

Loukas Grafakos

Multilinear harmonic analysis

In: Jiří Rákosník (ed.): Nonlinear Analysis, Function Spaces and Applications, Proceedings of the International School held in Třešť, September 11-17, 2010, Vol. 9. Institute of Mathematics AS CR, Praha, 2011. pp. 63--104.

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/702638>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2011

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

# MULTILINEAR HARMONIC ANALYSIS

LOUKAS GRAFAKOS

*In memory of Nigel Kalton*

ABSTRACT. This article contains an expanded version of the material covered by the author in two 90-minute lectures at the 9th international school on Nonlinear Analysis, Function spaces and Applications, held in Třešť, Czech Republic during the period September 11 to September 17, 2010.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

An operator acting on function spaces may not only depend on a main variable but also on several other function-variables that are often treated as parameters. Examples of such operators are ubiquitous in harmonic analysis: multiplier operators, homogeneous singular integrals associated with functions on the sphere, Littlewood-Paley operators, the Calderón commutators, and the Cauchy integral along Lipschitz curves.

Of the aforementioned examples, we discuss the latter: The Cauchy integral along a Lipschitz curve  $\Gamma$  is given by

$$C_{\Gamma}(h)(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \text{p.v.} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{h(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta,$$

where  $h$  is a function on  $\Gamma$ , which is taken to be the graph of a Lipschitz function  $A: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , and  $z$  is a point on the curve  $\Gamma$ .

A. CALDERÓN wrote

$$C_{\Gamma}(h)(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (-i)^m \mathcal{C}_m(f; A)(x), \quad (1)$$

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 42B20. Secondary 42B15, 46B70, 47G30.

*Key words and phrases*. Calderón-Zygmund singular integrals, multilinear operators, multipliers, interpolation, T1 Theorem.

Research partially supported by the NSF under grant DMS 0900946.

where  $z = x + iA(x)$ ,  $f(y) = h(y + iA(y))(1 + iA'(y))$ , and

$$\mathcal{C}_m(f; A)(x) = \text{p.v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \frac{A(x) - A(y)}{x - y} \right)^m \frac{f(y)}{x - y} dy.$$

The operators  $\mathcal{C}_m(f; A)$  are called the  $m$ -th Calderón commutators and they provide examples of singular integrals whose action on the function 1 has inspired the fundamental work on the  $T1$  theorem [11].

Identity (1) reduces the boundedness of  $C_{\Gamma}(h)$  to that of the operators  $\mathcal{C}_m(f; A)$  (recall  $f(y) = h(y + iA(y))(1 + iA'(y))$ ); certainly for this approach to bear fruit, one would also need to know that the operators  $\mathcal{C}_m(f; A)$  are bounded with norms having moderate growth in  $m$ . At this point, it seems that we reduced the boundedness of a linear operator to another operator that contains powers of the function  $A$  and thus it is nonlinear in it. To adopt a truly multilinear point of view, we introduce the  $(m + 1)$ -linear operator

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{m+1}(f; A_1, \dots, A_m)(x) \\ = \text{p.v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \frac{A_1(x) - A_1(y)}{x - y} \right) \dots \left( \frac{A_m(x) - A_m(y)}{x - y} \right) \frac{f(y)}{x - y} dy \end{aligned}$$

and seek estimates for it. Any estimate for  $\mathcal{E}_{m+1}$  from a product of function spaces  $Z_1 \times Z_2 \times \dots \times Z_{m+1}$ , where  $Z_2 = \dots = Z_{m+1}$  gives yield to an estimate for  $\mathcal{C}_m(f; A)$  in terms of  $f$  and  $A$ . This point of view leads to the following result:

**Theorem 1** ([15]). *Let  $0 < 1/p = \sum_{j=1}^{m+1} 1/p_j$ . Then the  $(m + 1)$ -linear operator  $\mathcal{E}_{m+1}$  maps  $L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}) \times \dots \times L^{p_{m+1}}(\mathbb{R})$  to  $L^{p, \infty}(\mathbb{R})$  whenever  $1 \leq p_1, \dots, p_{m+1} \leq \infty$  and it also maps  $L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}) \times \dots \times L^{p_{m+1}}(\mathbb{R})$  to  $L^p(\mathbb{R})$  when  $1 < p_j < \infty$  for all  $j$ . In particular, it maps  $L^1(\mathbb{R}) \times \dots \times L^1(\mathbb{R})$  to  $L^{1/(m+1), \infty}(\mathbb{R})$ .*

The endpoint conclusion  $L^1(\mathbb{R}) \times \dots \times L^1(\mathbb{R})$  to  $L^{1/(m+1), \infty}(\mathbb{R})$  of Theorem 1 has been obtained by C. P. CALDERÓN [2] when  $m = 1$  and COIFMAN and MEYER [6] when  $m = 1, 2$  while the case  $m \geq 3$  was completed by DUONG, GRAFAKOS, and YAN [15].

The underlying idea in the proof of Theorem 1 is the simultaneous Calderón-Zygmund decomposition on all functions that  $\mathcal{E}_{m+1}$  acts on. This decomposition resembles the classical *linear* Calderón-Zygmund decomposition, but is more complicated due to the presence of several tuples of combinations of good and bad functions. This decomposition is discussed in Section 3. However, the proof contained in Section 3 does not directly apply

to Theorem 1; the latter requires a more flexible version of the Calderón-Zygmund decomposition, since the kernel of  $\mathcal{E}_{m+1}$  does not obey the standard multilinear Calderón-Zygmund kernel conditions, see Section 3. Indeed the kernel of  $\mathcal{E}_{m+1}$  is the function of  $(m + 1)$  variables

$$K(y_0, \dots, y_{m+1}) = \frac{(-1)^{e(y_{m+1}-y_0)m}}{(y_0 - y_{m+1})^{m+1}} \prod_{\ell=1}^m \chi_{(\min(y_0, y_{m+1}), \max(y_0, y_{m+1}))}(y_\ell)$$

which contains characteristic functions. The proof of Theorem 1 is achieved in [15] and is modeled after the approach devised by DUONG and MCINTOSH [16] for linear operators.

Another class of operators closely related to the commutators of Calderón is the family

$$H_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}(f_1, f_2)(x) = \text{p.v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_1(x - \alpha_1 t) f_2(x - \alpha_2 t) \frac{dt}{t}, \quad \alpha_1, \alpha_2, x \in \mathbb{R},$$

called today the *bilinear Hilbert transforms*. These were also introduced by A. Calderón in an attempt to show that the commutator  $\mathcal{C}_1(f; A)$  is bounded on  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  when  $A(t)$  is a function on the line with bounded derivative. The idea of this approach is that the linear operator  $f \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_1(f; A)$  can be expressed as the average

$$\mathcal{C}_1(f; A)(x) = \int_0^1 H_{1, \alpha}(f, A')(x) d\alpha, \tag{2}$$

and thus the boundedness of  $\mathcal{C}_1(f; A)$  can be reduced to the (uniform in  $\alpha$ ) boundedness of  $H_{1, \alpha}$ . Naturally, the estimates for  $H_{1, \alpha}$  should depend (linearly) on both functions  $f$  and  $A'$ . This operator is discussed in Section 7.

The previous discussion leads to the conclusion that treating the function  $A$  as a frozen parameter provides limited results in terms of its smoothness. If we have estimates in terms of a few function space norms of both  $f$  and  $A$ , we may use the power of *multilinear interpolation*, to deduce boundedness of  $\mathcal{C}_1(f; A)$  on various function spaces, of ranging degree of regularity. Certainly this fact is not only pertinent to Calderón’s first commutator  $\mathcal{C}_1$ , but all multilinear operators.

In summary, we advocate the following point of view in the study of multivariable operators: unfreeze the functions serving the roles of a parameter and treat them as input variables. This approach often yields sharper results in terms of the regularity of the input functions. In these notes we pursue

this idea in a systematic way. We present certain fundamental results concerning linear (or sublinear) operators of several variables, henceforth called multilinear (or multisublinear), that contain challenges that appear in their study, despite the great resemblances with their linear analogues. The proofs given in the next sections contain most necessary details but references are provided for the sake of completeness in the exposition.

## 2. EXAMPLES OF MULTIVARIABLE OPERATORS

We embark on the study of multilinear harmonic analysis with the class of operators that extends the concept of Calderón-Zygmund operators in the multilinear setting. These operators have kernels that satisfy standard estimates and possess boundedness properties analogous to those of the classical linear ones. This class of operators has been previously studied by COIFMAN and MEYER [6], [7], [8], [9], [34], assuming sufficient smoothness on their symbols and kernels.

If an  $m$ -linear operator  $T$  commutes with translations in the sense that

$$T(f_1, \dots, f_m)(x+t) = T(f_1(\cdot+t), \dots, f_m(\cdot+t))(x) \quad (3)$$

for all  $t, x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , then it incorporates a certain amount of homogeneity. Indeed, if it maps  $L^{p_1} \times \dots \times L^{p_m}$  to  $L^p$ , then one must necessarily have  $1/p_1 + \dots + 1/p_m \geq 1/p$ ; this was proved in [25] for compactly supported kernels but extended for general kernels in [13].

We use the following definition for the Fourier transform in  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean space

$$\widehat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) e^{-2\pi i x \cdot \xi} dx,$$

while  $f^\vee(\xi) = \widehat{f}(-\xi)$  denotes the inverse Fourier transform. Multilinear operators that commute with translations as in (3) are exactly the multilinear multiplier operators that have the form

$$\begin{aligned} & T(f_1, \dots, f_m)(x) \\ &= \int_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^m} \sigma(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) \widehat{f}_1(\xi_1) \cdots \widehat{f}_m(\xi_m) e^{2\pi i x \cdot (\xi_1 + \dots + \xi_m)} d\xi_1 \dots d\xi_m \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

for some bounded function  $\sigma$ .

Endpoint estimates for linear singular integrals are usually estimates of the form  $L^1 \rightarrow L^1$  or  $L^1 \rightarrow L^{1,\infty}$ . The analogous  $m$ -linear estimates are  $L^1 \times \dots \times L^1 \rightarrow L^{1/m,\infty}$ . Although one expects some similarities with the

linear case, there exist some differences as well. For example, if a linear translation-invariant operator has a positive kernel and it maps  $L^1 \rightarrow L^{1,\infty}$ , then it must have an integrable kernel and thus it actually maps  $L^1$  to  $L^1$ . In the multilinear case, it is still true that if a multilinear translation-invariant operator has a positive kernel and maps  $L^1 \times \dots \times L^1$  to  $L^{1/m,\infty}$ , then it must have an integrable kernel, but having an integrable positive kernel does not necessarily imply that the corresponding operator maps  $L^1 \times \dots \times L^1$  to  $L^{1/m}$ . Results of this type have been obtained in [23].

We provide a few examples of multivariable (multilinear and multisublinear) operators:

**Example 1.** The *identity operator* in the  $m$ -linear setting is the product operator

$$T_1(f_1, \dots, f_m)(x) = f_1(x) \cdots f_m(x).$$

By Hölder’s inequality  $T_1$  maps  $L^{p_1} \times \dots \times L^{p_m} \rightarrow L^p$  whenever  $1/p_1 + \dots + 1/p_m = 1/p$ .

**Example 2.** The action of a linear operator  $L$  on the product  $f_1 \cdots f_m$  gives rise to a more general *degenerate  $m$ -linear operator*

$$T_2(f_1, \dots, f_m)(x) = L(f_1 \cdots f_m)(x)$$

that still maps  $L^{p_1} \times \dots \times L^{p_m} \rightarrow L^p$  whenever  $1/p_1 + \dots + 1/p_m = 1/p$ , provided  $L$  is a bounded operator on  $L^p$ .

**Example 3.** The previous example captures “the majority of interesting”  $m$ -linear operators. Let  $L_0$  be a linear operator acting on functions defined on  $\mathbb{R}^{mn}$ . We define

$$T_3(f_1, \dots, f_m)(x) = L_0(f_1 \otimes \dots \otimes f_m)(x).$$

Here  $f_1 \otimes \dots \otimes f_m$  is the tensor product of these functions, defined as a function on  $\mathbb{R}^{mn}$  as follows:  $(f_1 \otimes \dots \otimes f_m)(x_1, \dots, x_m) = f_1(x_1) \cdots f_m(x_m)$ . In particular,  $L_0$  could be a singular integral acting on functions on  $\mathbb{R}^{mn}$ . The boundedness of  $T_3$  from  $L^{p_1} \times \dots \times L^{p_m} \rightarrow L^p$  whenever  $1/p_1 + \dots + 1/p_m = 1/p$  may not always be an easy task. It often requires a delicate study aspects of which are investigated in this article for certain classes of linear (and also sublinear) operators  $L_0$ .

The situation where  $1/p_1 + \dots + 1/p_m = 1/p$  will be referred to as the *singular integral case*. This is because, it needs to be distinguished from the *fractional integral case* in which  $1/p < 1/p_1 + \dots + 1/p_m$ . This name is due

to the fact that most examples of multilinear operators bounded in this case have fractional integral homogeneity, such as these:

$$(f_1, \dots, f_m) \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^{mn}} f_1(x - y_1) \dots f_m(x - y_m) (|x - y_1| + \dots + |x - y_m|)^{-mn+\alpha} dy_1 \dots dy_m.$$

**Example 4.** Taking  $L_0$  to be a linear multiplier operator on  $(\mathbb{R}^n)^m$  with symbol  $\sigma$ , we obtain a *multilinear multiplier operator* of the form (4). Then  $\sigma$  is called the *symbol* or *multiplier* of the  $m$ -linear multiplier.

Multilinear multipliers operators arise in many situations. For instance, to prove the *Kato-Ponce inequality* [28] (Leibniz rule for fractional derivatives  $D^\alpha$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ )

$$\|D^\alpha(fg)\|_{L^r} \leq C_{p,q,r} [\|D^\alpha f\|_{L^p} \|g\|_{L^q} + \|f\|_{L^p} \|D^\alpha g\|_{L^q}],$$

where  $1/p + 1/q = 1/r$ , one would have to study bilinear multiplier operators with symbols

$$|\xi + \eta|^\alpha \sum_j \left[ \Psi(2^{-j}\xi)\Phi(2^{-j}\eta) + \Phi(2^{-j}\xi)\Psi(2^{-j}\eta) + \sum_{|j-k|\leq 2} \Psi(2^{-j}\xi)\Psi(2^{-k}\eta) \right]. \quad (5)$$

Here  $\Psi$  and  $\Phi$  are smooth functions supported in an annulus and in small disjoint ball, both centered at the origin, respectively. The main idea is in the first term in (5) we have  $|\xi + \eta| \approx |\xi|$  and so  $|\xi + \eta|^\alpha$  could be replaced by  $|\xi|^\alpha$  via some multiplier theorem. This would yield the term  $\|D^\alpha f\|_{L^p} \|g\|_{L^q}$  in  $L^r$  norm. An analogous estimate with the roles of  $f$  and  $g$  interchanged holds for the second term in (5), while the third term is easier. Such a study requires a multiplier theory for multilinear operators. The topic of multilinear multipliers will be addressed in Section 5.

**Example 5.** The maximal function

$$\mathcal{M}(f_1, \dots, f_m)(x) = \sup_{Q \ni x} \frac{1}{|Q|^m} \int_Q \dots \int_Q |f_1(y_1)| \dots |f_m(y_m)| dy_1 \dots dy_m,$$

where the supremum is taken over all cubes in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with sides parallel to the axes. This was introduced in the work of LERNER, OMBROSI, PÉREZ, TORRES and TRUJILLO-GONZÁLEZ [32] and plays an important role in the characterization of the class of multiple  $A_p$  weights.

**Example 6.** A larger operator is the *strong multilinear maximal function*. It is defined for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  as

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}(f_1, \dots, f_m)(x) = \sup_{R \ni x} \frac{1}{|R|^m} \int_R \cdots \int_R |f_1(y_1)| \cdots |f_m(y_m)| dy_1 \cdots dy_m,$$

where the supremum is taken over all rectangles  $R$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with sides parallel to the axes. When  $m = 1$ , this operator reduces to the strong maximal function on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

### 3. MULTILINEAR CALDERÓN-ZYGMUND OPERATORS

In this section we set up the background of the theory of multilinear Calderón-Zygmund operators. We will be working on  $n$ -dimensional space  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  the space of all Schwartz functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and by  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  its dual space, the set of all tempered distributions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

An  $m$ -linear operator  $T: \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \cdots \times \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is linear in every entry and consequently it has  $m$  formal transposes. The first transpose  $T^{*1}$  of  $T$  is defined via

$$\langle T^{*1}(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m), h \rangle = \langle T(h, f_2, \dots, f_m), f_1 \rangle,$$

for all  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m, h$  in  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Analogously one defines  $T^{*j}$  for  $j \geq 2$ , and we also set  $T^{*0} = T$ .

Let  $K(x, y_1, \dots, y_m)$  be a locally integrable function defined away from the *diagonal*  $x = y_1 = \cdots = y_m$  in  $(\mathbb{R}^n)^{m+1}$ , which satisfies the *size estimate*

$$|K(x, y_1, \dots, y_m)| \leq \frac{A}{(|x - y_1| + \cdots + |x - y_m|)^{mn}} \tag{6}$$

for some  $A > 0$  and all  $(x, y_1, \dots, y_m) \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^{m+1}$  with  $x \neq y_j$  for some  $j$ . Furthermore, assume that for some  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have the smoothness estimates

$$\begin{aligned} |K(x, y_1, \dots, y_m) - K(x', y_1, \dots, y_m)| \\ \leq \frac{A|x - x'|^\varepsilon}{(|x - y_1| + \cdots + |x - y_m|)^{mn+\varepsilon}} \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

whenever  $|x - x'| \leq \frac{1}{2} \max(|x - y_1|, \dots, |x - y_m|)$  and also that

$$\begin{aligned} |K(x, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m) - K(x, y'_1, y_2, \dots, y_m)| \\ \leq \frac{A|y_j - y'_j|^\varepsilon}{(|x - y_1| + \cdots + |x - y_m|)^{mn+\varepsilon}} \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$



whenever  $|y_1 - y'_1| \leq \frac{1}{2} \max(|x - y_1|, \dots, |x - y_m|)$  as well as a similar estimate with the roles of  $y_1$  and  $y_j$  reversed. Kernels satisfying these conditions are called multilinear Calderón-Zygmund kernels and are denoted by  $m\text{-CZK}(A, \varepsilon)$ . A multilinear operator  $T$  is said to be associated with  $K$  if

$$T(f_1, \dots, f_m)(x) = \int_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^m} K(x, y_1, \dots, y_m) f_1(y_1) \dots f_m(y_m) dy_1 \dots dy_m,$$

whenever  $f_1, \dots, f_m$  are smooth functions with compact support and  $x$  does not lie in the intersection of the support of  $f_j$ .

Certain homogeneous distributions of order  $-mn$  are examples of kernels in the class  $m\text{-CZK}(A, \varepsilon)$ . For this reason, boundedness properties of operators  $T$  with kernels in  $m\text{-CZK}(A, \varepsilon)$  from a product  $L^{p_1} \times \dots \times L^{p_m}$  into another  $L^p$  space can only hold when

$$\frac{1}{p_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_m} = \frac{1}{p}$$

as dictated by homogeneity. If such boundedness holds for a certain triple of Lebesgue spaces, then the corresponding operator is called multilinear Calderón-Zygmund.

A fundamental result concerning these operators is the multilinear extension of the classical Calderón-Zygmund [3]; the linear result states that if an operator with smooth enough kernel is bounded on a certain  $L^r$  space, then it is of weak type  $(1, 1)$  and is also bounded on all  $L^p$  spaces for  $1 < p < \infty$ . A version of this theorem for operators with kernels in the class  $m\text{-CZK}(A, \varepsilon)$  has been obtained by GRAFAKOS and TORRES [25]. A special case of this result was also obtained by KENIG and STEIN [29]; both approaches build on previous work by COIFMAN and MEYER [6].

**Theorem 2** ([25]). *Let  $T$  be a multilinear operator with kernel  $K$  in  $m\text{-CZK}(A, \varepsilon)$ . Assume that for some  $1 \leq q_1, \dots, q_m \leq \infty$  and some  $0 < q < \infty$  with*

$$\frac{1}{q_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{q_m} = \frac{1}{q},$$

*$T$  maps  $L^{q_1} \times \dots \times L^{q_m} \rightarrow L^{q, \infty}$ . Then  $T$  can be extended to a bounded operator from  $L^1 \times \dots \times L^1$  into  $L^{1/m, \infty}$ . Moreover, for some constant  $C_n$  (that depends only on the parameters indicated) we have that*

$$\|T\|_{L^1 \times \dots \times L^1 \rightarrow L^{1/m, \infty}} \leq C_n(A + \|T\|_{L^{q_1} \times \dots \times L^{q_m} \rightarrow L^{q, \infty}}). \quad (9)$$

**Proof.** Set  $B = \|T\|_{L^{q_1} \times \dots \times L^{q_m} \rightarrow L^{q, \infty}}$ . Fix an  $\alpha > 0$  and consider functions  $f_j \in L^1$  for  $1 \leq j \leq m$ . Without loss of generality we may assume that  $\|f_1\|_{L^1} = \dots = \|f_m\|_{L^1} = 1$ . Set  $E_\alpha = \{x : |T(f_1, \dots, f_m)(x)| > \alpha\}$ . We need to show that there is a constant  $C = C_{m,n}$  such that

$$|E_\alpha| \leq C(A + B)^{1/m} \alpha^{-1/m}. \tag{10}$$

Once (10) has been established for  $f_j$ 's with norm one, the general case follows by replacing each  $f_j$  by  $f_j/\|f_j\|_{L^1}$ . Let  $\gamma$  be a positive real number to be determined later. Apply the Calderón-Zygmund decomposition to the function  $f_j$  at height  $(\alpha\gamma)^{1/m}$  to obtain ‘good’ and ‘bad’ functions  $g_j$  and  $b_j$ , and families of cubes  $\{Q_{j,k}\}_k$  with disjoint interiors such that

$$f_j = g_j + b_j$$

and

$$b_j = \sum_k b_{j,k},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \text{support}(b_{j,k}) &\subset Q_{j,k}, \\ \int b_{j,k}(x) \, dx &= 0, \\ \int |b_{j,k}(x)| \, dx &\leq C(\alpha\gamma)^{1/m} |Q_{j,k}|, \\ \left| \bigcup_k Q_{j,k} \right| &\leq C(\alpha\gamma)^{-1/m}, \\ \|b_j\|_{L^1} &\leq C, \\ \|g_j\|_{L^s} &\leq C(\alpha\gamma)^{1/ms'} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$  and any  $1 \leq s \leq \infty$ . Define the sets

$$\begin{aligned} E_1 &= \{x : |T(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m)(x)| > \alpha/2^m\} \\ E_2 &= \{x : |T(b_1, g_2, \dots, g_m)(x)| > \alpha/2^m\} \\ E_3 &= \{x : |T(g_1, b_2, \dots, g_m)(x)| > \alpha/2^m\} \\ &\dots \\ E_{2^m} &= \{x : |T(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m)(x)| > \alpha/2^m\}, \end{aligned}$$

where each set  $E_s$  has the form  $\{x : |T(h_1, h_2, \dots, h_m)(x)| > \alpha/2^m\}$  with  $h_j \in \{g_j, b_j\}$  and all the sets  $E_s$  are distinct. Since

$$|\{x : |T(f_1, \dots, f_m)(x)| > \alpha\}| \leq \sum_{s=1}^{2^m} |E_s|,$$

it will suffice to prove estimate (10) for each one of the  $2^m$  sets  $E_s$ .

Chebyshev's inequality and the  $L^{q_1} \times \dots \times L^{q_m} \rightarrow L^{q, \infty}$  boundedness give

$$\begin{aligned} |E_1| &\leq \frac{(2^m B)^q}{\alpha^q} \|g_1\|_{L^{q_1}}^q \dots \|g_m\|_{L^{q_m}}^q \leq \frac{CB^q}{\alpha^q} \prod_{j=1}^m (\alpha\gamma)^{\frac{q}{mq_j}} \\ &= \frac{C'B^q}{\alpha^q} (\alpha\gamma)^{(m-\frac{1}{q})\frac{q}{m}} = C'B^q \alpha^{-\frac{1}{m}} \gamma^{q-\frac{1}{m}}. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Consider now a set  $E_s$  defined above with  $2 \leq s \leq 2^m$ . Suppose that for some  $1 \leq l \leq m$  we have  $l$  bad functions and  $m-l$  good functions appearing in  $T(h_1, \dots, h_m)$ , where  $h_j \in \{g_j, b_j\}$  and assume that the bad functions appear at the entries  $j_1, \dots, j_l$ . We will show that

$$|E_s| \leq C\alpha^{-1/m} (\gamma^{-1/m} + \gamma^{-1/m}(A\gamma)^{1/l}). \quad (12)$$

Let  $l(Q)$  denote the side-length of a cube  $Q$  and let  $Q^*$  be a certain dimensional dilate of  $Q$  with the same center. Fix an  $x \notin \bigcup_{j=1}^m \bigcup_k (Q_{j,k})^*$ . Also fix for the moment the cubes  $Q_{j_1, k_1}, \dots, Q_{j_l, k_l}$  and without loss of generality suppose that  $Q_{j_1, k_1}$  has the smallest size among them. Let  $c_{j_1, k_1}$  be the center of  $Q_{j_1, k_1}$ . For fixed  $y_{j_2}, \dots, y_{j_l} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , the mean value property of the function  $b_{j_1, k_1}$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_{Q_{j_1, k_1}} K(x, y_1, \dots, y_{j_1}, \dots, y_m) b_{j_1, k_1}(y_{j_1}) dy_{j_1} \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{Q_{j_1, k_1}} (K(x, y_1, \dots, y_{j_1}, \dots, y_m) - K(x, y_1, \dots, c_{j_1, k_1}, \dots, y_m)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times b_{j_1, k_1}(y_{j_1}) dy_{j_1} \right| \\ &\leq \int_{Q_{j_1, k_1}} |b_{j_1, k_1}(y_{j_1})| \frac{A|y_{j_1} - c_{j_1, k_1}|^\varepsilon}{(|x - y_1| + \dots + |x - y_m|)^{mn+\varepsilon}} dy_{j_1} \\ &\leq \int_{Q_{j_1, k_1}} |b_{j_1, k_1}(y_{j_1})| \frac{CAL(Q_{j_1, k_1})^\varepsilon}{(|x - y_1| + \dots + |x - y_m|)^{mn+\varepsilon}} dy_{j_1}, \end{aligned}$$

where the previous to last inequality above is due to the fact that

$$|y_{j_1} - c_{j_1, k_1}| \leq c_n l(Q_{j_1, k_1}) \leq \frac{1}{2} |x - y_{j_1}| \leq \frac{1}{2} \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} |x - y_j|.$$

Multiplying the just derived inequality

$$\left| \int_{Q_{j_1, k_1}} K(x, \vec{y}) b_{j_1, k_1}(y_{j_1}) dy_{j_1} \right| \leq \int_{Q_{j_1, k_1}} \frac{CA |b_{j_1, k_1}(y_{j_1})| l(Q_{j_1, k_1})^\varepsilon}{(|x - y_1| + \dots + |x - y_m|)^{mn + \varepsilon}} dy_{j_1}$$

by  $\prod_{i \notin \{j_1, \dots, j_l\}} |g_i(y_i)|$  and integrating over all  $y_i$  with  $i \notin \{j_1, \dots, j_l\}$ , we obtain the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^{m-l}} \prod_{i \notin \{j_1, \dots, j_l\}} |g_i(y_i)| \left| \int_{Q_{j_1, k_1}} K(x, \vec{y}) b_{j_1, k_1}(y_{j_1}) dy_{j_1} \right| \prod_{i \notin \{j_1, \dots, j_l\}} dy_i \\ & \leq \prod_{i \notin \{j_1, \dots, j_l\}} \|g_i\|_{L^\infty} \int_{Q_{j_1, k_1}} |b_{j_1, k_1}(y_{j_1})| \frac{AC l(Q_{j_1, k_1})^\varepsilon}{(\sum_{j=1}^l |x - y_j|)^{mn - (m-l)n + \varepsilon}} dy_{j_1} \\ & \leq CA \prod_{i \notin \{j_1, \dots, j_l\}} \|g_i\|_{L^\infty} \|b_{j_1, k_1}\|_{L^1} \frac{l(Q_{j_1, k_1})^\varepsilon}{(\sum_{j=1}^l (l(Q_{i, k_i}) + |x - c_{i, k_i}|))^{nl + \varepsilon}} \\ & \leq CA \prod_{i \notin \{j_1, \dots, j_l\}} \|g_i\|_{L^\infty} \|b_{j_1, k_1}\|_{L^1} \prod_{i=1}^l \frac{l(Q_{j_i, k_i})^{\frac{\varepsilon}{l}}}{(l(Q_{i, k_i}) + |x - c_{i, k_i}|)^{n + \frac{\varepsilon}{l}}}. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

The last but one inequality is due to the fact that for  $x \notin \bigcup_{j=1}^m \bigcup_k (Q_{j, k})^*$  and  $y_j \in Q_{j, k}$  we have that  $|x - y_j| \approx l(Q_{j, k_j}) + |x - c_{j, k_j}|$ , while the last inequality is due to our assumption that the cube  $Q_{j_1, k_1}$  has the smallest side length. It is now a simple consequence of (13) that for  $x \notin \bigcup_{j=1}^m \bigcup_k (Q_{j, k})^*$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |T(h_1, \dots, h_m)(x)| \\ & \leq CA \int_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^{m-1}} \prod_{i \notin \{j_1, \dots, j_l\}} |g_i(y_i)| \prod_{i=2}^l \left( \sum_{k_i} |b_{j_i, k_i}(y_{j_i})| \right) \\ & \quad \times \left| \int_{Q_{j_1, k_1}} K(x, \vec{y}) b_{j_1, k_1}(y_{j_1}) dy_{j_1} \right| \prod_{i \neq j_1} dy_i \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq CA \prod_{i \notin \{j_1, \dots, j_l\}} \|g_i\|_{L^\infty} \prod_{i=1}^l \frac{l(Q_{j_i, k_i})^{\frac{\varepsilon}{l}}}{(l(Q_{i, k_i}) + |x - c_{i, k_i}|)^{n + \frac{\varepsilon}{l}}} \\
&\quad \times \int_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^{l-1}} \prod_{i=2}^l \left( \sum_{k_i} |b_{j_i, k_i}(y_{j_i})| \right) dy_{i_2} \dots dy_{i_l} \\
&\leq CA \prod_{i \notin \{j_1, \dots, j_l\}} \|g_i\|_{L^\infty} \prod_{i=2}^l \left( \sum_{k_i} \frac{\|b_{j_i, k_i}\|_{L^1} l(Q_{j_i, k_i})^{\frac{\varepsilon}{l}}}{(l(Q_{i, k_i}) + |x - c_{i, k_i}|)^{n + \frac{\varepsilon}{l}}} \right) \\
&\leq C' A (\alpha\gamma)^{\frac{m-l}{m}} \prod_{i=1}^l \left( \sum_{k_i} \frac{(\alpha\gamma)^{1/m} l(Q_{j_i, k_i})^{n + \frac{\varepsilon}{l}}}{(l(Q_{i, k_i}) + |x - c_{i, k_i}|)^{n + \frac{\varepsilon}{l}}} \right) \\
&= C'' A \alpha\gamma \prod_{i=1}^l M_{i, \varepsilon/l}(x),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$M_{i, \varepsilon/l}(x) = \sum_{k_i} \frac{l(Q_{j_i, k_i})^{n + \frac{\varepsilon}{l}}}{(l(Q_{i, k_i}) + |x - c_{i, k_i}|)^{n + \frac{\varepsilon}{l}}}$$

is the Marcinkiewicz function associated with the union of the cubes  $\{Q_{i, k_i}\}_k$ . It is a known fact (see for instance [36]) that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} M_{i, \varepsilon/l}(x) dx \leq C \left| \bigcup_{k_i} Q_{i, k_i} \right| \leq C' (\alpha\gamma)^{-1/m}.$$

Now, since

$$\left| \bigcup_{j=1}^m \bigcup_k (Q_{j, k})^* \right| \leq C (\alpha\gamma)^{-1/m},$$

inequality (12) will be a consequence of the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\{ x \notin \bigcup_{j=1}^m \bigcup_k (Q_{j, k})^* : |T(h_1, \dots, h_m)(x)| > \alpha/2^m \right\} \\
&\leq C (\alpha\gamma)^{-1/m} (A\gamma)^{1/l}.
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

We prove (13) using an  $L^{1/l}$  estimate outside  $\bigcup_{j=1}^m \bigcup_k (Q_{j, k})^*$ ; recall here that we are considering the situation where  $l$  is not zero. Using the size

estimate derived above for  $|T(h_1, \dots, h_m)(x)|$  outside the exceptional set, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \left\{ x \notin \bigcup_{j=1}^m \bigcup_k (Q_{j,k})^* : |T(h_1, \dots, h_m)(x)| > \alpha/2^m \right\} \right| \\ & \leq C\alpha^{-1/l} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^m \bigcup_k (Q_{j,k})^*} (\alpha\gamma AM_{1,\varepsilon/l}(x) \dots M_{l,\varepsilon/l}(x))^{1/l} dx \\ & \leq C(\gamma A)^{1/l} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} M_{1,\varepsilon/l}(x) dx \right)^{1/l} \dots \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} M_{l,\varepsilon/l}(x) dx \right)^{1/l} \\ & \leq C'(\gamma A)^{1/l} ((\alpha\gamma)^{-1/m} \dots (\alpha\gamma)^{-1/m})^{1/l} \\ & = C'\alpha^{-1/m} (A\gamma)^{1/l} \gamma^{-1/m}, \end{aligned}$$

which proves (13) and thus (12).

We have now proved (12) for any  $\gamma > 0$ . Selecting  $\gamma = (A + B)^{-1}$  in both (11) and (12) we obtain that all the sets  $E_s$  satisfy (10). Summing over all  $1 \leq s \leq 2^m$  we obtain the conclusion of the theorem.  $\square$

**Example.** Let  $R_1$  be the bilinear Riesz transform in the first variable

$$R_1(f_1, f_2)(x) = \text{p.v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{x - y_1}{|(x - y_1, x - y_2)|^3} f_1(y_1) f_2(y_2) dy_1 dy_2.$$

Using an  $m$ -linear  $T1$  theorem, it was shown in [25] that  $R_1$  maps  $L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}) \times L^{p_2}(\mathbb{R})$  to  $L^p(\mathbb{R})$  for  $1/p_1 + 1/p_2 = 1/p$ ,  $1 < p_1, p_2 < \infty$ ,  $1/2 < p < \infty$ . Thus by Theorem 2 it also maps  $L^1 \times L^1$  to  $L^{1/2, \infty}$ . However, it does not map  $L^1 \times L^1$  to any Lorentz space  $L^{1/2, q}$  for  $q < \infty$ . In fact, letting  $f_1 = f_2 = \chi_{[0,1]}$ , an easy computation shows that  $R_1(f_1, f_2)(x)$  behaves at infinity like  $|x|^{-2}$ . This fact indicates that in Theorem 2 the space  $L^{1/2, \infty}$  is best possible and cannot be replaced by any smaller space, in particular, it cannot be replaced by  $L^{1/2}$ .

#### 4. ENDPOINT ESTIMATES AND INTERPOLATION FOR MULTILINEAR CALDERÓN-ZYGMUND OPERATORS

The theory of multilinear interpolation according to the real method is significantly more complicated than the linear one. Early versions appeared in the work of JANSON [27] and STRICHARTZ [37]. In this exposition we will use a version of real multilinear interpolation appearing in [18]. This makes

use of the notion of *multilinear restricted weak type*  $(p_1, \dots, p_m, p)$  estimates. These are estimates of the form

$$\sup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda \left| \left\{ x : |T(\chi_{A_1}, \dots, \chi_{A_m})(x)| > \lambda \right\} \right|^{1/p} \leq M |A_1|^{1/p_1} \dots |A_m|^{1/p_m}$$

and have a wonderful interpolation property: if an operator  $T$  satisfies restricted weak type  $(p_1, \dots, p_m, p)$  and  $(q_1, \dots, q_m, q)$  estimates with constants  $M_0$  and  $M_1$ , respectively, then it also satisfies a restricted weak type  $(r_1, \dots, r_m, r)$  estimate with constant  $M_0^{1-\theta} M_1^\theta$ , where

$$\left( \frac{1}{r_1}, \dots, \frac{1}{r_m}, \frac{1}{r} \right) = (1 - \theta) \left( \frac{1}{p_1}, \dots, \frac{1}{p_m}, \frac{1}{p} \right) + \theta \left( \frac{1}{q_1}, \dots, \frac{1}{q_m}, \frac{1}{q} \right).$$

More refined ideas can be employed to obtain the following multilinear interpolation result; for a precise formulation and a proof see [18].

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $0 < p_{ij}, p_i \leq \infty$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m+1$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, m$ , and suppose that the points  $(\frac{1}{p_{11}}, \dots, \frac{1}{p_{1m}})$ ,  $(\frac{1}{p_{21}}, \dots, \frac{1}{p_{2m}})$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $(\frac{1}{p_{(m+1)1}}, \dots, \frac{1}{p_{(m+1)m}})$  satisfy a certain nondegeneracy condition. Let  $(\frac{1}{q_1}, \dots, \frac{1}{q_m})$  be in the interior of the convex hull of these  $m+1$  points. Suppose that a multilinear operator  $T$  satisfies restricted weak type  $(p_{i1}, \dots, p_{im}, p_i)$  estimates for  $i = 1, \dots, m+1$ . Then  $T$  has a bounded extension from  $L^{q_1} \times \dots \times L^{q_m} \rightarrow L^q$  whenever  $1/q \leq 1/q_1 + \dots + 1/q_m$ .*

There is also an interpolation theorem saying that if a linear operator (that satisfies a mild assumption) and its transpose are of restricted weak type  $(1, 1)$ , then the operator is  $L^2$  bounded. We prove here a multilinear analogue of this result due to GRAFAKOS and TAO [24]:

**Theorem 4** ([24]). *Let  $1 < p_1, \dots, p_m < \infty$  be such that  $1/p_1 + \dots + 1/p_m = 1/p < 1$ . Suppose that an  $m$ -linear operator has the property that*

$$\sup_{A_0, A_1, \dots, A_m} |A_0|^{-1/p'} |A_1|^{-1/p_1} \dots |A_m|^{-1/p_m} \left| \int_{A_0} T(\chi_{A_1}, \dots, \chi_{A_m}) dx \right| < \infty, \quad (15)$$

where the supremum is taken over all subsets  $A_0, A_1, \dots, A_m$  of finite measure. Also suppose that  $T^{*j}$ ,  $j = 0, 1, \dots, m$  are of restricted weak type  $(1, 1, \dots, 1/m)$ ; this means that these operators map  $L^1 \times \dots \times L^1$  to  $L^{1/m, \infty}$  when restricted to characteristic functions with constants  $B_0, B_1, \dots, B_m$ , respectively. Then there is a constant  $C_{p_1, \dots, p_m}$  such that  $T$  maps the product of

Lorentz spaces  $L^{p_1,1} \times \dots \times L^{p_m,1}$  to weak  $L^p$  when restricted to characteristic functions with norm at most

$$C_{p_1, \dots, p_m} B_0^{1/(2p)} B_1^{1/(2p_1)} \dots B_m^{1/(2p'_m)}.$$

**Proof.** We will make use of the following characterization of weak  $L^p$  (due to TAO):

$$\|g\|_{L^{p,\infty}} \approx \sup_{|E| < \infty} \inf_{\substack{E' \subset E \\ |E'| \geq \frac{1}{2}|E|}} |E|^{\frac{1}{p}-1} \left| \int_{E'} g(t) dt \right|. \quad (16)$$

The easy proof of (16) is omitted.

Let  $M$  be the supremum in (15). We consider the following two cases:

**Case 1:** Suppose that  $\frac{|A_0|}{\sqrt{B_0}} \geq \max\left(\frac{|A_1|}{\sqrt{B_1}}, \dots, \frac{|A_m|}{\sqrt{B_m}}\right)$ . Since  $T$  maps  $L^1 \times \dots \times L^1$  to weak  $L^{1/m}$  when restricted to characteristic functions, there exists a subset  $A'_0$  of  $A_0$  of measure  $|A'_0| \geq \frac{1}{2}|A_0|$  such that

$$\left| \int_{A'_0} T(\chi_{A_1}, \dots, \chi_{A_m}) dx \right| \leq C B_0 |A_1| \dots |A_m| |A_0|^{1-\frac{1}{m}}$$

for some constant  $C$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{A_0} T(\chi_{A_1}, \dots, \chi_{A_m}) dx \right| \\ & \leq \left| \int_{A'_0} T(\chi_{A_1}, \dots, \chi_{A_m}) dx \right| + \left| \int_{A_0 \setminus A'_0} T(\chi_{A_1}, \dots, \chi_{A_m}) dx \right| \\ & \leq C B_0 |A_1| \dots |A_m| |A_0|^{-m+1} + M |A_1|^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \dots |A_m|^{\frac{1}{p_m}} \left( \frac{1}{2} |A_0| \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \\ & \leq C B_0 |A_1|^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \left( \frac{\sqrt{B_1}}{\sqrt{B_0}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \dots |A_m|^{\frac{1}{p_m}} \left( \frac{\sqrt{B_m}}{\sqrt{B_0}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_m}} |A_0|^{\sum_{s=1}^m \frac{1}{p'_s} - m + 1} \\ & \quad + M 2^{-\frac{1}{p'}} |A_1|^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \dots |A_m|^{\frac{1}{p_m}} |A_0|^{\frac{1}{p'}}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $M$  has to be less than or equal to

$$C B_0 \left( \frac{\sqrt{B_1}}{\sqrt{B_0}} \right)^{1/p'_1} \dots \left( \frac{\sqrt{B_m}}{\sqrt{B_0}} \right)^{1/p'_m} + M 2^{-1/p'}$$

and consequently

$$M \leq \frac{C}{1 - 2^{-1/p'}} B_0^{1/(2p)} B_1^{1/(2p'_1)} \dots B_m^{1/(2p'_m)}.$$



**Case 2:** Suppose that  $\frac{|A_j|}{\sqrt{B_j}} \geq \max\left(\frac{|A_0|}{\sqrt{B_0}}, \dots, \frac{|A_m|}{\sqrt{B_m}}\right)$  for some  $j \geq 1$ . To simplify notation, let us take  $j = 1$ . Here we use that  $T^{*1}$  maps  $L^1 \times \dots \times L^1$  to weak  $L^{1/m}$  when restricted to characteristic functions. Then there exists a subset  $A'_1$  of  $A_1$  of measure  $|A'_1| \geq \frac{1}{2}|A_1|$  such that

$$\left| \int_{A'_1} T^{*1}(\chi_{A_0}, \dots, \chi_{A_m}) dx \right| \leq CB_1 |A_0| |A_2| \dots |A_m| |A_1|^{-m+1}$$

for some constant  $C$ . Equivalently, we write this statement as

$$\left| \int_{A_0} T(\chi_{A'_1}, \chi_{A_2}, \dots, \chi_{A_m}) dx \right| \leq CB_1 |A_0| |A_2| \dots |A_m| |A_1|^{-m+1}$$

by the definition of the first dual operator  $T^{*1}$ . Therefore we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{A_0} T(\chi_{A_1}, \dots) dx \right| \\ & \leq \left| \int_{A_0} T(\chi_{A'_1}, \chi_{A_2}, \dots) dx \right| + \left| \int_{A_0} T(\chi_{A_1 \setminus A'_1}, \chi_{A_2}, \dots) dx \right| \\ & \leq CB_1 |A_0| \left( \prod_{s=2}^m |A_s| \right) |A_1|^{-m+1} + M |A_0|^{\frac{1}{p'}} \prod_{s=2}^m |A_s|^{\frac{1}{p_s}} \left( \frac{1}{2} |A_1| \right)^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \\ & \leq CB_1 |A_1|^{-m+1+\frac{1}{p}+\sum_{s=2}^m \frac{1}{p'_s}} \left( \frac{\sqrt{B_0}}{\sqrt{B_1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} |A_0|^{\frac{1}{p'}} \prod_{s=2}^m |A_s|^{\frac{1}{p_s}} \left( \frac{\sqrt{B_s}}{\sqrt{B_1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'_s}} \\ & \quad + M 2^{-1/p_1} |A_1|^{\frac{1}{p_1}} |A_2|^{\frac{1}{p_2}} \dots |A_m|^{\frac{1}{p_m}} |A_0|^{\frac{1}{p'}}. \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of  $M$ , it follows that

$$M \leq \frac{C}{1 - 2^{-1/p_1}} B_0^{1/(2p)} B_1^{1/(2p'_1)} \dots B_m^{1/(2p'_m)}.$$

Then the statement of the theorem follows with

$$C_{p_1, \dots, p_m} = C \max\left(\frac{1}{1 - 2^{-1/p_1}}, \dots, \frac{1}{1 - 2^{-1/p_m}}, \frac{1}{1 - 2^{-1/p'}}\right).$$

□

Assumption (15) is not as restrictive as it looks. To apply this theorem for  $m$ -linear Calderón-Zygmund operators, one needs to consider the family of operators whose kernels are truncated near the origin, i.e.,

$$K_\delta(x, y_1, \dots, y_m) = K(x, y_1, \dots, y_m)\zeta((|x - y_1| + \dots + |x - y_m|)/\delta),$$

where  $\zeta$  is a smooth function that is equal to 1 on  $[2, \infty)$  and vanishes on  $[0, 1]$ . The kernels  $K_\delta$  are essentially in the same Calderón-Zygmund kernel class as  $K$ , that is if  $K$  lies in  $m$ -CZK( $A, \varepsilon$ ), then  $K_\varepsilon$  lie in  $m$ -CZK( $A', \varepsilon$ ), where  $A'$  is a multiple of  $A$ . Using Hölder's inequality with exponents  $p_1, \dots, p_m, p'$ , it is easy to see that for the operators  $T_\delta$  with kernels  $K_\delta$ , assumption (15) holds with constants depending on  $\delta$ .

Theorem 4 provides an interpolation machinery needed to pass from bounds at one point to bounds at every point for multilinear Calderón-Zygmund operators. (An alternative interpolation technique was described in [25].) We have:

**Theorem 5.** *Suppose that an operator  $T$  with kernel in  $m$ -CZK( $A, \delta$ ) and all of its truncations  $T_\delta$  map  $L^{r_1} \times \dots \times L^{r_m} \rightarrow L^r$  for a single tuple of indices  $r_1, \dots, r_m, r$  satisfying  $1/r_1 + \dots + 1/r_m = 1/r$  and  $1 < r_1, \dots, r_m, r < \infty$  uniformly in  $\delta$ . Then  $T$  is bounded from  $L^{p_1} \times \dots \times L^{p_m} \rightarrow L^p$  for all indices  $p_1, \dots, p_m, p$  satisfying  $1/p_1 + \dots + 1/p_m = 1/p$  and  $1 < p_1, \dots, p_m < \infty, 1/m < p < \infty$ .*

**Proof.** Since  $T_\delta$  maps  $L^{r_1} \times \dots \times L^{r_m} \rightarrow L^r$  and  $r > 1$ , duality gives that  $T_\delta^{*1}$  maps  $L^{r'} \times L^{r_2} \times \dots \times L^{r_m} \rightarrow L^{r'}$  and likewise for the remaining adjoints (uniformly in  $\delta$ ). It follows from Theorems 4 and 3 that  $T_\delta$  are bounded from  $L^{p_1} \times \dots \times L^{p_m} \rightarrow L^p$  for all indices  $p_1, \dots, p_m, p$  satisfying  $1/p_1 + \dots + 1/p_m = 1/p$  and  $1 < p_1, \dots, p_m < \infty, 1/m < p < \infty$ . Passing to the limit, using Fatou's lemma, the same conclusion may be obtained for the non truncated operator  $T$ . □

This approach has the drawback that it uses the redundant assumption that if  $T$  is bounded from  $L^{r_1} \times L^{r_2} \rightarrow L^r$ , then so are all its truncations  $T_\delta$  (uniformly in  $\delta > 0$ ). This is hardly a problem in concrete applications since the kernels of  $T$  and  $T_\delta$  satisfy equivalent estimates (uniformly in  $\delta > 0$ ) and the method used in the proof of the boundedness of the former almost always applies for the latter.

### 5. THE $m$ -LINEAR MIKHLIN-HÖRMANDER MULTIPLIER THEOREM

In this section, we focus on an analogue of a classical linear multiplier theorem in the multilinear case. We first note that the Marcinkiewicz multi-

plier theorem fails for multilinear operators, see [19]. However, the Mikhlin-Hörmander multiplier theorem (see [35], [26]) has a multilinear extension, which we discuss below.

The multilinear Fourier multiplier operator  $T_\sigma$  associated with a symbol  $\sigma$  is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} T_\sigma(f_1, \dots, f_m)(x) \\ = \int_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^m} e^{2\pi i x \cdot (\xi_1 + \dots + \xi_m)} \sigma(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) \widehat{f}_1(\xi_1) \cdots \widehat{f}_m(\xi_m) d\xi_1 \cdots d\xi_m \end{aligned}$$

for  $f_i \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ .

COIFMAN and MEYER [8] proved that if  $\sigma$  is a bounded function on  $\mathbb{R}^{mn} \setminus \{0\}$  that satisfies

$$|\partial_{\xi_1}^{\alpha_1} \cdots \partial_{\xi_m}^{\alpha_m} \sigma(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m)| \leq C_\alpha (|\xi_1| + \dots + |\xi_m|)^{-(|\alpha_1| + \dots + |\alpha_m|)} \quad (17)$$

away from the origin for all sufficiently large multiindices  $\alpha_j$ , then  $T_\sigma$  is bounded from the product  $L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \dots \times L^{p_m}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for all  $1 < p_1, \dots, p_m, p < \infty$  satisfying  $\frac{1}{p_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_m} = \frac{1}{p}$ . Their proof is based on the idea of writing the Fourier multiplier  $\sigma$  as a rapidly convergent sum of products of functions of the variables  $\xi_j$ . The multiplier theorem of COIFMAN and MEYER was extended to indices  $p < 1$  (and larger than  $1/m$ ) by GRAFAKOS and TORRES [25] and KENIG and STEIN [29] (when  $m = 2$ ).

A different approach was taken by TOMITA [38] who extended the proof of the Hörmander multiplier theorem in [14] to obtain the following result in the  $m$ -linear case:

**Theorem A** ([38]). *Let  $\sigma \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{mn})$ . Let  $\Psi$  be a Schwartz function whose Fourier transform is supported in the set  $\{\vec{\xi} \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^m : 1/2 \leq |\vec{\xi}| \leq 2\}$  and satisfies*

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{\Psi}(\vec{\xi}/2^j) = 1 \quad (18)$$

for all  $\vec{\xi} \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^m \setminus \{0\}$ . Suppose that for some  $s > mn/2$ , the function  $\sigma \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{mn})$  satisfies

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \|\sigma^k \widehat{\Psi}\|_{L_s^2} < \infty,$$

where for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\sigma^k$  is defined as

$$\sigma^k(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) = \sigma(2^k \xi_1, \dots, 2^k \xi_m). \quad (19)$$

Then  $T_\sigma$  is bounded from  $L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \cdots \times L^{p_m}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , whenever  $1 < p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m, p < \infty$  and  $1/p_1 + \cdots + 1/p_m = 1/p$ .

Let  $\mathcal{S}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  be the set of all Schwartz functions  $\Psi$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , whose Fourier transform is supported in an annulus of the form  $\{\xi : c_1 < |\xi| < c_2\}$ , is nonvanishing in a smaller annulus  $\{\xi : c'_1 \leq |\xi| \leq c'_2\}$  (for some choice of constants  $0 < c_1 < c'_1 < c'_2 < c_2 < \infty$ ), and satisfies

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{\Psi}(2^{-j}\xi) = \text{constant}, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}. \tag{20}$$

Theorem A has an extension to the case where the target space is  $L^p$  for  $p \leq 1$ :

**Theorem 6** ([22]). *Let  $1 < r \leq 2$ . Suppose that  $\sigma$  is a function on  $\mathbb{R}^{mn}$  and  $\Psi$  is a function in  $\mathcal{S}_1(\mathbb{R}^{mn})$  that satisfies for some  $\gamma > \frac{mn}{r}$*

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \|\sigma^k \widehat{\Psi}\|_{L^\gamma_r(\mathbb{R}^{mn})} = K < \infty, \tag{21}$$

where  $\sigma^k$  is defined in (19). Then there is a number  $\delta = \delta(mn, \gamma, r)$  satisfying  $0 < \delta \leq r - 1$ , such that the  $m$ -linear operator  $T_\sigma$ , associated with the multiplier  $\sigma$ , is bounded from  $L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \cdots \times L^{p_m}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , whenever  $r - \delta < p_j < \infty$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, m$ , and  $p$  is given by

$$\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \cdots + \frac{1}{p_m}. \tag{22}$$

In the rest of this section, we prove Theorem 6.

**5.1. Preliminary material.** We develop some preliminary material needed in the proof of Theorem 6. For  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  we denote by  $w_s$  the weight

$$w_s(x) = (1 + 4\pi^2|x|^2)^{s/2}.$$

**Definition 1.** For  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , the weighted Lebesgue space  $L^p(w_s)$  is defined as the set of all measurable functions  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  such that

$$\|f\|_{L^p(w_s)} = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x)|^p w_s(x) dx \right)^{1/p} < \infty.$$

We note that for  $1 < r \leq 2$  one has

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\widehat{g}\|_{L^{r'}(w_s)} &= \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\widehat{g}|^{r'} w_s d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{r'}} \\
&= \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\widehat{g} w_{s/r'}|^{r'} d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{r'}} \\
&= \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |[(I - \Delta)^{\frac{s}{2r'}} g] \widehat{\cdot}|^{r'} d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{r'}} \\
&\leq \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |(I - \Delta)^{\frac{s}{2r'}} g|^r dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \\
&= \|g\|_{L_{s/r'}^r},
\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

via the Hausdorff-Young inequality.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $1 \leq p < q < \infty$ . Then for every  $s \geq 0$  there exists a constant  $C = C(p, q, s, d) > 0$  such that for all functions  $g$  supported in a ball of a fixed finite radius in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  we have*

$$\|g\|_{L_s^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C \|g\|_{L_s^q(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

**Proof.** Since  $g$  is supported in a ball of finite fixed radius, then  $g = g\varphi$  for some compactly supported smooth function  $\varphi$  that is equal to one on the support of  $g$ . Pick  $r$  such that

$$\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r}.$$

The Kato-Ponce rule [28] gives the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
\|g\|_{L_s^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} &= \|(I - \Delta)^{s/2}(g\varphi)\|_{L^p} \\
&\leq C [\|(I - \Delta)^{s/2}g\|_{L^q} \|\varphi\|_{L^r} + \|g\|_{L^q} \|(I - \Delta)^{s/2}\varphi\|_{L^r}] \\
&= C_\varphi [\|(I - \Delta)^{s/2}g\|_{L^q} + \|g\|_{L^q}].
\end{aligned}$$

Now the Bessel potential operator  $J_s = (I - \Delta)^{-s/2}$  is bounded from  $L^q$  to itself for all  $s > 0$ . This implies that

$$\|g\|_{L^q} \leq C' \|(I - \Delta)^{s/2}g\|_{L^q}.$$

Combining this estimate with the one previously obtained, we deduce that

$$\|g\|_{L_s^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq 2C_\varphi C' \|(I - \Delta)^{s/2}g\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)} = C \|g\|_{L_s^q(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

□

**Lemma 2.** *Suppose that  $s \geq 0$  and  $1 < r < \infty$ . Assume that  $\varphi$  lies in  $S(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Then there is a constant  $c_\varphi$  such that for all  $g \in L^r_s(\mathbb{R}^d)$  we have*

$$\|g\varphi\|_{L^r_s} \leq c_\varphi \|g\|_{L^r_s}.$$

**Proof.** We write

$$(I - \Delta)^{s/2}(g\varphi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \widehat{\varphi}(\tau)(I - \Delta)^{s/2}(g e^{2\pi i\tau \cdot (\cdot)}) d\tau.$$

It will suffice to show that the  $L^r$  norm of  $(I - \Delta)^{s/2}(g e^{2\pi i\tau \cdot (\cdot)})$  is controlled by  $C_M(1 + |\tau|)^M$  times the  $L^r$  norm of  $(I - \Delta)^{s/2}g$ , for some  $M > 0$ . This statement is equivalent to showing that the function

$$\left( \frac{1 + |\xi - \tau|^2}{1 + |\xi|^2} \right)^{\frac{s}{2}}$$

is an  $L^r$  Fourier multiplier with norm at most a multiple of  $(1 + |\tau|)^M$ . But this is an easy consequence of the Mihlin multiplier theorem.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $\Delta_k$  be the Littlewood-Paley operator given by  $\Delta_k(g) \widehat{\chi}(\xi) = \widehat{g}(\xi)\widehat{\Psi}(2^{-k}\xi)$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , where  $\Psi$  is a Schwartz function whose Fourier transform is supported in the annulus  $\{\xi : 2^{-b} < |\xi| < 2^b\}$  for some  $b \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and satisfies  $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{\Psi}(2^{-k}\xi) = c_0$ , for some constant  $c_0$ . Let  $0 < p < \infty$ . Then there is a constant  $c = c(n, p, c_0, \Psi)$ , such that for  $L^p$  functions  $f$  we have*

$$\|f\|_{L^p} \leq c \left\| \left( \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\Delta_k(f)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^p}.$$

**Proof.** Let  $\Phi$  be a Schwartz function with integral one. Then the following quantity provides a characterization of the  $H^p$  norm:

$$\|f\|_{H^p} \approx \left\| \sup_{t>0} |f * \Phi_t| \right\|_{L^p}.$$

It follows that for  $f$  in  $H^p \cap L^2$ , which is a dense subclass of  $H^p$ , one has the estimate

$$|f| \leq \sup_{t>0} |f * \Phi_t|,$$

since the family  $\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}$  is an approximate identity. Thus

$$\|f\|_{L^p} \leq c \|f\|_{H^p}$$

whenever  $f$  is a function in  $H^p$ .

Keeping this observation in mind we can write:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f\|_{L^p} &\leq c \|f\|_{H^p} \\
&\leq \left\| \left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |\Delta_j(f)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^p} \\
&= c \left\| \left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \Delta_j \left( \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \Delta_k(f) \right) \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^p} \\
&\leq c' \left\| \left( \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\Delta_k(f)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^p}
\end{aligned}$$

in view of the fact that  $\Delta_j \Delta_k = 0$  unless  $|j - k| \leq b$ . □

**5.2. The proof of Theorem 6.** Having disposed of the preliminary material, we now prove Theorem 6.

**Proof.** For each  $j = 1, \dots, m$ , we let  $R_j$  be the set of points  $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m)$  in  $(\mathbb{R}^n)^m$  such that  $|\xi_j| = \max\{|\xi_1|, \dots, |\xi_m|\}$ . For  $j = 1, \dots, m$ , we introduce nonnegative smooth functions  $\phi_j$  on  $[0, \infty)^{m-1}$  that are supported in  $[0, \frac{11}{10}]^{m-1}$  such that

$$1 = \sum_{j=1}^m \phi_j \left( \frac{|\xi_1|}{|\xi_j|}, \dots, \frac{\widetilde{|\xi_j|}}{|\xi_j|}, \dots, \frac{|\xi_m|}{|\xi_j|} \right)$$

for all  $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) \neq 0$ , with the understanding that the variable with the tilde is missing. These functions introduce a partition of unity of  $(\mathbb{R}^n)^m \setminus \{0\}$  subordinate to a conical neighborhood of the region  $R_j$ .

Each region  $R_j$  can be written as the union of sets

$$R_{j,k} = \{(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) \in R_j : |\xi_k| \geq |\xi_s| \text{ for all } s \neq j\}$$

over  $k = 1, \dots, m$ . We need to work with a finer partition of unity, subordinate to each  $R_{j,k}$ . To achieve this, for each  $j$ , we introduce smooth functions  $\phi_{j,k}$  on  $[0, \infty)^{m-2}$  supported in  $[0, \frac{11}{10}]^{m-2}$  such that

$$1 = \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq j}}^m \phi_{j,k} \left( \frac{|\xi_1|}{|\xi_k|}, \dots, \frac{\widetilde{|\xi_k|}}{|\xi_k|}, \dots, \frac{\widetilde{|\xi_j|}}{|\xi_k|}, \dots, \frac{|\xi_m|}{|\xi_k|} \right)$$

for all  $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m)$  in the support of  $\phi_j$  with  $\xi_k \neq 0$  (with missing  $k$ -th and  $j$ -th entries).

We now have obtained the following partition of unity of  $(\mathbb{R}^n)^m \setminus \{0\}$ :

$$1 = \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq j}}^m \phi_j(\dots) \phi_{j,k}(\dots),$$

where the dots indicate the variables of each function.

We introduce a nonnegative smooth bump  $\psi$  supported in  $[(10m)^{-1}, 2]$  and equal to 1 on the interval  $[(5m)^{-1}, \frac{12}{10}]$ , and we decompose the identity on  $(\mathbb{R}^n)^m \setminus \{0\}$  as follows

$$1 = \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq j}}^m [\Phi_{j,k} + \Psi_{j,k}],$$

where

$$\Phi_{j,k}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) = \phi_j(\dots) \phi_{j,k}(\dots) \left( 1 - \psi\left(\frac{|\xi_k|}{|\xi_j|}\right) \right)$$

and

$$\Psi_{j,k}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) = \phi_j(\dots) \phi_{j,k}(\dots) \psi\left(\frac{|\xi_k|}{|\xi_j|}\right).$$

This partition of unity induces the following decomposition of  $\sigma$ :

$$\sigma = \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq j}}^m [\sigma \Phi_{j,k} + \sigma \Psi_{j,k}].$$

We will prove the required assertion for each piece of this decomposition, i.e., for the multipliers  $\sigma \Phi_{j,k}$  and  $\sigma \Psi_{j,k}$  for each pair  $(j, k)$  in the previous sum. In view of the symmetry of the decomposition, it suffices to consider the case of a *fixed* pair  $(j, k)$  in the previous sum. To simplify notation, we fix the pair  $(m, m - 1)$ , thus, for the rest of the proof we fix  $j = m$  and  $k = m - 1$  and we prove boundedness for the  $m$ -linear operators whose symbols are  $\sigma_1 = \sigma \Phi_{m, m-1}$  and  $\sigma_2 = \sigma \Psi_{m, m-1}$ . These correspond to the  $m$ -linear operators  $T_{\sigma_1}$  and  $T_{\sigma_2}$ , respectively. The important thing to keep in mind is that  $\sigma_1$  is supported in the set where

$$\max(|\xi_1|, \dots, |\xi_{m-2}|) \leq \frac{11}{10} |\xi_{m-1}| \leq \frac{11}{10} \cdot \frac{1}{5m} |\xi_m|$$



and  $\sigma_2$  is supported in the set where

$$\max(|\xi_1|, \dots, |\xi_{m-2}|) \leq \frac{11}{10} |\xi_{m-1}|$$

and

$$\frac{1}{10m} \leq \frac{|\xi_{m-1}|}{|\xi_m|} \leq 2.$$

We first consider  $T_{\sigma_1}(f_1, \dots, f_m)$ , where  $f_j$  are fixed Schwartz functions. We fix a Schwartz radial function  $\eta$  whose Fourier transform is supported in the annulus  $1 - \frac{1}{25} \leq |\xi| \leq 2$  and satisfies

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{\eta}(2^{-j}\xi) = 1, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}.$$

Associated with  $\eta$  we define the Littlewood-Paley operator  $\Delta_j(f) = f * \eta_{2^{-j}}$ , where  $\eta_t(x) = t^{-n}\eta(t^{-1}x)$  for  $t > 0$ . We decompose the function  $f_m$  as  $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \Delta_j(f_m)$  and we note that the spectrum (i.e. the Fourier transform) of  $T_{\sigma_1}(f_1, \dots, f_{m-1}, \Delta_j(f_m))$  is contained in the set

$$\{\xi_1 : |\xi_1| \leq \frac{3 \cdot 2^j}{5m}\} + \dots + \{\xi_{m-1} : |\xi_{m-1}| \leq \frac{3 \cdot 2^j}{5m}\} + \{\xi_m : \frac{24}{25} \cdot 2^j \leq |\xi_m| \leq 2 \cdot 2^j\}.$$

This algebraic sum of these sets is contained in the annulus

$$\{z \in \mathbb{R}^n : \frac{9}{25} \cdot 2^j \leq |z| \leq \frac{65}{25} \cdot 2^j\}.$$

We now introduce another bump that is equal to 1 on the annulus  $\{z \in \mathbb{R}^n : \frac{9}{25} \leq |z| \leq \frac{65}{25}\}$  and vanishes in the complement of the larger annulus  $\{z \in \mathbb{R}^n : \frac{8}{25} < |z| < \frac{66}{25}\}$ . We call  $\widetilde{\Delta}_j$  the Littlewood-Paley operators associated with this bump and we note that

$$\widetilde{\Delta}_j(T_{\sigma_1}(f_1, \dots, \Delta_j(f_m))) = T_{\sigma_1}(f_1, \dots, \Delta_j(f_m)).$$

Finally, we define an operator  $S_j$  by setting

$$S_j(g) = g * \zeta_{2^{-j}},$$

where  $\zeta$  is a smooth function whose Fourier transform is equal to 1 on the ball  $|z| < 3/5m$  and vanishes outside the double of this ball. Using this notation, we may write

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\sigma_1}(f_1, \dots, f_{m-1}, f_m) &= \sum_j T_{\sigma_1}(f_1, \dots, f_{m-1}, \Delta_j(f_m)) \\ &= \sum_j T_{\sigma_1}(S_j(f_1), \dots, S_j(f_{m-1}), \Delta_j(f_m)) \\ &= \sum_j \widetilde{\Delta}_j(T_{\sigma_1}(S_j(f_1), \dots, S_j(f_{m-1}), \Delta_j(f_m))). \end{aligned}$$

Since the Fourier transforms of  $\widetilde{\Delta}_j(T_{\sigma_1}(S_j(f_1), \dots, S_j(f_{m-1}), \Delta_j(f_m)))$  have bounded overlap, Lemma 3 yields that

$$\|T_{\sigma_1}(f_1, \dots, f_m)\|_{L^p} \leq C \left\| \left[ \sum_j |T_{\sigma_1}(S_j(f_1), \dots, S_j(f_{m-1}), \Delta_j(f_m))|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p}.$$

Obviously, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & T_{\sigma_1}(S_j(f_1), \dots, S_j(f_{m-1}), \Delta_j(f_m))(x) \\ &= \int_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^m} e^{2\pi i x \cdot (\xi_1 + \dots + \xi_m)} \sigma_1(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) \\ & \quad \times \prod_{k=1}^{m-1} \widehat{S_j(f_k)}(\xi_k) \widehat{\Delta_j(f_m)}(\xi_m) d\xi_1 \cdots d\xi_m. \end{aligned}$$

A simple calculation yields that the support of the integrand in the previous integral is contained in the annulus

$$\{(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^m : \frac{7}{10} \cdot 2^j < |(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m)| < \frac{21}{10} \cdot 2^j\},$$

so one may introduce in the previous integral the factor  $\widehat{\Psi}(2^{-j}\xi_1, \dots, 2^{-j}\xi_m)$ , where  $\Psi$  is a radial function in  $\mathcal{S}_1((\mathbb{R}^n)^m)$  whose Fourier transform is supported in some annulus and is equal to 1 on the annulus

$$\{(z_1, \dots, z_m) \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^m : \frac{7}{10} \leq |(z_1, \dots, z_m)| \leq \frac{21}{10}\}.$$

Inserting this factor and taking the inverse Fourier transform, we obtain that

$$T_{\sigma_1}(S_j(f_1), \dots, S_j(f_{m-1}), \Delta_j(f_m))(x)$$

is equal to

$$\int_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^m} 2^{mnj} (\sigma_1^j \widehat{\Psi})^\vee(2^j(x - y_1), \dots, 2^j(x - y_m)) \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} S_j(f_i)(y_i) \Delta_j(f_m)(y_m) d\vec{y},$$

where  $d\vec{y} = dy_1 \dots dy_m$ , the check indicates the inverse Fourier transform in all variables, and

$$\sigma_1^j(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_m) = \sigma_1(2^j \xi_1, \dots, 2^j \xi_m).$$

We pick a  $\rho$  such that  $1 < \rho < r \leq 2$  and  $\gamma > mn/\rho$ . This is possible since  $\gamma > mn/r$ ; for instance  $\rho = \frac{mn}{\gamma} + \frac{1}{1000}(r - \frac{mn}{\gamma})$  is a good choice if this number is bigger than 1, otherwise we set  $\rho = \frac{1+r}{2}$ . We define  $\delta = r - \rho$ . We now have:

$$\begin{aligned}
& |T_{\sigma_1}(S_j(f_1), \dots, S_j(f_{m-1}), \Delta_j(f_m))(x)| \\
& \leq \int_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^m} w_\gamma(2^j(x-y_1), \dots, 2^j(x-y_m)) |(\sigma_1^j \widehat{\Psi})^\vee(2^j(x-y_1), \dots, 2^j(x-y_m))| \\
& \quad \times \frac{2^{mnj} |S_j(f_1)(y_1) \cdots S_j(f_{m-1})(y_{m-1}) \Delta_j(f_m)(y_m)|}{w_\gamma(2^j(x-y_1), \dots, 2^j(x-y_m))} d\vec{y} \\
& \leq \left[ \int_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^m} |(w_\gamma(\sigma_1^j \widehat{\Psi})^\vee)(2^j(x-y_1), \dots, 2^j(x-y_m))|^{\rho'} d\vec{y} \right]^{\frac{1}{\rho'}} \\
& \quad \times 2^{mnj} \left( \int_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^m} \frac{|S_j(f_1)(y_1) \cdots S_j(f_{m-1})(y_{m-1}) \Delta_j(f_m)(y_m)|^\rho}{w_{\gamma\rho}(2^j(x-y_1), \dots, 2^j(x-y_m))} d\vec{y} \right)^{\frac{1}{\rho}} \\
& \leq C \left( \int_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^m} w_{\gamma\rho'}(y_1, \dots, y_m) |(\sigma_1^j \widehat{\Psi})^\vee(y_1, \dots, y_m)|^{\rho'} d\vec{y} \right)^{\frac{1}{\rho'}} \\
& \quad \times \left( \int_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^m} \frac{2^{mnj} |S_j(f_1)(y_1) \cdots S_j(f_{m-1})(y_{m-1}) \Delta_j(f_m)(y_m)|^\rho}{(1+2^j|x-y_1|)^{\gamma\rho/m} \cdots (1+2^j|x-y_m|)^{\gamma\rho/m}} d\vec{y} \right)^{\frac{1}{\rho}} \\
& \leq \|(\sigma_1^j \widehat{\Psi})^\vee\|_{L^{\rho'}(w_{\gamma\rho'})} \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{2^{jn} |S_j(f_i)(y_i)|^\rho}{(1+2^j|x-y_i|)^{\gamma\rho/m}} dy_i \right)^{\frac{1}{\rho}} \\
& \quad \times \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{2^{jn} |\Delta_j(f_m)(y_m)|^\rho}{(1+2^j|x-y_m|)^{\gamma\rho/m}} dy_m \right)^{\frac{1}{\rho}} \\
& \leq \|(\sigma_1^j \widehat{\Psi})^\vee\|_{L^{\rho'}(w_{\gamma\rho'})} e^{m/\rho} \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} (\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{M}(f_i)^\rho)(x))^{\frac{1}{\rho}} (\mathcal{M}(|\Delta_j(f_m)|^\rho)(x))^{\frac{1}{\rho}},
\end{aligned}$$

where we used that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{2^{jn} |h(y)|}{(1+2^j|x-y|)^{\gamma\rho/m}} dy \leq c\mathcal{M}(h)(x),$$

a consequence of the fact that  $\gamma\rho/m > n$ .

We now have the sequence of inequalities:

$$\|(\sigma_1^j \widehat{\Psi})^\vee\|_{L^{\rho'}(w_{\gamma\rho'})} \leq \|\sigma_1^j \widehat{\Psi}\|_{L^\rho_\gamma} \leq C'' \|\sigma_1^j \widehat{\Psi}\|_{L^r_\gamma} \leq C' \|\sigma^j \widehat{\Psi}\|_{L^r_\gamma} < CK,$$

justified by the result in the calculation (23) for the first, Lemma 1 together with the facts that  $1 < \rho < r$  and  $\sigma_1^j$  is supported in a ball of a fixed radius for the second inequality, Lemma 2 for the third, and the hypothesis of Theorem 6 for the last inequality.

Thus we have obtained the estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} & |T_{\sigma_1}(S_j(f_1), \dots, S_j(f_{m-1}), \Delta_j(f_m))| \\ & \leq CK \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} (\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{M}(f_i)^\rho))^{\frac{1}{\rho}} (\mathcal{M}(|\Delta_j(f_m)|^\rho))^{\frac{1}{\rho}}. \end{aligned}$$

We now square the previous expression, we sum over  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$  and we take square roots. Since  $r - \delta = \rho$ , the hypothesis  $p_j > r - \delta$  implies  $p_j > \rho$ , and thus each term  $(\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{M}(f_i)^\rho))^{\frac{1}{\rho}}$  is bounded on  $L^{p_j}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \|T_{\sigma_1}(f_1, \dots, f_{m-1}, f_m)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ & \leq CK \left\| \left\{ \sum_j |T_{\sigma_1}(S_j(f_1), \dots, S_j(f_{m-1}), \Delta_j(f_m))|^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ & \leq C'K \left\| \left\{ \sum_j \mathcal{M}(|\Delta_j(f_m)|^\rho)^{\frac{2}{\rho}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^{p_m}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} \|(\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{M}(f_i)^\rho))^{\frac{1}{\rho}}\|_{L^{p_i}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ & \leq C''K \left\| \left\{ \sum_j \mathcal{M}(|\Delta_j(f_m)|^\rho)^{\frac{2}{\rho}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^{p_m/\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\frac{1}{\rho}} \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} \|f_i\|_{L^{p_i}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ & \leq C''K \prod_{i=1}^m \|f_i\|_{L^{p_i}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \end{aligned}$$

in view of the Fefferman-Stein vector-valued inequality for the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function [17] and the Littlewood-Paley theorem.

Next we deal with  $\sigma_2$ . Using the notation introduced earlier, we write

$$T_{\sigma_2}(f_1, \dots, f_{m-1}, f_m) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} T_{\sigma_2}(f_1, \dots, f_{m-1}, \Delta_j(f_m)).$$

The key observation in this case is that

$$T_{\sigma_2}(f_1, \dots, f_{m-1}, \Delta_j(f_m)) = T_{\sigma_2}(S'_j(f_1), \dots, S'_j(f_{m-2}), \Delta'_j(f_{m-1}), \Delta_j(f_m))$$

for some other Littlewood-Paley operator  $\Delta'_j$  which is given on the Fourier transform by multiplication with a bump  $\widehat{\Theta}(2^{-j}\xi)$ , where  $\widehat{\Theta}$  is equal to one

on the annulus  $\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : \frac{24}{25} \cdot \frac{1}{10m} \leq |\xi| \leq 4\}$  and vanishes on a larger annulus. Also,  $S'_j$  is given by convolution with  $\zeta'_{2^{-j}}$ , where  $\zeta'$  is a smooth function whose Fourier transform is equal to 1 on the ball  $|z| < \frac{22}{10}$  and vanishes outside the double of this ball.

As in the previous case, one has that in the support of the integral

$$\begin{aligned} & T_{\sigma_2}(S'_j(f_1), \dots, S'_j(f_{m-2}), \Delta'_j(f_{m-1}), \Delta_j(f_m))(x) \\ &= \int_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^m} e^{2\pi i x \cdot (\xi_1 + \dots + \xi_m)} \sigma_2(\xi) \prod_{t=1}^{m-2} \widehat{S'_j(f_t)}(\xi_t) \widehat{\Delta'_j(f_{m-1})}(\xi_{m-1}) \widehat{\Delta_j(f_m)}(\xi_m) d\xi \end{aligned}$$

we have that

$$|\xi_1| + \dots + |\xi_m| \approx 2^j,$$

thus one may insert in the integrand the factor  $\widehat{\Psi}(2^{-j}\xi_1, \dots, 2^{-j}\xi_m)$ , for some  $\Psi$  in  $\mathcal{S}_1((\mathbb{R}^n)^m)$  that is equal to one on a sufficiently wide annulus.

A calculation similar to the one in the case for  $\sigma_1$  yields the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & |T_{\sigma_2}(S'_j(f_1), \dots, S'_j(f_{m-2}), \Delta'_j(f_{m-1}), \Delta_j(f_m))| \\ & \leq CK \prod_{i=1}^{m-2} (\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{M}(f_i)^\rho))^{\frac{1}{\rho}} (\mathcal{M}(|\Delta'_j(f_{m-1})|^\rho))^{\frac{1}{\rho}} (\mathcal{M}(|\Delta_j(f_m)|^\rho))^{\frac{1}{\rho}}. \end{aligned}$$

Summing over  $j$  and taking  $L^p$  norms yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \|T_{\sigma_2}(f_1, \dots, f_{m-1}, f_m)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ & \leq CK \left\| \prod_{i=1}^{m-2} (\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{M}(f_i)^\rho))^{\frac{1}{\rho}} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathcal{M}(|\Delta'_j(f_{m-1})|^\rho))^{\frac{1}{\rho}} (\mathcal{M}(|\Delta_j(f_m)|^\rho))^{\frac{1}{\rho}} \right\|_{L^p} \\ & \leq CK \left\| \prod_{i=1}^{m-2} (\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{M}(f_i)^\rho))^{\frac{1}{\rho}} \left\{ \prod_{i=m-1}^m \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |\mathcal{M}(|\Delta_j(f_i)|^\rho)|^{\frac{2}{\rho}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last step follows by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and we omitted the prime from the term with  $i = m - 1$  for matters of simplicity. Applying Hölder's inequality and using that  $\rho < 2$  and Lemma B we obtain the conclusion that the expression above is bounded by

$$C'K \|f_1\|_{L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \cdots \|f_m\|_{L^{p_m}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

This concludes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

6. THE MULTILINEAR STRONG MAXIMAL FUNCTION

In this section we study the maximal function  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}$  introduced in Example 6 of Section 2. It turns out that this operator can be used to characterize the class of multiple  $A_p$  weights introduced in [32] suitably modified for rectangles, see [21]. Here, we will be concerned with endpoint boundedness properties of  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}$ . This will require a quick review of some facts from the theory of Orlicz spaces.

A *Young function* is a continuous, convex, increasing function  $\Phi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  with  $\Phi(0) = 0$  and such that  $\Phi(t) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . The properties of  $\Phi$  easily imply that for  $0 < \epsilon < 1$  and  $t \geq 0$

$$\Phi(\epsilon t) \leq \epsilon \Phi(t). \tag{24}$$

The  $\Phi$ -norm of a function  $f$  over a set  $E$  with finite measure is defined by

$$\|f\|_{\Phi, E} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \frac{1}{|E|} \int_E \Phi \left( \frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda} \right) dx \leq 1 \right\}. \tag{25}$$

It follows from this definition that

$$\|f\|_{\Phi, E} > 1 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \frac{1}{|E|} \int_E \Phi(|f(x)|) dx > 1. \tag{26}$$

Associated with each Young function  $\Phi$ , there is its *complementary Young function*

$$\bar{\Phi}(s) = \sup_{t > 0} \{st - \Phi(t)\} \tag{27}$$

for  $s \geq 0$ . Such  $\bar{\Phi}$  is also a Young function and has the property that

$$st \leq C[\Phi(t) + \bar{\Phi}(s)] \tag{28}$$

for all  $s, t \geq 0$ . Also the  $\bar{\Phi}$ -norms are related to the  $L_{\Phi}$ -norms via the *generalized Hölder inequality*, namely

$$\frac{1}{|E|} \int_E |f(x)g(x)| dx \leq 2\|f\|_{\Phi, E}\|g\|_{\bar{\Phi}, E}. \tag{29}$$

In this section we will work with the pair of Young functions

$$\Phi_n(t) := t[\log(e + t)]^{n-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\Phi}_n(t) \approx \Psi_n(t) := \exp(t^{\frac{1}{n-1}}) - 1, \quad t \geq 0.$$

It is the case that the pair  $\Phi_n, \Psi_n$  satisfies (28), see the article by BAGBY [1, page 887]. Observe that the above function  $\Phi_n$  is submultiplicative, that is, for  $s, t > 0$

$$\Phi_n(st) \leq c \Phi_n(s)\Phi_n(t).$$

We introduce the function  $\Phi^{(m)} := \overbrace{\Phi \circ \Phi \circ \dots \circ \Phi}^{m \text{ times}}$  which is increasing with respect to the input variable and also with respect to  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**6.1. Some Lemmas.** We begin by proving some useful general lemmas about averaging functions and Orlicz spaces.

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $\Phi$  be any Young function, then for any  $f \geq 0$  and any measurable set  $E$*

$$1 < \|f\|_{\Phi, E} \implies \|f\|_{\Phi, E} \leq \frac{1}{|E|} \int_E \Phi(f(x)) dx.$$

**Proof.** Indeed, by homogeneity this is equivalent to

$$\left\| \frac{f}{\lambda_{f, E}} \right\|_{\Phi, E} \leq 1,$$

where

$$\lambda_{f, E} = \frac{1}{|E|} \int_E \Phi(f(x)) dx.$$

But this is the same as

$$\frac{1}{|E|} \int_E \Phi\left(\frac{f(x)}{\lambda_{f, E}}\right) dx \leq 1$$

by definition of the norm (25). In view of property (24), it would be enough to show that

$$\lambda_{f, E} = \frac{1}{|E|} \int_E \Phi(f(x)) dx \geq 1.$$

But this is exactly the case in view of property (26).  $\square$

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $\Phi$  be a submultiplicative Young function, let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $E$  be any set. Then there is a constant  $c$  such that whenever*

$$1 < \prod_{i=1}^m \|f_i\|_{\Phi, E} \tag{30}$$

holds, then

$$\prod_{i=1}^m \|f_i\|_{\Phi, E} \leq c \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{|E|} \int_E \Phi^{(m)}(f_i(x)) dx. \tag{31}$$

**Proof.** a) *The case  $m = 1$ .* This is the content of Lemma 4.

b) *The case  $m = 2$ .* Fix functions for which (30) holds:

$$1 < \prod_{i=1}^2 \|f_i\|_{\Phi, E}.$$

Without loss of generality we may assume that

$$\|f_1\|_{\Phi, E} \leq \|f_2\|_{\Phi, E}.$$

Observe that by (30) we must have  $\|f_2\|_{\Phi, E} > 1$ .

Suppose first that  $1 \leq \|f_1\|_{\Phi, E}$ , then (31) follows from Lemma 4:

$$1 < \prod_{i=1}^2 \|f_i\|_{\Phi, E} \leq \prod_{i=1}^2 \frac{1}{|E|} \int_E \Phi(f_i(x)) dx$$

with  $m = 1$  and  $c = 1$ .

Assume now

$$\|f_1\|_{\Phi, E} \leq 1 \leq \|f_2\|_{\Phi, E}.$$

Then we have by Lemma 4, submultiplicativity and Jensen's inequality

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &< \prod_{i=1}^2 \|f_i\|_{\Phi, E} \\ &= \|f_1\|_{\Phi, E} \|f_2\|_{\Phi, E} \\ &= \|f_1\|_{\Phi, E} \|f_2\|_{\Phi, E} \\ &\leq c \frac{1}{|E|} \int_E \Phi(f_1(x)) \|f_2\|_{\Phi, E} dx \\ &\leq c \frac{1}{|E|} \int_E \Phi(f_1(x)) dx \Phi(\|f_2\|_{\Phi, E}) \\ &\leq c \frac{1}{|E|} \int_E \Phi(f_1(x)) dx \Phi\left(c \frac{1}{|E|} \int_E \Phi(f_2(x)) dx\right) \\ &\leq c \frac{1}{|E|} \int_E \Phi(f_1(x)) dx \frac{1}{|E|} \int_E \Phi^{(2)}(f_2(x)) dx \\ &\leq c \prod_{i=1}^2 \frac{1}{|E|} \int_E \Phi^{(2)}(f_i(x)) dx, \end{aligned}$$

which is exactly (31).



c) *The case  $m \geq 3$ .* By induction, assuming that the result holds for the integer  $m-1 \geq 2$ , we will prove it for  $m$ . Fix functions for which (30) holds:

$$1 < \prod_{i=1}^m \|f_i\|_{\Phi, E},$$

and without loss of generality assume that

$$\|f_1\|_{\Phi, E} \leq \|f_2\|_{\Phi, E} \leq \cdots \leq \|f_m\|_{\Phi, E}.$$

Observe that we must have  $\|f_m\|_{\Phi, E} > 1$ .

If we suppose that  $1 \leq \|f_1\|_{\Phi, E}$ , then (31) follows directly from Lemma 4:

$$1 < \prod_{i=1}^m \|f_i\|_{\Phi, E} \leq \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{|E|} \int_E \Phi(f_i(x)) dx$$

with  $c = 1$  and  $\Phi$  instead of  $\Phi^{(2)}$ .

Assume now that for some integer  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, m-1\}$  we have

$$\|f_1\|_{\Phi, E} \leq \|f_2\|_{\Phi, E} \leq \cdots \leq \|f_k\|_{\Phi, E} \leq 1 \leq \|f_{k+1}\|_{\Phi, E} \leq \cdots \leq \|f_m\|_{\Phi, E}.$$

Since

$$1 < \prod_{i=1}^m \|f_i\|_{\Phi, E} = \|f_1\|_{\Phi, E} \prod_{i=2}^m \|f_i\|_{\Phi, E},$$

we must have  $\prod_{i=2}^m \|f_i\|_{\Phi, E} > 1$ . Using the induction hypothesis we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_1\|_{\Phi, E} \prod_{i=2}^m \|f_i\|_{\Phi, E} &\leq c \|f_1\|_{\Phi, E} \prod_{i=2}^m \frac{1}{|E|} \int_E \Phi^{(m-1)}(f_i(x)) dx \\ &= \|f_1 R\|_{\Phi, E}, \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

where  $R = \prod_{i=2}^m \frac{1}{|E|} \int_E \Phi^{(m-1)}(f_i(x)) dx$ . Applying Lemma 4 to the function  $f_1 R$  we obtain by submultiplicativity and Jensen's inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_1 R\|_{\Phi, E} &\leq c \frac{1}{|E|} \int_E \Phi(f_1(x) R) dx \\ &\leq c \frac{1}{|E|} \int_E \Phi(f_1(x)) dx \Phi(R) \\ &\leq c \frac{1}{|E|} \int_E \Phi(f_1(x)) dx \prod_{i=2}^m \Phi\left(\frac{1}{|E|} \int_E \Phi^{(m-1)}(f_i(x)) dx\right) \\ &\leq c \frac{1}{|E|} \int_E \Phi(f_1(x)) dx \prod_{i=2}^m \frac{1}{|E|} \int_E \Phi^{(m)}(f_i(x)) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this result with (32) we deduce

$$\prod_{i=1}^m \|f_i\|_{\Phi, E} \leq c \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{|E|} \int_E \Phi^{(m)}(f_i(x)) dx,$$

thus proving (31). □

**6.2 The main result.** The previous lemmas are used in the proof of the following result due to GRAFAKOS, LIU, PÉREZ and TORRES.

**Theorem 7** ([21]). *There exists a positive constant  $C$  depending only on  $m$  and  $n$  such that for all  $\lambda > 0$ ,*

$$|\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}(\vec{f})(x) > \lambda^m\}| \leq C \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^m \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi_n^{(m)}\left(\frac{|f_i(x)|}{\lambda}\right) dx \right\}^{1/m} \quad (33)$$

for all  $f_i$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and for all  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . Furthermore, the theorem is sharp in the sense that we cannot replace  $\Phi_n^{(m)}$  by  $\Phi_n^{(k)}$  for  $k \leq m - 1$ .

**Proof.** By homogeneity, positivity of the operator, and the doubling property of  $\Phi_n$ , it is enough to prove

$$|\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}(\vec{f})(x) > 1\}| \leq C \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^m \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi_n^{(m)}(f_j(x)) dx \right\}^{1/m}, \quad (34)$$

for a constant  $C$  independent of the nonnegative functions  $\vec{f} = (f_1, \dots, f_m)$ .

Let  $E = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}(\vec{f})(x) > 1\}$ , then by the continuity property of the Lebesgue measure we can find a compact set  $K$  such that  $K \subset E$  and

$$|K| \leq |E| \leq 2|K|.$$

Such a compact set  $K$  can be covered with a finite collection of rectangles  $\{R_j\}_{j=1}^N$  such that

$$\prod_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{|R_j|} \int_{R_j} f_i(y) dy > 1, \quad j = 1, \dots, N. \quad (35)$$

We will use the following version of the Córdoba-Fefferman rectangle covering lemma [10] due to BAGBY ([1] Theorem 4.1 (C)): there are dimensional positive constants  $\delta, c$  and a subfamily  $\{\tilde{R}_j\}_{j=1}^\ell$  of  $\{R_j\}_{j=1}^N$  satisfying

$$\left| \bigcup_{j=1}^N R_j \right| \leq c \left| \bigcup_{j=1}^\ell \tilde{R}_j \right|$$

and

$$\int_{\bigcup_{j=1}^{\ell} \tilde{R}_j} \exp\left(\delta \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \chi_{\tilde{R}_j}(x)\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} dx \leq 2 \left| \bigcup_{j=1}^{\ell} \tilde{R}_j \right|.$$

Setting  $\tilde{E} = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\ell} \tilde{R}_j$  and recalling that  $\Psi_n(t) = \exp(t^{\frac{1}{n-1}}) - 1$  the latter inequality is

$$\frac{1}{|\tilde{E}|} \int_{\tilde{E}} \Psi_n\left(\delta \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \chi_{\tilde{R}_j}(x)\right) dx \leq 1$$

which is equivalent to

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \chi_{\tilde{R}_j} \right\|_{\Psi_n, \tilde{E}} \leq \frac{1}{\delta} \quad (36)$$

by the definition of the norm. Now, since

$$|E| \leq 2|K| \leq C|\tilde{E}|$$

we can use (35) and Hölder's inequality as follows

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{E}| &= \left| \bigcup_{j=1}^{\ell} \tilde{R}_j \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} |\tilde{R}_j| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \left( \prod_{i=1}^m \int_{\tilde{R}_j} f_i(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{m}} \\ &\leq \left( \prod_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \int_{\tilde{R}_j} f_i(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{m}} \\ &\leq \left( \prod_{i=1}^m \int_{\bigcup_{j=1}^{\ell} \tilde{R}_j} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \chi_{\tilde{R}_j}(y) f_i(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{m}} \\ &= \left( \prod_{i=1}^m \int_{\tilde{E}} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \chi_{\tilde{R}_j}(y) f_i(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{m}}. \end{aligned}$$

By this inequality and (29), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
 1 &\leq \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{|\tilde{E}|} \int_{\tilde{E}} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \chi_{\tilde{R}_j}(y) f_i(y) dy \\
 &\leq \prod_{i=1}^m \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \chi_{\tilde{R}_j} \right\|_{\Psi_n, \tilde{E}} \|f_i\|_{\Phi_n, \tilde{E}} \\
 &\leq \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{\delta} \|f_i\|_{\Phi_n, \tilde{E}} \\
 &= \prod_{i=1}^m \left\| \frac{f_i}{\delta} \right\|_{\Phi_n, \tilde{E}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Finally, it is enough to apply Lemma 5 and that  $\Phi_n^{(m)}$  is submultiplicative to conclude the proof of (34).

Finally, we turn to the claimed sharpness that one cannot replace  $\Phi_n^{(m)}$  by  $\Phi_n^{(k)}$  for  $k \leq m - 1$  in (33). In the case  $m = n = 2$ , we show that the estimate (E)

$$\begin{aligned}
 &|\{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}(f, g)(x) > \alpha^2\}| \\
 &\leq C \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \Phi_2\left(\frac{|f(x)|}{\alpha}\right) dx \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \Phi_2\left(\frac{|g(x)|}{\alpha}\right) dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}
 \end{aligned}$$

cannot hold for  $\alpha > 0$  and functions  $f, g$  with a constant  $C$  independent of these parameters.

For  $N = 1, 2, \dots$ , consider the functions

$$f = \chi_{[0,1]^2} \quad \text{and} \quad g_N = N\chi_{[0,1]^2}$$

and the parameter  $\alpha = \frac{1}{10}$ . Then the left-hand side of (E) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}(f, g_N)(x) > \frac{1}{100} \right\} \right| &= \left| \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}(\chi_{[0,1]^2})(x) > \frac{1}{10\sqrt{N}} \right\} \right| \\
 &\approx \sqrt{N}(\log N),
 \end{aligned}$$

where the last estimate is a simple calculation concerning the strong maximal function. However, the right-hand side of (E) is equal to

$$C(\Phi_2(1/\alpha))^{1/2}(\Phi_2(N/\alpha))^{1/2} = C(\Phi_2(10))^{1/2}(\Phi_2(10N))^{1/2} \approx \sqrt{N \log N}$$

and obviously it cannot control the left-hand side of (E) for  $N$  large.

For general  $m$ , the vector  $\vec{f} = (f_1, \dots, f_m)$  with

$$f_1 = f_2 = \dots = f_{m-1} = \chi_{[0,1]^2} \quad \text{and} \quad f_m = N\chi_{[0,1]^2}$$

also provides a counterexample. □

7. THE BILINEAR HILBERT TRANSFORM  
AND THE METHOD OF ROTATIONS

It is a classical result obtained by CALDERÓN and ZYGMUND [4] using the method of rotations, that homogeneous linear singular integrals with odd kernels are always  $L^p$  bounded for  $1 < p < \infty$ . We indicate what happens if the method of rotations is used in the multilinear setting. For an integrable function  $\Omega$  on  $\mathbf{S}^{2n-1}$  with vanishing integral, we consider the bilinear operator

$$\begin{aligned} T_\Omega(f_1, f_2)(x) &= \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \frac{\Omega((y_1, y_2)/|(y_1, y_2)|)}{|(y_1, y_2)|^{2n}} f_1(x - y_1) f_2(x - y_2) dy_1 dy_2. \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

Suppose that  $\Omega$  is an odd function on  $\mathbf{S}^{2n-1}$ . Using polar coordinates in  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  we express

$$T_\Omega(f_1, f_2)(x) = \int_{\mathbf{S}^{2n-1}} \Omega(\theta_1, \theta_2) \left\{ \int_0^{+\infty} f_1(x - t\theta_1) f_2(x - t\theta_2) \frac{dt}{t} \right\} d(\theta_1, \theta_2).$$

Replacing  $(\theta_1, \theta_2)$  by  $-(\theta_1, \theta_2)$ , changing variables, and using that  $\Omega$  is odd we obtain

$$T_\Omega(f_1, f_2)(x) = \int_{\mathbf{S}^{2n-1}} \Omega(\theta_1, \theta_2) \left\{ \int_0^{+\infty} f_1(x + t\theta_1) f_2(x + t\theta_2) \frac{dt}{t} \right\} d(\theta_1, \theta_2)$$

and averaging these identities we deduce that

$$T_\Omega(f_1, f_2)(x) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbf{S}^{2n-1}} \Omega(\theta_1, \theta_2) \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f_1(x - t\theta_1) f_2(x - t\theta_2) \frac{dt}{t} \right\} d(\theta_1, \theta_2).$$

The method of rotations gives rise to the operator inside the curly brackets above and one would like to know that this operator is bounded from a product of two Lebesgue spaces into another Lebesgue space (and preferably) uniformly bounded in  $\theta_1, \theta_2$ . Motivated by this calculation, for vectors  $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$  we introduce the family of operators

$$\mathcal{H}_{u,v}(f_1, f_2)(x) = \text{p.v.} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f_1(x - tu) f_2(x - tv) \frac{dt}{t}.$$

We call this operator the *directional bilinear Hilbert transform* (in the direction indicated by the vector  $(u, v)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ ). In the special case  $n = 1$ , we use the notation

$$H_{\alpha,\beta}(f, g)(x) = \text{p.v.} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x - \alpha t) g(x - \beta t) \frac{dt}{t}$$

for the bilinear Hilbert transform defined for functions  $f, g$  on the line and  $x, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ .

We mention results concerning the boundedness of these operators. The operator  $H_{\alpha, \beta}$  was first shown to be bounded by LACEY and THIELE [30], [31] in the range

$$1 < p, q \leq \infty, \quad \frac{2}{3} < r < \infty, \quad \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{r}. \quad (38)$$

Uniform  $L^r$  bounds (in  $\alpha, \beta$ ) for  $H_{\alpha, \beta}$  were obtained by GRAFAKOS and LI [20] in the local  $L^2$  case, (i.e. the case when  $2 < p, q, r' < \infty$ ) and extended by LI [33] in the hexagonal region

$$1 < p, q, r < \infty, \quad \left| \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} \right| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad \left| \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r'} \right| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad \left| \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r'} \right| < \frac{1}{2}. \quad (39)$$

We use an idea similar to that Calderón used to express the first commutator  $\mathcal{C}_1$  as an average of the bilinear Hilbert transforms as in (2), to obtain new bounds for a higher dimensional commutator introduced by CHRIST and JOURNÉ [5]. The  $n$ -dimensional commutator is defined as

$$\mathcal{C}_1^{(n)}(f, a)(x) = \text{p.v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(x - y) \int_0^1 f(y) a((1 - t)x + ty) dt dy, \quad (40)$$

where  $K(x)$  is a Calderón-Zygmund kernel in dimension  $n$  and  $f, a$  are functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . CHRIST and JOURNÉ [5] proved that  $\mathcal{C}_1^{(n)}$  is bounded from  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for  $1 < p < \infty$ . Here we discuss some off-diagonal bounds  $L^p \times L^q \rightarrow L^r$ , whenever  $1/p + 1/q = 1/r$  and  $1 < p, q, r < \infty$ .

As the operator  $\mathcal{C}_1^{(n)}(f, a)$  is  $n$ -dimensional, we will need to “transfer”  $H_{\alpha, \beta}$  in higher dimensions. To achieve this we use rotations. We have the following lemma:

**Lemma 6.** *Suppose that  $K$  is kernel in  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  (which may be a distribution) and let  $T_K$  be the bilinear singular integral operator associated with  $K$*

$$T_K(f, g)(x) = \iint K(x - y, x - z) f(y) g(z) dy dz.$$

*Assume that  $T_K$  is bounded from  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^q(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with norm  $\|T\|$  when  $1/p + 1/q = 1/r$ . Let  $M$  be a  $n \times n$  invertible matrix. Define a  $2n \times 2n$  invertible matrix*

$$\widetilde{M} = \begin{pmatrix} M & O \\ O & M \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $O$  is the zero  $n \times n$  matrix. Then the operator  $T_{K \circ \widetilde{M}}$  is also bounded from  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^q(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with norm at most  $\|T\|$ .

**Proof.** To prove the lemma we note that

$$T_{K \circ \widetilde{M}}(f, g)(x) = T_K(f \circ M^{-1}, g \circ M^{-1})(Mx)$$

from which it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{K \circ \widetilde{M}}(f, g)\|_{L^r} &= (\det M)^{-1/r} \|T_K(f \circ M^{-1}, g \circ M^{-1})\|_{L^r} \\ &\leq (\det M)^{-1/r} \|T\| \|f \circ M^{-1}\|_{L^p} \|g \circ M^{-1}\|_{L^q} \\ &= \|T\| (\det M)^{-1/r} \|T\| \|f\|_{L^p} (\det M)^{1/p} \|g\|_{L^q} (\det M)^{1/p} \\ &= \|T\| \|f\|_{L^p} \|g\|_{L^q}. \end{aligned}$$

□

We apply Lemma 6 to the bilinear Hilbert transform. Let  $e_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$  be the standard coordinate vector on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . We begin with the observation that the operator  $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha e_1, \beta e_1}(f, g)$  defined for functions  $f, g$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is bounded from  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for the same range of indices as the bilinear Hilbert transform. Indeed, the operator  $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha e_1, \beta e_1}$  can be viewed as the classical one-dimensional bilinear Hilbert transform in the coordinate  $x_1$  followed by the identity operator in the remaining coordinates  $x_2, \dots, x_n$ , where  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . By Lemma 6, for an invertible  $n \times n$  matrix  $M$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{\alpha e_1, \beta e_1}(f \circ M^{-1}, g \circ M^{-1})(Mx) \\ = \text{p.v.} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x - \alpha t M^{-1} e_1) g(x - \beta t M^{-1} e_1) \frac{dt}{t} \end{aligned}$$

maps  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^q(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with norm the same as the one-dimensional bilinear Hilbert transform  $H_{\alpha, \beta}$  whenever the indices  $p, q, r$  satisfy (38). If  $M$  is a rotation (i.e. an orthogonal matrix), then  $M^{-1}e_1$  can be any unit vector in  $\mathbf{S}^{n-1}$ . We conclude that the family of operators

$$\mathcal{H}_{\alpha\theta, \beta\theta}(f, g)(x) = \text{p.v.} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x - \alpha t\theta) g(x - \beta t\theta) \frac{dt}{t}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

is bounded from  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with a bound independent of  $\theta \in \mathbf{S}^{n-1}$  whenever the indices  $p, q, r$  satisfy (38). This bound is also independent of  $\alpha, \beta$  whenever the indices  $p, q, r$  satisfy (39).

It remains to express the higher dimensional commutator  $\mathcal{C}_1^{(n)}$  in terms of the operators  $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha\theta,\beta\theta}$ . Here we make the assumption that  $K$  is an odd homogeneous singular integral operator on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , such as a Riesz transform. For a fixed  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  we apply polar coordinates centered at  $x$  by writing  $y = x - r\theta$ . Then we can express the higher dimensional commutator in (40) as

$$\int_{\mathbf{S}^{n-1}} \int_0^\infty \frac{K(\theta)}{r^n} \int_0^1 f(x - r\theta)a(x - tr\theta) dt r^{n-1} dr d\theta. \tag{41}$$

Changing variables from  $\theta \rightarrow -\theta$ ,  $r \rightarrow -r$  and using that  $K(\theta)$  is odd we write this expression as

$$\int_{\mathbf{S}^{n-1}} \int_{-\infty}^0 K(\theta) \int_0^1 f(x - r\theta)a(x - tr\theta) dt \frac{dr}{r} d\theta. \tag{42}$$

Averaging the (41) and (42) we arrive at the identity

$$\mathcal{C}_1^{(n)}(f, a)(x) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbf{S}^{n-1}} K(\theta) \int_0^1 \mathcal{H}_{\theta,t\theta}(f, a)(x) dt d\theta.$$

This identity implies the boundedness of  $\mathcal{C}_1^{(n)}$  from  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$  whenever the indices  $p, q, r$  satisfy (39). Interpolation with the known  $L^p \times L^\infty \rightarrow L^p$  bounds yield the following result due to DUONG, GRAFAKOS, and YAN [15]:

**Theorem 8** ([15]). *Let  $K$  be an odd homogeneous singular integral on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then the  $n$ -dimensional commutator  $\mathcal{C}_1^{(n)}$  associated with  $K$  maps  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^q(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$  whenever  $1/p + 1/q = 1/r$  and  $(1/p, 1/q, 1/r)$  lies in the open convex hull of the pentagon with vertices  $(0, 1/2, 1/2)$ ,  $(0, 0, 0)$ ,  $(1, 0, 1)$ ,  $(1/2, 1/2, 1)$ , and  $(1/6, 4/6, 5/6)$ .*

Finally, we briefly discuss the situation in which the function  $\Omega$  in (37) is even. The following result was recently obtained by DIESTEL, GRAFAKOS, HONZÍK, SI and TERWILLEGER [12]:

**Theorem 9.** *Let  $\Omega \in L \log L(\mathbf{S}^1)$  be an even function with mean zero. Then the bilinear operator  $T_\Omega$  defined in (37) is bounded from  $L^p(\mathbb{R}) \times L^q(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^r(\mathbb{R})$  for all  $2 < p, q, r' < \infty$  satisfying  $1/p + 1/q = 1/r$ .*

The proof of this result also relies on expressing the operator  $T_\Omega$  as an average of the operators  $H_{\alpha,\beta}$ . The details are omitted.



## 8. CLOSING REMARKS

The topics discussed in these lectures by no means exhaust the full richness and broadness of multilinear harmonic analysis. However, they provide representative results of current research interests in this rapidly developing subject. I hope that investigators will find inspiration in these results to pursue further research in the area. The author would also like to thank the organizers of School on Nonlinear Analysis, Function Spaces and Applications 9 for their invitation to deliver these lectures and for providing an inspiring environment for mathematical interaction and research during the meeting.

## REFERENCES

- [1] R. BAGBY: *Maximal functions and rearrangements: some new proofs*. Indiana Univ. Math. J. **32** (1983), 879–891. Zbl 0534.42004, MR0721570.
- [2] C. P. CALDERÓN: *On commutators of singular integrals*. Studia Math. **53** (1975), no. 2, 139–174. Zbl 0315.44006, MR0380518.
- [3] A. CALDERÓN AND A. ZYGMUND: *On the existence of certain singular integrals*. Acta Math. **88** (1952), 85–139. Zbl 0047.10201, MR0052553.
- [4] A. CALDERÓN AND A. ZYGMUND: *On singular integrals*. Amer. J. Math. **78** (1956), 289–309. Zbl 0072.11501, MR0084633.
- [5] M. CHRIST AND J.-L. JOURNÉ: *Polynomial growth estimates for multilinear singular integral operators*. Acta Math. **159** (1987), no. 1–2, 51–80. Zbl 0645.42017, MR0906525.
- [6] R. R. COIFMAN AND Y. MEYER: *On commutators of singular integrals and bilinear singular integrals*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **212** (1975), 315–331. Zbl 0324.44005, MR0380244.
- [7] R. R. COIFMAN AND Y. MEYER: *Commutateurs d'intégrales singulières et opérateurs multilinéaires*. Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble **28** (1978), no. 3 177–202. Zbl 0368.47031, MR0511821.
- [8] R. R. COIFMAN AND Y. MEYER: *Au delà des opérateurs pseudo-différentiels*. Astérisque **57**, Société Mathématique de France, Paris 1978. Zbl 0483.35082, MR0518170.
- [9] R. R. COIFMAN AND Y. MEYER: *Nonlinear harmonic analysis, operator theory, and P.D.E*. In Beijing Lectures in Harmonic Analysis, E. M. Stein, ed., Annals of Math. Studies **112**, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1986. Zbl 0623.47052, MR0864370.
- [10] A. CÓRDOBA AND R. FEFFERMAN: *A geometric proof of the strong maximal theorem*. Ann. Math. (2) **102** (1975), 95–100. Zbl 0324.28004, MR0379785.
- [11] G. DAVID AND J.-L. JOURNÉ: *A boundedness criterion for generalized Calderón-Zygmund operators*. Ann. Math. (2) **120** (1984), 371–397. Zbl 0567.47025, MR0763911.
- [12] G. DIESTEL, L. GRAFAKOS, P. HONZÍK, Z. SI, AND E. TERWILLEGGER: *Method of rotations for bilinear singular integrals*. Commun. Math. Anal. Conference **3** (2011), 99–107. Zbl 1214.42017, MR2772055.

- [13] G. DIESTEL AND N. KALTON: *Personal communication*.
- [14] J. DUOANDIKOETXEA: *Fourier Analysis*. Graduate Studies in Mathematics **29**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2001. Zbl 0969.42001, MR1800316.
- [15] X. T. DUONG, L. GRAFAKOS, L. YAN: *Multilinear operators with non-smooth kernels and commutators of singular integrals*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **362** (2010), no. 4, 2089–2113. Zbl 1195.42056, MR2574888.
- [16] X. T. DUONG AND A. MCINTOSH: *Singular integral operators with non-smooth kernels on irregular domains*. Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana **15** (1999), no. 2, 233–265. Zbl 0980.42007, MR1715407.
- [17] C. FEFFERMAN AND E. M. STEIN: *Some maximal inequalities*. Amer. J. Math. **93** (1971), 107–115. Zbl 0222.26019, MR0284802.
- [18] L. GRAFAKOS AND N. KALTON: *Some remarks on multilinear maps and interpolation*. Math. Ann. **319** (2001), no. 1, 151–180. Zbl 0982.46018, MR1812822.
- [19] L. GRAFAKOS AND N. KALTON: *The Marcinkiewicz multiplier condition for bilinear operators*. Studia Math. **146**, no. 2, (2001), 115–156. Zbl 0981.42008, MR1853518.
- [20] L. GRAFAKOS AND X. LI: *Uniform bounds for the bilinear Hilbert transforms I*. Ann. Math. **159** (2004), no. 3, 889–933. Zbl 1071.44004, MR2113017.
- [21] L. GRAFAKOS, L. LIU, C. PÉREZ, R. TORRES: *The multilinear strong maximal function*. J. Geom. Anal. **21** (2011), no. 1, 118–149. Zbl pre05875634, MR2755679
- [22] L. GRAFAKOS AND Z. SI: *The Hörmander multiplier theorem for multilinear operators*. Submitted.
- [23] L. GRAFAKOS AND J. SORIA: *Translation-invariant bilinear operators with positive kernels*. Integral Equations Oper. Theory **66** (2010), no. 2, 253–264. Zbl 1193.42067, MR2595656.
- [24] L. GRAFAKOS AND T. TAO: *Multilinear interpolation between adjoint operators*. J. Funct. Anal. **199** (2003), no. 2, 379–385. Zbl 1031.46026, MR1971257.
- [25] L. GRAFAKOS AND R. H. TORRES: *Multilinear Calderón-Zygmund theory*. Adv. Math. **165** (2002), no. 1, 124–164. Zbl 1032.42020, MR1880324.
- [26] L. HÖRMANDER: *Estimates for translation invariant operators in  $L^p$  spaces*. Acta Math. **104** (1960), 93–140. Zbl 0093.11402, MR0121655.
- [27] S. JANSON: *On interpolation of multilinear operators*. In Function spaces and applications (Lund, 1986), Lecture Notes in Math. **1302**, 290–302. Springer, Berlin-New York, 1988. Zbl 0827.46062, MR0942274.
- [28] T. KATO AND G. PONCE: *Commutator estimates and the Euler and Navier-Stokes equations*. Commun. Pure Appl. Math. **41** (1988), no. 7, 891–907. Zbl 0671.35066, MR0951744.
- [29] C. KENIG AND E. M. STEIN: *Multilinear estimates and fractional integration*. Math. Res. Lett. **6** (1999), no. 1, 1–15. Zbl 0952.42005, MR1682725.
- [30] M. T. LACEY AND C. M. THIELE:  *$L^p$  estimates for the bilinear Hilbert transform,  $2 < p < \infty$* . Ann. Math. **146** (1997), no. 3, 693–724. Zbl 0914.46034, MR1491450.
- [31] M. T. LACEY AND C. M. THIELE: *On Calderón’s conjecture*. Ann. Math. **149** (1999), no. 2, 475–496. Zbl 0934.42012, MR1689336.

- [32] A. K. LERNER, S. OMBROSI, C. PÉREZ, R. H. TORRES AND R. TRUJILLO-GONZÁLEZ: *New maximal functions and multiple weights for the multilinear Calderón-Zygmund theory*. Adv. Math. **220** (2009), no. 2, 1222–1264. Zbl 1160.42009, MR2483720.
- [33] X. LI: *Uniform bounds for the bilinear Hilbert transforms. II*. Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana **22** (2006), no. 3, 1069–1126. Zbl 1133.42022, MR2320411.
- [34] Y. MEYER AND R. R. COIFMAN: *Wavelets: Calderón-Zygmund and multilinear operators*. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom, 1997.
- [35] S. G. MIHLIN: *On the multipliers of Fourier integrals* [in Russian]. Dokl. Akad. Nauk **109** (1956), 701–703. Zbl 0073.08402, MR0080799.
- [36] E. M. STEIN: *Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions*. Princeton University Press, Princeton NJ, 1970. Zbl 0207.13501, MR0290095.
- [37] R. STRICHARTZ: *A multilinear version of the Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **21** (1969), 441–444. Zbl 0175.42603, MR0238070.
- [38] N. TOMITA: *A Hörmander type multiplier theorem for multilinear operators*. J. Funct. Anal. **259** (2010), no. 8, 20282044. Zbl 1201.42005, MR2671120.