

Włodzimierz Borgiel; Klaus Buchner; Wiesław Sasin
Locally finitely generated differential spaces of class C^r

In: Jarolím Bureš and Vladimír Souček (eds.): Proceedings of the Winter School "Geometry and Physics". Circolo Matematico di Palermo, Palermo, 1991. Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo, Serie II, Supplemento No. 26. pp. [57]--66.

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/701480>

Terms of use:

© Circolo Matematico di Palermo, 1991

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

LOCALLY FINITELY GENERATED DIFFERENTIAL SPACES OF CLASS C^r

Włodzimierz Borgiel, Klaus Buchner, Wiesław Sasin

In this paper we consider differential spaces of class C^r , which are a generalization of the concept of differential spaces introduced by Sikorski ([8], [9]). We consider differential structures of functions of class C^r with values in the field K ($K = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C}), where $r \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty, \omega\}$, C^ω means analytical functions. In Section 2 we study some properties of differential spaces, which are locally finitely generated by a family of K -valued functions.

1. BASIC NOTIONS. Let C be a non-empty set of K -valued functions defined on a set M . Then \mathcal{T}_C is the weakest topology on M such that all functions of C are continuous. The family of sets $f^{-1}(Q)$, where Q is open in K , $f \in C$, is a subbasis of the topology \mathcal{T}_C .

For any subset A of M we denote by C_A the set of all K -valued functions f on A such that for every point $p \in A$ there exist a neighbourhood $U \in \mathcal{T}_C$ of p and a function $g \in C$ such that $f|_{A \cap U} = g|_{A \cap U}$.

Let $C^r(K^n, K)$ be the set of all functions $G: K^n \rightarrow K$ of class C^r , where $r \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty, \omega\}$, \mathbb{N} is the set of natural numbers.

Denote by $sc^r C$ the set of all functions $G \circ (f_1, \dots, f_n)$, where $G \in C^r(K^n, K)$, $f_1, \dots, f_n \in C$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $r \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty, \omega\}$.

The set C is said to be a differential structure of class C^r on M (shortly d^r -structure) if

- (a) the set C is closed with respect to localization, i.e.

$$C = C_M,$$

This paper is in final form and no version of it will be submitted for publication elsewhere.

- (b) the set C is closed with respect to composition with smooth functions of class C^r , i.e., $C = sc^r C$.

It is easy to verify that every d^r -structure C is a linear ring over K .

By a differential space of class C^r (shortly d^r -space), where $r \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty, \omega\}$, we shall mean any pair (M, C) , where M is a set and C is a d^r -structure on M . If (M, C) is a d^r -space and A is an arbitrary non-empty subset of M , then (A, C_A) is also d^r -space, which is called a d^r -subspace of (M, C) .

For a set C_0 of K -valued functions on M the set $C = (sc^r C_0)_M$ is the smallest d^r -structure on M including the set C_0 . Then (M, C) is called the d^r -space generated by C_0 . It is easy to see that $\mathcal{T}_C = \mathcal{T}_{C_0}$.

Let \hat{C}_p be the \mathcal{O}_p set of germs of functions from C at p . By a vector tangent to a d^r -space (M, C) at a point p of M we shall mean any K -linear mapping $v: \hat{C}_p \rightarrow K$ such that

$$(1.1) \quad v(\zeta \circ (\hat{f}_1, \dots, \hat{f}_n)) = \sum_{i=1}^n \zeta_{|i}(\hat{f}_1(p), \dots, \hat{f}_n(p)) \cdot v(f_i)$$

for any $\hat{f}_1, \dots, \hat{f}_n \in \hat{C}_p$, $\zeta \in C^r(K^n, K)$.

We will denote by $T_p(M, C)$ or shortly $T_p M$ the set of all vectors tangent to (M, C) at a point $p \in M$ and by TM the disjoint sum of all K -linear spaces $T_p M$, $p \in M$.

Let TC be the d^r -structure on TM generated by the set $\{f \circ \pi; f \in C\} \cup \{df; f \in C\}$, where $\pi: TM \rightarrow M$ is the natural projection and $df: TM \rightarrow K$ is the function defined by

$$(1.2) \quad (df)(v) = v(\hat{f}) \quad \text{for any } v \in TM.$$

A mapping $F: M \rightarrow N$ of a d^r -space (M, C) into a d^r -space (N, D) is called C^r -smooth if $F^*(D) \subset C$, where $F^*(D) := \{g \circ F; g \in D\}$. One can prove

LEMMA 1.1. Let (M, C) be a d^r -space generated by C_0 , $p \in M$ an arbitrary point and $v_0: \hat{C}_{0p} \rightarrow K$ be a function satisfying the condition

$$(*) \quad \text{for any } \zeta \in C^r(K^n, K), \hat{f}_1, \dots, \hat{f}_n \in \hat{C}_{0p}, n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ if } \zeta \circ (\hat{f}_1, \dots, \hat{f}_n) = 0 \text{ then } \sum_{i=1}^n \zeta_{|i}(\hat{f}_1(p), \dots, \hat{f}_n(p)) \cdot v_0(\hat{f}_i) = 0.$$

Then there exists a unique vector v tangent to (M, C) at p such that $v|_{C_0} = v_0$.

Proof. Let $v: \hat{C}_p \rightarrow K$ be the mapping defined by $v(\hat{f}) =$

$= \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{G}|_i(\hat{f}_1(p), \dots, \hat{f}_n(p)) \cdot v_{\tilde{G}}(\hat{f}_i)$, for $\hat{f} \in \hat{C}_p$, where $\hat{f}_1, \dots, \hat{f}_n \in \hat{C}_{op}$ and $\tilde{G} \in C^r(K^n, K)$ are such germs that there is an open neighbourhood $U \in \mathcal{T}_C$ of p and $f|U = \tilde{G} \circ (f_1, \dots, f_n)|U$. From (*) it follows the correctness of the definition of the vector v . \square

Now, let (M, C) be a d^r -space, $r \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty, \omega\}$, generated by a set C_0 . A vector field tangent to (M, C) is a mapping $X: M \rightarrow TM$ such that $\pi \circ X = id_M$. Let us put $\bigvee_{n \in \mathbb{N}} n \leq \infty \leq \omega$. For any vector field X tangent to (M, C) and $f \in C$ let $Xf: M \rightarrow K$ be the function given by $(Xf)(p) := X(p)(\hat{f})$ for $p \in M$. A vector field X tangent to (M, C) is called C^t -smooth, ($t \leq r$), if $\bigvee_{f \in C} Xf \in H_{t-1}$, where $H_i := (sc^i C_0)_M$ for $i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty, \omega\}$ and H_0 is the set of all K -valued continuous functions on the topological space (M, \mathcal{T}_C) . It is easy to verify that $X: M \rightarrow TM$ is a C^t -smooth vector field tangent to (M, C) if and only if $X^*(\mathcal{T}_C) \subset H_{t-1}$.

Denote by $\mathcal{X}^t(M)$ the set of all C^t -smooth vector fields tangent to (M, C) . It is clear that $\mathcal{X}^t(M)$ is a H_{t-1} -module.

A d^r -space (M, C) has a constant differential dimension n if for any $p \in M$ there exist a neighbourhood $U \in \mathcal{T}_C$ of p and C^r -smooth vector fields $X_1, \dots, X_n \in \mathcal{X}^r(U)$ such that for any $q \in U$ the sequence $X_1(q), \dots, X_n(q)$ is a vector basis of $T_q(M, C)$ and X_1, \dots, X_n is a basis of $(H_{r-1})_U$ -module $\mathcal{X}^r(U)$.

If M is a C^r -manifold, $C^r(M)$ the set of all C^r -functions on M , then $(M, C^r(M))$ is a d^r -space of constant differential dimension.

2. MAIN RESULTS. Let (M, C) be a d^r -space, $r \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty, \omega\}$. (M, C) is said to be finitely generated by a set $C_0 = \{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$ if $C = (sc C_0)_M$ ([4]) .

Let N be a non-empty subset of K^n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $D := C^r(K^n, K)_N$. It is easy to observe that (N, D) is a finitely generated d^r -space by the set $\{\pi_1|N, \dots, \pi_n|N\}$, where $\pi_i: K^n \rightarrow K$ is the projection onto the i -th coordinate for $i = 1, \dots, n$. The natural imbedding $\iota_N: N \rightarrow K^n$ is a smooth mapping of (N, D) into $(K^n, C^r(K^n, K))$.

Let $p \in N$ be an arbitrary point and $I_p: T_p(K^n, C^r(K^n, K)) \rightarrow K^n$

be the natural isomorphism given by

$$(2.1) \quad I_p(v) = (v(\widehat{\pi}_1), \dots, v(\widehat{\pi}_n)) \quad \text{for } v \in T_p(K^n).$$

It is evident that the composition $L_p = I_p \circ \iota_N \circ \pi_p : T_p(N, D) \rightarrow K^n$ is injective.

Let us put $O^r(N) := \{f \in C^r(K^n, K); f|_N = 0\}$. Consider a K -linear subspace $N_p = \{h \in K^n; f|_h(p) = 0 \text{ for any } f \in O^r(N)\}$, where $f|_h(p)$ is the directional derivative of f in the direction of h .

PROPOSITION 2.1. $N_p = L_p(T_p(N, D))$.

Proof. We first show that $L_p(T_p(N, D)) \subset N_p$. Let $h \in L_p(T_p(N, D))$. There is a vector $v \in T_p(N, D)$ such that $L_p(v) = h$. For any $f \in O^r(N)$ we have $f \circ \iota_N = 0$. Thus $v(f \circ \iota_N) = 0$. It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} v(f \circ \iota_N) &= v(f \circ (\widehat{\pi}_1|_N, \dots, \widehat{\pi}_n|_N)) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(p) \cdot v(\widehat{\pi}_i|_N) = \\ &= (\text{grad } f)(p) \cdot h = f|_h(p). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $f|_h(p) = 0$ for any $f \in O^r(N)$ or equivalently $h \in N_p$.

Let now $h \in N_p$. It means that $f|_h(p) = 0$ for any $f \in O^r(N)$. It is evident that the following condition is satisfied:

(*) for any $\zeta \in C^r(K^n, K)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ if $\zeta \circ (\widehat{\pi}_1|_N, \dots, \widehat{\pi}_n|_N) = 0$

$$\text{then } \sum_{i=1}^n \zeta|_i(p) \cdot h_i = 0.$$

In fact, since $\zeta \in O^r(N)$, $\zeta|_h(p) = 0$ or equivalently

$\sum_{i=1}^n \zeta|_i(p) \cdot h_i = 0$. From Lemma 1.1 it follows that there exists a unique vector $v_h \in T_p(N)$ such that $v_h(\widehat{\pi}_i|_N) = h_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Of course $L_p(v_h) = h$. This proves the inclusion $N_p \subset L_p(T_p(N, D))$. \square

Now, let us put $G_p = \{(\text{grad } f)(p); f \in O^r(N)\}$. Of course G_p is a K -linear subspace of K^n . One can prove

PROPOSITION 2.2. $G_p \oplus N_p = K^n$ and G_p is g -orthogonal to N_p with respect to the metric g defined by

$$(2.2) \quad g(x, x') = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \cdot x'_i \quad \text{for } x, x' \in K^n.$$

Proof. The proof is almost trivial. It is easy to see that $N_p = \{h \in K^n; (\text{grad } f)(p) \cdot h = 0 \text{ for any } f \in O^r(N)\} = G_p^\perp$. Since g is non-degenerate, $G_p \oplus N_p = K^n$. \square

COROLLARY 2.1. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) $\dim T_p N = n$,
- (ii) $f|_{h_p} = 0$ for any $f \in O^r(N)$,
- (iii) $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(p) = \dots = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n}(p) = 0$ for any $f \in O^r(N)$.

Proof. From Proposition 2.2 it follows that $\dim N_p = n$ iff $\dim G_p = 0$. It is clear that $\dim G_p = 0$ iff $(\text{grad } f)(p) = 0$ for any $f \in O^r(N)$. This is equivalent to (ii) and (iii). \square

PROPOSITION 2.3. If $\dim T_p N = k \geq 1$, then there exists an open neighbourhood $U \in \mathcal{T}_p$ of the point p and a k -dimensional C^r -surface $S \subset K^n$ including U and $D_U = C^r(S)_U$, where $C^r(S) = C^r(K^n, K)_S$. Moreover, the integer $k = \dim T_p N$ is the smallest dimension of such a C^r -surface S .

Proof. L_p is an isomorphism of $T_p N$ onto N_p . Thus $\dim T_p N = \dim N_p = k$. From Proposition 2.2 it follows that $\dim G_p = n - k$. Let $u_1, \dots, u_{n-k} \in K^n$ be a vector basis of G_p . There exist functions $f_1, \dots, f_{n-k} \in O^r(N)$ such that $v_i = (\text{grad } f_i)(p)$ for $i=1, \dots, n-k$. Since $\text{rank} \left(\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(p) \right)_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n-k \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}} = n-k$, the mapping

$(f_1, \dots, f_{n-k}): K^n \rightarrow K^{n-k}$ is regular at p . There is a neighbourhood V open in $\text{top} K^n$ of p such that $\text{rank} \left(\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(q) \right)_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n-k \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}} = n-k$ for $q \in V$.

Consider the set $S = \{q \in V; f_1(q) = f_2(q) = \dots = f_{n-k}(q) = 0\}$. From the implicit theorem ([1], [7], [10]) it follows that S is a k -dimensional C^r -surface in K^n . Of course, the set $U = M \cap V$ is open in \mathcal{T}_p and $U \subset S$. Clearly $D_U = C^r(S)_U$. \square

PROPOSITION 2.4. If $\dim T_p N = 0$ then the point p is isolated in N .

Proof. Suppose that p is not isolated in N . Then there exists a sequence (p_i) of points of N different from p and convergent to p . Consider the sequence $h_n := \frac{p_n - p}{|p_n - p|}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, of points such that $|h_n| = 1$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. There exists a subsequence (h_{n_i}) convergent to a point $h \in K^n$ and $|h| = 1$. One can easily see that for any $f \in C^r(K^n, K)$

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(p_{n_i}) - f(p)}{|p_{n_i} - p|} = f|_h(p).$$

Thus for any $f \in O^r(N)$, since $f|N = 0$, we have

$$f|_h(p) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(p_{n_i}) - f(p)}{|p_{n_i} - p|} = 0.$$

Hence $h \in N_p$ and $h \neq 0$. Thus $\dim N_p \geq 1$, which contradicts $\dim T_p N = \dim N_p = 0$. \square

Now let \mathcal{D}_1^r ([12]) denote the class of all d^r -spaces (M, C) which fulfills the condition:

(***) for any $p \in M$ there exist a set $U \ni p$ open in \mathcal{T}_C and a C^r -manifold \tilde{M} such that U is contained in the set of points of \tilde{M} , $\dim \tilde{M} = \dim T_p(M, C)$ and $C_U = C^r(\tilde{M})_U$.

From Proposition 2.3 and 2.4 it follows that $(N, D) \in \mathcal{D}_1^r$.

Now consider a d^r -space (M, C) finitely generated by a set $C_o = \{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$. Let $\Phi: M \rightarrow K^n$ be the smooth mapping defined by

$$(2.3) \quad \Phi(p) = (f_1(p), \dots, f_n(p)) \quad \text{for } p \in M.$$

Let $\tilde{\Phi}: (M, C) \rightarrow (\Phi(M), C^r(K^n, K)_{\Phi(M)})$ be the mapping $\tilde{\Phi}$ onto the image $\Phi(M)$. Similarly to Lemma 2.1 in ([4]) one can prove

LEMMA 2.1. Let (M, C) be a d^r -space finitely generated by the set $C_o = \{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$. Then:

(i) the empty set and the sets of the form $\Phi^{-1}(A)$ make a base of the topology \mathcal{T}_C , where A is an arbitrary set from the base of the Tikhonov topology of K^n ,

(ii) the mapping $\tilde{\Phi}: (M, C) \rightarrow (\Phi(M), C^r(K^n, K)_{\Phi(M)})$ is open,

(iii) \mathcal{T}_C is the Hausdorff topology iff $\tilde{\Phi}: M \rightarrow \Phi(M)$ is a homeomorphism.

PROPOSITION 2.5. If (M, C) is a finitely generated d^r -space by the set $C_o = \{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$, then the mapping $\tilde{\Phi}^*: C^r(K^n, K)_{\Phi(M)} \rightarrow C$ is an isomorphism between linear rings. If \mathcal{T}_C is a Hausdorff topology, then the mapping

$$\tilde{\Phi}: (M, C) \rightarrow (\Phi(M), C^r(K^n, K)_{\Phi(M)})$$

is a diffeomorphism.

Proof. Since $\tilde{\Phi}$ is a surjection, $\tilde{\Phi}^*$ is a monomorphism. Now we will prove that $\tilde{\Phi}^*$ is "onto". For any $f \in C$, let

$$\tilde{f}: \Phi(M) \rightarrow K \quad \text{be defined by}$$

$$(2.4) \quad \zeta_f(q) = f(p) \quad \text{for } q \in \Phi(M),$$

where $p \in M$ is such that $q = \Phi(p)$. Clearly,

$$(2.5) \quad \zeta_f \circ \tilde{\Phi} = f.$$

It remains to show that $\zeta_f \in C^r(K^n, K)_{\Phi(M)}$. Fix $q \in \Phi(M)$ and choose $p \in M$ such that $\Phi(p) = q$. There exist an open neighbourhood $V \in \mathcal{T}_C$ of p and a function $\zeta \in C^r(K^n, K)$ such that

$$(2.6) \quad f|_V = \zeta \circ \tilde{\Phi}|_V.$$

From (2.5) and (2.6) we have

$$\zeta \circ \tilde{\Phi}|_V = \zeta_f \circ \tilde{\Phi}|_V.$$

Hence $\zeta_f|_{\tilde{\Phi}(V)} = \zeta|_{\tilde{\Phi}(V)}$. Evidently from Lemma 2.1 it follows that $\tilde{\Phi}(V)$ is an open set containing q . Thus $\zeta_f \in C^r(K^n, K)_{\Phi(M)}$.

If \mathcal{T}_C is a Hausdorff topology, then by Lemma 2.1 $\tilde{\Phi}$ is a homeomorphism. It remains to show $\tilde{\Phi}^{-1}$ is smooth. In fact, it results from the following equalities:

$$(2.7) \quad f_i \circ \tilde{\Phi}^{-1} = \pi_i|_{\Phi(M)} \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n.$$

This finishes the proof. \square

A d^r -space (M, C) is said to be locally finitely generated if for every $p \in M$ there exists an open neighbourhood $V \ni p$ such that the d^r -subspace (V, C_V) is finitely generated.

Let \mathcal{L}^r denote the class of all locally finitely generated Hausdorff d^r -spaces.

PROPOSITION 2.6. $\mathcal{L}^r = \mathcal{D}_1^r$.

Proof. If (M, C) is of class \mathcal{D}_1^r , then for any $p \in M$ there exist a set $U \ni p$ open in \mathcal{T}_C and C^r -manifold \tilde{M} such that $U \subset \tilde{M}$, $\dim \tilde{M} = \dim T_p(M, C)$ and $C_U = C^r(\tilde{M})_U$. Since \tilde{M} is locally finitely generated, (U, C_U) is also locally finitely generated as a d^r -subspace of \tilde{M} . Thus (M, C) belongs to \mathcal{L}^r . We have proved the inclusion $\mathcal{D}_1^r \subset \mathcal{L}^r$.

Now let (M, C) a locally finitely generated d^r -space. For any $p \in M$ there exist an open neighbourhood V of p and functions $g_i: V \rightarrow K$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ such that $C_V = (sc^r\{g_1, \dots, g_n\})_V$. From Proposition 2.5 it follows that $\Psi = (g_1, \dots, g_n)$ is a diffeomorphism of (V, C_V) onto $(\Psi(V), C^r(K^n, K)_{\Psi(V)})$. Let $\dim T_p(M, C) = k$. Then $\dim T_{\Psi(p)}\Psi(V) = k$. From Proposition 2.3 it follows that there exist an open neighbourhood $W \in \text{top } \Psi(V)$ of $\Psi(p)$ and a k -dimensional C^r -surface $S \subset K^n$ such that $C^r(K^n, K)_W = C^r(S)_W$.

Let $\tilde{S} = \Psi^{-1}(w) \cup (S \setminus w) \times \{w\}$ and let $F: \tilde{S} \longrightarrow S$ be the mapping defined by

$$(2.8) \quad F(q) = \begin{cases} \Psi(q) & \text{when } q \in \Psi^{-1}(w) \\ q' & \text{when } q = (q', w) \text{ and } q' \in S \setminus w. \end{cases}$$

Clearly, F is a bijection. It is easy to see that $C^r(\tilde{S}) := F^*(C^r(S))$ is a d^r -structure on \tilde{S} such that F is a diffeomorphism of \tilde{S} onto S . Obviously, $\dim \tilde{S} = \dim S = k$ and $\Psi^{-1}(w) \subset \tilde{S}$. Moreover, $C_{\Psi^{-1}(w)} = C^r(\tilde{S})|_{\Psi^{-1}(w)}$, because $F|_{\Psi^{-1}(w)} = \Psi|_{\Psi^{-1}(w)}$. Therefore $(M, C) \in \mathcal{L}^r$ and $\mathcal{L}^r \subset \mathcal{D}_1^r$. \square

PROPOSITION 2.7. Let $N \subset K^n$ be a subset such that $\dim T_p(N, D) = n$ for every $p \in N$, where $D := C^r(K^n, K)_N$. Then (N, D) has a differential dimension n .

Proof. Let us put $X_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Of course, X_1, \dots, X_n is a global basis of $C^r(K^n, K)$ -module $\mathcal{X}^r(K^n)$. It is evident that $(\tau_N)_{*p}: T_p(N, D) \longrightarrow T_p(K^n)$ is an isomorphism for every $p \in N$. Let us put

$$(2.9) \quad Y_i(x) = (\tau_N)^{-1}_{*x}(X_i(x)) \quad \text{for } x \in N, i = 1, \dots, n.$$

It remains to prove that Y_1, \dots, Y_n is a basis of H_{r-1} -module $\mathcal{X}^r(N)$, where $H_{r-1} = (sc^{r-1}\{\mathcal{T}_1|N, \dots, \mathcal{T}_n|N\})_N$. It is easy to see that $Y_i(\mathcal{T}_j|N) = \delta_{ij}$ for $i, j = 1, \dots, n$. Evidently every d^r -smooth vector field $Z \in \mathcal{X}^r(N)$ may be presented in the form $Z = \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi^i Y_i$, where $\varphi^i = Z(\mathcal{T}_i|N) \in H_{r-1}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Of course, $Y_1(x), \dots, Y_n(x)$ is a basis of $T_x(N, D)$ for every $x \in N$. \square

COROLLARY 2.2. The sequence Y_1, \dots, Y_n defined by (2.9) is a basis of H_{t-1} -module $\mathcal{X}^t(N)$ for any $t \leq r$.

Proof. Let $W \in \mathcal{X}^t(N)$. Since $Y_1(x), \dots, Y_n(x)$ is a vector basis of $T_x(N, D)$, $W(x)$ for any $x \in N$ may be uniquely presented in the form $W(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \Psi^i(x) Y_i(x)$, where Ψ^i is a K -valued function defined on N , $i = 1, \dots, n$. Hence and from (2.9) we have

$$W(x)(\widehat{\mathcal{T}}_i|N) = \Psi^i(x) \quad \text{for } x \in N, i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Thus $\Psi^i = W(\mathcal{T}_i|N) \in H_{t-1}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. This finishes the proof. \square

COROLLARY 2.3. If (M, C) is a space of class \mathcal{D}_1^r such that $\dim T_p(M, C) = n$ for any $p \in M$, then (M, C) has a differential dimension n .

Proof. This is a consequence of Proposition 2.6 and 2.7. \square

EXAMPLE 1. Let $N \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be the graph of a function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which is of class C^2 but not C^3 . The d^r -space (N, D) with $D = C^r(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})_N$, $r \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty, \omega\}$, has a differential dimension 2 for $r \geq 3$ and has a differential dimension 1 for $1 \leq r < 2$. It results easily from Proposition 2.3 and Proposition 2.7.

EXAMPLE 2. Let $N \subset K^n$ be a dense subset, $D = C^r(K^n, K)_N$. Then (N, D) has a differential dimension n for $r \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty, \omega\}$.

EXAMPLE 3. Let $N \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be the graph of the function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x^3 & \text{for } x \geq 0, \\ x^2 & \text{for } x < 0. \end{cases}$$

The d^r -space (N, D) , where $D = C^r(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})_N$, $r \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty, \omega\}$, is a 1-dimensional C^r -manifold for $1 \leq r \leq 2$, but $\dim T_{(0,0)}(N, D) = 2$ for $r \geq 3$.

REFERENCES

- [1] CARTAN H. "Calcul différentiel, formes différentielles", Hermann, Paris 1967.
- [2] KOWALCZYK A, and KUBARSKI J. "A local property of the subspaces of Euclidean differential spaces", Dem. Math. Vol 11, No 4, (1978), p.876-885.
- [3] SASIN W. "On some exterior algebra of the differential forms over a differential space", Dem. Math. 19 (1986), p.1063-1075.
- [4] SASIN W, and ŻEKANOWSKI Z. "On locally finitely generated differential spaces", Dem. Math. 20 (1987), p.477-487.
- [5] SASIN W. "On locally countably generated differential spaces", Dem. Math. 21 (1988), p.897-912.
- [6] SASIN W. "On equivalence on a differential space", CMUC 29, 3 (1988). p.529-539.
- [7] SERRE J.P. "Lie algebras and Lie groups", New York - Amsterdam 1965.
- [8] SIKORSKI R. "Abstract covariant derivative", Colloq.Math. 18 (1967), p.251-272.

- [9] SIKORSKI R. "Differential modules", Colloq. Math. 24 (1967), p.45-79.
- [10] SIKORSKI R. "Advanced Calculus"(in Polish) Warszawa 1967.
- [11] SIKORSKI R. "Introduction to Differential Geometry" (in Polish) Warszawa 1972.
- [12] WALCZAK P. "A theorem on diffeomorphism in the category of differential spaces", Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., Ser. Sci. Math. Astron. Phys., 21 (1973), p.325-329.
- [13] WALCZAK P. "On a class of differential spaces satisfying the theorem on diffeomorphism", Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., Ser. Sci. Math. Astron. Phys., 22 (1974), p.805-820.

W.BORGIEL and W.SASIN, INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY OF WARSAW, 00-661 WARSZAWA.

K.BUCHNER, MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT DER TECHNISCHEN UNIVERSITÄT MÜNCHEN, D-8000 MÜNCHEN 2.