

Miroslav Doupovec; Alexandr Vondra

Some natural operations between connections on fibred manifolds

In: Jarolím Bureš and Vladimír Souček (eds.): Proceedings of the Winter School "Geometry and Physics". Circolo Matematico di Palermo, Palermo, 1996. Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo, Serie II, Supplemento No. 39. pp. [73]--84.

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/701566>

Terms of use:

© Circolo Matematico di Palermo, 1996

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

SOME NATURAL OPERATIONS BETWEEN CONNECTIONS ON FIBRED MANIFOLDS*

MIROSLAV DOUPOVEC AND ALEXANDR VONDRA

Abstract. All first-order natural operators transforming 2-connections on $Y \rightarrow X$ and linear connections on X into connections on $J^1Y \rightarrow Y$ are determined. Some integrability properties of the connections are studied.

Keywords. Connection, jet prolongation, natural operator, integrability.

MS classification. 53C05, 58A20, 53A55.

0. INTRODUCTION

In general, the paper represents a continuation of our endeavour at a global description of the geometry of differential equations represented by connections on general fibred manifolds [8], [15]. In a strict sense, it stands for a further clarification of relations between the studied connections on various jet prolongations of the underlying fibred manifold [5], and consequently it represents generalizations of some considerations from the time-dependent mechanics [1], [2], [3], [13], [14]. While [3], [14] are concerned with connections of higher-order over one-dimensional bases, the presented results describe the situation for second-order connections over bases with an arbitrary dimension and the results can be then compared with related ones e.g. in [12] or [16]. Moreover, the adopted approach and methods are immediately applicable for natural higher-order generalizations.

In this section, we fix the notation of essential underlying structures and related notions; for detailed description of this standard material we refer e.g. to [1], [6], [7], [9], [10], [12] and particularly to our previous papers.

Thus $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$ is a fibred manifold with fibred coordinates (x^i, y^σ) , $i = 1, \dots, n = \dim X$, $\sigma = 1, \dots, m = \dim Y - \dim X$. The first jet prolongation of π is denoted by $J^1\pi$ with the additional induced coordinates y_i^σ . Then $\pi_1: J^1\pi \rightarrow X$ and $\pi_{1,0}: J^1\pi \rightarrow Y$ are induced projections, where the latter one is an affine bundle with the associated vector bundle $V_\pi Y \otimes \pi^*(T^*X) \rightarrow Y$. The sections of this vector bundle are π -vertical vector valued 1-forms, called *soldering forms* on π .

A *connection* on π is a section Γ of $\pi_{1,0}$. Local equations of Γ are $y_i^\sigma \circ \Gamma = \Gamma_i^\sigma(x^i, y^\lambda)$, where Γ_i^σ are the components of Γ . The horizontal form of Γ is a vector valued 1-form $h_\Gamma: Y \rightarrow TY \otimes \pi^*(T^*X)$. Locally, $h_\Gamma = D_\Gamma \otimes dx^i$, where $D_\Gamma = \partial/\partial x^i + \Gamma_i^\sigma \partial/\partial y^\sigma$ is the i -th (absolute) derivative with respect to Γ . The complementary projection to h_Γ is the vertical form $v_\Gamma = I - h_\Gamma$. The decomposition related with Γ is $TY = V_\pi Y \oplus H_\Gamma$, where the n -dimensional π -horizontal

* Supported by GA CR, grant No. 201/93/2125.

This paper is in final form and no version of it will be submitted for publication elsewhere.

distribution $H_\Gamma = \text{Im } h_\Gamma = \text{span}\{D_{\Gamma i}, i = 1, \dots, n\}$. By $\Gamma\xi \in TY$ we denote the horizontal lift of a vector $\xi \in \pi^*(TX)$.

Notice that for any connections $\Gamma, \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2$ on π and a soldering form φ on π , $h_{\Gamma_1} - h_{\Gamma_2}$ is a soldering form and $h_\Gamma + \varphi$ defines again a connection on π .

We denote by $J^1\pi_1$ the second nonholonomic prolongation of π and by $\widehat{J}^2\pi \subset J^1\pi_1$ the second semiholonomic prolongation of π . If $(x^i, y^\sigma, y_i^\sigma, y_{i;j}^\sigma, y_{i;j}^\sigma)$ are the induced coordinates on $J^1\pi_1$, then $\widehat{J}^2\pi$ is characterized by $y_{i;j}^\sigma = y_{j;i}^\sigma$. Finally, the second (holonomic) prolongation $J^2\pi$ of π has local fibred coordinates $(x^i, y^\sigma, y_i^\sigma, y_{i;j}^\sigma)$. Recall that $(\pi_1)_{1,0}: J^1\pi_1 \rightarrow J^1\pi$ or $\widehat{\pi}_{2,1}: \widehat{J}^2\pi \rightarrow J^1\pi$ or $\pi_{2,1}: J^2\pi \rightarrow J^1\pi$ are affine bundles modelled on the associated vector bundles $V_{\pi_1}J^1\pi \otimes \pi_1^*(T^*X)$ or $V_{\pi_{1,0}}J^1\pi \otimes \pi_1^*(T^*X)$ or $\pi_{1,0}^*(V_\pi Y) \otimes \pi_1^*(S^2T^*X)$ over $J^1\pi$, respectively.

A connection on π_1 is a section $\Sigma: J^1\pi \rightarrow J^1\pi_1$ of $(\pi_1)_{1,0}$. Due to $\widehat{J}^2\pi \subset J^1\pi_1$, a *semiholonomic connection* on π_1 is a section $\widehat{\Gamma}^{(2)}: J^1\pi \rightarrow \widehat{J}^2\pi$ of $\widehat{\pi}_{2,1}$ with $H_{\widehat{\Gamma}^{(2)}}$ spanned by the vector fields $\partial/\partial x^i + y_i^\sigma \partial/\partial y^\sigma + \widehat{\Gamma}_{i;j}^\sigma \partial/\partial y_j^\sigma$, where $\widehat{\Gamma}_{i;j}^\sigma$ need not be symmetric. Notice that evidently $H_{\widehat{\Gamma}^{(2)}}$ is a subdistribution of the canonical *Cartan distribution* $C_{\pi_{1,0}}$ on $J^1\pi$.

The 2-connections on π (holonomic connections on π_1) are intrinsically related to the theory of second-order differential equations. Such a 2-connection is a section $\Gamma^{(2)}: J^1\pi \rightarrow J^2\pi$ of $\pi_{2,1}$, locally expressed by $y_{i;j}^\sigma \circ \Gamma^{(2)} = \Gamma_{i;j}^\sigma$, where $\Gamma_{i;j}^\sigma = \Gamma_{j;i}^\sigma$ are the components of $\Gamma^{(2)}$. The horizontal form of $\Gamma^{(2)}$ is $h_{\Gamma^{(2)}}: J^1\pi \rightarrow TJ^1\pi \otimes \pi_1^*(T^*X)$, locally expressed by $h_{\Gamma^{(2)}} = D_{\Gamma^{(2)}i} \otimes dx^i$, where $D_{\Gamma^{(2)}i} = \partial/\partial x^i + y_i^\sigma \partial/\partial y^\sigma + \Gamma_{i;j}^\sigma \partial/\partial y_j^\sigma$ is the i -th absolute derivative with respect to $\Gamma^{(2)}$. The canonical decomposition generated by $h_{\Gamma^{(2)}}$ is $TJ^1\pi = V_{\pi_1}J^1\pi \oplus H_{\Gamma^{(2)}}$, where the n -dimensional π_1 -horizontal distribution $H_{\Gamma^{(2)}} = \text{Im } h_{\Gamma^{(2)}}$ is locally generated by the vector fields $D_{\Gamma^{(2)}i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Additionally, we consider first jet prolongations $J^1\pi_{1,0}$ or $J^1\pi_{2,1}$, i.e. the manifolds of 1-jets of local connections on π or of local 2-connections on π , respectively. The additional induced coordinates on $J^1\pi_{1,0}$ or on $J^1\pi_{2,1}$ are denoted by $z_{ij}^\sigma, z_{i\lambda}^\sigma$ or $z_{ijk}^\sigma, z_{ij\lambda}^\sigma, z_{ij\lambda}^{\sigma k}$, respectively. The vector bundle associated to $(\pi_{1,0})_{1,0}: J^1\pi_{1,0} \rightarrow J^1\pi$ is now evidently $V_{\pi_{1,0}}J^1\pi \otimes \pi_{1,0}^*(T^*Y) \rightarrow J^1\pi$.

Accordingly, a connection on $\pi_{1,0}$ is a section $\Xi: J^1\pi \rightarrow J^1\pi_{1,0}$ of $(\pi_{1,0})_{1,0}$ with the horizontal form $h_\Xi: J^1\pi \rightarrow TJ^1\pi \otimes \pi_{1,0}^*(T^*Y)$ locally expressed by $h_\Xi = D_{\Xi j} \otimes dx^j + D_{\Xi\lambda} \otimes dy^\lambda$, where $D_{\Xi j} = \partial/\partial x^j + \Xi_{ij}^\sigma \partial/\partial y_i^\sigma$, $D_{\Xi\lambda} = \partial/\partial y^\lambda + \Xi_{i\lambda}^\sigma \partial/\partial y_i^\sigma$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$ and $\lambda = 1, \dots, m$. The decomposition generated by h_Ξ is $TJ^1\pi = V_{\pi_{1,0}}J^1\pi \oplus H_\Xi$, where the $(n+m)$ -dimensional $\pi_{1,0}$ -horizontal distribution $H_\Xi = \text{Im } h_\Xi$ is locally generated by the vector fields $D_{\Xi j}$ and $D_{\Xi\lambda}$.

1. CHARACTERISTIC CONNECTIONS

In this section we summarize the notions and results of [5], [8] and [15] necessary for further considerations and applications.

Theorem 1 [5]. *All natural transformations of $J^1\pi_{1,0}$ into $J^1\pi_1$ over the identity*

of $J^1\pi$ form a 1-parameter family $\{f_a\}$, where

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} y_{i;i}^\sigma \circ f_a &= y_i^\sigma \\ y_{i;j}^\sigma \circ f_a &= z_{ij}^\sigma + z_{i\lambda}^\sigma y_j^\lambda + a(z_{ij}^\sigma - z_{ji}^\sigma + z_{i\lambda}^\sigma y_j^\lambda - z_{j\lambda}^\sigma y_i^\lambda) \end{aligned}$$

for an arbitrary $a \in \mathbb{R}$.

The term $z_{ij}^\sigma + z_{i\lambda}^\sigma y_j^\lambda$ in (1.1) represents a coordinate expression of the canonical mapping $f_0: J^1\pi_{1,0} \rightarrow J^1\pi_1$. This mapping has the form $j_y^1\Gamma \mapsto j_x^1(\Gamma \circ \gamma)$, where $\Gamma: V \subset Y \rightarrow J^1\pi$ is a local connection on π , $\Gamma(y) = j_x^1\gamma$, $\gamma: U \subset X \rightarrow Y$. This corresponds to the fact that $f_0(j_y^1\Gamma) = J^1(\Gamma, \text{id}_X) \circ \Gamma(y)$ for any $y \in V$, where by $J^1(\Gamma, \text{id}_X)$ we denote the prolongation of Γ considered as a fibred morphism over X .

The natural projections

$$s: \widehat{J}^2\pi \rightarrow J^2\pi \quad \text{and} \quad r: \widehat{J}^2\pi \rightarrow \pi_{1,0}^*(V_\pi Y \otimes \pi^*(\Lambda^2 T^*X))$$

corresponding to the canonical bundle isomorphism

$$\widehat{J}^2\pi \cong J^2\pi \times_{J^1\pi} [\pi_{1,0}^*(V_\pi Y \otimes \pi^*(\Lambda^2 T^*X))]$$

express the symmetric and antisymmetric part of every fibred coordinate $y_{i;j}^\sigma$. Consequently one can define the mappings

$$\begin{aligned} S &= s \circ f_0: J^1\pi_{1,0} \rightarrow J^2\pi, \\ R &= r \circ f_0: J^1\pi_{1,0} \rightarrow \pi_{1,0}^*(V_\pi Y \otimes \pi^*(\Lambda^2 T^*X)) \end{aligned}$$

with the components

$$\begin{aligned} S_{ij}^\sigma &= \frac{1}{2}(z_{ij}^\sigma + z_{ji}^\sigma + z_{i\lambda}^\sigma y_j^\lambda + z_{j\lambda}^\sigma y_i^\lambda) \\ R_{ij}^\sigma &= \frac{1}{2}(z_{ij}^\sigma - z_{ji}^\sigma + z_{i\lambda}^\sigma y_j^\lambda - z_{j\lambda}^\sigma y_i^\lambda), \end{aligned}$$

and the family of transformations (1.1) may be rewritten to

$$\{f_b\}_{b \in \mathbb{R}} \equiv \{y_{i;i}^\sigma = y_i^\sigma, y_{i;j}^\sigma = S_{ij}^\sigma + b R_{ij}^\sigma\}_{b \in \mathbb{R}}.$$

Clearly, for each $a \in \mathbb{R}$ we get $s \circ f_a = S$ and $R_a := r \circ f_a = (1 + 2a)R$.

As a corollary we get :

Proposition 1 [5]. *All natural transformations transforming connections on $\pi_{1,0}$ into (in fact, semiholonomic) connections on π_1 are of the form $\Xi \mapsto f_a \circ \Xi$. The only natural transformation transforming connections on $\pi_{1,0}$ into 2-connections on π is of the form $\Xi \mapsto S \circ \Xi$.*

Thus there is a unique 2-connection $\Gamma^{(2)}$ on π naturally assigned to any connection Ξ on $\pi_{1,0}$, defined by $\Gamma^{(2)} = S \circ \Xi$. For $\Xi_{ij}^\sigma, \Xi_{i\lambda}^\sigma$ being the components of Ξ , those of $\Gamma^{(2)}$ are in fibred coordinates expressed by

$$\Gamma_{ij}^\sigma = \frac{1}{2}(\Xi_{ij}^\sigma + \Xi_{ji}^\sigma + \Xi_{i\lambda}^\sigma y_j^\lambda + \Xi_{j\lambda}^\sigma y_i^\lambda).$$

If $R \circ \Xi = 0$ then $R_a \circ \Xi = 0$ for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$. Locally it reads

$$(1.2) \quad \Xi_{ij}^\sigma - \Xi_{ji}^\sigma + \Xi_{i\lambda}^\sigma y_j^\lambda - \Xi_{j\lambda}^\sigma y_i^\lambda = 0 .$$

Due to the properties of the distributions $H_{\Gamma^{(2)}}$ and H_Ξ we get that if Ξ is a connection on $\pi_{1,0}$ and $\Gamma^{(2)} = S \circ \Xi$, then $H_{\Gamma^{(2)}} \subset H_\Xi$ if and only if $R \circ \Xi = 0$ and thus $H_{\Gamma^{(2)}} \subset H_\Xi$ if and only if $\Gamma^{(2)} = f_0 \circ \Xi$. Accordingly, a connection Ξ on $\pi_{1,0}$ is called *characterizable* if $R \circ \Xi = 0$ and the corresponding 2-connection $\Gamma^{(2)} = S \circ \Xi$ is called the *characteristic connection* of Ξ . Since the local conditions for Ξ to be characterizable are (1.2), the components of its characteristic connection are

$$\Gamma_{ij}^\sigma = \Xi_{ij}^\sigma + \Xi_{i\lambda}^\sigma y_j^\lambda .$$

Proposition 2 [15]. *Let Ξ be a characterizable connection on $\pi_{1,0}$ and $\Gamma^{(2)}$ its characteristic connection on π . Then $F_\Xi = 2h_\Xi - h_{\Gamma^{(2)}} - I$ is an $\mathfrak{f}(3,-1)$ structure on $J^1\pi$ of rank $m(n+1)$.*

It can be shown that $F_\Xi^2 - I = -h_{\Gamma^{(2)}}$, $F_\Xi^2 + F_\Xi = 2(h_\Xi - h_{\Gamma^{(2)}})$ and $F_\Xi^2 - F_\Xi = 2v_\Xi$. Consequently, there is a canonically determined direct sum decomposition

$$(1.3) \quad TJ^1\pi = V_{\pi_{1,0}}J^1\pi \oplus H_{\Gamma^{(2)}} \oplus H_{F_\Xi} ,$$

where $H_{\Gamma^{(2)}} \oplus H_{F_\Xi} = H_\Xi$. The m -dimensional distribution $H_{F_\Xi} = \text{Im}(h_\Xi - h_{\Gamma^{(2)}})$ is called *strong horizontal*, which means the decomposition

$$V_{\pi_1}J^1\pi = V_{\pi_{1,0}}J^1\pi \oplus H_{F_\Xi} .$$

A *reduced connection of type (1,0)* on π is a section $\Gamma_{(1,0)}: \pi_{1,0}^*(V_\pi Y) \rightarrow V_{\pi_1}J^1\pi$ linear in \dot{y}^σ , given by $\dot{y}_i^\sigma \circ \Gamma_{(1,0)} = \Gamma_{i\lambda}^\sigma(x^j, y^\sigma, y_i^\sigma) \dot{y}^\lambda$. In other words, $\Gamma_{(1,0)}$ represents a lift of vector fields expressed by

$$\left(j_x^1 \gamma, \zeta^\sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial y^\sigma} \Big|_{\gamma(x)} \right) \xrightarrow{\Gamma_{(1,0)}} \zeta^\sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial y^\sigma} \Big|_{j_x^1 \gamma} + \Gamma_{i\lambda}^\sigma \zeta^\lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i^\sigma} \Big|_{j_x^1 \gamma} ,$$

and thus it generates a decomposition

$$V_{\pi_1}J^1\pi = V_{\pi_{1,0}}J^1\pi \oplus H_{\Gamma_{(1,0)}}$$

with $H_{\Gamma_{(1,0)}} = \text{Im} \Gamma_{(1,0)}$ generated by the vector fields $\partial/\partial y^\lambda + \Gamma_{i\lambda}^\sigma \partial/\partial y_i^\sigma$ for $\lambda = 1, \dots, m$.

Prop. 2 can thus be reformulated.

Proposition 3. *Any characterizable connection Ξ on $\pi_{1,0}$ splits into the direct sum of a 2-connection $\Gamma^{(2)}$ on π and a reduced connection $\Gamma_{(1,0)}$ of type (1,0) on π . The decomposition is given by $H_\Xi = H_{\Gamma^{(2)}} \oplus H_{\Gamma_{(1,0)}}$, where $\Gamma^{(2)}$ is the characteristic connection of Ξ and $\Gamma_{(1,0)} = h_\Xi|_{\pi_{1,0}^*(V_\pi Y)}$.*

We refer to [15] for the importance of reduced connections (or in other words of the corresponding strong horizontal subbundles) in the theory of symmetries of the corresponding characteristic connection $\Gamma^{(2)}$.

Let $\Gamma^{(2)}$ be an integrable 2-connection on π . A (generally local) connection Ξ on $\pi_{1,0}$ is called an *integral* of $\Gamma^{(2)}$ if Ξ is integrable and $\Gamma^{(2)}$ is its characteristic connection.

We have shown in [8] (in terms of the so-called *fields of paths*) that the meaning of searching for integrals of a given $\Gamma^{(2)}$ rests upon the possibility of transferring the problem of solving second-order equations related to $\Gamma^{(2)}$ to that of solving a family of first-order equations for integral sections of Ξ . In the same paper, the possibility for a local integral of $\Gamma^{(2)}$ to be constructed by means of a set of independent first integrals of $H_{\Gamma^{(2)}}$, was presented.

The following questions naturally appear in terms of the above considerations. First, whether there exist transformations ‘converse’ in some sense to those of Theorem 1; in particular: is there a possibility to assign a (global) characterizable connection Ξ on $\pi_{1,0}$ to a given 2-connection $\Gamma^{(2)}$ on π ? And secondly: what conditions (if any) must be satisfied for Ξ to be a (global) integral of $\Gamma^{(2)}$?

It is worth mentioning here the existing results closely related to these questions. For $\dim X = 1$, the fibred coordinates on $J^2\pi$ or $J^1\pi_{1,0}$ are denoted by $(t, q^\sigma, q_{(1)}^\sigma, q_{(2)}^\sigma)$ or $(t, q^\sigma, q_{(1)}^\sigma, z^\sigma, z_\lambda^\sigma)$, respectively. Accordingly, the components of $\Gamma^{(2)}$ or Ξ are $\Gamma_{(2)}^\sigma$ or $\Xi^\sigma, \Xi_\lambda^\sigma$, respectively.

In [14], the following assertion was proved (for the sake of brevity, we present the ‘first-order case’ only).

Proposition 4. *Let $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$ be an arbitrary fibred manifold with $\dim X = 1$. Let $\Gamma^{(2)}: J^1\pi \rightarrow J^2\pi$ be a 2-connection on π , let $\Omega = \omega dt$ be a volume form on X . Then there is a connection $\Xi: J^1\pi \rightarrow J^1\pi_{1,0}$ on $\pi_{1,0}$ whose characterizable connection is $\Gamma^{(2)}$, called a natural dynamical connection of type Ω on $J^1\pi$. The components of Ξ are*

$$\Xi_\lambda^\sigma = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial \Gamma_{(2)}^\sigma}{\partial q_{(1)}^\lambda} - \frac{d\omega}{dt} \frac{1}{\omega} \delta_\lambda^\sigma \right)$$

and

$$\Xi^\sigma = \Gamma_{(2)}^\sigma + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{d\omega}{dt} \frac{1}{\omega} q_{(1)}^\sigma - \frac{\partial \Gamma_{(2)}^\sigma}{\partial q_{(1)}^\lambda} q_{(1)}^\lambda \right) = \Gamma_{(2)}^\sigma - \frac{1}{2} \Xi_\lambda^\sigma q_{(1)}^\lambda.$$

The proposition was proved locally by means of constructing the corresponding $f(3,-1)$ structure rather than Ξ . In the proof, natural affinors (vector-valued one forms) were used (for the case of $\mathbb{R} \times T^1M$ see [4] and for the general situation see [12]). What is interesting in this respect is the fact that these affinors are just the ‘differences’ of the connections on $\pi_{1,0}$, i.e. the sections of the corresponding associated vector bundle (soldering forms).

Supposing $X = \mathbb{R}$ and (t) to be a global canonical coordinate on \mathbb{R} and using a canonical volume form $\Omega = dt$, one obtains the results for $J^1\pi = \mathbb{R} \times TM$ ([1], [2], [3] etc.):

$$\Xi_\lambda^\sigma = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \Gamma_{(2)}^\sigma}{\partial q_{(1)}^\lambda}, \quad \Xi^\sigma = \Gamma_{(2)}^\sigma - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \Gamma_{(2)}^\sigma}{\partial q_{(1)}^\lambda} q_{(1)}^\lambda.$$

The motivation for the results we will present is the fact that the functions

$$\Lambda(t) = -\frac{d\omega}{dt} \frac{1}{\omega}$$

are transformed in the same way as the components of a linear connection Λ on $\tau_X: TX \rightarrow X$, or equivalently $\Lambda^*(t) = -\Lambda(t)$ like the components of the dual connection Λ^* on $\tau_X^*: T^*X \rightarrow X$. In keeping with previous ideas and formalism, a *linear connection* on $\tau_X: TX \rightarrow X$ (or briefly on X) is a section $\Lambda: TX \rightarrow J^1\tau_X$, locally given by

$$(x^i, \dot{x}^i, \dot{x}^j) \circ \Lambda = (x^i, \dot{x}^i, \Lambda_{jk}^i(x^\ell)\dot{x}^k)$$

and the *dual connection* Λ^* of Λ is again a linear connection, now on the dual bundle $\tau_X^*: T^*X \rightarrow X$, the components of which are $\Lambda_{jk}^{*i} = -\Lambda_{jk}^i$ (see [10]).

2. NEW RESULTS

According to the general theory of natural operations in differential geometry [6], natural operators generalize the concept of a geometrical construction. In this context we can pose the question of determining all natural operators (i.e. all possible geometric constructions of a prescribed type). In particular, we look for all geometrical constructions of a connection on $\pi_{1,0}: J^1\pi \rightarrow Y$ by means of a 2-connection on $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$ and a linear connection on X . We will use the concept of a natural operator from [6].

The following assertion represents the main result of this paper.

Theorem 2. *All natural operators transforming a 2-connection $\Gamma^{(2)}$ on π and a linear connection Λ on X into the connection Ξ on $\pi_{1,0}$ being of the first order in $\Gamma^{(2)}$ and of the zero order in Λ are of the form*

$$(2.1) \quad \Xi_a^\Lambda = g_a^\Lambda \circ j^1\Gamma^{(2)}$$

where $g_a^\Lambda: J^1\pi_{2,1} \rightarrow J^1\pi_{1,0}$ is a fibred morphism over $J^1\pi$ locally expressed by

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} z_{i\lambda}^\sigma &= \frac{1}{2}(z_{ik\lambda}^{\sigma k} + \delta_\lambda^\sigma \Lambda_{ki}^k) + a\delta_\lambda^\sigma (\Lambda_{ik}^k - \Lambda_{ki}^k) \\ z_{ij}^\sigma &= y_{ij}^\sigma - z_{i\lambda}^\sigma y_j^\lambda \end{aligned}$$

for any $a \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Denote by $G_{n,m}^3$ the group of all 3-jets at the origin of the diffeomorphisms $\bar{x}^i = \bar{x}^i(x)$, $\bar{y}^\sigma = \bar{y}^\sigma(x, y)$ of \mathbb{R}^{n+m} preserving the origin and the canonical fibration $\mathbb{R}^{n+m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$. Then the local coordinates on $G_{n,m}^3$ which correspond to the partial derivatives of \bar{x}^i and \bar{y}^σ at the origin are

$$(2.3) \quad (a_j^i, a_{jk}^i, a_{jkl}^i, a_i^\sigma, a_{ij}^\sigma, a_{ijk}^\sigma, a_\lambda^\sigma, a_{\lambda i}^\sigma, a_{\lambda ij}^\sigma, a_{\lambda\rho}^\sigma, a_{\lambda\rho i}^\sigma, a_{\lambda\rho r}^\sigma).$$

We shall denote by tilde the coordinates of the element inverse to (2.3) in $G_{n,m}^3$. By [6] and [7] there is a canonical bijection between natural operators and the equivariant maps of the corresponding standard fibres. Hence we have to determine all $G_{n,m}^3$ -equivariant maps

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} z_{i\lambda}^\sigma &= f_{i\lambda}^\sigma(y_i^\sigma, y_{ij}^\sigma, z_{ijk}^\sigma, z_{ij\lambda}^\sigma, z_{ij\lambda}^{\sigma k}, \Lambda_{jk}^i) \\ z_{ij}^\sigma &= f_{ij}^\sigma(y_i^\sigma, y_{ij}^\sigma, z_{ijk}^\sigma, z_{ij\lambda}^\sigma, z_{ij\lambda}^{\sigma k}, \Lambda_{jk}^i) \end{aligned}$$

which express the coordinate form of the natural operators in question. Using standard evaluations we compute the following transformation laws

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\Lambda}_{jk}^i &= a_\ell^i \Lambda_{mn}^\ell \tilde{a}_j^m \tilde{a}_k^n + a_{\ell m}^i \tilde{a}_j^\ell \tilde{a}_k^m \\
\bar{y}_i^\sigma &= a_\lambda^\sigma y_j^\lambda \tilde{a}_i^j + a_j^\sigma \tilde{a}_i^j \\
\bar{y}_{ij}^\sigma &= a_\lambda^\sigma y_{k\ell}^\lambda \tilde{a}_i^k \tilde{a}_j^\ell + a_{\lambda\rho}^\sigma y_k^\lambda y_\ell^\rho \tilde{a}_i^k \tilde{a}_j^\ell + a_{\lambda k}^\sigma y_\ell^\lambda \tilde{a}_i^k \tilde{a}_j^\ell + \\
&\quad + a_{\lambda\ell}^\sigma y_k^\lambda \tilde{a}_i^k \tilde{a}_j^\ell + a_{\lambda j}^\sigma y_k^\lambda \tilde{a}_i^k \tilde{a}_j^\ell + a_{k\ell}^\sigma \tilde{a}_i^k \tilde{a}_j^\ell + a_k^\sigma \tilde{a}_i^k \\
\bar{z}_{i\lambda}^\sigma &= a_\rho^\sigma z_{j\tau}^\rho \tilde{a}_i^\tau \tilde{a}_j^\lambda + a_{\rho\tau}^\sigma y_j^\rho \tilde{a}_i^\tau \tilde{a}_j^\lambda + a_{\rho j}^\sigma \tilde{a}_i^\rho \tilde{a}_j^\lambda \\
\bar{z}_{ij}^\sigma &= a_\lambda^\sigma z_{k\ell}^\lambda \tilde{a}_i^k \tilde{a}_j^\ell + a_{\lambda\rho}^\sigma z_{k\rho}^\lambda \tilde{a}_i^k \tilde{a}_j^\ell + a_{\lambda\ell}^\sigma y_k^\lambda \tilde{a}_i^k \tilde{a}_j^\ell + a_{\lambda\rho}^\sigma y_k^\lambda \tilde{a}_i^k \tilde{a}_j^\ell + \\
&\quad + a_{\lambda j}^\sigma y_k^\lambda \tilde{a}_i^k \tilde{a}_j^\ell + a_{k\ell}^\sigma \tilde{a}_i^k \tilde{a}_j^\ell + a_{k\lambda}^\sigma \tilde{a}_i^k \tilde{a}_j^\ell + a_k^\sigma \tilde{a}_i^k \\
\bar{z}_{ij\lambda}^{\sigma k} &= \tilde{a}_\lambda^\rho a_\ell^k a_\tau^\sigma \tilde{a}_i^\ell \tilde{a}_j^h z_{f h \rho}^{\tau \ell} + \tilde{a}_\lambda^\rho a_\ell^k a_{\rho\tau}^\sigma y_h^\tau \tilde{a}_i^\ell \tilde{a}_j^h + \tilde{a}_\lambda^\rho a_\ell^k a_{\tau\rho}^\sigma y_h^\tau \tilde{a}_i^h \tilde{a}_j^\ell + \\
&\quad + \tilde{a}_\lambda^\rho a_\ell^k a_{\rho h}^\sigma \tilde{a}_i^h \tilde{a}_j^\ell + \tilde{a}_\lambda^\rho a_\ell^k a_{\rho h}^\sigma \tilde{a}_i^h \tilde{a}_j^\ell + \tilde{a}_\lambda^\rho a_\ell^k a_\rho^\sigma \tilde{a}_i^\ell \\
\bar{z}_{ijk}^\sigma &= a_\lambda^\sigma \tilde{a}_i^\ell \tilde{a}_j^h \tilde{a}_k^\lambda z_{f \ell h}^\lambda + \dots \\
\bar{z}_{ij\lambda}^\sigma &= \tilde{a}_\lambda^\tau a_\rho^\sigma \tilde{a}_i^k \tilde{a}_j^\ell z_{k\ell\tau}^\rho + \dots ,
\end{aligned}$$

where for \bar{z}_{ijk}^σ and $\bar{z}_{ij\lambda}^\sigma$ we shall need only the first terms. The homotheties $\tilde{a}_j^i = k\delta_j^i$, $a_\lambda^\sigma = \delta_\lambda^\sigma$ with other a 's vanishing will be called the base homotheties. Quite analogously, the fibre homotheties will be characterized by $\tilde{a}_j^i = \delta_j^i$, $a_\lambda^\sigma = k\delta_\lambda^\sigma$. Consider first the map $f_{i\lambda}^\sigma$ from (2.4). Using the equivariance with respect to the base homotheties we obtain a homogeneity condition

$$k f_{i\lambda}^\sigma = f_{i\lambda}^\sigma(k y_i^\sigma, k^2 y_{ij}^\sigma, k^3 z_{ijk}^\sigma, k^2 z_{ij\lambda}^\sigma, k z_{ij\lambda}^{\sigma k}, k \Lambda_{jk}^i) .$$

By the homogeneous function theorem [6] $f_{i\lambda}^\sigma$ is independent of y_{ij}^σ , z_{ijk}^σ , $z_{ij\lambda}^\sigma$ and linear in y_i^σ , $z_{ij\lambda}^{\sigma k}$, Λ_{jk}^i . Next, the fibre homotheties yield

$$f_{i\lambda}^\sigma = f_{i\lambda}^\sigma(k y_i^\sigma, z_{ij\lambda}^{\sigma k}, \Lambda_{jk}^i)$$

so that $f_{i\lambda}^\sigma$ is linear in $z_{ij\lambda}^{\sigma k}$, Λ_{jk}^i . Denote further by $G \subset G_{n,m}^3$ the subgroup with arbitrary a_j^i , a_λ^σ and with other a 's vanishing. Then the equivariance with respect to G implies that $f_{i\lambda}^\sigma$ correspond to the $GL(n, \mathbb{R}) \times GL(m, \mathbb{R})$ -invariant tensors. Taking into account the symmetry of $z_{ij\lambda}^{\sigma k}$ in i, j and applying the invariant tensor theorem [6] we find that

$$f_{i\lambda}^\sigma = a z_{ik\lambda}^{\sigma k} + b \delta_\lambda^\sigma \Lambda_{ik}^k + c \delta_\lambda^\sigma \Lambda_{ki}^k + d \delta_\lambda^\sigma z_{ik\rho}^{\rho k}$$

with real parameters a, b, c, d . Finally, the full equivariance with respect to the subgroup $L \subset G_{n,m}^3$ characterized by $a_j^i = \delta_j^i$, $a_\lambda^\sigma = \delta_\lambda^\sigma$ reads

$$\begin{aligned}
& a z_{ik\lambda}^{\sigma k} + b \delta_\lambda^\sigma \Lambda_{ik}^k + c \delta_\lambda^\sigma \Lambda_{ki}^k + d \delta_\lambda^\sigma z_{ik\rho}^{\rho k} + a_{\lambda\rho}^\sigma y_i^\rho + a_{\lambda i}^\sigma \\
&= a(z_{ik\lambda}^{\sigma k} + a_{\lambda\rho}^\sigma y_i^\rho + a_{\rho\lambda}^\sigma y_i^\rho + a_{\lambda i}^\sigma + a_{\lambda i}^\sigma + \delta_\lambda^\sigma \tilde{a}_{ik}^k) \\
&+ d \delta_\lambda^\sigma (z_{ik\rho}^{\rho k} + a_{\rho\tau}^\sigma y_i^\tau + a_{\rho\tau}^\sigma y_i^\tau + a_{\rho i}^\sigma + a_{\rho i}^\sigma + \tilde{a}_{ik}^k) \\
&+ b \delta_\lambda^\sigma \Lambda_{ik}^k + b \delta_\lambda^\sigma a_{ik}^k + c \delta_\lambda^\sigma \Lambda_{ki}^k + c \delta_\lambda^\sigma a_{ki}^k
\end{aligned}$$

This implies $a = \frac{1}{2}$, $d = 0$, $b + c = a$ which corresponds to the first equation of (2.2). Applying the same procedure to f_{ij}^σ we obtain

$$f_{ij}^\sigma = a_1 y_i^\sigma + a_2 y_i^\sigma z_{jk\rho}^{\rho k} + a_3 y_i^\rho z_{jk\rho}^{\sigma k} + a_4 y_j^\sigma z_{ik\rho}^{\rho k} + a_5 y_j^\rho z_{ik\rho}^{\sigma k} + a_6 y_k^\sigma z_{ij\rho}^{\rho k} + a_7 y_k^\rho z_{ij\rho}^{\sigma k} \\ + a_8 y_i^\sigma \Lambda_{jk}^k + a_9 y_i^\sigma \Lambda_{kj}^k + a_{10} y_j^\sigma \Lambda_{ik}^k + a_{11} y_j^\sigma \Lambda_{ki}^k + a_{12} y_k^\sigma \Lambda_{ij}^k + a_{13} y_k^\sigma \Lambda_{ji}^k .$$

The equivariance with respect to L then leads to such relations among a_1, \dots, a_{13} , which correspond to the second equation of (2.2). \square

The verification of the following assertion is an easy replica of the proof of Theorem 2.

Proposition 5. *There is no first order natural operator transforming 2-connections on π into connections on $\pi_{1,0}$.*

It should be mentioned that the presence of a linear connection Λ on X is not surprising since linear connections on the base manifold play an important role in many other geometrical constructions on jet spaces, see [6].

Remark 1. In Theorem 2 we have discussed natural operators of the first order in $\Gamma^{(2)}$ and of the zero order in Λ . Using homotheties one can easily prove that zero is the maximal finite order in Λ . In other words, the connection Ξ on $\pi_{1,0}$ cannot depend on the higher order derivatives $D^\alpha \Lambda_{ij}^k$, where the multiindex α satisfies $|\alpha| \geq 1$.

Let Λ be a linear connection on X with the torsion T . Contracting T one obtains a 1-form $\widehat{T} = T_i dx^i$ with $T_i = T_{ik}^k = \Lambda_{ik}^k - \Lambda_{ki}^k$. Moreover, the following assertion appears.

Proposition 6. *All natural operators transforming linear connections on X into 1-forms on X are of the form*

$$\Lambda \mapsto k\widehat{T}, \quad k \in \mathbb{R} .$$

Proof. Denote by $Q = \Lambda^1 \mathbb{R}^{m*}$ the standard fibre of $\Lambda^1 T^*$ and by $F_0 = (QP^1 \mathbb{R}^m)_0$ the standard fibre of the bundle QP^1 of linear connections.

Step 1. By [6] the zero order operators $QP^1 \rightsquigarrow \Lambda^1 T^*$ correspond to the G_m^2 -equivariant maps $F_0 \rightarrow Q$ of the form $\omega_i = \omega_i(\Lambda_{jk}^i)$, where ω_i are the induced coordinates on Q . Using the equivariance with respect to the homotheties we get $k\omega_i = \omega_i(k\Lambda_{jk}^i)$, which implies that ω_i are linear in Λ_{jk}^i , i.e. $\omega_i = k_1 \Lambda_{\ell i}^\ell + k_2 \Lambda_{i\ell}^\ell$, $k_i \in \mathbb{R}$. The full equivariance then leads to the relation $k_1 = -k_2$. Hence $\omega_i = k(\Lambda_{\ell i}^\ell - \Lambda_{i\ell}^\ell)$, which is the coordinate form of our assertion.

Step 2. Using homotheties one easily evaluates that the r -th order natural operators are reduced to the case 1 for any $r > 0$.

Step 3. By [6] every natural operator $QP^1 \rightsquigarrow \Lambda^1 T^*$ has finite order. \square

According to [12], any linear connection Λ on X thus generates canonically a vector-valued 1-form

$$(2.5) \quad S_\Lambda = T_i \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i^\sigma} \otimes (dy^\sigma - y_j^\sigma dx^j) .$$

Clearly, S_Λ is a soldering form on $\pi_{1,0}$ or in other words a *deformation* of the connections on $\pi_{1,0}$, trivial if and only if Λ is torsion free. The above connection Ξ_a^Λ from (2.2) can be then written as

$$(2.6) \quad \Xi_a^\Lambda = \Xi_0^\Lambda + a S_\Lambda ,$$

where the components of Ξ_0^Λ are by (2.2)

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \Xi_{i\lambda}^\sigma &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial \Gamma_{ik}^\sigma}{\partial y_\lambda^k} + \delta_\lambda^\sigma \Lambda^{ki} \right) \\ \Xi_{ij}^\sigma &= \Gamma_{ij}^\sigma - \Xi_{i\lambda}^\sigma y_j^\lambda \end{aligned}$$

for Γ_{ij}^σ being the components of $\Gamma^{(2)}$. Recall in this context the result of [6]: all natural operators transforming linear connections on X into themselves form a 3-parameter family

$$(2.8) \quad \tilde{\Lambda} = \Lambda + k_1 T + k_2 I \otimes \hat{T} + k_3 \hat{T} \otimes I$$

where $k_1, k_2, k_3 \in \mathbb{R}$, \hat{T} is a contracted torsion of Λ and I is the identity tensor of $TX \otimes T^*X$. Then the term $a S_\Lambda$ in (2.6) expresses the difference between Ξ_0^Λ and $\Xi_0^{\tilde{\Lambda}}$ corresponding to (2.8).

Remark 2. It is easy to see a geometrical interpretation of Ξ_0^Λ in the case of one-dimensional base X . In this situation, for any volume form $\Omega = \omega dt$ on X which is an integral section of the dual connection Λ^* (i.e. $\Lambda^* \circ \Omega = j^1\Omega$), the natural dynamical connection of type Ω (see Prop. 4) is just Ξ_0^Λ .

Proposition 7. *A connection Ξ_a^Λ from (2.1) is characterizable with the characteristic connection $\Gamma^{(2)}$ for any Λ and a .*

Proof. Immediately from the second part of (2.2) we obtain (1.2). \square

The whole situation can be described by the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} J^1\pi_{1,0} & \xlongequal{\quad} & J^1\pi_{1,0} & \xlongequal{\quad} & J^1\pi_{1,0} \\ f_b \downarrow & & \Xi_a^\Lambda \uparrow & & g_a^\Lambda \uparrow \\ J^2\pi & \xleftarrow{\Gamma^{(2)}} & J^1\pi & \xrightarrow{j^1\Gamma^{(2)}} & J^1\pi_{2,1} . \end{array}$$

Notice that the class of characterizable connections Ξ on $\pi_{1,0}$ with the same characteristic $\Gamma^{(2)}$ is wide (any such Ξ will be called *associated* to $\Gamma^{(2)}$). In fact, it is easy to see that there is a family of natural linear morphisms of $V_{\pi_{1,0}} J^1\pi \otimes \pi_{1,0}^*(T^*Y)$ into $\pi_{1,0}^*(V_\pi Y) \otimes \pi_1^*(T^*X \otimes T^*X)$ over the identity of $J^1\pi$, induced by $\{f_a\}$ from Theorem 1. Using the alternative description by S and R , the corresponding associated transformations read

$$\bar{f}_b = \bar{S}_{ij}^\sigma + b \bar{R}_{ij}^\sigma ,$$

where

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{S}_{ij}^\sigma &= \frac{1}{2}(\varphi_{ij}^\sigma + \varphi_{ji}^\sigma + \varphi_{i\lambda}^\sigma y_j^\lambda + \varphi_{j\lambda}^\sigma y_i^\lambda) \\ \bar{R}_{ij}^\sigma &= \frac{1}{2}(\varphi_{ij}^\sigma - \varphi_{ji}^\sigma + \varphi_{i\lambda}^\sigma y_j^\lambda - \varphi_{j\lambda}^\sigma y_i^\lambda) \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{S}_{ij}^\sigma \circ \varphi &\in \pi_{1,0}^*(V\pi Y) \otimes \pi_1^*(S^2 T^*X) \\ \bar{R}_{ij}^\sigma \circ \varphi &\in \pi_{1,0}^*(V\pi Y) \otimes \pi_1^*(\Lambda^2 T^*X) \end{aligned}$$

for

$$\varphi = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i^\sigma} \otimes (\varphi_{ij}^\sigma dx^j + \varphi_{i\lambda}^\sigma dy^\lambda): J^1\pi \rightarrow V_{\pi_{1,0}} J^1\pi \otimes \pi_{1,0}^*(T^*Y).$$

Then φ can be called *admissible deformation* on $\pi_{1,0}$ if and only if $\varphi \in \ker \bar{f}_b$, since just these deformations do not change the characteristic connection when added to the given associated Ξ ; the local conditions for φ to be admissible are

$$(2.10) \quad \varphi_{ij}^\sigma + \varphi_{i\lambda}^\sigma y_j^\lambda = 0$$

for any σ, i, j . Remark that for any 1-form $\lambda = \lambda_i dx^i$ on X , $S_\lambda = \lambda_i \partial / \partial y_i^\sigma \otimes (dy^\sigma - y_j^\sigma dx^j)$ is admissible.

Following the ideas mentioned in the previous section, we finally discuss the integrability of connections (and thus of corresponding equations) under consideration. Integrability conditions for a connection Γ on π , meaning equivalently the involutiveness of the corresponding horizontal distribution H_Γ , can be expressed among others by the vanishing of the Frölicher-Nijenhuis bracket $[h_\Gamma, h_\Gamma]$ or equivalently of the Lie bracket $[D_{\Gamma i}, D_{\Gamma j}]$ for $i, j = 1, \dots, n$. Consequently, the analogous integrability conditions for other connections in question will be applied. In particular, a connection Ξ on $\pi_{1,0}$ is integrable if and only if

$$(2.11) \quad [D_{\Xi i}, D_{\Xi j}] = 0$$

$$(2.12) \quad [D_{\Xi \lambda}, D_{\Xi \sigma}] = 0$$

$$(2.13) \quad [D_{\Xi i}, D_{\Xi \lambda}] = 0$$

for any i, j, σ, λ .

Let Ξ be characterizable and $\Gamma^{(2)}$ its characteristic connection. Then for any $i = 1, \dots, n$

$$(2.14) \quad D_{\Gamma^{(2)}i} = D_{\Xi i} + y_i^\lambda D_{\Xi \lambda}$$

and as a consequence

$$(2.15) \quad \begin{aligned} [D_{\Gamma^{(2)}i}, D_{\Gamma^{(2)}j}] &= \\ &= y_i^\lambda y_j^\sigma [D_{\Xi \lambda}, D_{\Xi \sigma}] + y_j^\lambda [D_{\Xi i}, D_{\Xi \lambda}] - y_i^\lambda [D_{\Xi j}, D_{\Xi \lambda}] + [D_{\Xi i}, D_{\Xi j}] \end{aligned}$$

for any $i, j = 1, \dots, n$, which means that if Ξ is integrable so is $\Gamma^{(2)}$ (we refer to [8] for an alternative procedure).

Conversely, if Ξ is associated with an integrable $\Gamma^{(2)}$, then it is not possible to say much about the integrability of Ξ in general. Suppose then additionally the involutivity of the strong horizontal distribution $H_{F_{\Xi}}$, which means just (2.12) for all σ, λ ; recall that the involutivity both of $H_{\Gamma^{(2)}}$ and $H_{F_{\Xi}}$ is generated e.g. by the vanishing of $N_{F_{\Xi}} = [F_{\Xi}, F_{\Xi}]$ (called the *integrability* of the $f(3,-1)$ -structure F_{Ξ}). Due to $H_{\Xi} = H_{\Gamma^{(2)}} \oplus H_{F_{\Xi}}$, Ξ is then integrable if and only if

$$[D_{\Gamma^{(2)}i}, D_{\Xi\lambda}] \in H_{\Xi}$$

for any i and λ . Under the above assumptions and by means of (2.14) we get

$$[D_{\Gamma^{(2)}i}, D_{\Xi\lambda}] = [D_{\Xi i}, D_{\Xi\lambda}] - \Xi_{i\lambda}^{\sigma} D_{\Xi\sigma}$$

and thus the following assertion can be presented.

Proposition 8. *Let $\Gamma^{(2)}$ be an integrable 2-connection on π , let Ξ be a connection on $\pi_{1,0}$ associated with $\Gamma^{(2)}$. If the strong horizontal distribution $H_{F_{\Xi}}$ of Ξ is involutive then Ξ is integrable if and only if $[D_{\Xi i}, D_{\Xi\lambda}] = 0$ for any i, λ .*

In such situation, (2.13) locally reads

$$(2.16) \quad D_{\Gamma^{(2)}i}(\Xi_{k\lambda}^{\sigma}) - \frac{\partial \Gamma_{ki}^{\sigma}}{\partial y^{\lambda}} + \left(\Xi_{k\alpha}^{\sigma} \delta_i^{\alpha} - \frac{\partial \Gamma_{ki}^{\sigma}}{\partial y_i^{\alpha}} \right) \Xi_{\ell\lambda}^{\alpha} = 0$$

for any $i, k = 1, \dots, n$ and $\sigma, \lambda = 1, \dots, m$.

Let $\dim X = 1$. Then any 2-connection $\Gamma^{(2)}$ on π is integrable (as a system of ordinary differential equations), (2.11) holds trivially and consequently (2.15) means that (2.12) holds for any connection Ξ on $\pi_{1,0}$. Accordingly, Ξ is integrable if and only if (2.13) holds. Then analogously to (2.16) we obtain local conditions

$$(2.17) \quad D_{\Gamma^{(2)}}(\Xi_{\lambda}^{\sigma}) - \frac{\partial \Gamma_{(2)}^{\sigma}}{\partial q^{\lambda}} + \left(\Xi_{\alpha}^{\sigma} - \frac{\partial \Gamma_{(2)}^{\sigma}}{\partial q_{(1)}^{\alpha}} \right) \Xi_{\lambda}^{\alpha} = 0$$

for any $\sigma, \lambda = 1, \dots, m$. As a consequence we get :

Corollary 1. *Let $\dim X = 1$, let $\Gamma^{(2)}$ be a 2-connection on π and Λ a linear connection on X . Then the connection Ξ_0^{Λ} is a (global) integral of $\Gamma^{(2)}$ if and only if*

$$D_{\Gamma^{(2)}} \left(\frac{\partial \Gamma_{(2)}^{\sigma}}{\partial q_{(1)}^{\lambda}} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \Gamma_{(2)}^{\sigma}}{\partial q_{(1)}^{\alpha}} \frac{\partial \Gamma_{(2)}^{\alpha}}{\partial q_{(1)}^{\lambda}} - 2 \frac{\partial \Gamma_{(2)}^{\sigma}}{\partial q^{\lambda}} + \left(\frac{d\Lambda}{dt} + \frac{1}{2} \Lambda^2 \right) \delta_{\lambda}^{\sigma} = 0$$

for any $\sigma, \lambda = 1, \dots, m$.

Briefly, the searching for global integrals of $\Gamma^{(2)}$ can be parameterized by linear connections on the base X .

REFERENCES

1. M. de León and P. R. Rodrigues, *Methods of Differential Geometry in Analytical Mechanics*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies 158, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1989.
2. ———, *Dynamical connections and non-autonomous Lagrangian systems*, Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse IX (1988), 171–181.
3. ———, *Higher order almost tangent geometry and non-autonomous Lagrangian dynamics*, Supp. Rend. Circolo Mat. Palermo 16 (1987), 157–171.
4. M. Doupovec and I. Kolář, *Natural affinors on time-dependent Weil bundles*, Arch Math. (Brno) 27 (1991), 205–209.
5. M. Doupovec and A. Vondra, *On certain natural transformations between connections*, Proc. Conf. Diff. Geom. and Its Appl., Opava, 1992, Silesian University, Opava, 1993, pp. 273–279.
6. I. Kolář, P. W. Michor, and J. Slovák, *Natural Operations in Differential Geometry*, Springer, 1993.
7. D. Krupka and J. Janyška, *Lectures on differential invariants*, Folia Fac. Sci. Nat. Univ. Purk. Brun. Phys., J. E. Purkyně University, Brno, 1990.
8. O. Krupková and A. Vondra, *On some integration methods for connections on fibered manifolds*, Proc. Conf. Diff. Geom. and Its Appl., Opava, 1992, Silesian University, Opava, 1993, pp. 89–101.
9. L. Mangiarotti and M. Modugno, *Fibred spaces, Jet spaces and Connections for Field Theories*, In Proceedings of International Meeting “Geometry and Physics”, Florence, 1982, Pitagora Editrice, Bologna, 1983, pp. 135–165.
10. ———, *Connections and differential calculus on fibred manifolds. Applications to field theory*, Istituto di Matematica Applicata “G.Sansone”, Firenze (to appear).
11. W. Sarlet, E. Martínez, and A. Vandecasteele, *Calculus of forms along a map adapted to the study of second-order differential equations*, Proc. Conf. Diff. Geom. and Its Appl., Opava, 1992, Silesian University, Opava, 1993, pp. 123–133.
12. D. J. Saunders, *The Geometry of Jet Bundles*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series 142, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989.
13. A. Vondra, *Sprays and homogeneous connections on $R \times TM$* , Arch. Math. (Brno) 28 (1992), 163–173.
14. ———, *Natural dynamical connections*, Czechoslovak Math. J. 41 (1991), 724–730.
15. ———, *Symmetries of connections on fibred manifolds* (to appear).
16. R. V. Vosylius, *The 1-integrability of non-holonomic differential geometrical structures*. 1. $\Gamma_{1,2}$ - connections, Liet. matem. rink. 27(1) (1987), 28–37 (Russian); 2. *The conditions of 1-integrability*, Liet. matem. rink. 27(2) (1987), 236–245. (Russian)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY OF BRNO, TECHNICKÁ 2, 616 69 BRNO, CZECH REPUBLIC

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MILITARY ACADEMY IN BRNO, PS 13, 612 00 BRNO, CZECH REPUBLIC