Stanislav Krajči; Peter Vojtáš On the Boolean structure generated by Q-points of ω^*

Acta Universitatis Carolinae. Mathematica et Physica, Vol. 36 (1995), No. 2, 33--38

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/702023

Terms of use:

© Univerzita Karlova v Praze, 1995

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

On the Boolean Structure Generated by Q-Points of ω^*

S. KRAJČI AND P. VOJTÁŠ

Košice*)

Received 15. March 1995

We prove that under $\mathfrak{p} = \mathrm{cf}(\mathfrak{c})$ is $\mathrm{RO}(\mathscr{P}(\omega)/\mathrm{fin}, \subseteq^*)$ isomorphic to the Boolean completion of the partial order of nowhere-dense subsets of ω^* defining Q-points (ordered downwards by inclusion).

Introduction and motivation

In this paper we study Boolean properties of a (naturally defined) ordering of the system of nowhere-dense subsets of ω^* which defines Q-points in the sense, that Q-points of ω^* are exactly those points of ω^* (ultrafilters) which are not in the union of these nwd sets. This study is a continuation of a work which originally arose from two different motivations.

The first motivation is that of [V2] namely to study natural partial orders (e.g. absolutely convergent and divergent series ordered as in comparison and ratio comparison test) from set-theoretic and Boolean-theoretic point of view. In [V2] it was shown that under $\mathfrak{p} = \mathrm{cf}(\mathfrak{c}) \ (\omega_1 = \mathrm{cf}(\mathfrak{c}) \ \mathrm{resp.})$ Boolean completions of these ordering of divergent (convergent resp.) series are isomorphic to $\mathrm{RO}(\mathscr{P}(\omega)/\mathrm{fin})$ – the Boolean completion of the algebra of subsets of natural numbers modulo the ideal of finite sets.

The second motivation is that of [V1], namely a new type (besides topological and combinatorial) of definitions of points of ω^* as those outside of the union of a system of nowhere-dense subsets of ω^* (which leads to new existence theorems for points of ω^*). These systems of nowhere-dense subsets of ω^* are those connected to the definition of the very point, i.e. filters on ω which are connected to series, partitions, etc.

Moreover, in [V1] these two motivations met in an observation that the ordering of divergent series is the same as the ordering of nowhere-dense system induced

^{*)} Šafárik University, Jesenná 5, 041 54 Košice, Slovakia

Mathematical Institute, Slovak Academy of Sciences, Jesenná 5, 041 54 Košice, Slovakia

by series. So, in general (see [V1]) having \mathbb{F} a system of nowhere-dense subsets of ω^* it defines points, which we can call \mathbb{F} -points (in special cases these are rapids, Q-points, etc.) laying outside the union of \mathbb{F} . That is, $j \in \omega^*$ is an \mathbb{F} -point iff $j \in \omega^* \setminus \bigcup \mathbb{F}$. Considering \mathbb{F} as being ordered by inclusion upwards the dominating number $\mathfrak{d}(\mathbb{F}, \subseteq)$ is the number of nwd sets necessary to cover the same portion of ω^* as the whole \mathbb{F} does. By this way we get existence theorems of type $\mathfrak{n}(\omega^*) > \mathfrak{d}(\mathbb{F}, \subseteq)$ implies there are \mathbb{F} -points ($\mathfrak{n}(\omega^*)$ is the Novák number i.e. the minimal number of nwd sets necessary to cover the whole ω^*).

Further, the system (\mathbb{F}_r ' \subseteq) defining rapid ultrafilters was shown in [V1] to be Boolean isomorphic (after completion) to $\mathscr{P}(\omega)$ /fin. So a new type of problems occured, namely, having a system \mathbb{F} of nwd subsets of ω^* ordered by inclusion, look to it downwards and ask about the Boolean type of this ordering.

In this paper we investigate the Boolean structure of $(\mathbb{F}_q, \subseteq)$, where \mathbb{F}_q is the (canonical) system of nwd subsets of ω^* defining Q-points and we show (surprisingly) it is again isomorphic to that of $\mathscr{P}(\omega)$ /fin (after necessary completion).

Notations

Let ω denotes the set of natural numbers, $[\omega]^{\omega}$ is the system of all infinite subsets of ω , $[\omega]^{<\omega}$ is the system of all finite subsets of ω , $\mathscr{P}(\omega)/\text{fin}$ is the Boolean algebra of subsets of ω modulo ideal of finite sets (sometimes seen as $[\omega]^{\omega}$). The Stone space of algebra $\mathscr{P}(\omega)/\text{fin}$ is denoted $\omega^* = \text{St}(\mathscr{P}(\omega)/\text{fin})$ and equipped with the topology generated by base consisting of sets of form:

$$A^* = \{j : j \text{ is a uniform ultrafilter on } \omega \text{ and } A \in j\},\$$

where $A \subseteq \omega$.

For an ideal \mathscr{I} on ω , $\mathscr{F}_{\mathscr{I}}$ denotes the dual filter. Filters on ω can be viewed (represented) as subsets of ω^* in the following way:

$$\delta(\mathscr{F}) = \bigcap \{A^* \colon A \in \mathscr{F}\}$$

is the closed set corresponding to \mathscr{F} . Note that $\delta(\mathscr{F}_{\mathscr{I}})$ is nowhere-dense iff \mathscr{I} is tall (i.e. $(\forall X \in [\omega]^{\omega})(\exists Y \in [X]^{\omega})(Y \in \mathscr{I}))$.

The set $\mathscr{R} \subseteq [\omega]^{<\omega} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ is said to be a (finitary) partition of ω if $\bigcup \mathscr{R} = \omega$ and elements of \mathscr{R} are pairwise disjoint. \mathbb{R} is the system of all (finitary) partitions of ω . (In following we omit the adjective finitary.) The set $\mathscr{A} \subseteq [\omega]^{<\omega} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ is said to be a partial partition of ω if elements of \mathscr{A} are pairwise disjoint and $|\mathscr{A}| < \aleph_0$. $\mathbb{P}\mathbb{R}$ is the system of all partial partitions of ω . Elements of \mathbb{R} are denoted by $\mathscr{R}, \mathscr{S}, \mathscr{T}, \mathscr{W}$ and elements of $\mathbb{P}\mathbb{R}$ by $\mathscr{A}, \mathscr{B}, \mathscr{C}$ respectively.

This work was supported by the grant 2/1224/94 of the Slovak Grant Agency for Science

For $\mathcal{R} \in \mathbb{R}$ we define the ideal

$$\mathscr{I}_{\mathscr{H}} = \{ X \subseteq \omega : (\exists k \in \omega) (\forall R \in \mathscr{R}) | R \cap X | \le k \},\$$

denote $\mathscr{F}_{\mathscr{R}} = \mathscr{F}_{\mathscr{I}_{\mathscr{R}}}$. For partitions \mathscr{R}, \mathscr{S} we write $\mathscr{R} \leq \mathscr{S}$ if $\mathscr{I}_{\mathscr{R}} \supseteq \mathscr{I}_{\mathscr{I}}$ and $\mathscr{R} \approx \mathscr{S}$ if $\mathscr{I}_{\mathscr{R}} = \mathscr{I}_{\mathscr{S}}$.

For $\mathscr{R}, \mathscr{G} \in \mathbb{R}, \mathscr{R}$ is said to be a refinement of \mathscr{G} (denoted by $\mathscr{R} \sqsubseteq \mathscr{G}$) if $(\forall R \in \mathscr{R})(\exists S \in \mathscr{G})(R \subseteq S)$. For $\mathscr{A} \in \mathbb{PR}$ we denote $r(\mathscr{A}) = \mathscr{A} \cup \{\{i\}: i \notin \bigcup \mathscr{A}\}$. Note that $r(\mathscr{A})$ is a partition. For $\mathscr{A} \in \mathbb{PR}, \mathscr{R} \in \mathbb{R}, \mathscr{A}$ is said to be the partial refinement of \mathscr{R} if $r(\mathscr{A}) \sqsubseteq \mathscr{R}$. Denote $\mathscr{R} \cap \Omega : \mathscr{G} = \{R \cap S : R \in \mathscr{R}, S \in \mathscr{G}\} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ the roughest refinement of \mathscr{R} and \mathscr{G} . For $\mathscr{R} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $X \subseteq \omega$ define $\mathscr{R} \upharpoonright X = r(\{R \cap X : R \in \mathscr{R} \& R \cap X \neq \emptyset\})$.

Recall for a Boolean algebra A, $cA = \sup\{|X|: X \text{ is a pairwise disjoint family in } A\}$ (cellularity of A), $ca = c_A a = c(A \upharpoonright a)$ and $\pi A = \min\{|X|: X \text{ dense in } A\}$ (density of A).

Basic facts

It is easy to see that $\mathscr{I}_{\mathscr{H}} = \mathscr{P}(\omega)$ exactly for \mathscr{R} such that $(\exists k) (\forall R \in R) (|R| \leq k)$. Denote \mathbb{R}^0 the set of such \mathscr{R} 's, let $\mathbb{R}^+ = \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{R}^0$. Note that for $\mathscr{R}, \mathscr{L} \in \mathbb{R}^0$ is $\mathscr{R} \approx \mathscr{L}$. It can be shown easily that

$$\mathscr{R} \preccurlyeq \mathscr{S} \text{ iff } (\exists k) (\forall R \in \mathscr{R}) (|\{S \in \mathscr{S} : R \cap S \neq \emptyset\}| \le k).$$

Particularly, $\mathscr{R} \sqsubseteq \mathscr{S}$ iff $\mathscr{R} \leq \mathscr{S}$ with k = 1. It is easy to prove that \mathscr{R} and \mathscr{S} are incompatible (denoted by $\mathscr{R} \perp \mathscr{S}$) iff $(\exists k)(\forall R \in \mathscr{R})(\forall S \in \mathscr{S})(|R \cap S| \leq k)$, i.e. $\mathscr{R} \cap \cap \mathscr{S} \in \mathbb{R}^{0}$. It is obvious that $\mathscr{R} \cap \cap \mathscr{S} \leq \mathscr{R}$, \mathscr{S} . In the case $\mathscr{R} \leq \mathscr{S}$, $\mathscr{R} \cap \cap \mathscr{S} \approx \mathscr{R}$ holds.

Define

$$\left[\mathscr{R}\right] = \left\{\mathscr{S} \in \mathbb{R} : \mathscr{I}_{\mathscr{R}} = \mathscr{I}_{\mathscr{S}}\right\} = \left\{\mathscr{S} \in \mathbb{R} : \mathscr{R} \approx \mathscr{S}\right\},\$$

denote $\mathbb{R}^* = \mathbb{R}^+ / \approx$ with order

$$[\mathscr{R}] \leq [\mathscr{S}] \text{ if } \mathscr{R} \leq \mathscr{S}, \text{ i.e. } \mathscr{I}_{\mathscr{H}} \supseteq \mathscr{I}_{\mathscr{Y}}.$$

By [J], \mathscr{R} and \mathscr{S} are compatible iff $[\mathscr{R}]$ and $[\mathscr{S}]$ are compatible and $\operatorname{RO}(\mathbb{R}^*, \leq) \cong \operatorname{RO}(\mathbb{R}^+, \leq)$ holds.

Denote

$$\mathbb{F}_q = \{\delta(\mathscr{F}_{\mathscr{R}}) : \mathscr{R} \in \mathbb{R}^+\}.$$

In [V1] it is shown that

j is a Q-point of
$$\omega^*$$
 iff $j \in \omega^* \setminus \bigcup_{\mathscr{H} \in \mathbb{R}^+} \delta(\mathscr{F}_{\mathscr{H}})$ iff $j \notin \bigcup \mathbb{F}_q$.

Observe that $\delta(\mathscr{F}_{\mathscr{A}})$ is nowhere-dense for all $\mathscr{R} \in \mathbb{R}$.

Since $\mathscr{R} \leq \mathscr{S}$ iff $\mathscr{I}_{\mathscr{R}} \supseteq \mathscr{I}_{\mathscr{I}}$ iff $\delta(\mathscr{F}_{\mathscr{I}}) \subseteq \delta(\mathscr{F}_{\mathscr{I}})$, the Boolean algebras $\operatorname{RO}(\mathbb{F}_q, \subseteq)$, $\operatorname{RO}(\mathbb{R}^*, \leq)$ and $\operatorname{RO}(\mathbb{R}^+, \leq)$ are all isomorphic.

The partial ordered set (\mathbb{R}^*, \leq) is separative, because for $\mathscr{R}, \mathscr{S} \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $\mathscr{R} \leq \mathscr{S}$, i.e. $\mathscr{I}_{\mathscr{R}} \not\supseteq \mathscr{I}_{\mathscr{I}}, \mathscr{R} \upharpoonright X \leq \mathscr{R}$ and $(\mathscr{R} \upharpoonright X) \perp \mathscr{S}$ hold, where $X \in \mathscr{I}_{\mathscr{S}} \setminus \mathscr{I}_{\mathscr{I}}$. Hence \mathbb{R}^* can be considered as the dense subset of its completion $\operatorname{RO}(\mathbb{R}^*, \leq)$.

The theorem. Now we are ready to state our main result.

Theorem. If $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{cf}(\mathfrak{c})$, then the Boolean algebras $\operatorname{RO}(\mathbb{F}_q, \subseteq)$ and $\operatorname{RO}(\mathbb{P}(\omega)/\operatorname{fin}, \subseteq^*)$ are isomorphic.

The idea of the proof is analogous to that of [V2], namely to construct isomorphic dense trees in algebras using the following.

Lemma 1 [BSV, BS]. Let τ , $\lambda \geq \aleph_0$, $\mu \geq 2$ be cardinals, A a (τ, \cdot, μ) -nowhere-distributive Boolean algebra having a λ -closed dense subset D. Let A be $(\kappa, \cdot, 2)$ -distributive for each $\kappa < \tau$. If $\pi(A) = \mu^{<\lambda}$, then there is a dense subset $T \subseteq D$ of A such that (T, \geq) is a tree of height τ and each $t \in T$ has $\mu^{<\lambda}$ immediate succesors.

We show that presumptions of Lemma 1 are fulfilled for $\tau = \lambda = \mathfrak{p}$, $\mu = 2$, $A = \operatorname{RO}(\mathbb{R}^*, \leq)$, $D = \mathbb{R}^*$. Recall that (not only under $\mathfrak{p} = \operatorname{cf}(\mathfrak{c}) 2^{<\mathfrak{p}} = \mathfrak{c}$ holds.

Lemma 2. Below each $\mathcal{R} \in \mathbb{R}^+$ there are \mathfrak{c} -many pairwise incompatible elements from \mathbb{R}^+ .

Proof. We know that there is a system $\{A_{\alpha} : \alpha < c\} \subseteq [\omega]^{\omega}$ such that every two sets of this system are almost disjoint (i.e. $(\forall \alpha, \beta < c)(|A_{\alpha} \cap A_{\beta}| < \omega))$). Denote $\mathscr{R} = \{R_n : n \in \omega\}$, wlog assume that $\lim |R_n| = +\infty$. Define $\mathscr{R}_{\alpha} = r(\{R_n : n \in A_{\alpha}\})$, clearly $R_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Obviously every \mathscr{R}_{α} is a refinement of \mathscr{R} , hence $\mathscr{R}_{\alpha} \leq \mathscr{R}$. For each $\alpha, \beta < c, \mathscr{R}_{\alpha}$ and \mathscr{R}_{β} are incompatible, because if $k = \max\{|R_n|: n \in A_{\alpha} \cap A_{\beta}\} + 1$, then $(\forall S \in \mathscr{R}_{\alpha})(\forall T \in \mathscr{R}_{\beta})(|S \cap T| \le k)$, i.e. $R_{\alpha} \cap \cap R_{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^{0}$.

Lemma 3. For every $a \in RO(\mathbb{R}^*, \leq)^+$ is $ca \geq c$.

Proof. Because \mathbb{R}^* is dense in RO(\mathbb{R}^* , \leq), it is sufficient to prove it for \mathbb{R}^* . Since the compatibility in \mathbb{R}^* corresponds to the compatibility in \mathbb{R}^+ , below each $[\mathscr{R}]$ we can find c-many pairwise incompatible elements (namely $\{[\mathscr{R}] : \alpha < c\}$, where $\{\mathscr{R}_{\alpha} : \alpha < c\}$ are those from Lemma 2).

Lemma 4. $\pi(\mathrm{RO}(\mathbb{R}^*, \leq)) = c.$

Proof. Because $c1 \ge c$, there does not exist a dense subset of type < c, and for a dense subset \mathbb{R}^* , $|\mathbb{R}^*| = c$ holds.

Lemma 5. RO(\mathbb{R}^* , \leq) is (cf(c), \cdot , 2)-nowhere-distributive.

Proof. $\mathbb{R}^* \upharpoonright a$ is dense in $\operatorname{RO}(\mathbb{R}^*, \leq) \upharpoonright a$ and $c(\operatorname{RO}(\mathbb{R}^*, \leq) \upharpoonright a) \geq \mathfrak{c}$ too, hence $|\mathbb{R}^* \upharpoonright a| = \mathfrak{c}$ too. Decompose $\mathbb{R}^* \upharpoonright a = \bigcup \{S_{\alpha} : \alpha < \operatorname{cf}(\mathfrak{c})\}$ so that $|S_{\alpha}|^+ < \mathfrak{c}$ for all

 $\alpha < cf(c)$. By [BV] every S_{α} has disjoint refinement P_{α} . The system $\{P_{\alpha} : \alpha < cf(c)\}$ cannot have a common refinement as $\bigcup P_{\alpha}$ is dense in $RO(\mathbb{R}^*, \leq) \upharpoonright a$ and $RO(\mathbb{R}^*, \leq) \upharpoonright a$ has no atoms.

Lemma 6. (\mathbb{R}^* , \leq) is p-closed.

Proof. Using the theorem of Bell [B], it is enough for every descending sequence in \mathbb{R}^* of length $< \mathfrak{p}$ to find a σ -centered p.o. set and less than \mathfrak{p} -many dense sets such that any filter (in the ground model) that meets each of these dense sets produces a partition from \mathbb{R}^+ laying below the given descending sequence (in the ground model).

Let for $\kappa < \mathfrak{p}, \{\mathscr{R}_{\alpha} : \alpha < \kappa\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^+$ be such that $\alpha \leq \beta$ implies $[\mathscr{R}_{\alpha}] \leq [\mathscr{R}_{\beta}]$. Put

 $P = \{ (\mathscr{A}, \mathscr{R}) : \mathscr{A} \in \mathbb{PR} \& (\exists \alpha < \kappa) (\mathscr{R} \approx \mathscr{R}_{\alpha}) \}$

and the ordering $(\mathscr{A}, \mathscr{R}) \trianglelefteq (\mathscr{B}, \mathscr{S})$ if \mathscr{A} is a prolongation of \mathscr{B} (i.e. $\mathscr{A} \supseteq \mathscr{B}$), \mathscr{R} is a refinement of \mathscr{S} (i.e. $\mathscr{R} \sqsubseteq \mathscr{S}$) and the prolonging part $\mathscr{A} \backslash \mathscr{B}$ is a partial refinement of partition \mathscr{R} (i.e. $r(\mathscr{A} \backslash \mathscr{B}) \sqsubseteq \mathscr{R}$).

For fixed $\mathscr{A} \in \mathbb{PR}$, put $P_{\mathscr{A}} = \{(\mathscr{B}, \mathscr{R}) \in P : \mathscr{B} = \mathscr{A}\}$. $P_{\mathscr{A}}$ is centered, because for $\{(\mathscr{A}, \mathscr{S}_i) : i \leq m\}$, where $\mathscr{S}_i \approx \mathscr{R}_{\alpha_i}$ and $\{\alpha_i\}$ is non-descending, the element $(\mathscr{A}, \mathscr{S})$, where \mathscr{S} is the roughest common refinement of $\{\mathscr{S}_i\}$ and $\mathscr{S} \approx \mathscr{R}_{\alpha_m}$, is below every $(\mathscr{A}, \mathscr{S}_i)$. Hence P is σ -centered, because $|\mathbb{PR}| = \aleph_0$.

The following (< p-many) sets are dense in P:

- for $k \in \omega$, $X_k = \{(\mathscr{A}, \mathscr{R}) : k \in \bigcup \mathscr{A}\}$, as $\{\mathscr{A} \cup \{\{k\}\} \mathscr{R}\} \trianglelefteq (\mathscr{A}, \mathscr{R})$;

- for $k \in \omega$, $Y_k = \{(\mathscr{A}, \mathscr{R}) : (\exists A \in \mathscr{A}) | A | > k\}$, as $(\mathscr{A} \cup \{\mathscr{R}\}, \mathscr{R}) \leq (\mathscr{A}, \mathscr{R})$, where R is a set of \mathscr{R} disjoint with every $A \in \mathscr{A}$ and |R| > k;
- $\text{ for } \alpha < \kappa, Z_{\alpha} = \{ (\mathscr{A}, \mathscr{R}) : \mathscr{R} \leq \mathscr{R}_{\alpha} \}, \text{ as } (\mathscr{A}, \mathscr{R} \cap \cap \mathscr{R}_{\alpha}) \leq (\mathscr{A}, \mathscr{R}).$

Let $G \subseteq P$ be a filter that meets every X_k , Y_k and Z_{α} . Put

$$\mathscr{W} = \bigcup \{ \mathscr{A} : (\exists \mathscr{R}) (\mathscr{A}, \mathscr{R}) \in G \}.$$

 \mathscr{W} is obviously a partition of ω and $\mathscr{W} \in \mathbb{R}^+$ (because every $G \cap Y_k \neq \emptyset$ and if $W_1 \in \mathscr{A}_1, \ W_2 \in \mathscr{A}_2$ with $(\mathscr{A}_1, \mathscr{R}_1) \in G, \ (\mathscr{A}_2, \mathscr{R}_2) \in G$ there is $\mathscr{B} \supseteq \mathscr{A}_1, \mathscr{A}_2$ i.e. $W_1, W_2 \in \mathscr{B}$ i.e. $W_1 \cap W_2 = \emptyset$). We prove that $\mathscr{W} \leq \mathscr{R}_\alpha$ for every $\alpha < \kappa$. Take $(\mathscr{A}, \mathscr{R}) \in G \cap Z_\alpha$, hence $\mathscr{R} \leq \mathscr{R}_\alpha$. We show $\mathscr{W} \leq \mathscr{R}$, i.e. there exists a $k \in \omega$ such that for every $W \in \mathscr{W}, |\{R \in \mathscr{R} : R \cap W \neq \emptyset\}| \le k$ holds. If $W \notin \mathscr{A}$, then there exists a $(\mathscr{B}, \mathscr{S}) \in G$ such that $W \in \mathscr{B} \setminus \mathscr{A}$. Since G is a filter, there exists a $(\mathscr{C}, \mathscr{T}) \in G$ below $(\mathscr{A}, \mathscr{R})$ and $(\mathscr{B}, \mathscr{S})$. We have $\mathscr{B} \subseteq \mathscr{C}$ and $\mathscr{C} \setminus \mathscr{A}$ is a partial refinement of \mathscr{R} . Then $\mathscr{B} \setminus \mathscr{A} \subseteq \mathscr{C} \setminus \mathscr{A}$ is a partial refinement of \mathscr{R} too, hence $|\{R \in \mathscr{R} : R \cap W \neq \emptyset\}| \le 1$. As \mathscr{A} is finite, it is sufficient to take k =max $\{|\{R \in \mathscr{R} : R \cap W \neq \emptyset\}|: W \in \mathscr{A}\} + 1$.

Lemma 7. RO(\mathbb{R}^* , \leq) is (κ , \cdot , 2)-distributive for all $\kappa < \mathfrak{p}$.

Proof. λ -closedness of a dense subset implies κ -distributivity for all $\kappa < \lambda$.

Proof of the Theorem. By Lemma 1 there exists a dense tree $T \subseteq \mathbb{R}^*$ of height \mathfrak{p} and each $t \in T$ has $2^{<\mathfrak{p}} = \mathfrak{c}$ immediate succesors. Denote P_{α} levels of T for $\alpha < \mathfrak{p}$. Obviously $D = \bigcup \{P_{\alpha+1} : \alpha < \mathfrak{p}\}$ is a dense subset of $\operatorname{RO}(\mathbb{R}^*, \leq)$ too. As D is clearly isomorphic to $\bigcup \{{}^{\alpha}\mathfrak{c} : \alpha < \mathfrak{p}\}$ ordered by the inverse inclusion, which is the (canonical) dense subset of complete Boolean algebra $\operatorname{Col}(\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{p})$, we have $\operatorname{RO}(\mathbb{R}^*, \leq) \cong \operatorname{Col}(\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{p})$. Using the results of [BPS] under $\mathfrak{p} = \operatorname{cf}(\mathfrak{c})$ the same is the case for $\operatorname{RO}(\mathscr{P}(\omega)/\operatorname{fin}, \subseteq^*)$. It means that under $\mathfrak{p} = \operatorname{cf}(\mathfrak{c})$, $\operatorname{RO}(\mathbb{F}_q, \subseteq)$ and $\operatorname{RO}(\mathscr{P}(\omega)/\operatorname{fin}, \subseteq^*)$ are isomorphic.

References

- [B] BELL, M. G. On the combinatorical principle P(c), Fund. Math. 114 (1981), 149–157.
- [BPS] BALCAR, B., PELANT, J. and SIMON, P. The space of ultrafilters on \mathbb{N} covered by nowhere-dense sets, Fund. Math. **90** (1980), 11-24.
- [BS] BALCAR, B. and SIMON, P. Disjoint refinements, Handbook of Boolean algebras (J. D. Monk and R. Bonnet, eds.), North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1989, pp. 333-388.
- [BSV] BALCAR, B., SIMON P. and VOJTÁŠ, P. Refinement properties and extensions of filters in Boolean algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 267 (1981), 265-283.
- [BV] BALCAR, B. and VOJTÁŠ, P. Refining systems on Boolean algebras, Set Theory and Hierarchy Theory V (A. Lachlan, M. Srebrny and A. Zarach, eds.); Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 619, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1977, pp. 45-58.
- [J] JECH, T. Set theory, Academic Press, New York, 1978.
- [V1] VOJTÁŠ, P. On ω^* and absolutely divergent series, submitted to Topology Proc., 1994.
- [V1] VOJTÁŠ, P. Boolean isomorphism between partial orderings of convergent and divergent series and infinite subsets of N, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 117 (1993), 263-268.