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ON THE REGULARITY OF WEAK SOLUTIONS TO VARIATIONAL EQUATIONS AND INEQUALITIES FOR NONLINEAR SECOND ORDER ELLIPTIC SYSTEMS
J. Nečas, Praha

The history of the solution of the l9th Hilbert's problem, i.e. in fact of the problem of regularity, is described in the book by O.A. Ladyženskaja, N.N. Uralceva $[1]$ and in the paper by Ch.B. Morrey [2]. The weak solution is a vector function from the Sobolev space $W^{1}, 2(\Omega)$ satisfying the equations in the sense of distributions and it is regular if it belongs to $C^{(1)}(\Omega)$ (interior regularity) or $C^{(l)}(\bar{\Omega})$ (regularity up to the boundary).

The problem of the regularity for the dimension $n=2$ was solved very soon (1937) by Ch.B. Morrey [3], also for systems. The generalization of this result for higher dimensions, but only for a single second-order equation, was done by E。 De Giorgi [4] in 1957 and his method, based in fact on the maximum principle, was further developed by several authors, see J. Moser [5], G. Stampacchia [6], the book [1] and others.

If we consider a vector function $u=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots u_{m}\right)$ from $\left[W^{1,2}(\Omega)\right]^{m}$, satisfying the system

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left[a_{i}^{r}(x, u, \nabla u)\right]+a_{0}^{r}(x, u, \nabla u)=f_{r}(x), \quad r=1,2, \ldots m \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $f_{r} \in L_{2}(\Omega)$ and if, for example, $u=u^{0}$ on $\partial \Omega$, where $u^{0} \in\left[W^{1,2}(\Omega)\right]^{m}$ is a prescribed function, then the existence of a weak solution as well as its uniqueness can be proved relatively easily, see for example the book by J.L. Lions [7], under the standard assumptions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{\partial a_{i}^{r}}{\partial \frac{\partial u_{s}}{\partial x_{j}}}\right|+\left|\frac{\partial a_{i}^{r}}{\partial u_{s}}\right|+\left|\frac{\partial a_{0}^{r}}{\partial \frac{\partial u_{s}}{\partial x_{j}}}\right|+\left|\frac{\partial a_{0}^{r}}{\partial u_{s}}\right| \leq c \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(3)

$$
\frac{\partial a_{i}^{r}}{\partial \frac{\partial u_{s}}{\partial x_{j}}} \eta_{i}^{r} \eta_{j}^{s} \geq \alpha \eta_{i}^{r} \eta_{i}^{r}, \quad \alpha>0
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i}^{r}\left(x, \eta_{s}, \eta_{j}^{s}\right) \eta_{i}^{r}+a_{0}^{r}\left(x, \eta_{s}, \eta_{j}^{s}\right) \eta_{r} \geq \beta \eta_{i}^{r} \eta_{i}^{r}, \quad \beta>0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided that the derivatives of $a_{i}^{r}$ in (2), (3) satisfy the Caratheodory condition.

Instead of equations, we can study inequalities, if for example on $\partial \Omega$ (or on some part of $\partial \Omega$ ) a unilateral condition of

Signorini's type

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{r s} u_{s} \geq \psi_{r}, \quad r=1,2, \ldots, k \leq m \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

is given. Writing

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{K} \equiv\left\{\mathrm{v} \in\left[\mathrm{w}^{1,2}(\Omega)\right]^{\mathrm{m}} \mid \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{rs}} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{s}} \geq \psi_{\mathrm{r}} \text { on } \partial \Omega\right\}, \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

we look for $u \in K$ such that, $\forall v \in K$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} a_{i}^{r}(x, u, \nabla u)\left(\frac{\partial v_{r}}{\partial x_{i}}-\frac{\partial u_{r}}{\partial x_{i}}\right) d x \geq \int_{\Omega} f_{r}\left(v_{r}-u_{r}\right) d x \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the existence and other questions, see [7]. The conditions (2) and (3) guarantee the first step to the interior regularity, i。e., the proof of the inclusion $u \in\left[w^{2}, 2(\Omega),\right] m, \bar{\Omega}^{\prime} \subset \Omega$ 。 If $\Omega^{\prime}=\Omega$, we get the first step to the regularity up to the boundary. For the idea of the proof of this step, see also [7]. If, for simplicity, we restrict ourselves in the following to the case $a_{i}^{r}(x, u, \nabla u)=a_{i}^{r}(\nabla u), \quad a_{0}^{r}=0$, then we can immediately see that this first step leeds to an equation in variations obtained through integration by parts of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} a_{i}^{r}(\nabla u) \frac{\partial \varphi_{r}}{\partial x_{i}} d x=\int_{\Omega} f_{r} \varphi_{r} d x, \quad \varphi_{r} \in \mathscr{D}(\Omega) ; \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

if we denote by $u$, some derivative, then we get from (8), substituting here $\varphi^{\prime}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} a_{i j}^{r s} \frac{\partial u_{s}^{\prime}}{\partial x_{j}} \frac{\partial \varphi_{r}}{\partial x_{i}} d x=\int_{\Omega} f_{r}^{\prime} \varphi_{r} d x \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\quad \begin{aligned} & \Omega \\ & a_{i j}^{r s}\end{aligned}=\frac{\partial a_{i}^{r}}{\partial \frac{\partial u_{s}}{\partial x_{j}}}$. (9) is a linear system in $u$, with, in general,
only measurable, bounded coefficients $a_{i j}^{r s}$ •
Let us mention the known fact that once being $u \in\left[C^{(1)}(\Omega)\right]{ }^{m}$, we get arbitrary higher regularity of the solution, provided that the coefficients and right-hand sides are regular enough.

The significance of the problem of regularity is underlined by the fact that the regularity up to the boundary, provided that the coefficients are analytic, implies that in the potential case the set of critical values is a sequence, tending to zero, see So Fučik, J. Nečas, J. Souček, V. Soucek [8]. Also the Newton's type methods are convergent only in the space of regular solutions.

For more general systems than discussed in the paper [2], J.

Stare proved the regularity in [9], also for $r=2$, using the method of the papers by J. Nečas [10], [11] concerning higher order single equations.
E. Giusti, M. Miranda constructed in the paper [12], for $n \geqslant 3$, a regular functional, whose critical point is $u=\frac{x}{|x|}$. This functioneal is continuous on $\left[\mathrm{w}^{1,2}(\Omega)\right]^{m}$, but not differentiable. In the same work, a system with coefficients $a_{i}^{r}=A_{i j}^{r s}(u) \frac{\partial u_{s}}{\partial x_{j}}, \quad s=$ $=1,2, \ldots, n$, is constructed with the ellipticity condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{i j}^{r s} \eta_{i}^{r} \eta_{j}^{s} \geq \alpha|\eta|^{2} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the same solution $\frac{x}{|x|}$. Some variations of this type of example can be found in the paper by S.A. Arakcejev [13].

Let us start with a more detailed description of the results of the paper by J. Nečas [14] with small complements.

The easiest example of a fourth order system with a non-regular solution is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta^{2} u_{i}+\frac{1}{(n+1)^{2}(n-2)} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{j} \partial x_{k}}\left[\Delta u_{i} \Delta u_{j} \Delta u_{k}\right]=0 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided that this system is defined on the set of $u$ 's such that $\Delta u_{i} \Delta u_{i} \leq(n+1)^{2}$. The solution of this system is $u_{i}=x_{i}|x|$ and the corresponding conditions (3), (4) are satisfied for $n \geq 6$.
$K$ being the unit ball $|x|<1$; let us consider the system (in the weak formulation),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad \int_{K} \frac{\partial u_{i, j}}{\partial x_{k}} \frac{\partial \varphi_{i, j}}{\partial x_{k}} d x+\lambda_{2} \int_{K} \frac{\partial u_{k k}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial \varphi_{\ell \ell}}{\partial x_{i}} d x+  \tag{12}\\
& +\lambda_{3} \int_{K} \frac{\partial u_{\alpha, j}}{\partial x_{\alpha}} \frac{\partial \varphi_{\beta} j}{\partial x_{\beta}} d x+\lambda_{4} \int_{K} \frac{\partial u_{\alpha \beta}}{\partial x_{\alpha}} \frac{\partial \varphi_{i i}}{\partial x_{\beta}} d x+ \\
& +\lambda_{I} \int_{K} \frac{\partial u_{\gamma i}}{\partial x_{\gamma}} \frac{\partial u_{\alpha j}}{\partial x_{\alpha}} \frac{\partial u_{\beta \ell}}{\partial x_{\beta}} \frac{\partial \varphi \varphi_{i, j}}{\partial x_{\ell}} d x=0,
\end{align*}
$$

$i, j=1,2, \ldots n$, where $\lambda_{3}=\frac{2(n-1)^{3}-9 n}{9(n-1)}$ for $n \geq 5$,
$\lambda_{3}=\frac{2(n-1)^{3}-n}{n-1}$ for $3 \leq n \leq 4, \quad \lambda_{1}=\frac{n+\lambda_{3}(n-1)}{(n-1)^{4}(n+1)^{2}} n^{2}$,
$\lambda_{4}=-\frac{1+\lambda_{3}(n-1)}{(n-1)^{2}}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ is large enough. If we put $u_{i j}=$
$=\frac{x_{i} x_{j}}{|x|}-\frac{1}{n} \delta_{i j}|x|$, then $u_{i i}=0$ and $u_{i j}$ satisfy (12). The coed-

$\frac{\partial u_{\alpha i}}{\partial x_{\alpha}} \frac{\partial u_{\beta i}}{\partial x_{\beta}} \leq\left(\frac{n^{2}-1}{n}+\delta\right)^{2}, \quad \delta>0$ and small enough.
For $n \geq 5$, we have (3) and (4), for $3 \leq n \leq 4$, we have (4)。 If we replace the nonlinear term in (12) by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda_{1}\left(\varepsilon+\frac{\left(n^{2}-1\right)^{2}}{n^{2}}\right) \int_{K} \frac{\partial u_{\gamma_{i}}}{\partial x_{\gamma}} \frac{\partial u_{\alpha_{j}}}{\partial x_{\alpha}} \frac{\partial u_{\beta \ell}}{\partial x_{\beta}} .  \tag{13}\\
& \cdot\left(\varepsilon+\frac{\partial u_{a b}}{\partial x_{a}} \frac{\partial u_{c b}}{\partial x_{c}}\right)^{-1} \frac{\partial \varphi_{i, j}}{\partial x_{\ell}} d x
\end{align*}
$$

with $\varepsilon>0$ small enough, we get the same result with coefficients defined everywhere.

If we consider the functional of the total potential energy in finite elasticity under the incompressibility constraint then there exists a universal, isotropic body, see C. Truesdell [16], and its deformation from the so-called 5th class, a critical point of the functional under the constraint, which is not regular. But the set of irregular points is a segment, so it is not possible to get in this way immediately an example of an irregular solution without a constraint because the Hausdorff measure of irregular points must be less than 1 , see E. Giusti [17].

The example (12), (13) is a vector function $|x| f\left(\left.\frac{x}{x} \right\rvert\,\right)$ and the functions $f_{i}(\xi)$ are not linear in $\xi$. If we write such an example in polar coordinates $r, \vartheta_{1}, \vartheta_{2}, \ldots, \vartheta_{n-1}, 0<r<\varepsilon, 0<\vartheta_{j}<$ $<\pi, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, n-2, \quad 0<\vartheta_{n-1}<2 \pi, \quad$ putting

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{1} & =r \cos \vartheta_{1}, x_{2}=r \sin \vartheta_{1} \cos \vartheta_{2}, \ldots, x_{n-1}=  \tag{14}\\
& =r \sin \vartheta_{1} \sin \vartheta_{2} \ldots \sin \vartheta_{n-2} \cos \vartheta_{n-1} \\
x_{n} & =r \sin \vartheta_{1} \sin \vartheta_{2} \ldots \sin \vartheta_{n-2} \sin \vartheta_{n-1},
\end{align*}
$$

(15)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \partial_{1} v=\frac{\partial v}{\partial r}, \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \vartheta_{1}}=\partial_{2} v, \frac{1}{r \sin \vartheta_{1}} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \vartheta_{2}}=\partial_{3} v, \ldots, \\
& \frac{1}{r \sin \vartheta_{1} \ldots \sin \vartheta_{n-2}} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \vartheta_{n-1}}=\partial_{n} v
\end{aligned}
$$

we first get $\partial_{i} v=a_{i j} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{j}}$, where $a_{i j}$ is an orthonormal matrix. Let us define the elementary differential operators

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\partial}_{2} h=\frac{\partial h}{\partial v_{1}}, \quad \bar{\partial}_{3} h=\frac{1}{\sin v_{1}} \frac{\partial h}{\partial v_{2}}, \ldots, \quad \bar{\partial}_{h}=\left(\bar{\partial}_{2} h, \ldots \bar{\partial}_{n} h\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

We introduce the space $W^{1,2}(S), S$ being the unit sphere, as the closure of infinitely differentiable functions in the norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{S}\left[f^{2}+\bar{\partial}_{j}, f \bar{\partial}_{j}, f\right] d S\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the indices with primes are summed from 2 up to $n$. Starting from the system (8), we get for $f$ another system on the unit sphere

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}\left[-(n+l) A_{l}^{r}\left(\vartheta, f, \bar{\partial}_{f}\right) \tilde{f}_{r}+A_{j}^{r},\left(\vartheta, f, \bar{\partial}_{f}\right) \bar{\partial}_{j}, \tilde{f}_{r}\right] d S=0 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f, \tilde{f} \in\left[W^{l}, 2(S)\right]^{m}$.
We get immediately

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\frac{\partial A_{j}^{r}}{\partial f_{s}}\right|+\left|\frac{\partial A_{j}^{r}}{\partial \partial_{j}, f_{s}}\right| \leq c,  \tag{19}\\
& \left.\left.\left.\frac{\partial A_{j}^{r}}{\partial\left(\bar{\partial}_{i}, f_{s}\right)}\right\}_{j}^{r}, \xi_{i}^{s} \geq \alpha\right\}_{j}^{r},\right\}_{j}^{r}, \quad \alpha>0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Let $J$ be the kernel of (18), i.e., the set of all the solutions from $\left[W^{l}, 2(S)\right]^{m}$. We introduce $J_{0} \subset J$, the trivial subset of $J$, consisting of the linear combinations of the coordinate functions $\cos v_{1}, \sin v_{1} \cos v_{2}, \ldots \sin v_{1} \sin v_{2} \ldots \sin v_{n-2} \sin v_{n-1}$.

Let us consider a weak solution to the system $\left(K_{\varepsilon} \equiv\{|x| \leq \varepsilon\}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{K_{\varepsilon}} a_{i}^{r}(\nabla u) \frac{\partial \varphi_{r}}{\partial x_{i}} d x=0 \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

We easily get
Theorem 1. The necessary condition for the regularity of every weak solution to (21) is $J=J_{0}$.

Proof: Let us suppose the contrary and let us take. feJ $\quad$ l $J_{0}$. Put $u=r f(\vartheta) ; u$ satisfies (21) and so $\frac{\partial u_{r}}{\partial x_{i}}(0)=\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\partial u_{r}}{\partial x_{i}}(x)=\lim _{r \rightarrow 0}\left[a_{l i}(\vartheta) f_{r}(\vartheta)+a_{j, i}(\vartheta) \bar{\partial}_{j}, f_{r}(\vartheta)\right]=$ $=\frac{\partial u_{r}}{\partial x_{i}}(x)$; hence $u_{r}(x)$ is a linear function and, therefore, $f \in J_{0}$, which is impossible, q.e.d.

So the study of the kernel $J$ leads to the construction of an irregular solution in 3 and 4 dimensions。 If $J=J_{0}$, we can hope that this condition is sufficient for the regularity of every weak solution of this equation.

Let us consider some sufficient conditions for the regularity in 3 dimensions in more detail. We refer to the papers [14] and [15].

Let us consider the Euler equation
(22)

$$
\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \xi_{i}^{r}}(\nabla u) \frac{\partial \varphi_{r}}{\partial x_{i}} d x=\int_{\Omega} f_{i}^{r} \frac{\partial \varphi_{r}}{\partial x_{i}} d x
$$

where the Lagrangian $F(\xi)$ is defined and continuous together with its 4 derivatives in the cube $\left.\left.\bar{K}_{a}=\{ \}| |\right\}\left.\right|_{i} ^{r} \leq a\right\}$. Let $\partial \Omega$ be smooth enough, let $f_{i}^{r} \in w^{2}, 2(\Omega), u_{r}^{0} \in w^{3,2}(\Omega)$, and let us look for a solution $u$ of (22) such that $u \in\left[w^{3}, 2(\Omega)\right]^{m}, u=u^{0}$ on $\partial \Omega,\|u\|_{1, \infty}=\max _{r, i}\left(\underset{x \in \Omega}{\max }\left|\frac{\partial u_{r}}{\partial x_{i}}(x)\right|\right)<a$. We shall suppose the ellipticity condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} F}{\left.\partial \xi_{i}^{r} \partial\right\}_{j}^{s}}(\xi) \eta_{i}^{r} \eta_{j}^{s} \geq c_{l}|\eta|^{2}, \quad c_{l}>0 \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the regularity condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}-3 a^{2} T>0 \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{4} F}{\partial \xi_{i}^{r} \partial \xi_{j}^{s} \partial \xi_{k}^{t} \partial \xi_{e}^{v}}(\xi) \eta_{i}^{r} \eta_{j}^{s} \eta_{k}^{t} \eta_{e}^{v} \leq T \sum_{r, i}\left(\eta_{i}^{r}\right)^{4} . \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2. (A priori estimate.) Let (23), (24) be satisfied. If $u$ is the solution in question, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|_{u}\right\|_{3,2} \leq c\left(1+\|f\|_{2,2}^{2}+\left\|u^{0}\right\|_{3,2}^{2}\right) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the half space $R_{3}^{+}$we get
Theorem 3. Let $\Omega=R_{3}^{+}, u^{0}=0$, let (23), (24) be satisfied. Then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\|u\|_{3,2}^{\prime} \leq c\left(\|f\|_{2,2}+\|f\|_{2,2}^{2}\right)  \tag{27}\\
\left(\|u\|_{k, 2}^{\prime} \equiv\left(\int_{R_{3}^{+}} \sum_{1 \leq \alpha \leq k}\left(D^{\alpha} u\right)^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2}\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

Main_idea_of_the_proof: Let, be the derivative $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}$. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad \int_{R_{3}^{+}}\left(f_{i}^{r}\right) " \frac{\partial \varphi_{r}^{\prime \prime}}{\partial x_{i}} d x=\int_{R_{3}^{+}} \frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial \xi_{i}^{r} \partial \xi_{j}^{s}} \frac{\partial u_{s}^{\prime \prime}}{\partial x_{j}} \frac{\partial \varphi_{r}^{\prime \prime}}{\partial x_{i}} d x+  \tag{28}\\
& +\int_{R_{3}^{+}} \frac{\partial^{3} F}{\left.\partial \xi_{i}^{r} \partial\right\}_{j}^{s} \partial \xi_{k}^{t}} \frac{\partial u_{s}^{\prime}}{\partial x_{j}} \frac{\partial u_{t}^{\prime}}{\partial x_{k}} \frac{\partial \varphi_{r}^{\prime \prime}}{\partial x_{i}} d x \equiv I_{1}+I_{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting the function $u$ for $\varphi$ in (28), we get
(29) $I_{2}=-\frac{1}{3} \int_{R_{3}^{+}} \frac{\partial 4_{F}}{\left.\left.\partial \xi_{i}^{r} \partial\right\}_{j}^{S} \partial \xi_{k}^{t} \partial\right\}_{l}^{v}} \frac{\partial u_{r}^{\prime}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial u_{s}^{\prime}}{\partial x_{j}} \frac{\partial u_{t}^{\prime}}{\partial x_{k}} \frac{\partial u_{v}^{\prime}}{\partial x_{l}} d x$ 。

Through integration by parts, we obtain $\forall v \in D\left(R_{1}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(v^{\prime}\right)^{4} d x \leq 9 \max _{x \in R_{1}}[v(x)]^{2} \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(v^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2} d x \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (28) - (30) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(c_{1}-3 T a^{2}\right)\|u\|_{1,2}^{\prime} \leq\|f\|_{1,2} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

(29), (30) imply also

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{R_{3}^{+}} \sum_{r, i}\left(\frac{\partial u_{r}^{\prime}}{\partial x_{i}}\right)^{4} d x \leq \frac{9 a^{2}}{\left(c_{1}-3 T a^{2}\right)^{2}}\|f\|_{2,2}^{2} . \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because the derivatives $\frac{\partial^{2} u_{s}}{\partial x_{3}^{2}}$ can be calculated from the elliptic system

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{\partial^{2} F_{F}}{\left.\partial \xi_{i}^{r} \partial\right\}_{j}^{s}} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{s}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}=-\frac{\partial f_{i}^{r}}{\partial x_{i}}, \quad r=1,2, \ldots m, \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

we get from (32) and (33)
(34) $\|u\|_{2,4} \leq c_{2} c_{1}^{-1}\left[c_{1}-3 \mathrm{Ta}^{2}\right]^{-1 / 2}\left[\|f\|_{2,2}^{1 / 2}+\|f\|_{2,2}\right]$. Differentiating (33) first with respect to $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$, we get
(35) $\quad\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{2,2} \leq c_{3} c_{1}^{-2}\left[c_{1}-3 T a^{2}\right]^{-1}\left(\|f\|_{2,2}+\|f\|_{2,2}^{2}\right)$.

Finally, differentiating (33) with respect to $x_{3}$, we get (27)
The existence and uniqueness of a solution path, is., of $u$
from $C\left(\left[0, t_{c r}\right],\left[w^{3,2}(\Omega)\right]^{m}\right)$, can be easily proved by the implicit function theorem and (26), provided that $f_{i}^{r} \in C\left([0, T], W^{2},{ }^{2}(\Omega)\right)$, $u_{r}^{0} \in C\left([0, T], W^{3,2}(\Omega)\right)$, see $[14]$ and $[15] . t_{c r} \leq T$ and is maximal, i.e., if $t_{c r}<T$, then $\max _{r, i}\left(\max _{x \in \Omega} \frac{\partial u_{r}}{\partial x_{i}}(x)\right)=a$.

The papers $[3],[9],[10],[11]$ and the papers by J. Nečas [18], J. Kadlec, J. Nečas [19] contain, in fact, estimates of the condition number, i.e. the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{c_{1}}{c_{2}}>h(n) \geq 0 \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

implying the regularity. Here, as before,

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}|\eta|^{2} \leq a_{i j}^{r s}(\xi) \eta_{i}^{r} \eta_{j}^{s} \leq c_{2}|\eta|^{2}, \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, for simplicity, we suppose $a_{i j}^{r s}=a_{j i}^{s r}$. In all the mentioned papers, $h(n)$ is not evaluated, each time only $h(2)=0$. A precise evaluation is done by A.I. Košelev, see [20], where for systems a generalization of H.O. Cordes's condition is given, see [21]. K0selev's condition implies that the weak solution belongs to $\left[C^{(0)}, \mu(\bar{\Omega})\right]^{m}$ which follows also from the fact that the weak solution belongs to $\underset{p>1}{\overbrace{1}}\left[\mathrm{w}^{1}, \mathrm{p}(\Omega)\right]^{\mathrm{m}}$, provided that some asymptote type conditions are valid for the functions $a_{i}^{r}(\xi)$, see $J_{0}$ Ně̌as [22].

We shall sketch the proof of
Theorem 4. Let
(38)

$$
\frac{c_{1}}{c_{2}}>\frac{\sqrt{1+\frac{(n-2)^{2}}{n-1}}-1}{\sqrt{1+\frac{(n-2)^{2}}{n-1}}+1}
$$

Then the weak solution $u$ to the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} a_{i}^{r}(\nabla u) \frac{\partial \varphi_{r}}{\partial x_{i}} d x=\int_{\Omega} f_{i}^{r} \frac{\partial \varphi_{r}}{\partial x_{i}} d x \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

lies in $\left[C^{(1), \mu}(\Omega)\right]^{m}$, provided that $f_{i}^{r} \in W^{1}, p(\Omega), p>n$, and $\mu=1-\frac{n}{p}$. We have for $\bar{\Omega}^{\prime} \subset \Omega$ :


| $n$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{c_{1}}{c_{2}}$ | 0 | 0,101 | 0,209 | 0,286 |.

Lemma 1. Let $u \in W^{1,2}\left(K_{\varepsilon}\right) \cap C^{(0), \gamma}\left(K_{\varepsilon}\right)$ be the solution of $-\Delta u=-\frac{\partial g_{i}}{\partial x_{i}}$.
Let $n-2<\lambda<n-2+2 \gamma$. Then
(42)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{K_{\varepsilon}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} r^{-\lambda} d x \leq \alpha(\lambda)\left(1+\frac{(n-2)^{2}}{n-1}\right) \cdot \\
& {\left[\int_{K_{\varepsilon}} g_{i} g_{i} r^{-\lambda} d x+c_{3} \int_{K_{\varepsilon}} g_{i} g_{i} d x+\right.} \\
& \left.\quad+c_{3} \int_{K_{\varepsilon}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} d x+c_{3}|u(0)|^{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\alpha(\lambda) \rightarrow 1$ as $\lambda \rightarrow(n-2)$.
Proof. Put $v(x) \equiv(u(x)-u(0)) \psi(x), \quad \psi \in D\left(K_{\varepsilon}\right), \psi(x)=1$ for $|x| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \quad 0 \leq \psi \leq 1$. We have
(43)

$$
\int_{R_{n}} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} d x=\int_{R_{n}} h_{i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} d x
$$

with
(44) $\left[\int_{R_{n}} h_{i} h_{i}\left(1+r^{-\lambda}\right) d x\right]^{1 / 2} \leq\left[\int_{K_{\varepsilon}} g_{i} g_{i} r^{-\lambda} d x\right]^{1 / 2}+$

$$
+c_{4}\left[\int_{K_{a}} g_{i} g_{i} d x\right]^{1 / 2}
$$

In polar coordinates (employing our notation) we get for $v:$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{S} \partial_{i} v \partial_{i} \varphi r^{n-1} d r d S=\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{S} m_{i} \partial_{i} \varphi r^{n-1} d r d S, \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m_{i}=a_{i j}{ }^{h} j^{\text {. Putting }} p^{\prime}=p-(n-3), p=x,+i y, \quad x=$ $=-\frac{1}{2}[\lambda-(n-2)], \quad y \in R_{1}, \quad x<x>0, \quad x \geq-\gamma, \quad \varphi=w(\vartheta) r^{p}{ }^{\prime}-1$,
$w \in \mathcal{E}(S)$ and denoting by
(46)

$$
V(p, \vartheta) \equiv \int_{0}^{\infty} r^{p-1} v(r, \vartheta) d r, \quad M_{i}(p, \vartheta) \equiv \int_{0}^{\infty} r^{p_{i}}(r, \vartheta) d r
$$

Mellin's transforms of $v$ and $m_{i}$, we obtain from (45)
(47) $\int_{S}\left[-p(p,-1) v w+\bar{\partial}_{i}, v \bar{\partial}_{i}, w\right] d S=\int_{S}\left[M_{1}(p,-1) w+M_{i}, \bar{\partial}_{i}, w\right] d S$.

Let us decompose $w^{1,2}(s)=w_{1}+w_{2}, w_{1} \equiv\{$ const $\}$, let $v=v_{1}+$ $+V_{2}, \quad M=M_{1}^{1}+M_{1}^{2}$ be orthogonal decompositions, the latter in $L_{2}(S)$. Put $w=-\frac{\bar{p}}{p^{\prime}-1} \bar{v}_{1}$ in (47). We obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}|p|^{2}\left|v_{1}\right|^{2} d S \leq \int_{S}\left|M_{1}\right|^{2} d S \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Put $w=\bar{v}_{2}$. Because we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(n-1) \int_{S}|w|^{2} d S \leq \int_{S} \bar{\partial}_{i}, w \bar{\partial}_{i}, \bar{w} d S \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $w$ from $w_{2}$ we get in virtue of (48):
(50)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \int_{S}\left[|p|^{2}|v|^{2}+\bar{\partial}_{i}, v \bar{\partial}_{i}, \bar{v}\right] d S \leq \\
& \leq\left[1+\frac{(n-2)^{2}}{n-1}-2 x, \frac{n-2}{n-1}\right] \int_{S} M_{i} \bar{M}_{i} \text { dS. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Parseval's identities
(51) $\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left[|p|^{2}|v|^{2}+\bar{\partial}_{i}, v \bar{\partial}_{i}, \bar{v}\right] d y=\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\partial_{i} v \partial_{i} v\right) r^{-\lambda^{\prime}+n-1} d r$,

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} M_{i} \bar{M}_{i} d y=\int_{0}^{\infty} m_{i} m_{i} r^{-\lambda^{\prime}+n-1} d r
$$

together with (50) imply the result, q.e.d.
Lemma 2. Let $v \in\left[w^{1}, 2\left(K_{a}\right)\right]^{m}$ be a weak solution to the linear system
(52) $\quad \int_{K_{\varepsilon}} a_{i j}^{r s} \frac{\partial v_{r}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial \varphi_{s}}{\partial x_{j}} d x=\int_{K_{\varepsilon}} h_{i}^{r} \frac{\partial \varphi_{r}}{\partial x_{i}} d x$,
$a_{i}^{r s}=a_{j i}^{s r} \in L_{\infty}\left(K_{a}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\left.c_{1} \mid\right\}\left.\right|^{2} \leq a_{i j}^{r s}\right\}_{i}^{r}\right\}_{j}^{s} \leq c_{2}|\xi|^{2} . \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\lambda$ be chosen such that (see Lemma 1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{c_{1}}{c_{2}}>\frac{\alpha(\lambda)^{1 / 2} \sqrt{1+\frac{(n-2)^{2}}{n-1}}-1}{\alpha(\lambda)^{1 / 2} \sqrt{1+\frac{(n-2)^{2}}{n-1}}+1} \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then
(55)

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sup _{x_{0} \in K_{\varepsilon / 2}} \int_{K_{\varepsilon}} \frac{\partial v_{r}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial v_{r}}{\partial x_{i}}\left|x-x_{0}\right|^{-\lambda} d x \leq \\
\leq c_{3}\left[\sup _{x_{0} \in K_{\varepsilon}} \int_{K_{\varepsilon}} h_{i}^{r} h_{i}^{r}\left|x-x_{0}\right|^{-\lambda} d x+\int_{K_{\varepsilon}} \frac{\partial v_{r}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial v_{r}}{\partial x_{i}} d x\right] .
\end{gathered}
$$

Proof: Smoothing $h_{i}^{r}$ and $a_{i j}^{r s}$ by a positive mollifier, we can suppose $v \in\left[C^{(1)}\left(K_{\varepsilon}\right)\right]^{m}$. Let us remark that (53) remains true after mollifying. Put $\quad \gamma=\frac{2}{\mathrm{c}_{1}+\mathrm{c}_{2}}$. Using the equation (where $\mathrm{K}\left(\mathrm{x}_{0}, \delta\right) \equiv$
$\equiv\left|\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{x}_{0}\right|<\delta$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{K\left(x_{0}, \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)} \frac{\partial v_{r}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial \varphi_{r}}{\partial x_{i}} d x=\int_{K\left(x_{0}, \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)}\left(\frac{\partial v_{r}}{\partial x_{i}}-\gamma a_{i j}^{r s} \frac{\partial v_{s}}{\partial x_{j}}\right)  \tag{56}\\
& \cdot \frac{\partial \varphi_{r}}{\partial x_{i}} d x+\gamma \int_{K\left(x_{0}, \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)} g_{i}^{r} \frac{\partial \varphi r_{r}}{\partial x_{i}} d x
\end{align*}
$$

and the relation
(57)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{K\left(x_{0}, \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)}\left(\frac{\partial v_{r}}{\partial x_{i}}-\gamma a_{i j}^{r s} \frac{\partial v_{s}}{\partial x_{j}}\right)\left(\frac{\partial v_{r}}{\partial x_{i}}-\gamma a_{i j}^{r s} \frac{\partial v_{s}}{\partial x_{j}}\right) \\
& \cdot \\
& \cdot x_{0-x_{0}}^{-\lambda} d x \leq\left(\frac{c_{2}-c_{1}}{c_{2}+c_{1}}\right)^{2} \int_{K\left(x_{0}, \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)} \frac{\partial v_{r}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial v_{r}}{\partial x_{i}}\left|x_{x-x_{0}}\right|^{-\lambda} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

we get the result (if we let the mollifier's parameter converge to zero), taking into account that, $\forall \delta>0$,
(58)

$$
v_{r}\left(x_{0}\right) v_{r}\left(x_{0}\right) \leq \delta \sup _{x_{0}^{\prime} \in \frac{K}{2}} \int_{K\left(x_{0}^{\prime}, \overline{2}\right)}
$$

$$
\frac{\partial v_{r}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial v_{r}}{\partial x_{i}}\left|x-x_{0}^{\prime}\right|^{-\lambda} d x+\mu(\delta) \int_{K_{\varepsilon}}\left(\frac{\partial v_{r}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial v_{r}}{\partial x_{i}}+v_{r} v_{r}\right) d x,
$$

see for example J. Nečas [23], q.e.d.
Theorem 4 can be proved by the standard method, starting from the equations (9) in variations, using Lemmas 1 and 2 , and finally the standard results for the regularity of the weak solution to the linear systems with Holder-continuous coefficients, see for example S. Agmon, A. Douglis, L. Nirenberg [24].

We conclude our explanation with a regularity theorem for systems of variational inequalities and Signorini's type unilateral conditiohs, see G. Fichera [25], J. Nečas [26], J. Frehse [28], [29].

Let $\Omega$ be a domain with the Lipschitz boundary $\partial \Omega$, let $\Gamma \subset \partial \Omega$ be a part of $\partial \Omega$ smooth enough. We suppose on $\Gamma$

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{r s} u_{s} \geq \psi_{r}, \quad r=1,2, \ldots k \leq m \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $b_{r s}, \psi_{r}$ regular enough. Let $\partial \Omega=\bar{\Gamma}_{1} \cup \bar{\Gamma}_{2} \cup \bar{\Gamma}$, where
$\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}, \Gamma$ are disjoint open sets in $\partial \Omega$. We suppose that there exists $u_{1} \in\left[W^{1,2}(\Omega)\right]^{m}$ such that $b_{r s} u_{s}^{1}=\psi_{r}$. Let $u^{0} \in$ $\in\left[w^{1,2}(\Omega)\right]^{m}, \quad g \in\left[L_{2}\left(\Gamma_{2} \cup \Gamma\right)\right]^{m}, \quad f \in\left[L_{2}(\Omega)\right]^{m}$. Let the rank of $b_{r s}(x)=k$. Put

$$
\begin{equation*}
K \equiv\left\{v \mid v=u^{0} \text { on } \Gamma_{1}, \quad b_{r s} u_{s} \geq \psi_{r}, \quad r=1,2, \ldots \text { on on } \Gamma\right\} \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$ We suppose that $u_{0} \in K$ and we look for $u \in K$ such that, for $\forall v \in K$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega} a_{i}^{r}(\nabla u)\left(\frac{\partial v_{r}}{\partial x_{i}}-\frac{\partial u_{r}}{\partial x_{i}}\right)+\int_{\Omega} u_{r}\left(v_{r}-u_{r}\right) d x \geq  \tag{61}\\
& \geq \int_{\Omega} f_{r}\left(v_{r}-u_{r}\right) d x+\int_{\Gamma_{2} \cup \Gamma} g_{r}\left(v_{r}-u_{r}\right) d S .
\end{align*}
$$

We can answer the regularity question by the penalty method. We put

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\beta(u), v)=-\int_{\Gamma}\left(b_{r s} u_{s}-\psi_{r}\right)^{-} b_{r t} v_{t} d s \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

and look for the solutions $u^{\varepsilon}$ of the equations with penalty. By a standard difference method, see [26] in detail, we get
Theorem 5. Let $F=\bar{F} \subset U(F) \cap \bar{\Omega} \subset F^{*} \subset \bar{F}^{*} \subset \Omega \cup \Gamma$, where $U(F)$ is
a neighbourhood of $F$. Under our assumptions, provided that
$u^{1} \in\left[w^{3,2}\left(F^{*}\right)\right]^{m}, \quad g \in\left[w^{1,2}(\Gamma)\right]^{m}, \quad$ we obtain
(63)

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|u^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{\left[w^{2,2}(F)\right]^{m}} \leq c(F)\left[1+\left\|u^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{\left[w^{1}, 2\right.}(\Omega)\right]^{m}+\|f\|_{\left[L_{2}(\Omega)\right]^{m}} \\
\left.\left.\left.+\|g\|_{\left[w^{1}, 2\right.}(\Gamma)\right]^{m}+\left\|u^{1}\right\|_{\left[w^{3}, 2\right.}\left(F^{*}\right)\right]^{m}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$
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