Jean Mawhin The periodic boundary value problem for some second order ordinary differential equations

In: Michal Greguš (ed.): Equadiff 5, Proceedings of the Fifth Czechoslovak Conference on Differential Equations and Their Applications held in Bratislava, August 24-28, 1981. BSB B.G. Teubner Verlagsgesellschaft, Leipzig, 1982. Teubner-Texte zur Mathematik, Bd. 47. pp. 256--259.

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/702300

Terms of use:

© BSB B.G. Teubner Verlagsgesellschaft, 1982

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

THE PERIODIC BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM FOR SOME

SECOND ORDER ORDINARY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

Jean MAWHIN Louvain-La-Neuve, Belgium

We shortly describe recent results obtained by J.R. WARD and the author about the existence of solutions for the periodic boundary value problem

(1)

$$x'' + h(x)x' + f(t,x) = e(t)$$

 $x(0) - x(2\pi) = x'(0) - x'(2\pi) = 0$

where $h: \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}$ is continuous, $e \in L^1(0,2\pi)$, f satisfies the Caratheodory conditions and asymptotic conditions of the form

(2)
$$\gamma(t) \leq \liminf_{|x| \to \infty} x^{-1}f(t,x),$$

where $\gamma \in L^1(I)$, $\Gamma \in L^1(I)$, $I = [0,2\pi]$ and the relations (2) are supposed to hold uniformly a.e. on I. If $g : I \rightarrow R$ and if $a \in R$, we introduce the notation

g(t) ≲ a

on I if $g(t) \le a$ on I and $g(t) \le a$ on a subset of I positive measure.

In [3], we proved that (1) is solvable for every h and every e if (3) holds and

i.e. if $\Gamma(t) \leq 0$ a.e on I and $\overline{\Gamma} = : (2\pi)^{-1} \int_{I} \Gamma(t) dt \leq 0$. Notice that 0 is the smallest value of μ such that the problem

has non-trivial solutions, the other ones being $k^2 \, (k \in \mathbb{N}^{\ast}) \, .$ The example of Amaral and Pere [1]

(6)
$$x^* + \frac{\sin t}{a+\sin t} x = e(t), a > 1,$$

$$x(0) - x(2\pi) = x'(0) - x'(2\pi) = 0$$

for which $(2\pi)^{-1} \int_{I} \frac{\sin t}{a+\sin t} dt < 0$ but for which the homogeneous problem has the non-trivial solutions c(a+sin t) (c $\in \mathbb{R}$), so that (6) cannot be solved for every $e \in L^{1}(I)$, shows that the first condition in (4) cannot be completely dropped.

256

GOSSEZ [2] however has proved that (1) is solvable for every h and e if

(7) $\overline{\Gamma^+} < 3/4 \pi^2$, $\overline{\Gamma^+} - [1 - (4\pi^2/3)\overline{\Gamma^+}]^{1/2} \overline{\Gamma^-} < 0$

where Γ^+ = max(Γ ,0), Γ^- = max($-\Gamma$,0), and (7) obviously reduces to (4) when Γ^+ = 0, i.e when $\Gamma(t) \leq 0$ a.e on I.

Existence results also hold for (1) when (2) and (3) hold and γ and Γ are related to two consecutive squares of integers. Because of the simplest nature of the non-trivial solutions of (5) when μ = 0, better results are obtained for γ and Γ respectively related to 0 and 1 with respect to the other cases $(k-1)^2$ and $k^2(k>2)$. They are summarized in the following result extending previous ones of LAZER, REISSIG, CHANG, MARTELLI, GUPTA, AMARAL and PERA, and the author.

THEOREM. Assume that conditions (2) and (3) hold and that γ , Γ , h and e satisfy one of the following conditions.

1. h is constant, there is an integer $k \ge 2$ such that

$$(k-1)^2 \lesssim \gamma(t) \leq \Gamma(t) \lesssim k^2 \text{ on } I,$$

and e is arbitrary.

2. h is constant, $\overline{\gamma} = 0$, $\gamma \neq 0$, $\Gamma(t) \lesssim 1$ on I and e is arbitrary.

3. h is arbitrary, $\bar{\gamma} > 0$, $\Gamma(t) \lesssim 1$ on I and e is arbitrary.

4. h is arbitrary, $0 = \bar{\gamma} < \bar{\Gamma}$, $\Gamma(t) \lesssim 1$ on I.

(8)
$$(2\pi)^{-1} \int_{-\pi} f(t,x(t)) dt \ge A (resp. $(2\pi)^{-1} \int_{-\pi} f(t,x(t)) dt \le a$)$$

when $x \in AC_{2\pi}^{1}$ and min x(t) > R (resp. max x(t) < r), till for some a < A and r < 0 < R, where $AC_{2\pi}^{1} = \{x : I \rightarrow R : x \text{ and } x' \text{ are absolutely continuous on I and } x(0) - x(2\pi) = x'(0) - x'(2\pi) = 0\}$, and finally ⁽¹⁾

 $a \leq \bar{e} \leq A$.

Then problem (1) has at least one solution.

This theorem is proved by degree arguments. The required a priori bounds for the use of the method of proof are deduced from the obtention of a priori bounds for problems of the form

$$x'' + h(x)x' + p(t)x = q(t)$$

where p, q $\in L^1(I)$ and where $\gamma(t) - \varepsilon \leq p(t) \leq \Gamma(t) + \varepsilon$ on I for some $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small. Those a priori bounds are themselve deduced from coercivity properties on the Sobolev space $H^1(I)$ of some quadratic forms associated to γ and Γ . We refer to [4],[5] and [6] for the details and proofs.

Examples.

17 Gregus, Equadiff

1. If I = I¹ U I², with 0 < meas I¹ < 2 π and if, for some k $\in \mathbb{N}^*$, k > 2, we define p : I $\rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by p(t) = (k-1)² for t $\in I^1$ and p(t) = k² for t $\in I^2$, then for each q $\in L^1(I)$, the problem

(9)
$$x^* + p(t)x = q(t)$$

 $x(0) - x(2\pi) = x'(0) - x'(2\pi) = 0$

has by part 1 of the above theorem, a (unique) solution. So we have a *nonresonance* situation although the coefficient p(t) only takes *resonant* values $(k-1)^2$ and k^2 ; but it takes two and not only one resonant value !

2. If I = I¹ U I² U I³ with meas I¹ < 2 π (I = 1,2) (I = 1,2,3) and if we define p : I \rightarrow R by p(t) = 1 for t \in I¹, p(t) = 0 for t \in I², p(t) = - ν for t \in I³ and some ν > 0, then the problem (9) will have , by part 2 or 3 of the above theorem, a (unique) solution for each q \in L¹(I) if ν meas I³ < meas I¹. It is again a nonresonant situation and the restriction is only on the measure of the set I³ on which p takes at the *nonresonant* value - ν !

3. If, for some integer $m \ge 1$, and some $0 \le \varepsilon \le 1$, $p(t) = \varepsilon \sin mt$, then, by part 2 of the theorem, the problem (9) has a (unique) solution for each $q \in L^1(I)$. Thus it is a nonresonant problem. Notice that in this case the *averaged* homogeneous problem

$$x^{*} = 0, x(0) - x(2\pi) = x^{*}(0) - x^{*}(2\pi) = 0$$

is resonant !

4. Interesting special cases under which condition (8) is satisfied are either f(t,x) > B(t) for a.e.t \in I, all x > R and some $B \in L^{1}(I)$ and f(t,x) < b(t) for a.e. $t \in I$, all x < r and some $b \in L^{1}(I)$ with $\bar{b} < \bar{B}$, or $f(t,x) > \delta_{+}(t)$ (resp. $f(t,x) < \delta_{-}(t)$) for a.e. $t \in I$, all x > 0, {resp. x < 0}, and some $\delta_{+} \in L^{1}(I)$, and setting $f^{+}(t) = \liminf_{x \to -\infty} f(t,x), f^{-}(t) = \limsup_{x \to -\infty} f(t,x), f^{-}(t) = \lim_{x \to -\infty} \inf_{x \to -\infty} f(t,x)$ in the first case for all $e \in L^{1}(I)$ with $\bar{b} < \bar{e} < \bar{B}$ and in the second case for all $e \in L^{1}(I)$ with $\bar{f^{-}} < \bar{e} < \bar{f^{+}}$.

REFERENCES

- L. AMARAL and M.P. PERA, A note on periodic solutions at resonance, Bol. Un. Mat. Ital., to appear.
- J.P. GOSSEZ, Some nonlinear differential equations with resonance at the first eigenvalue, Conf. Som. Mat. Univ. Bati, nº 167, 1979.
- J. MAWHIN, Boundary value problems at resonance for vector second order nonlinear ordinary differential equations, in "Equadiff IV, Praha 1977", Lect. Notes in Math. n^o 703, Springer, Berlin, 1979, 241-249.

- 4. J. MAWHIN, "Compacité, monotonie et convexité dans l'étude des problèmes aux limites semi-linéaires", Sémineire d'Analyse Moderne n° 19, Université de Sherbrooke, Quebec, 1981, à paraître.
- 5. J. MAWHIN and J.R. WARD Jr., Nonuniform nonresonance conditions at the two first eigenvalues for periodic solutions of forced Lienard and Duffing equations, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.*, to appear.
- J. MAWHIN and J.R. WARD Jr., Periodic solutions of some forced Lienard differential equations at resonance, to appear.