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# ON HILBERT-SCHMIDT SPACES 

Hans Jarchow

## INTRODUCTION


#### Abstract

In this note, we are going to consider Banach spaces ("B-spaces") $X$ which are characterized by the property that every operator on a Hilbert space which factors through $x$ is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator ("HS-operator"). We propose to call such spaces Hilbert-Schmidt spaces, or HS-spaces, for brevity.

By using Dvoretzky's theorem [3], Bellenot [1] has proved that every compact operator on $a$ Hilbert space factors through a subspace of an arbitrary given infinite-dimensional B-space. Thus, by appealing to a result of Lindenstrauss-Peł゙czyñky [13], we see that HS-operators factor through every prescribed infinite-dimensional B-space. Consequently, factorization through an HS-space of infinite dimension actually characterizes HS-operators among bounded operators on a Hilbert space. This may serve to justify our terminology.

Our aim is to give several characterizations of HS-spaces, to derive some of their general properties, to present a few examples, and to touch upon their relations to some classes of $B-s p a c e s$ which have been studied extensively in the recent literature.


## NOTATION

As for $B$-spaces, our terminology and notation will be standard. We shall also use results on ideals of operators between B-spaces. Here all details can be found in A. Pietsch's monograph [18]; the basic theory is also contained in [8]. Frequently, we will be concerned with quotients of ideals; we therefore recall the definition. If $\mathscr{A}$ and $\mathscr{B}$ are ideals, then the component of the ideal $\mathscr{A}^{-1} \mathscr{B}$ ("left
quotient") for a pair (X,Y) of B-spaces consists of all operators $T \in \mathscr{L}(X, Y)$ such that, for every $B-s p a c e ~ Z$ and all $S \in \mathscr{A}(Y, X)$, we have $S T \in \mathscr{B}(X, Z)$. Similarly, the "right quotient" $\mathscr{A}$ 。 $\mathscr{B}^{-1}$ is defined. Note that the identity $I_{X}$ of a B-space $X$ belongs to $\mathscr{A}^{-1} \mathscr{B}(X, Y)$ (we shall simply write $\left.I_{X} \in \mathscr{A}^{-1} \mathcal{B}\right)$ iff $\mathscr{A}(X, \cdot) \subset \mathscr{B}(X, \cdot)$ holds; the dot is to substitute an arbitrary $B$-space. Similarly, $I_{X} \in \mathscr{A} \cdot \mathscr{B}^{-1}$ iff $\mathscr{B}(\cdot, X) C \mathscr{A}(\cdot, X)$.

Under favourable enough conditions, $\mathscr{A}^{-1} \mathscr{B}$ and $\mathscr{A} \cdot \mathscr{B}^{-1}$ can be considered as a sort of adjoint of some other ideal which simplifies a lot of the manipulations with such ideals. We do not repeat the details here; the reader is referred to Jarchow-ott [9].

We shall in particular consider the ideals $\mathscr{K}, \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{p}}, \mathscr{I}_{\mathrm{p}}, \mathcal{N}_{\mathrm{p}}(0<\mathrm{p}<\infty)$ of compact, p-summing, p-integral, and p-nuclear operators, further the ideals $\Gamma_{r}(0<r \leq \infty)$ of all operators $X \longrightarrow Y$, where $X$ and $Y$ are B-spaces, whose composition with the canonical (evaluation) map $Y \longrightarrow Y " f a c t o r s$ through an $\mathscr{L}_{r}(\mu)-s p a c e$, and also the largest extension, $\mathcal{P}_{2,2,2}$, of HS-operators to an ideal of operators between B-spaces. Notice that $\mathscr{P}_{2,2,2}=\Gamma_{2}^{-1} \circ \mathscr{P}_{2} \circ \Gamma_{2}^{-1}$.

I am indebted to Professor $K$. John, Prague, for some valuable comments on the subject of this paper.

## GENERALITIES

The following characterizations of HS-spaces are easily obtained from well-known results on $2-s$ umming operators.

1. Proposition: For every $B-s p a c e x$ the following are equivalent:
(i) $X$ is a HS-space.
(ii) $I_{X} \in \mathscr{P}_{2,2,2}$
(iii) $X^{*}$ is a HS-space.
(iv) $\mathscr{L}\left(\mathrm{x}, \ell_{2}\right)=\mathcal{P}_{2}\left(\mathrm{x}, \ell_{2}\right)$.
(v) $\mathscr{L}\left(\ell_{2}, x\right)=\mathscr{P}_{2}^{\text {dual }}\left(\ell_{2}, x\right)$.
(vi) For every B-space $Y$ containing $X$ every $S \in \mathscr{L}\left(X, \ell_{2}\right)$ admits an extension $\tilde{S} \in \mathscr{L}\left(Y, \ell_{2}\right)$.
(vii) For every B-space $Y$ containing $x$ and every $s \in \mathscr{L}\left(X, \ell_{2}\right)$ there is a constant $C$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left\|s x_{i}\right\|^{2} \leq c \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left\|y_{j}\right\|^{2}$ holds for all
$\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { sequences }\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \leq k} \text { in } x \text { and }\left(y_{j}\right){ }_{j \leq m} \text { in } y \text { such that. } \\ & \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left|\left\langle b, x_{i}\right\rangle\right|^{2} \leqq \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left|\left\langle b, y_{j}\right\rangle\right|^{2} .\end{aligned}\right.$
(viii) For every B-space $y$ containing $x$ every $s \in \mathscr{L}\left(\ell_{2}, X^{*}\right)$ admits a lifting $\hat{S} \in \mathscr{L}\left(\ell_{2}, Y^{*}\right)$; equivalently, every weak $\ell_{2}$-sequence in $x^{*}$ can be lifted to a weak $\ell_{2}$-sequence in $Y^{*}$.
Here (i) through (v) are obvious, and (vi) and (viii) stem from the fact that $\mathscr{L}_{1}-$ spaces and $\mathscr{L}_{\infty}$-spaces are HS-spaces, cf. Grothendieck [6] and Lindenstrauss-Pełczyñski [13]. (vii) is due to Maurey [15].

We continue by giving some further examples.
2. Examples: The following two statements are dual to each other; they have been proved by Kisliakov [10] and Pisier [19].
(a) If $R$ is a reflexive subspace of an $\mathscr{L}_{1}$-space $X$, then $X / R$ is a HS-space.
(b) If $Z$ is a subspace of an $\mathscr{L}_{\infty}$-space $Y$ such that $Y / Z$ is reflexive, then $Z$ is a HS-space.
(c) A recent result of Bourgain's [2] yields that the disk algebra A and the space $H_{\infty}$ of bounded analytic functions on $\{z \in \mathbb{C} \| z \mid<1\}$ are HS-spaces.
(d) If $X$ and $Y$ are infinite-dimensional B-spaces sucht that $\mathcal{N}_{1}(X, Y)=\mathscr{K}(X, Y)$, or $X \otimes_{\varepsilon} Y=X \otimes_{\pi} Y$, then $X$ and $Y$ are HS-spaces. This can be seen by appealing to Dvoretzky's theorem [3]; compare also with pisier [22].

In [23], Pisier has shown that every B-space $E$ of cotype 2 is contained in a $B$-space $Z$ such that $Z \otimes_{\varepsilon} Z=Z \otimes_{\pi} Z$ holds and both, $Z$ and $Z *$ are of cotype 2. This surprising result answers in the negative several problems on $B$-spaces and nuclear locally convex spaces raised by Grothendieck [5] and others.

That a B-space $Z$ with $Z \otimes_{\varepsilon} Z=Z \otimes_{\pi}^{Z}$ must be a HS-space can be seen without reference to Dvoretzky's theorem. In fact, if $S: X \rightarrow Y$ is a bounded linear operator between $B-s p a c e s \quad X$ and $Y$ such that $S \otimes S: X \otimes_{\varepsilon} Y \longrightarrow Y \otimes_{\pi} Y$ is continuous, then the adjoint of $S \otimes S$ can be considered as the map $\mathscr{L}(Y, Y *) \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{1}(X, X *): V \longrightarrow$ S*VS. In particular, for all $A \in \mathscr{L}\left(Y, \ell_{2}\right)$ and all $T \in \mathscr{L}\left(\ell_{2}, X\right)$, (AST)*AST belongs to $g_{1}\left(\ell_{2}, \ell_{2}\right)=\mathcal{N}_{1}\left(\ell_{2}, \ell_{2}\right)$, i.e. AST is a HS operator, and consequently we have $S \in \mathcal{P}_{2,2,2}(X, Y)$.

Let $X$ be $a \operatorname{HS}-s p a c e$ and $Y$ (closed) subspace of $X$. BY $1, Y$ [ $X / Y$ ] is a HS-space if, and only if, every weak $\ell_{2}$-sequence in $Y$ * $[i n X / Y]$ can be lifted to a weak $\ell_{2}$-sequence in $X^{*}$ [in $X^{* *}$ ]. But we do not know an intrinsic characterization of $Y$ to ensure the $H S-p r o p e r t y$ for $Y$ or $X / Y$.

On the other hand, HS-spaces enjoy the following "three space property":
3. Proposition: Let $Y$ be a subspace of a B-space $X$. If $Y$ and $X / Y$ are HS-spaces, then so is $X$.

To prove this, let $s \in \mathscr{L}\left(X, \ell_{2}\right)$ be given. By hypothesis, $T:=S / Y$ is 2-summing, hence $T=\widetilde{T} / Y$ for some $\underset{\sim}{\sim} \in \mathcal{P}_{2}\left(X, \ell_{2}\right)$. Since $S-\tilde{T}$ vanishes on $Y$, $S-\tilde{T}=A \bullet Q$ for some $A \in \mathscr{L}\left(X / Y, \ell_{2}\right)$, $Q$ being the quotient map $X \longrightarrow X / Y$. Again by hypothesis, $A \in \mathcal{P}_{2}\left(X / Y, \ell_{2}\right)$, hence $S-\tilde{T}$ and $S$ are in $\mathcal{P}_{2}\left(X, \ell_{2}\right)$.

Moreover it follows from Heinrich [7] that every B-space which is "finitely dual representable" in a HS-space is itself a HS-space. In particular, ultrapowers of $H S-s p a c e s$ are again HS-spaces.

## RELATIONS TO OTHER CLASSES OF B-SPACES

We know that, on Hilbert spaces, $\mathcal{P}_{2,2,2}$ just yields the HSoperators. By considering the appropriate norms for identity operators on finite-dimensional Hilbert spaces, we see immediately that. no infinite-dimensional $H S-s p a c e ~ X ~ c a n ~ c o n t a i n ~ u n i f o r m l y ~ c o m p l e-~$ mented the $\ell_{2}^{n}$, By Pisier [21], this means that $x$ cannot be $\dot{K}-c o n-$ vex, i.e. it must contain the $\ell_{1}^{n}$ s uniformly, or else:
4. Proposition An infinite-dimensional HS-space cannot have any type $p>1$.

Being K-convex, superrefiexive B-spaces cannot be HS-spaces unless their dimension is finite. On the other hand, the following is open:
5. Problem: Do there exist reflexive HS-spaces of infinite dimension ?

Let $X$ be a reflexive $H S-s p a c e$. Then one easily checks the equation $\mathscr{L}\left(x, \ell_{2}\right)=N_{2}\left(x, \ell_{2}\right)$. Thus, if we denote by $\zeta\left(x, x^{*}\right)$ the locally convex topology generated by all continuous hilbertian seminorms on $x$, then we get a Schwartz topolgy [8] which, by Bellenot's
result [1] quoted in the introduction, has the following property: for every infinite-dimensional B-space $Y$ there is a set $M$ such that [X, $\mathrm{\zeta}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{X} *)$ ] is linearly homeomorphic to a subspace of the product $Y^{M}$. When do these informations lead to the conclusion that $x$ must be finite-dimensional ?

HS-spaces are closely related to B-spaces $X$ which satisfy GT
("Grothendieck's theorem"), i.e. $\mathscr{L}\left(X, \ell_{2}\right)=\mathscr{P}_{1}\left(X, \ell_{2}\right)$. Actually, the spaces in $2(a), 2(d)$, and the duals of the spaces in $2(b), 2(c)$ satisfy GT.
Every B-space satisfying GT is of course a HS-space and the difference between these two classes is easy to detect. Let $\mathcal{M}_{2,1}:=\mathcal{P}_{2}^{-1} \mathscr{P}_{1}$ be the ideal of " $(2,1)$-mixing operators" [18]. The identity of a B-space $x$ belongs to $\mathcal{M}_{2,1}$ iff $\Gamma_{\infty}(\cdot, X)=\mathcal{P}_{2}(\cdot, X)$ holds. In fact, using notation and results of [9], we may write $\mathcal{M}_{2,1}=\mathcal{P}_{2}^{-1} \cdot \mathcal{P}_{1}=\left[\Gamma_{\infty} \circ \Gamma_{2} \subset \Gamma_{\infty}\right]^{\Delta}=\Gamma_{\infty}^{-1} \cdot\left[\Gamma_{\infty} \circ \Gamma_{2}\right]^{\Delta}=\left(\left[\Gamma_{\infty} n \Gamma_{2}\right]^{\Delta}\right)^{\text {inj }}$. On the other hand, $\Gamma_{2}^{-1} \circ \mathscr{P}_{1}=\left[\Gamma_{\infty} \circ \Gamma_{2}\right]^{\Delta}$. Whence:
6. Proposition: A B-space $X$ satisfies GT iff it is a HS-space and $I_{\mathrm{X}}$ belongs to $\mathcal{M}_{2,1}$.
It also follows that a subspace of a B-space satisfying GT again satisfies GT iff it is a HS-space.

As it is well-known, $I_{X} \in \mathcal{M}_{2,1}$ holds for every B-space $x$ of cotype 2 . Consequently, $H S-$ spaces of cotype 2 satisfy GT. Let $\mathscr{C}_{2}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{\gamma}$ be the ideals of cotype 2 operators and of $\gamma$-summing operators, respectively, cf. Linde-Pietsch [12]; from this, also a proof of the relation $\mathscr{E}_{2}=\mathscr{P}_{2} \circ \mathscr{P}_{y}^{-1}$ can be deduced. Using this we get:
7. Proposition: $A$ B-space $X$ is a HS-space of cotype 2 iff $I_{X}$ is in $\mathscr{D}_{2} \cdot \mathcal{P}_{\gamma}^{-1}$.

Here $\mathscr{D}_{2}$ is the largest extension to an ideal of operators between B-spaces of the trace class operators on Hilbert space. It is known that $\mathscr{D}_{2}=\mathscr{P}_{2}^{\text {dual }} \mathscr{P}_{2}$ holds.

Proof of 7: If $X$ is a HS-space of cotype 2 , then $I_{X}=I_{X}^{2} \in\left(\Gamma_{2}^{-1} \cdot \mathscr{P}_{2}\right)$. $\circ\left(\mathscr{P}_{2} \circ \mathcal{P}_{\gamma}^{-1}\right)=\left(\Gamma_{2}^{-1} \circ \mathscr{P}_{2}\right) \circ\left(\mathscr{P}_{2}^{-1} \circ \mathscr{P}_{\gamma}^{\Delta}\right) \subset \Gamma_{2}^{-1} \circ \mathscr{P}_{\gamma}^{\Delta}=\mathscr{D}_{2} \circ \mathscr{P}_{\gamma}^{-1}$, cf. [9]. Conversely, if $I_{X} \in \mathscr{D}_{2} \circ \mathscr{P}_{\gamma}^{-1}$, then our assertion follows from $\mathscr{D}_{2} \circ \mathscr{P}_{\gamma}^{-1} \subset \mathscr{P}_{2} \circ \mathscr{P}_{\gamma}^{-1}=\varphi_{2}$ and $\mathscr{D}_{2} \cdot \mathscr{P}_{\gamma}^{-1}=\Gamma_{2}^{-1} \cdot \mathscr{P}_{\gamma}^{\Delta} \subset \Gamma_{2}^{-1} \cdot \mathscr{P}_{2}^{\Delta}=\Gamma_{2}^{-1} \cdot \mathscr{P}_{2}$.

This concerns in particular Pisier's spaces $Z$ in $2(d)$ and their duals, and also $H_{\infty}^{*}$ and $A^{*}$, by Bourgain [2].
$A$ and $H_{\infty}$ do not satisfy $G T$. It suffices to check $I_{A} \notin \mathcal{M}_{2,1}$. In fact, otherwise $\mathcal{P}_{2}(\mathrm{~A}, \cdot)=\mathcal{P}_{1 / 2}(\mathrm{~A}, \cdot)$ would follow (Maurey [14]) and every operator $\mathscr{L}\left(A, \ell_{2}\right)$ would be nuclear (Kisliakov[11], Bourgain [2]). But the paley projections yield non-compact operators in $\mathscr{L}\left(A, \ell_{2}\right)$.

Finally, let us consider the ideal $G L:=\mathscr{P}_{1}^{-1} ._{1} . \Gamma_{1}$ Let $x$ be a Bspace. By Gordon-Lewis [4], $I_{X} \in G L$ holds whenever $X *$ is complemented in a Banach lattice. From $\mathscr{P}_{1}^{-1} \Gamma_{1}=\left[\mathcal{P}_{1}^{d_{\mathcal{P}}}{ }_{1}\right]^{\Delta}=\Gamma_{\infty} \bullet\left(\mathscr{P}_{1}^{d u a l}\right)^{-1}$ we infer that $I_{X} \in G L$ and $I_{X *} \in G L$ are equivalent properties. Compare also with Pisier [20] and Reisner [24].
8. Proposition: A B-space $X$ satisfies $G T$ and has $I_{X} \in G L$ iff $I_{X} \in \Gamma_{2}^{-1} \circ \Gamma_{1}$.

This is also quite easy. If $X$ satisfies $G T$ and has $I_{X} \in G L$, then $I_{X}=I_{X}^{2} \in\left(\Gamma_{2}^{-1} \circ \mathscr{P}_{1}\right) \bullet\left(\mathcal{P}_{1}^{-1} \circ \Gamma_{1}\right) \subset \Gamma_{2}^{-1} \circ \Gamma_{1}$. Conversely, if $I_{X} \in \Gamma_{2}^{-1} \circ \Gamma_{1}$, then $I_{X} \in \mathcal{P}_{1}^{-1} \cdot \Gamma_{1}$ since $\mathcal{P}_{1} \subset_{2}$, whereas $I_{X} \in \Gamma_{2}^{-1} \circ \mathscr{P} \mathcal{L}_{1}$ follows from $\mathscr{L}^{\left(\mathscr{L}_{1}, \mathscr{L}_{2}\right)=}$ $\mathcal{P}_{1}\left(\mathscr{L}_{1}, \mathscr{L}_{2}\right)$.
9. Remarks (i) Part of 8 can also be obtained from observing that $I_{X} \in G \operatorname{Ln} \mathcal{M}_{2,1}$ is equivalent with $I_{X} \in \mathcal{P}_{2}^{-1} \cdot \Gamma_{1}$. Note that $G L$ and $\mathcal{M}_{2,1}$ are both injective, so that the property "identity in $G L \cap \mathcal{M}_{2,1}$ " is inherited by subspaces. In particular, every subspace of an $\mathscr{L}_{1}$-space enjoys this property; see also [20].
(ii) By considering the canonical map $H_{\infty} \longrightarrow H_{1}$, Pexczyñski [17] proved that neither $A$ nor $H_{\infty}$ (nor their duals) do have the above GL-property. Another proof (for $A$ ) is as follows: Suppose $I_{A *} \in G L$. Since $A *$ satisfies $G T, I_{A *} \in \Gamma_{2}^{-1} \circ \Gamma_{1}$, hence $I_{A} \in \mathcal{P}_{1}^{-1} \circ \mathscr{D}_{2}$. In particular, every 1 -summing operator $A \longrightarrow \ell_{2}$ must be nuclear, which again is not true for paley projections.
(iii) Let $Z$ be an infinite-dimensional B-space such that both, $Z$ and $Z^{*}$, satisfy GT(see e.g. 2(d)). Then $I_{Z} \notin G L$. In fact, if $Z *$ satisfies GT, then $I_{Z} \in \Gamma_{1}^{-1} \mathcal{P}_{2}$ (compare with [19]). Thus $I_{Z} \in G L$ implies $\mathscr{P}_{1}\left(\mathrm{Z}, \ell_{2}\right)=\Gamma_{1}\left(\mathrm{Z}, \ell_{2}\right)=\mathcal{A}_{1}\left(\mathrm{Z}, \ell_{2}\right)$, as in (ii). If now, in addition, Z satisfies GT, then even $\mathscr{L}\left(Z, \ell_{2}\right)=\mathcal{A}_{1}\left(Z, \ell_{2}\right)$ follows, hence $I_{z} \in \mathscr{D}_{2}$, or $\operatorname{dim} z<\infty$.

We do not know if this is also true if we only require $Z$ * to satisfy GT.
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