William G. Fleissner Box products of Baire spaces

In: Josef Novák (ed.): General topology and its relations to modern analysis and algebra IV, Proceedings of the fourth Prague topological symposium, 1976, Part B: Contributed Papers. Society of Czechoslovak Mathematicians and Physicist, Praha, 1977. pp. 125--126.

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/700685

Terms of use:

© Society of Czechoslovak Mathematicians and Physicist, 1977

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

BOX PRODUCTS OF BAIRE SPACES

William G.Fleissner Institute of Mathematics and Medicine Ohio University, Athens, Ohio

The author proposes that the study of box products of Baire spaces accompany the study of products of Baire spaces. Certain classical results carry over without change. For example:

Theorem 1. The box product of complete spaces is Baire.

The stationary set techniques of [FK] extend to box products. For example:

<u>Theorem 2</u>. For every regular cardinal \mathcal{K} there is a space X such that the box product of less than \mathcal{K} copies of X is Baire, while the box product of \mathcal{K} copies of X is not Baire.

Theorem 2 gives a family of spaces whose usual product is Baire but whose box product is not Baire.

<u>Question 1</u>. If the box product of a family of spaces is Baire, is the usual product Baire?

The techniques of Oxtoby [0] do not seem to extend to box products.

<u>Question 2</u>. Is the box product of second countable Baire spaces Baire?

In particular, let $\Im = \{T_d: d < c\}$ be a family of disjoint sets with each T_d meeting every perfect subset of the Cantor set.

The question of whether the box product of \Im is Baire is related to the following game. Two players, I and II, alternately choose a family $\{B_{d}^{i}: d < c\}$ of nonempty basic open sets of the Cantor set with $B_{d}^{i+1} \subset B_{d}^{i}$. Player II wins if for all distinct $d, \beta < c$, $\bigcap \{B_{d}^{i}: i \in \omega\} \land \bigcap \{B_{\beta}^{i}: i \in \omega\} = \emptyset$. Question 3. Does player II have a winning strategy? Is the game determined?

Assuming that every uncountable subset of the Cantor set has a perfect subset, player I has a winning strategy. But then the family \Im does not exist. So Question 3 is most interesting when the Axiom of Choice is valid.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [FK] W Fleissner, K Kunen, Barely Baire Spaces, to appear Fund. Math. 1978.
- [0] J Oxtoby, Cartesian Products of Baire Spaces, Fund. Math.
 49 (1961) pp. 157-166.