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## On a Conjecture of L. Veselý
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The aim of this note is to give a negative answer to a question raised by L. Vesely during the Winter School held in Benešova Hora in January 1996. We show that there exists a separable Banach space $Z$ such that for any point $z$ in the unit sphere there is some linear functional which strongly exposes the unit ball at $z$, but on which there is a linear functional which exposes the unit ball without exposing it strongly.

We define functions $\gamma, p$ and $q$ on the convex domain $Q=\left\{(u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: u>|v|\right\}$ by letting

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \gamma(u, v)=\left(u^{2}-v^{2}\right)^{1 / 3} \\
& p(u, v)=\frac{2 u}{3\left(u^{2}-v^{2}\right)^{2 / 3}} \\
& q(u, v)=\frac{-2 v}{3\left(u^{2}-v^{2}\right)^{2 / 3}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 1. If $(u, v)$ and $\left(u+u^{\prime}, v+v^{\prime}\right)$ belong to $Q$ and if $\max \left(\left|u^{\prime}\right|,\left|v^{\prime}\right|\right) \leq$ $\frac{1}{3}(u-|v|)$, we have

$$
\gamma\left(u+u^{\prime}, v+v^{\prime}\right) \leq \gamma(u, v)+p(u, v) \cdot u^{\prime}+q(u, v) \cdot v^{\prime}-\frac{\left(u-\left.|v|\right|^{1 / 3}\right.}{120 u^{5 / 3}}\left(u^{\prime 2}+v^{\prime 2}\right) .
$$

In particular, $\gamma$ is concave on $Q$.
First of all let us remark that $p(u, v)=\frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial u}$ and $q(u, v)=\frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial v}$. We have, by Taylor's formula

$$
\gamma\left(u+u^{\prime}, v+v^{\prime}\right)=\gamma(u, v)+p(u, v) \cdot v^{\prime}+q(u, v) \cdot v^{\prime}+\int_{0}^{1}(1-\theta) D_{2}(\theta) \mathrm{d} \theta
$$

where we denote

$$
D_{2}(\theta)=u^{\prime 2} r\left(u+\theta u^{\prime}, v+\theta v^{\prime}\right)+2 u^{\prime} v^{\prime} s\left(u+\theta u^{\prime}, v+\theta v^{\prime}\right)+v^{\prime 2} t\left(u+\theta u^{\prime}, v+\theta v^{\prime}\right)
$$

[^0]and $r, s$ and $t$ are the partial derivatives of order 2 of $\gamma$.
It is easily checked that if $a, b$ and $c$ satisfy $a>0, c>0$ and $a c \geq b^{2}$, we have, for all real $\xi$ and $\eta$
$$
a \xi^{2}+2 b \xi \eta+c \eta^{2} \geq \frac{a c-b^{2}}{a+c}\left(\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}\right)
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r(u, v)=-\frac{2}{9} \frac{u^{2}+3 v^{2}}{\left(u^{2}-v^{2}\right)^{5 / 3}} \\
& s(u, v)=\frac{8}{9} \frac{u v}{\left(u^{2}-v^{2}\right)^{5 / 3}} \\
& t(u, v)=-\frac{2}{9} \frac{3 u^{2}+v^{2}}{\left(u^{2}-v^{2}\right)^{5 / 3}}
\end{aligned}
$$

one has

$$
\left|\frac{r t-s^{2}}{r+t}\right|=\frac{1}{6} \frac{\left(u^{2}-v^{2}\right)^{1 / 3}}{u^{2}+v^{2}} \geq \frac{1}{12 u^{2}}\left(u^{2}-v^{2}\right)^{1 / 3}=\frac{(u+|v|)^{1 / 3}}{12 u^{2}}(u-|v|)^{1 / 3} \geq \frac{(u-|v|)^{1 / 3}}{12 u^{5 / 3}}
$$

If $\left|u^{\prime}\right|<\frac{1}{3}(u-|v|) \leq \frac{u}{3}$ and $\left|v^{\prime}\right|<\frac{1}{3}(u-|v|)$, we have $\left(u+u^{\prime}\right)-\left|v+v^{\prime}\right|>$ $\frac{1}{3}(u-|v|)$ and $u+u^{\prime}<\frac{4}{3} u$. Thus we have, for all $\theta \in[0,1]$

$$
D_{2}(\theta) \leq-\frac{1}{3^{1 / 3}}\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^{5 / 3} \frac{(u-|v|)^{1 / 3}}{12 u^{5 / 3}}\left(u^{\prime 2}+v^{\prime 2}\right) \leq \frac{(u-|v|)^{1 / 3}}{60 u^{5 / 3}}\left(u^{\prime 2}+v^{\prime 2}\right)
$$

whence we deduce the expected result since $\int_{0}^{1}(1-\theta) \mathrm{d} \theta=\frac{1}{2}$.
From now on, we shall speak about $\gamma$ also on the closure of $Q$. The function $\gamma$ is continuous and concave on $\bar{Q}$.

Let $X$ and $Y$ be two Banach spaces. Assume that $\varphi$ is a linear functional on $X$ with norm equal to 1 which does not attain its maximum on the unit ball of $X$. Let us define in the product $Z=X \times Y$ some closed symmetric subset $B$, by letting
$B=\{z=\{x, y):\|y\|+|\varphi(x)| \leq 1 \quad$ and $\quad\|x\|+\|y\| \leq 1+\gamma(1-\|y\|, \varphi(x))\}$.
Lemma 2. The set $B$ is the unit ball of $Z$ for some equivalent norm $|||.|| |$.
In order to see that $B$ is convex, it is sufficient to show that the mapping: $(x, y) \mapsto \gamma(1-\|y\|, \varphi(x))$ is concave on the convex set $C=\{(x, y):\|y\|+\mid \varphi(x) \leq 1\}$. If $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)$ and $\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)$ belong to $C$, and $0 \leq t \leq 1$, we have, with $(x, y)=$ $t\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)+(1-t)\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma(1-\|y\|, \varphi(x)) & \geq \gamma\left(1-t\left\|y_{1}\right\|-(1-t)\left\|y_{2}\right\|, \varphi\left(t x_{1}+(1-t) x_{2}\right)\right) \\
& =\gamma\left(t\left(1-\left\|y_{1}\right\|\right)+(1-t)\left(1-\left\|y_{2}\right\|\right), t \varphi\left(x_{1}\right)+(1-t) \varphi\left(x_{2}\right)\right) \\
& \geq t \gamma\left(1-\left\|y_{1}\right\|, \varphi\left(x_{1}\right)\right)+(1-t) \gamma\left(1-\left\|y_{2}\right\|, \varphi\left(x_{2}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $B$ is the unit ball for some norm on $Z$. Moreover, if $\|x\|+\|y\| \leq 1$, we have

$$
\|y\|+|\varphi(x)| \leq\|x\|+\|y\| \leq 1
$$

thus $(x, y) \in C$ and

$$
\|x\|+\|y\| \leq 1 \leq 1+\gamma(1-\|y\|, \varphi(x))
$$

whence $(x, y) \in B$. Conversely, if $(x, y) \in B$, we have $\|y\| \leq 1-\|x\| \leq 1$ and

$$
\|x\|+\|y\| \leq 1+\gamma(1-\|y\|, \varphi(x)) \leq 1+(1-\|y\|)^{2 / 3} \leq 2
$$

thus $\frac{1}{2}(\mid x\|+\| y \|) \leq\|(x, y)\|\|\leq\| x\|+\| y \|$, and this proves these norms are equivalent.

Definition 3. We will say a Banach space $E$ has property (*) if, for every unit vector $x \in E$, there exists some linear functional on $E$ which strongly exposes the unit ball of $E$ at $x$.

Lemma 4. Every L.U.R. space has property (*).
Let $E$ be a L.U.R. space and $x$ a unit vector of $E$. By Hahn-Banach's theorem, there is a linear functional $f$ such that

$$
f(x)=1=\|f\|
$$

In order to prove that $f$ strongly exposes the unit ball $B$ of $E$ we have only to prove that every sequence $\left(x_{n}\right)$ in $B$ such that $f\left(x_{n}\right) \rightarrow 1$ converges to $x$. But we have

$$
1 \geq\left\|\frac{x+x_{n}}{2}\right\| \geq f\left(\frac{x+x_{n}}{2}\right)=\frac{f(x)+f\left(x_{n}\right)}{2} \rightarrow 1
$$

hence $\left\|\frac{x+x_{n}}{2}\right\| \rightarrow 1$, and $x_{n} \rightarrow x$ since $E$ is L.U.R.
Theorem 5. If $X$ and $Y$ have property (*), $Z$ has property (*) too. Nevertheless, for every unit vector $y$ of $Y$, there is a linear functional on $Z$ which exposes $B$ at $(0, y)$ but does not expose $B$ strongly.

Let $y \in Y$, with $\|y\|=1$. By hypothesis there is an $\ell_{y} \in Y^{*}$ such that $\left\|\ell_{j}\right\|=$ $\ell_{y}(y)=1$ and that for every $y^{\prime}$ in the unit ball of $Y \ell_{y}\left(y^{\prime}\right)=1 \Rightarrow y^{\prime}=y$. We then put

$$
\Phi(h, k)=\varphi(h)+\ell_{y}(k)
$$

We have $\Phi(0, y)=\ell_{y}(y)=1$, and for every $(h, k) \in B$,

$$
\Phi(h, k) \leq|\varphi(h)|+\|k\| \leq 1
$$

thus $\|\Phi\|=1$. Moreover if $(h, k) \in B$, and $\Phi(h, k)=1$, we have $(h, k) \in C$ thus

$$
1=\Phi(h, k) \leq|\varphi(h)|+\|k\| \leq 1
$$

hence $1-\|k\|=|\Phi(h)|$ and $\gamma(1-\|k\|, \varphi(h))=0$. It follows that

$$
1=\Phi(h, k) \leq \varphi(h)+\|k\| \leq\|h\|+\|k\| \leq 1+\gamma(1-\|k\|, \varphi(h))=1
$$

thus $\varphi(h)=\|h\|$, what implies $\|h\|=0$ since $\varphi$ does not attain its norm on the unit ball of $X$. Then $\Phi(h, k)=\ell_{y}(k)=1$ and $\|k\|=1$, whence $k=y$. Thus $\Phi$ exposes $B$ at $(0, y)$.

If $\left(x_{n}\right)$ is a sequence in the unit sphere of $X$, such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi\left(x_{n}\right)=\|\varphi\|=1$, we have $\Phi\left(x_{n}, 0\right)=\varphi\left(x_{n}\right) \rightarrow 1=\|\Phi\|,\left|\varphi\left(x_{n}\right)\right| \leq 1$, thus $\left(x_{n}, 0\right) \in C$, and $\left\|x_{n}\right\| \leq$ $1+\gamma\left(1, \varphi\left(x_{n}\right)\right)$, hence $\left\|\left(x_{n}, 0\right)\right\| \leq 1$. Moreover $\left\|\left\|\left(x_{n}, 0\right)-(0, y)\right\| \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(\left\|x_{n}\right\|+\|y\|\right)=1\right.$. This shows that $\Phi$ does not expose $B$ strongly.

Finally if $\left\|\|(x, y)\|=1\right.$, there is a linear functional $f_{x}$ (resp. $\ell_{y}$ ) with norm 1 on $X$ (resp. $Y$ ), which strongly exposes the unit ball of $X$ (resp. Y) at $\frac{x}{\|x\|}$ if $x \neq 0$ (resp. $\frac{y}{\|y\|}$ if $\|y\| \neq 0$ ). We then put

$$
\begin{aligned}
p & =p(1-\|y\|, \varphi(x)) \\
q & =q(1-\|y\|, \varphi(x)) \\
L(h, k) & =f_{x}(h)+\ell_{y}(k)+p \cdot \ell_{y}(k)-q \cdot \varphi(h)
\end{aligned}
$$

We shall show that $L$ attains its maximum on $B$ at $(x, y)$ and strongly exposes $B$. Suppose that $(x+h, y+k) \in B$. We have
$\|x+h\|+\|y+k\|-\gamma(1-\|y+k\|, \varphi(x+h)) \leq\|x\|+\|y\|-\gamma(1-\|y\|, \varphi(x))=1$.
Since $x=0$ or $f_{x}$ attains its maximum on $B$ at $\frac{x}{\|x\|}$, we have

$$
\|x+h\| \geq f_{x}(x+h)=f_{x}(x)+f_{x}(h)=\|x\|+f_{x}(h)
$$

and similarly

$$
\|y+k\| \geq\|y\|+\ell_{y}(k)
$$

Finally it follows from Lemma 1 that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma(1-\|y+k\|, \varphi(x+h)) & \leq \gamma(1-\|y\|, \varphi(x))+p \cdot(\|y\|-\|y+k\|)+q \cdot \varphi(h) \\
& \leq \gamma(1-\|y\|, \varphi(x))-p \cdot \ell_{\gamma}(k)+q \cdot \varphi(h)
\end{aligned}
$$

since $p \geq 0$. We deduce from the above inequalities that

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & \geq\|x+h\|+\|y+k\|-1-\gamma(1-\|y+k\|, \varphi(h)) \\
& \geq f_{x}(h)+\ell_{y}(k)+p \cdot \ell_{y}(k)-q \cdot \varphi(h)=L(h, k)
\end{aligned}
$$

it is $L(x+h, y+k) \leq L(x, y)$ for $(x+h, y+k) \in B$, what means that $L$ attains at $(x, y)$ its maximum on $B$.

Now let $\left(\left(h_{n}, k_{n}\right)\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of points of $Z$ such that $\left\|\left\|\left(x+h_{n}, y+k_{n}\right)\right\| \leq 1\right.$ and $L\left(x+h_{n}, y+k_{n}\right) \rightarrow L(x, y)$. The above inequalities show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \leq\left\|x+h_{n}\right\|-\|x\|-f_{x}\left(h_{n}\right) \leq-L\left(h_{n}, k_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0 \\
& 0 \leq\left\|y+k_{n}\right\|-\|y\|-\ell_{y}\left(k_{n}\right) \leq-L\left(h_{n}, k_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

$\gamma\left(1-\left\|y+k_{n}\right\|, \varphi\left(x+h_{n}\right)\right)-\gamma(1-\|y\|, \varphi(x))-p . \ell_{y}\left(k_{n}\right)+q \cdot \varphi\left(h_{n}\right) \geq-L\left(h_{n}, k_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$.
Replacing if necessary the sequence $\left(\left(h_{n}, k_{n}\right)\right)_{n \in \mathcal{N}}$ by $\left(\left(\delta h_{n}, \delta k_{n}\right)\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, we can and do assume that $\max \left(\left\|h_{n}\right\|,\left\|k_{n}\right\| \leq \frac{1}{3}(1-\|y\|-|\varphi(x)|)\right.$. We then have, using Lemma 1 ,

$$
\gamma\left(1-\left\|y+k_{n}\right\|, \varphi\left(x+h_{n}\right)\right) \leq \gamma(1-\|y\|, \varphi(x))-p \cdot \ell_{y}(k)+q \cdot \varphi(h)-\sigma_{n}
$$

where

$$
\sigma_{n}=\frac{(1-\|y\|-\mid \varphi(x)))^{1 / 3}}{120(1-\|y\|)^{5 / 3}}\left(\left(\left\|y+k_{n}\right\|-\|y\|\right)^{2}+\varphi\left(h_{n}\right)^{2}\right) .
$$

We then have

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\sigma_{n} & \geq \gamma\left(1-\left\|y+k_{n}\right\|, \varphi\left(x+h_{n}\right)\right)-\gamma(1-\|y\|, \varphi(x))-p \cdot \ell_{y}\left(k_{n}\right)+q \cdot \varphi\left(h_{n}\right) \\
& \geq-L\left(h_{n}, k_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\sigma_{n} \rightarrow 0$, we see that $\varphi\left(h_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ and that $\left\|y+k_{n}\right\|-\|y\| \rightarrow 0$. Then $\ell_{y}\left(y+k_{n}\right) \rightarrow \ell_{y}(y)$ and $\left\|y+k_{n}\right\| \rightarrow\|y\|$, thus $\left\|k_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0$, since $\ell_{y}$ strongly exposes the unit ball of $Y$. We have

$$
f_{x}\left(h_{n}\right)=L\left(h_{n}, k_{n}\right)-\ell_{3}\left(k_{n}\right)-p \cdot \ell_{y}\left(k_{n}\right)+q \cdot \varphi\left(h_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

thus $f_{x}\left(x+h_{n}\right) \rightarrow f_{x}(x)=\|x\|$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x+h_{n}\right\| & \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(1-\left\|y+k_{n}\right\|+\gamma\left(1-\left\|y+k_{n}\right\|, \varphi(x)+\varphi\left(h_{n}\right)\right)\right) \\
& \leq 1-\|y\|+\gamma(1-\|y\|, \varphi(x))=\|y\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

And this implies that $\left\|h_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0$, since $f_{x}$ strongly exposes the unit ball of $X$, thus that the sequence $\left(\left(x+h_{n}, y+k_{n}\right)\right)_{n \in N}$ converges to $(x, y)$. This shows that the linear functional $L$ strongly exposes $B$ at $(x, y)$, and completes the proof of the theorem.

It is well known that every separable Banach space can be equipped with a L.U.R. norm (see [1] for instance). If $X$ is the space $\ell^{1}$ equipped with such a norm, $X$ is not reflexive and thus James' theorem proves the existence of a linear functional $\varphi$ on $X$ with norm 1 which does not attain its norm on the unit ball of $X$. Then, taking $Y=\mathbb{R}$, we get by the previous theorem a proof of the following.

Theorem 6. There is a separable Banach space $Z$ isomorphic to $\ell^{1}$ such that for every point $z$ in the unit sphere there exists a linear functional which strongly exposes the unit ball of $Z$ at $z$ and that there exists some linear functional which exposes the unit ball without exposing it strongly.
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