y) + \alpha^{2}g(\phi X,Z)\eta(Y)\phi^{2}W \\ &- \alpha^{2}g(W,X)\eta(Z)\phi^{2}(\phi X) - \alpha^{2}g(W,Z)\eta(Y)\phi^{2}(\phi X) \\ &- \alpha^{2}g(\phi Y,Z)\eta(X)\phi^{2}Y + \alpha^{2}g(\phi W,Z)\eta(Y)\phi^{2}X \\ &- \alpha^{2}g(\phi W,Z)\eta(X)\phi^{2}Y + \alpha^{2}\eta(Z)[g(\phi W,Y)\phi^{2}X \\ &- g(\phi W,X)\phi^{2}Y] + \alpha^{2}[g(Y,Z)\eta(X) \\ &- g(X,Z)\eta(Y)]\phi^{2}(\phi W) - \frac{1}{n-1}[\tilde{S}(Y,Z)\eta(X) \\ &- \tilde{S}(X,Z)\eta(Y)]\phi^{2}(\phi W) - \frac{\alpha^{2}n}{n-1}[\{g(\phi W,Y)\eta(Z)\phi^{2}X \\ &+ g(\phi W,Z)\eta(Y)\phi^{2}X\} - \{g(\phi W,X)\eta(Z)\phi^{2}Y \\ &+ \phi W,Z)\eta(X)\phi^{2}Y\}] - \frac{1}{n-1}[(\nabla_{W}S)(Y,Z)\phi^{2}X \\ &- (\nabla_{W}S)(X,Z)\phi^{2}Y]. \end{split}$$
(6.10)

Taking X, Y, Z, W orthogonal to ξ in equation (6.10), we obtain by some calculation

$$\phi^2((\tilde{\nabla}_W \tilde{P})(X, Y)Z) = \phi^2((\nabla_W R)(X, Y)Z).$$
(6.11)

Hence we can state as follows:

Theorem 6.2. An n-dimensional Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold is locally projective ϕ -symmetric with respect to quarter-symmetric metric connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ if and only if it is locally ϕ -symmetric with respect to the Levi-Civita connection ∇ .

7 ξ -projectively flat Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold with respect to the quarter-symmetric metric connection

A Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold M^n with respect to the quarter-symmetric metric connection is said to be ξ projective flat if

$$\tilde{P}(X,Y)\xi = 0,\tag{7.1}$$

for all vector fields $X, Y \in \chi(M)$. This notion was first defined by Tripathi and Dwivedi ([21]). If equation (7.1) holds for X, Y orthogonal to ξ , we called such a manifold a horizontal ξ -projectively flat manifold.

Using (3.1) in (6.2), we get

$$\tilde{P}(X,Y)Z = R(X,Y)Z + \alpha[g(\phi X,Z)\phi Y - g(\phi Y,Z)\phi X] + \alpha\eta(Z)[\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y] - \frac{1}{n-1}[\tilde{S}(Y,Z)X - \tilde{S}(X,Z)Y].$$
(7.2)

Putting $Z = \xi$ and using (2.2), (2.10) and (3.7) in (7.2), we get

$$P(X,Y)\xi = 0. \tag{7.3}$$

Hence we state the following theorem:

Theorem 7.1. A *n*-dimensional Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold is ξ -projectively flat with respect to the quarter-symmetric metric connection.

Now using (3.2) in (7.2), we have

$$\tilde{P}(X,Y)Z = R(X,Y)Z
+ \alpha[g(\phi X,Z)\phi Y - g(\phi Y,Z)\phi X] + \alpha\eta(Z)[\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y]
- \frac{1}{n-1}[S(Y,Z)X + \alpha X\{g(Y,Z) + n\eta(Y)\eta(Z)\}
- S(X,Z)Y - \alpha Y\{g(X,Z) + n\eta(X)\eta(Z)\}] (7.4)$$

In view of (1.6), the above equation becomes

$$\tilde{P}(X,Y)Z = P(X,Y)Z + \alpha[g(\phi X,Z)\phi Y - g(\phi Y,Z)\phi X] + \alpha\eta(Z)[\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y] - \frac{1}{n-1}[\alpha X\{g(Y,Z) + n\eta(Y)\eta(Z)\} - \alpha Y\{g(X,Z) + n\eta(X)\eta(Z)\}], \quad (7.5)$$

where P be the projective curvature tensor with respect to the Levi-Civita connection.

Putting $Z = \xi$ in (7.5) and using (2.2), it follows that

$$\tilde{P}(X,Y)\xi = P(X,Y)\xi - \alpha[\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y] - \frac{1}{n-1}[\alpha X\eta(Y) - n\alpha X\eta(Y) - \alpha Y\eta(X) + n\alpha Y\eta(X)].$$
(7.6)

It implies that

$$\tilde{P}(X,Y)\xi = P(X,Y)\xi; \tag{7.7}$$

 $\forall X, Y \text{ orthogonal to } \xi.$

In view of above discussions we can state the following theorem:

Theorem 7.2. A n-dimensional Lorentzian ξ -Sasakian manifold is horizontal ξ -projectively flat with respect to the semi-symmetric metric connection if and only if the manifold is ξ -projectively flat with respect to the Levi-Civita connection.

8 Example of 3-dimensional Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold with respect to quarter-symmetric metric connection

We consider a 3-dimensional manifold $M = \{(x, y, u) \in \mathbb{R}^3\}$, where (x, y, u) are the standard coordinates of \mathbb{R}^3 . Let e_1, e_2, e_3 be the vector fields on M^3 given by

$$e_1 = e^u \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \quad e_2 = e^u \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \quad e_3 = e^u \frac{\partial}{\partial u}.$$

Clearly, $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ is a set of linearly independent vectors for each point of M and hence a basis of $\chi(M)$. The Lorentzian metric g is defined by

$$g(e_1, e_2) = g(e_2, e_3) = g(e_1, e_3) = 0,$$

$$g(e_1, e_1) = 1, \quad g(e_2, e_2) = 1, \quad g(e_3, e_3) = -1$$

Let η be the 1-form defined by $\eta(Z) = g(Z, e_3)$ for any $Z \in \chi(M)$ and the (1, 1) tensor field ϕ is defined by

$$\phi e_1 = -e_1, \quad \phi e_2 = -e_2, \quad \phi e_3 = 0.$$

From the linearity of ϕ and g, we have

$$\eta(e_3) = -1, \qquad \phi^2 X = X + \eta(X)e_3$$

and

$$g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) + \eta(X)\eta(Y)$$

for any $X \in \chi(M)$. Then for $e_3 = \xi$, the structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) defines a Lorentzian paracontact structure on M.

Let ∇ be the Levi-Civita connection with respect to the Lorentzian metric g. Then we have

$$[e_1, e_2] = 0, \quad [e_1, e_3] = e_1 e^{-u}, \quad [e_2, e_3] = e_2 e^{-u}.$$

Koszul's formula is defined by

$$2g(\nabla_X Y, Z) = Xg(Y, Z) + Yg(Z, X) - Zg(X, Y) - g(X, [Y, Z]) - g(Y, [X, Z]) + g(Z, [X, Y]).$$

Then from above formula we can calculate the followings:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{e_1} e_1 &= -e_3 e^u, \quad \nabla_{e_1} e_2 &= 0, \quad \nabla_{e_1} e_3 &= -e_1 e^u, \\ \nabla_{e_2} e_1 &= 0, \quad \nabla_{e_2} e_2 &= -e_3 e^u, \quad \nabla_{e_2} e_3 &= -e_2 e^u, \\ \nabla_{e_3} e_1 &= 0, \quad \nabla_{e_3} e_2 &= 0, \quad \nabla_{e_3} e_3 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

From the above calculations, we see that the manifold under consideration satisfies $\eta(\xi) = -1$ and $\nabla_X \xi = \alpha \phi X$ for $\alpha = e^u$.

Hence the structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) is a Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold.

Using (2.16), we find $\tilde{\nabla}$, the quarter-symmetric metric connection on M following:

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\nabla}_{e_1} e_1 &= -e_3 e^u, \quad \tilde{\nabla}_{e_1} e_2 = 0, \quad \tilde{\nabla}_{e_1} e_3 = e_1 (1 - e^u), \\ \tilde{\nabla}_{e_2} e_1 &= 0, \quad \tilde{\nabla}_{e_2} e_2 = -e_3 e^u, \quad \tilde{\nabla}_{e_2} e_3 = e_2 (1 - e^u), \\ \tilde{\nabla}_{e_3} e_1 &= 0, \quad \tilde{\nabla}_{e_3} e_2 = 0, \quad \tilde{\nabla}_{e_3} e_3 = 0. \end{split}$$

Using (1.2), the torson tensor T, with respect to quarter-symmetric metric connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ as follows:

$$\tilde{T}(e_i, e_i) = 0, \quad \forall i = 1, 2, 3,$$

 $\tilde{T}(e_1, e_2) = 0, \quad \tilde{T}(e_1, e_3) = e_1, \quad \tilde{T}(e_2, e_3) = e_2.$

Also,

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_1}g)(e_2, e_3) = 0, \quad (\tilde{\nabla}_{e_2}g)(e_3, e_1) = 0, \quad (\tilde{\nabla}_{e_3}g)(e_1, e_2) = 0.$$

Thus M is Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold with quarter-symmetric metric connection $\tilde{\nabla}$.

By using the above results, we can easily obtain the components of the curvature tensor as follows:

$$\begin{split} R(e_1, e_3)e_3 &= -e_1\alpha^2, \quad R(e_2, e_1)e_1 = e_2\alpha^2, \quad R(e_2, e_3)e_3 = -e_2\alpha^2, \\ R(e_3, e_1)e_1 &= e_3\alpha^2, \quad R(e_3, e_2)e_2 = e_3\alpha^2, \quad R(e_1, e_2)e_3 = 0, \\ R(e_2, e_3)e_2 &= -e_3\alpha^2, \quad R(e_1, e_2)e_2 = e_1\alpha^2 \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} \tilde{R}(e_1, e_3)e_3 &= e_1(\alpha - \alpha^2), \quad \tilde{R}(e_2, e_1)e_1 = e_2(\alpha^2 - \alpha), \\ \tilde{R}(e_2, e_3)e_3 &= e_2(\alpha - \alpha^2), \quad \tilde{R}(e_3, e_1)e_1 = e_3\alpha^2, \\ \tilde{R}(e_3, e_2)e_2 &= e_3\alpha^2, \quad \tilde{R}(e_1, e_2)e_3 = 0, \quad \tilde{R}(e_2, e_3)e_2 = -e_3\alpha^2, \\ \tilde{R}(e_1, e_2)e_2 &= e_1(\alpha^2 - \alpha). \end{split}$$

Using the expressions of the curvature tensors, we find the values of the Ricci tensors as follows:

$$S(e_1, e_1) = 0, \quad S(e_2, e_2) = 0, \quad S(e_3, e_3) = -2\alpha^2,$$

$$S(e_1, e_2) = 0, \quad S(e_2, e_3) = 0, \quad S(e_1, e_3) = 0$$

and

$$\begin{split} \tilde{S}(e_1, e_1) &= \alpha, \quad \tilde{S}(e_2, e_2) = \alpha, \quad \tilde{S}(e_3, e_3) = 2(\alpha - \alpha^2), \\ \tilde{S}(e_1, e_2) &= 0, \quad \tilde{S}(e_2, e_3) = 0, \quad \tilde{S}(e_1, e_3) = 0. \end{split}$$

By the above expressions and using the definition of Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold, one can easily see that Theorems 4.1, 6.1 and 6.2 are verified below:

~

$$\phi^{2}((\nabla_{W}R)(X,Y)Z) = \phi^{2}((\nabla_{W}R)(X,Y)Z),$$

$$\phi^{2}((\tilde{\nabla}_{W}\tilde{P})(X,Y)Z) = \phi^{2}((\nabla_{W}P)(X,Y)Z),$$

$$\phi^{2}((\tilde{\nabla}_{W}\tilde{P})(X,Y)Z) = \phi^{2}((\nabla_{W}R)(X,Y)Z).$$

Let X and Y are any two vector fields given by $X = a_1e_1 + a_2e_2 + a_3e_3$ and $Y = b_1e_1 + b_2e_2 + b_3e_3$.

Using (6.2) and above relations, we get

$$\tilde{P}(X,Y)\xi = 0,$$

which verifies the Theorem 7.1.

Acknowledgement. The authors wish to express their sincere thanks and gratitude to the referee for valuable suggestions towards the improvement of the paper.

References

- Bagewadi, C. S., Prakasha, D. G., Venkatesha, A.: A Study of Ricci quarter-symmetric metric connection on a Riemannian manifold. Indian J. Math. 50, 3 (2008), 607–615.
- [2] Boeckx, E., Buecken, P., Vanhecke, L.: φ-symmetric contact metric spaces. Glasgow Math. J. 41 (1999), 409–416.
- [3] Formella, S., Mikeš, J.: Geodesic mappings of Einstein spaces. Ann. Sci. Stetinenses 9 (1994), 31–40.
- [4] Friedmann, A., Schouten, J. A.: Uber die Geometrie der halbsymmetrischen Ubertragung. Math. Zeitschr 21 (1924), 211–223.
- [5] Golab, S.: On semi-symmetric and quarter-symmetric linear connections: Tensor, N. S. 29 (1975), 249–254.
- [6] Hayden, H. A.: Subspaces of a space with torsion. Proc. London Math. Soc. 34 (1932), 27–50.
- [7] Hinterleitner, I., Mikeš, J.: Geodesic mappings and Einstein spaces. In: Geometric Methods in Physics, Trends in Mathematics, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2013, 331–335.
- [8] Mikeš, J. et al.: Differential Geometry of Special Mappings. Palacky Univ. Press, Olomouc, 2015.
- [9] Mikeš, J.: Geodesic mappings of affine-connected and Riemannian spaces. J. Math. Sci. 78, 3 (1996), 311–333.
- [10] Mikeš, J.: Holomorphically projective mappings and their generalizations. J. Math. Sci. 89, 3 (1998), 1334–1353.
- [11] Mikeš, J., Vanžurová, A., Hinterleitner, I.: Geodesic Mappings and Some Generalizations. Palacky Univ. Press, Olomouc, 2009.
- [12] Mikeš, J., Starko, G. A.: On hyperbolically Sasakian and equidistant hyperbolically Kählerian spaces. Ukr. Geom. Sb. 32 (1989), 92–98.
- [13] Mikeš, J.: Equidistant Kähler spaces. Math. Notes 38 (1985), 855–858.
- [14] Mikeš, J.: On Sasaki spaces and equidistant Kähler spaces. Sov. Math., Dokl. 34 (1987), 428–431.
- [15] Mishra, R. S., Pandey, S. N.: On quarter-symmetric metric F-connection. Tensor, N. S. 34 (1980), 1–7.
- [16] Prakashs, D. G., Bagewadi, C. S., Basavarajappa, N. S.: On pseudosymmetric Lorentzian α-Sasakian manifolds. IJPAM 48, 1 (2008), 57–65.
- [17] Rastogi, S. C.: On quarter-symmetric connection. C. R. Acad. Sci. Bulgar 31 (1978), 811–814.
- [18] Rastogi, S. C.: On quarter-symmetric metric connection. Tensor 44 (1987), 133–141.
- [19] Sinyukov, N. S.: Geodesic mappings of Riemannian spaces. Nauka, Moscow, 1979.
- [20] Takahashi, T.: Sasakian ϕ -symmetric spaces. Tohoku Math. J. 29 (1977), 91–113.

- [21] Tripathi, M. M., Dwivedi, M. K.: The structure of some classes of K-contact manifolds. Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci. 118 (2008), 371–379.
- [22] Yano, K.: On semi-symmetric metric connections. Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. 15 (1970), 1579–1586.
- [23] Yano, K., Imai, T.: Quarter-symmetric metric connections and their curvature tensors. Tensor, N. S. 38 (1982), 13–18.
- [24] Yildiz, A., Murathan, C.: On Lorentzian α-Sasakian manifolds. Kyungpook Math. J. 45 (2005), 95–103.
- [25] Yadav, S., Suthar, D. L.: Certain derivation on Lorentzian α-Sasakian manifolds. Mathematics and Decision Science 12, 2 (2012), 1–6.
- [26] Yildiz, A., Turan, M., Acet, B. F.: On three dimensional Lorentzian α-Sasakian manifolds. Bulletin of Mathematical Analysis and Applications 1, 3 (2009), 90–98.