

James T. Sedlock

Green's relations on a periodic semigroup

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 19 (1969), No. 2, 318–323

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/100898>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1969

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

GREEN'S RELATIONS ON A PERIODIC SEMIGROUP

JAMES T. SEDLOCK, Storrs

(Received May 5, 1968)

1. INTRODUCTION

A semigroup S is called *periodic* if each element of S has a finite order, where the order of $x \in S$ is the order of the cyclic subsemigroup of S generated by x . This type of semigroup has been treated only occasionally in the literature, essential contributions having been made by S. SCHWARZ in [4] and M. YAMADA in [5].

The fact that for each element x of a periodic semigroup S some power of x is idempotent (ex. 1 p. 120 of [1]) leads to defining a natural (equivalence) relation \mathcal{K} on S by: for $a, b \in S$, $a \mathcal{K} b$ if and only if there exists an idempotent e and integers m, n such that $a^m = b^n = e$. The \mathcal{K} -classes of S will be denoted by K^e , e idempotent. For each \mathcal{K} -class K^e , the notions of maximal subgroup G^e and maximal semigroups contained in K^e were first studied by Schwarz. As a consequence of his work we have the following facts about S :

(I) for each idempotent e , $ex = xe$ for each $x \in K^e$, and $eK^e = K^e e = G^e = \{x \in K^e \mid ex = xe = x\}$ is the maximal subgroup of S containing e ; and

(II) S is a union of groups if and only if each element of S has index one if and only if for each idempotent e , $K^e = G^e$.

The five Green relations \mathcal{L} , \mathcal{R} , \mathcal{D} , \mathcal{J} , \mathcal{H} were defined in [2] for an arbitrary semigroup and have become a familiar tool among semigroupers. Their definitions, fundamental properties and an intuitive discussion can be found in Chapter 2 of [1]. If S is a semigroup and $x \in S$, then $L_x[R_x, D_x, J_x, H_x]$ will denote the $\mathcal{L}[\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J}, \mathcal{H}]$ -class of S containing x . Of particular interest here are the results: if S is a periodic semigroup, then

(I) for each idempotent e , $H_e = G^e$ (see ex. 1 p. 61 of [1]); and

(II) $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{J}$ (Theorem 3 of [2]).

It is the purpose of this paper to determine necessary and sufficient conditions on a periodic semigroup S in order that \mathcal{K} coincide with any one of the Green relations. In section 2, where Theorem 2.1 is actually the key to all results, we characterize S

when $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{D}$ (Theorem 2.9). In section 3 conditions parallel to those in section 2 are found for the cases $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{R}$ (Theorems 3.5 and 3.6 respectively). Finally, the easy case $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{H}$ is mentioned for completeness (Theorem 3.8).

Throughout this paper all unexplained notation and undefined terms are those of [1].

2. THE NATURAL EQUIVALENCE AND \mathcal{D}

The first theorem provides a steppingstone to results involving \mathcal{K} and each of the Green's relations.

2.1. Theorem. *For each idempotent e in a periodic semigroup, $K^e \cap D_e = G^e$.*

Proof. Since $G^e \subseteq K^e$ and $G^e = H_e \subseteq D_e$, it is immediate that $G^e \subseteq K^e \cap D_e$.

Conversely, let $x \in K^e \cap D_e$; then $ex = xe \in G^e \subseteq D_e$, so $x \mathcal{D} ex$ and $x = pexq$ for some $p, q \in S^1$. This will allow us to express x as sandwiched between two idempotents. That is, since S is periodic, there exist idempotents f, h and positive integers m, n such that $(pe)^m = f$ and $q^n = h$; therefore

$$x = pexq = (pe)^2 xq^2 = \dots = (pe)^{mn} xq^{mn} = f x h.$$

Noting that $fe = (pe)^m e = f$, we have

$$S^1 ex \subseteq S^1 x = S^1 f x h = S^1 f e x h \subseteq S^1 e x h.$$

But it is easily checked that $S^1 e x h = S^1 ex$, hence $S^1 ex = S^1 x = S^1 e x h$. This shows that $x \mathcal{L} ex$.

Dually,

$$x e S^1 \subseteq x S^1 = f x h S^1 \subseteq f x S^1 = f e x S^1 = f x e S^1.$$

Now since $fx = f$ it follows that $f x e S^1 = x e S^1$, so that $x e S^1 = x S^1 = f x e S^1$, and $x \mathcal{R} ex$. Therefore, $x \in L_{ex} \cap R_{ex} = H_{ex} = H_e = G^e$.

2.2. Corollary. *For each idempotent e in a periodic semigroup, $K^e \cap L_e = G^e$ and $K^e \cap R_e = G^e$.*

Proof. Again $G^e \subseteq K^e$ and $G^e = H_e \subseteq L_e$, so it is immediate that $G^e \subseteq K^e \cap L_e$. From the preceding theorem, $K^e \cap L_e \subseteq K^e \cap D_e = G^e$.

Similarly, one shows $K^e \cap R_e = G^e$.

2.3. Corollary. *Periodic semigroup S is a union of groups if and only if $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{D}$.*

Proof. If S is a union of groups, then for each idempotent e , $K^e = G^e = H_e \subseteq D_e$.

On the other hand, if $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{D}$, then for each idempotent e , $K^e = K^e \cap D_e = G^e$. Hence, S is a union of its maximal subgroups.

2.4 Definition (6.4 of [3]). Semigroup S is *weakly commutative* if for each $a, b \in S$ there exist $x, y \in S$ and an integer k such that $(ab)^k = xa = by$.

2.5 Definition. Semigroup S is a *semilattice of semigroups of type α* if S is a disjoint union of semigroups of type α $\{S_i \mid i \in I, I \text{ index set}\}$, and for each $i, j \in I$ there exists a $k \in I$ such that $S_i S_j \subseteq S_k$ and $S_j S_i \subseteq S_k$.

The minimal semilattice congruence \mathcal{N} of an arbitrary semigroup has been determined modulo prime ideals in [3], in which it is also proved (Theorem 6.7) that a periodic semigroup is weakly commutative if and only if the \mathcal{N} and \mathcal{K} relations coincide. The next proposition generalizes Theorem 14 of [4], which deals with the commutative case.

2.6. Proposition. *If S is a weakly commutative periodic semigroup, then $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{K} = \mathcal{N}$ and each maximal subgroup is a \mathcal{D} -class of S .*

Proof. From the characterization given in [3] of the minimal semilattice congruence \mathcal{N} , it follows that $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ for an arbitrary semigroup. In particular, if S is a weakly commutative periodic semigroup, then $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{N} = \mathcal{K}$. Hence, each \mathcal{K} -class is a subsemigroup of S and a union of \mathcal{D} -classes.

Moreover, combining $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{K}$ with Theorem 2.1 above yields $G^e = K_e \cap D_e = D_e$.

2.7 Definition (p. 1 of [5]). Semigroup S is said to satisfy *Condition C* if for each $a, b \in S$ and any positive integers m, n there exist positive integers r, s and t such that

$$(ab)^r = (a^m b^n)^s = (b^n a^m)^t.$$

2.8 Lemma. *Periodic semigroup S is weakly commutative if and only if it satisfies Condition C.*

Proof. If S is weakly commutative, then $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{K}$ and S is a semilattice of unipotent homogroups; namely, the \mathcal{K} -classes of S . By Theorem 3 of [5], S satisfies Condition C.

Conversely, let S satisfy Condition C. For $a, b \in S$ there exist integers r, t such that $(ab)^r = (ba)^t$. Hence,

$$(ab)^r = b[a(ba)^{t-1}] = [(ba)^{t-1} b] a.$$

2.9 Theorem. *The following are equivalent on a periodic semigroup S :*

- (I) S is a semilattice of groups
- (II) S is a union of groups and weakly commutative
- (III) $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{D}$.

Proof. (I) implies (II). Since S is a semilattice of (periodic) groups, then it is trivially both a union of groups and a semilattice of unipotent homogroups. Due to

Theorem 3 of [5], S satisfies Condition C, and by Lemma 2.8 it is weakly commutative.

(II) implies (III). If S is a union of groups, then for each idempotent e , $K^e = G^e = H_e$; thus $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{H}$. Combining this with the afore-mentioned results of [3], we get $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{N} = \mathcal{K} = \mathcal{H}$. However, it follows from the definitions of the Green's relations that $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{D}$. So all of the Green's relations coincide with \mathcal{K} .

(III) implies (I). From Corollary 2.3, $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ implies that S is a union of groups; that is, each \mathcal{K} -class is a maximal group. By Theorem 4.6 of [1], henceforth referred to as Clifford's Theorem, S is a semilattice of $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{K}$ -classes.

3. THE NATURAL EQUIVALENCE AND $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{H}$

We now proceed by a series of lemmas and definitions towards necessary and sufficient conditions that $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{L}$. These conditions will be seen to parallel those above for the case $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{D}$.

3.1 Lemma. *For an arbitrary semigroup S , any \mathcal{R} -class R which is a union of groups is a right group.*

Proof. It is well-known that the set of idempotents of any \mathcal{R} -class forms a right zero semigroup, and for any \mathcal{D} -related idempotents e, f , groups H_e and H_f are isomorphic. Thus, if E is the set of idempotents of R , and H is a fixed \mathcal{H} -class contained in R , it is easily established that $R \cong ExH$. In light of Theorem 1.27 of [1], R is a right group.

3.2 Lemma. *If an arbitrary semigroup S is a semilattice of right groups, then $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{J}$ and each of these right groups is a \mathcal{J} -class of S .*

Proof. Let \mathcal{M} be a semilattice congruence on S such that each \mathcal{M} -class is a right group. Now a right group is completely simple, thus S is a union of completely simple semigroups. By Clifford's Theorem, S is a semilattice of \mathcal{J} -classes; hence, $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{J}$. However, we have already remarked that $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ in any semigroup; thus, $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{J}$.

Also, $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$, where each \mathcal{M} -class is a right group. Recalling the characterization of a right group given in the preceding lemma, let $M \cong ExG$ be an arbitrary \mathcal{M} -class of S . For any $(e, a), (f, b) \in M$ it is easily verified that $S^1(e, a)S^1 = S^1(f, b)S^1$. That is, xMy implies $x\mathcal{J}y$; or $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{J} = \mathcal{N}$. So, $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{N} = \mathcal{J}$ and each right group is a \mathcal{J} -class of S .

3.3 Definition. Semigroup S is *left [right] weakly commutative* if for each $a, b \in S$ there exist $x \in S$ and an integer k such that

$$(ab)^k = bx \quad [(ab)^k = xa].$$

3.4 Lemma. *Let a periodic semigroup S be a union of groups. Then S is left weakly commutative if and only if $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{D}$.*

Proof. Suppose S is left weakly commutative. Let $a, b \in S$ and $a\mathcal{L}b$. Then $S^1a = S^1b$ and there exist $x, y \in S^1$ such that $a = xb$, $b = ya$. Now the hypothesis that S is left weakly commutative implies there exist $z \in S$ and an integer k such that $(xb)^k = bz$. Also, the index of a is one, for a is in some maximal group, so choose integer $n > k$ such that $a^n = a$. Then

$$a = a^n = (xb)^n = (xb)^k (xb)^{n-k} = bz(xb)^{n-k} \in bS^1.$$

Similarly, one shows $b \in aS^1$. Hence, $a\mathcal{R}b$ and $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathcal{R}$. Therefore, $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{L} \circ \mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}$, and $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{D}$.

Conversely, suppose $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{R}$. By Clifford's Theorem S is a semilattice of \mathcal{R} -classes. So for any $a, b \in S$, $ab\mathcal{R}ba$. Hence, $abS^1 = baS^1$ and there exists an $x \in S^1$ such that $ab = b(ax)$.

3.5 Theorem. *The following are equivalent on a periodic semigroup S :*

- (I) S is a semilattice of right groups
- (II) S is a union of groups and left weakly commutative
- (III) $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{L}$.

Proof. (I) implies (II). From Lemma 3.2, $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{J} = \mathcal{D}$ and each of these right groups is a \mathcal{D} -class of S . Again, a right group is completely simple, so each \mathcal{D} -class (and likewise S) is a union of groups.

To complete the proof it suffices, due to Lemma 3.4, to show that $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{D}$. To see this let L_e be an \mathcal{L} -class of S . We know that L_e is a union of \mathcal{H} -classes, each a maximal subgroup of S . Let H_f be any \mathcal{H} -class contained in L_e . The idempotents of any \mathcal{L} -class form a left-zero semigroup, so $ef = e$. However, the idempotents of right group D_e form a right-zero semigroup, so $ef = f$. That is, $e = ef = f$; hence, $L_e = H_e$. But L_e was arbitrary, so $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{H}$. So, $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{L} \circ \mathcal{R} = \mathcal{H} \circ \mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{R}$, and $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{D}$.

(II) implies (III). Since S is a union of groups, then each \mathcal{H} -class is a maximal group and $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{H}$. Also, by Lemma 3.4 $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{D}$, thus $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{R} = \mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{D} = \mathcal{L}$.

(III) implies (I). Using Corollary 2.2, for any idempotent e , $K^e = L_e = K^e \cap L_e = G^e = H_e$. This implies that S is a union of groups and $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{H}$; therefore, $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{L} \circ \mathcal{R} = \mathcal{H} \circ \mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{D}$.

Once more from Clifford's Theorem we infer that S is a semilattice of \mathcal{R} -classes. In this case each \mathcal{R} -class is a union of groups, so the result follows from Lemma 3.1.

Lemmas dual to those in 3.1, 3.2 and 3.4 yield the analog:

3.6 Theorem. *The following are equivalent on a periodic semigroup S :*

- (I) S is a semilattice of left groups
- (II) S is a union of groups and right weakly commutative
- (III) $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{R}$.

3.7 Proposition. *Let periodic semigroup S be a union of groups. Then all Green's relations on S coincide if and only if S is weakly commutative.*

Proof. If $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{R} = \mathcal{D}$, then by Lemma 3.4 and its dual, S is both right and left weakly commutative. To see that S is weakly commutative, let $a, b \in S$. Then there exist $x, y \in S$ and integers r, s such that $(ab)^r = bx$, $(ab)^s = ya$. Hence, $(ab)^{rs} = [(ab)^r]^s = (bx)^s = b[x(bx)^{s-1}]$ and $(ab)^{rs} = [(ab)^s]^r = (ya)^r = [(ya)^{r-1}y]a$.

On the other hand, a weakly commutative semigroup is trivially both right and left weakly commutative; so again by Lemma 3.4 and its dual, $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{D} = \mathcal{L}$. By definition, $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{R}$, and we know $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{J}$ in the periodic case.

For the sake of completeness in our discussion of \mathcal{H} and the Green's relations, we conclude with necessary and sufficient conditions that $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}$. Their proof is immediate from the introductory comments and Clifford's Theorem.

3.8 Theorem. *The following are equivalent on a periodic semigroup S :*

- (I) S is a semilattice of completely simple semigroups
- (II) S is a union of groups
- (III) $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}$.

References

- [1] Clifford, A. H., and Preston, G. B.: "The Algebraic Theory of Semigroups", Vol. I, Math. Surveys No. 7, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1961.
- [2] Green, J. A.: "On the structure of semigroups", *Annals of Math.*, 54 (1951), 163—172.
- [3] Petrich, M.: "The maximal semilattice decomposition of a semigroup," *Math. Zeitschr.*, 85 (1964), 68—82.
- [4] Schwarz, Š.: "Contribution to the theory of periodic semigroups", *Czech. Math. J.*, 3 (1953), 7—21, (Russian, English summary).
- [5] Yamada, M.: "A remark on periodic semigroups", *Sci. Rep. Shimane Univ.* 9 (1959), 1—5.

Author's address: University of Connecticut. The College of Liberal Arts and Sciences. Storrs, Connecticut 06268, U.S.A.