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1. TERMINOLOGY AND NOTATION

Throughout this paper, unless otherwise specified, all functions will supposed to
be real valued defined on a (possibly infinite) interval I.

We use the usual Borel classification of sets (see Kuratowski [4], page 250).

The class of Borel $\alpha$ functions is denoted as $B_{\alpha}$ while the class of Baire $\alpha$ functions
as $\Phi_{\alpha}$. As is well-known, for $\alpha$ finite we have $\Phi_{\alpha} = B_{\alpha}$, but $\Phi_{\alpha} = B_{\alpha+1}$ for $\alpha$ infinite.
(For facts concerning Borel and Baire functions see Kuratowski [4], page 280,
resp. 306.) $D$ stands for the class of Darboux functions. For two classes $A$ and $B$ of
functions let $A \cap B$ denote the class $A \cap B$, e.g. $D B_{\alpha}$.

All limits of sequences of functions are pointwise limits. If $A$ is a class of functions
then $A \uparrow$ (resp. $A \downarrow$) denotes the set of all functions which are limits of increasing
(resp. decreasing) sequences of functions in $A$. Finally we write $A \uparrow \downarrow$ for $(A \uparrow) \downarrow$ and
similarly with $A \downarrow \uparrow$.

2. INTRODUCTION

It is known that each $f \in \Phi_{\alpha}$ with $\alpha \geq 1$ is the limit of a sequence $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of functions
such that each $f_n$ is in $D \Phi_{\alpha-1}$ if $\alpha$ is a non-limit ordinal, and $f_n \in \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} D \Phi_{\beta}$ otherwise
(see [3], [5], [1], [6], and [7]). In the present paper a somewhat sharper result is
given: For each ordinal $\alpha \geq 1$, there is a lattice $\Omega_{\alpha}$ of Darboux functions in Baire
classes preceding $\alpha$ such that $\Phi_{\alpha}$ is the pointwise closure of $\Omega_{\alpha}$ (see Theorem 2 below;
the case $\alpha = 2$ is a simple consequence of Preiss' result [7]).

The following theorems have been stated in [2] by Ceder and Weiss:

Theorem A. $D \uparrow \downarrow = D \downarrow \uparrow$ is the class of all functions.
Theorem B. Let $\alpha \geq 1$. Then
\[ \phi_{x} = (\phi_{x-1} \uparrow \downarrow) \cap (\phi_{x-1} \downarrow \uparrow) \]
for $\alpha$ a non-limit ordinal and
\[ \phi_{x} = (\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} (\phi_{\beta} \uparrow)) \downarrow \cap (\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} (\phi_{\beta} \downarrow)) \uparrow \]
when $\alpha$ is a limit ordinal.

The authors claimed that $\phi_{x} = (\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} (\phi_{\beta} \uparrow)) \downarrow \cap (\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} (\phi_{\beta} \downarrow)) \uparrow$ when $\alpha$ is a limit ordinal. Their proof is invalid and the question of equality remains open.

In connection with these results the following problem is posed in [2] by Ceder and Weiss: What is the class $\mathcal{B}_{1} \uparrow \cap \mathcal{B}_{1} \downarrow$? From a result [7] of D. Preiss it follows that $\mathcal{B}_{1} \uparrow \cap \mathcal{B}_{1} \downarrow = \mathcal{B}_{2}$. In the present paper it is shown that, in harmony with the above cited Theorem B a similar result holds for each class $\phi_{\alpha}$ where $\alpha$ is a non-limit ordinal $> 0$ (see Theorem 3 below).

In the above cited paper [2] Ceder and Weiss give a characterization of the classes $\mathcal{B} \uparrow$ and $\mathcal{B} \downarrow$. In the present paper a similar characterization of the classes $(\mathcal{B} \phi_{\alpha}) \uparrow$ and $(\mathcal{B} \phi_{\alpha}) \downarrow$ with $\alpha > 1$ is given (see Theorem 1 below).

3. APPROXIMATION THEOREMS

We begin with two lemmas.

Lemma 1. Let $\{ (I_{n}, A_{n}) \}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of ordered pairs such that each $I_{n}$ is an open interval and $A_{n}$ a Borel set, and let for each $n$, the set $I_{n} \cap A_{n}$ be uncountable. Then there is a non-empty nowhere dense perfect set $P \subset I_{1} \cap A_{1}$ such that for each $n$, the set $I_{n} \cap A_{n} - P$ is uncountable.

Proof. Since $I_{1} \cap A_{1}$ is an uncountable Borel set, it contains a non-empty nowhere dense perfect subset $B$ (see Kuratowski [4], page 387). Define for each $n > 1$, the set $C_{n}$ in this way: If the set $B \cap I_{n} \cap A_{n}$ is at most countable, let $C_{n} = \emptyset$. Otherwise $B \cap I_{n} \cap A_{n}$ as uncountable Borel set contains a non-empty perfect subset and hence a non-empty perfect subset which is nowhere dense in $B$. Denote this non-empty perfect set by $C_{n}$. Since $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C_{n}$ is of the first category in $B$ and $B$ is closed, the set $B - \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C_{n}$ is non-empty. Moreover, it is an uncountable ($B$ has no isolated points) Borel set. Hence there exists a non-empty nowhere dense perfect subset, say $P$, contained in $B - \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C_{n}$. It is easy to verify that for each $n$, the set $I_{n} \cap A_{n} - P$ is uncountable, q.e.d.
Lemma 2. Let \( \{(I_n, A_n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \) be a sequence of ordered pairs such that \( I_n \) is an open interval and \( A_n \) a Borel set and assume for each \( n \), that the set \( I_n \cap A_n \) is uncountable. Then there are disjoint non-empty nowhere dense perfect sets \( \{P_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \) such that \( P_n \subset I_n \cap A_n \) for each \( n \).

Proof. By Lemma 1, there exists a non-empty nowhere dense perfect set \( P_1 \) such that \( P_1 \subset I_1 \cap A_1 \) and each set \( I_n \cap A_n - P_1 = I_n \cap (A_n - P_1) \) is uncountable. In general, by induction let \( P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_k \) be disjoint non-empty nowhere dense perfect sets such that \( P_i \subset I_i \cap A_i \), \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, k \), and for each \( n \), \( I_n \cap (A_n - \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} P_i) \) is uncountable. By applying the Lemma 1 to the sets \( \{(I_n, A_n - \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} P_i)\}_{n=k+1}^{\infty} \) obtain a non-empty nowhere dense perfect set \( P_{k+1} \). It is easy to verify that \( P_{k+1} \subset I_{k+1} \cap A_{k+1} \), that for each \( n \), \( I_n \cap (A_n - \bigcup_{i=1}^{k+1} P_i) \) is uncountable and that \( P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_{k+1} \) are disjoint.

Now we are able to prove the following

**Theorem 1.** For each ordinal \( \alpha > 1 \),

\[
(\mathcal{D} \Phi_\alpha)^+ = (\mathcal{D}^\uparrow \cap (\Phi_\alpha)^+) \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathcal{D} \Phi_\alpha)^- = (\mathcal{D}^\downarrow \cap (\Phi_\alpha)^-).
\]

Proof. To prove the theorem it suffices to show that \( (\mathcal{D}^\uparrow) \cap (\Phi_\alpha)^+ \subset (\mathcal{D} \Phi_\alpha)^+ \). (The proof for \( (\mathcal{D}^\downarrow) \cap (\Phi_\alpha)^+ \subset (\mathcal{D} \Phi_\alpha)^+ \) is similar.) We can without loss of generality assume that all functions in the sequel are defined on an open interval \( I \). Let \( f \in (\mathcal{D}^\uparrow) \cap (\Phi_\alpha)^+ \). Let \( \{(I_n, J_n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \) be an enumeration of all pairs \((I_n, J_n)\) of intervals \( I_n, J_n \) with rational end-points, where \( I_n \) are open intervals which are contained in \( I \), and \( J_n \) are intervals of the form \((r, r') = \{x; r < x \leq r'\}\), and such that \( I_n \cap f^{-1}(J_n) \) is uncountable. Apply the Lemma 2 to obtain a sequence \( \{P_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \) of disjoint non-empty nowhere dense perfect sets such that for each \( n \), \( P_n \subset I_n \cap f^{-1}(J_n) \).

If \( r_n \) is the left-side end-point of the interval \( J_n \), let \( g_n \) be a continuous function defined on \( P_n \) which maps \( P_n \) onto the closed interval \( \langle \min (-n, r_n - n), r_n \rangle \).

Since \( f \) is \( \Phi_\alpha^+ \), there exists an increasing sequence \( \{f'_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \) of Baire \( \alpha \) functions such that \( f = \lim_{n \to \infty} f'_n \). Define functions \( \{f''_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \) as follows:

\[
f''_n(x) = \begin{cases} g_m(x) & \text{if } x \in P_m \text{ and } m \geq n, \\ f'_m(x) & \text{if } x \notin \bigcup_{m=n}^{\infty} P_m. \end{cases}
\]

Finally, for each \( n \), let

\[
f_n(x) = \max(f'_1(x), f'_2(x), \ldots, f''_n(x)).
\]

It is easy to see that \( \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \) is an increasing sequence of functions such that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n = f \).

As is well-known, the set \( \Phi_\alpha \) is the set of all Borel \( \alpha \) functions if \( \alpha \) is finite, and \( \Phi_\alpha \) is the set of all Borel \( \alpha + 1 \) functions if \( \alpha \) is infinite (see [4], page 299). Hence to
show that \( f_n \in \Phi_\alpha \) it suffices to show that for each real \( \lambda \), \( [f_n' < \lambda] \) and \( [f_n'' > \lambda] \) are of the additive Borel class \( \beta \) where \( \beta = \alpha \) if \( \alpha \) is finite and \( \beta = \alpha + 1 \) otherwise. The fact that \( [f_n' < \lambda] \) is of the additive Borel class \( \beta \) follows from the equality

\[
[f_n' < \lambda] = ([f_n' < \lambda] \cap (1 - \bigcup_{i=n}^{\infty} P_i)) \cup (\bigcup_{i=n}^{\infty} [g_i < \lambda]),
\]

and from the fact that the first set on the right-hand side of this equality is of the additive Borel class \( \beta \) while the second set is of the type \( F_\sigma \). The argument is similar for \( [f_n'' > \lambda] \).

Finally, each \( f_n \) is in \( \mathcal{D} \). To see it assume that \( x < y \) and (say) \( f_n(x) < \xi < f_n(y) \), where \( \xi \) is a real number (in the case \( f_n(x) > f_n(y) \) the proof is similar). Since \( \xi < f_n(y) \leq f(y) \) we have \( y \in [f > \xi] \). Since \( f \) is in \( \mathcal{D} \) the set \( [f > \xi] \) is bilaterally \( c \)-dense in itself (see [2], Corollary 2 of Th. 3). Hence

\[
(1) \quad \text{card} ([f > \xi] \cap (x, y)) = c
\]

(here \( c \) denotes the cardinality of the continuum). Let \( l \) be a natural number such that \(-l < \xi\). From (1) it follows that there is a member \((P_q, J_q)\) in the sequence \((I_k, J_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}\) such that \( I_q \subset (x, y) \) and \( J_q \subset (\xi, +\infty) \). Now from the definition of \( g_q \) we have \( g_q(z) = \xi \) for some \( z \in P_q \subset I_q \) and hence for some \( z \in (x, y) \). Since \( q > n \) it follows from the definition of the function \( f_n \) that \( f_n(z) = g_q(z) = \xi \). Thus we have shown that \( f \) is the limit of an increasing sequence of functions in \( \mathcal{D}\Phi_\alpha \), q.e.d.

The next Theorem 2 is an extension of results found in [3], [5], [1], [6], and [7].

**Theorem 2.** For each ordinal \( \alpha > 0 \) there is a lattice \( \Omega_\alpha \) of functions defined on an interval \( I \) such that \( \Omega_\alpha \subset \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \mathcal{D}\Phi_\beta \), and \( \Phi_\alpha \) is the pointwise closure of \( \Omega_\alpha \).

**Proof.** The case \( \alpha = 1 \) is trivial. D. Preiss [7] has shown that each function in \( \Phi_2 \) is the pointwise limit of a sequence of approximately continuous functions. But the set of approximately continuous functions is a lattice and every approximately continuous function is a Darboux function. Hence from Preiss’ result [7] follows the case \( \alpha = 2 \).

It remains to prove the theorem for \( \alpha > 2 \). Let \( \{I_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \) be an enumeration of all open subintervals of \( I \) with rational end-points. In Lemma 2 put \( J_n = (-\infty, +\infty) \) for each \( n \), to obtain a sequence \( \{P_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \) of disjoint non-empty nowhere dense perfect sets such that \( P_n \subset I_n \). Let \( g_m \) be a continuous function defined on \( P_n \) which maps this set onto the closed interval \( (-n, n) \). For each \( n \), let \( V_n \) be an operation on the set \( \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \Phi_\beta \) of functions in Baire classes preceding \( \alpha \) such that for each \( f \in \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \Phi_\beta \), \( V_n(f) \) is a function defined as follows:

\[
V_n(f)(x) = \begin{cases} 
g_m(x) & \text{if } x \text{ is in } P_m \text{ with } m \geq n, \\
\ f(x) & \text{otherwise.} 
\end{cases}
\]
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Each $V_n(f)$ is in $\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \Phi_\beta$. To see it assume that $f$ is in some $\mathbb{B}_\beta$ with $\beta < \alpha$ if $\alpha$ is a finite ordinal and $\beta \leq \alpha$ otherwise. We assert that $V_n(f)$ is in $\mathbb{B}_{\max(\beta, 2)} \subset \bigcup_{\gamma \leq \alpha} \Phi_\gamma$. Indeed, let $\lambda$ be a real number. Consider the set

$$[V_n(f) > \lambda] = \bigcup_{i=n}^{\infty} [g_i > \lambda] \cup ([f > \lambda] \setminus \bigcup_{i=n}^{\infty} P_i).$$

The set $\bigcup_{i=n}^{\infty} [g_i > \lambda]$ is clearly of the type $F_\sigma$. The set $([f > \lambda] \setminus \bigcup_{i=n}^{\infty} P_i)$ is a difference of two sets, the first of the additive Borel class $\beta$, and the second of the type $F_\sigma$. It is easily checked that the difference is in the additive max $(\beta, 2)$. Hence $[V_n(f) > \lambda]$ as the union of two sets, the first of the type $F_\sigma$ and the second of the additive Borel class max $(\beta, 2)$ is itself of the additive Borel class max $(\beta, 2)$. For $[V_n(f) < \lambda]$ the argument is similar and hence we conclude that $V_n(f) \in \mathbb{B}_{\max(\beta, 2)} \subset \bigcup_{\gamma \leq \alpha} \Phi_\gamma$.

To prove that each $V_n(f)$ is also in $\mathcal{D}$ it suffices to show that $V_n(f)$ takes on each real value on each non-empty open interval $J$. Let $p$ be a positive integer. $J$ contains some rational open interval $I_r$ with $r > n + p$ hence $J$ contains the set $P_r$; from the definition of $V_n$ it follows that $V_n(f)(x) = g_r(x)$ for $x \in P_r \subset J$, hence $V_n(f)$ takes on each value $y \in \langle -r, r \rangle \supset \langle -p, p \rangle$ on the interval $J$.

Since the set $\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \Phi_\beta$ is a lattice of functions it follows that for each $n$, the set $V_n(\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \Phi_\beta)$ is a lattice of Darboux functions in $\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \Phi_\beta$; Clearly $V_n(\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \Phi_\beta) \subset V_{n+1}(\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \Phi_\beta)$, for each $n$. Hence $\Omega_\alpha = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} V_n(\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \Phi_\beta)$ is a lattice of Darboux function sand $\Omega_\alpha \subset \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \Phi_\beta$.

Finally, let $h \in \Phi_\alpha$. There exists a sequence $\{h_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of functions in $\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \Phi_\beta$ such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} h_n = h$. It is easy to see that $\lim_{n \to \infty} V_n(h_n) = h$, q.e.d.

Next theorem gives a somewhat sharper result than the above cited Theorems A and B.

**Theorem 3.** For each non-limit ordinal $\alpha > 0$

$$\Phi_\alpha = (\mathcal{D} \Phi_{\alpha-1}) \updownarrow \cap (\mathcal{D} \Phi_{\alpha-1}) \uparrow.$$  

**Proof.** From the above cited Theorem B it follows that $\Phi_\alpha = (\mathcal{D} \Phi_{\alpha-1}) \updownarrow \cap (\mathcal{D} \Phi_{\alpha-1}) \uparrow$. Thus suppose $f$ to be in $\Phi_\alpha$. By Th.

2 there is a lattice $\Omega_\alpha$ of Darboux Baire $\alpha - 1$ functions such that $\Phi_\alpha$ is the pointwise closure of $\Omega_\alpha$. Let $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of functions in $\Omega_\alpha$ converging to $f$. Put

$$g_{n,k} = \max(f_n, f_{n+1}, \ldots, f_{n+k}), \quad h_{n,k} = \min(f_n, f_{n+1}, \ldots, f_{n+k}),$$

and

$$g_n = \sup (f_n, f_{n+1}, \ldots), \quad h_n = \inf (f_n, f_{n+1}, \ldots).$$
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It is easy to see that the functions $g_{n,k}$ and $h_{n,k}$ are in $\mathcal{D} \Phi_{x-1}$, that each $g_n$ is in $(\mathcal{D} \Phi_{x-1})\uparrow$ and each $h_n$ is in $(\mathcal{D} \Phi_{x-1})\downarrow$, and that functions $g_n$ decrease pointwise to $f$ and functions $h_n$ increase pointwise to $f$, q.e.d.

Remark. If $\alpha > 2$, then the Theorems 2 and 3 can be stated for functions with a more general domain, e.g. for functions defined on a complete separable metric space which is dense in itself. In the proof of Theorem 2 it suffices to replace the rational open intervals $\{I_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ by an open basis $\{G_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, and similarly as in the proof of Lemmas 1 and 2 apply the Alexandroff-Hausdorff theorem (see [4], p. 355) which states that each uncountable Borel set contains a set $P$ which is topologically equivalent to the Cantor set $C$. Thus the following theorems can be proved:

**Theorem 4.** Let $X$ be a complete separable metric space which is dense in itself and let $\alpha > 2$ be an ordinal; there is a lattice $\Omega_\alpha$ of real-valued functions defined on $X$ such that $\Omega_\alpha \subset \bigcup_{\beta \leq \alpha} \Phi_\beta$, each $f \in \Omega_\alpha$ takes on each real value on each non-empty open subset of $X$, and $\Phi_\beta$ is the pointwise closure of $\Omega_\alpha$.

**Theorem 5.** Let $X$ be a complete separable metric space which is dense in itself and let $\alpha > 2$ be a non-limit ordinal; if $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}} \Phi_\beta$ denote the set of all real-valued functions defined on $X$, in Baire class $\beta$, which take on each real value on each non-empty open subset of $X$ then

$$\Phi_\beta = \left( \bigcup_{\beta \leq \alpha} \widetilde{\mathcal{D}} \Phi_\beta \right)\uparrow \bigcup_{\beta \leq \alpha} \left( \bigcup_{\beta \leq \alpha} \widetilde{\mathcal{D}} \Phi_\beta \right)\downarrow\uparrow.$$
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