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# BOUNDED FUNCTIONS WITH POSITIVE REAL PART 

R. J. Libera ${ }^{1}$ ) and A. E. Livingston ${ }^{2}$ ), Newark<br>(Received May 16, 1970)

1. Introductory Remarks. Let $\mathscr{P}$ denote the class of functions $P(z)$ which are regular in the open unit disk centered at the origin, symbolized by $\Delta$, and satisfying the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(0)=1 \text { and } \operatorname{Re}\{P(z)\}>0 \text { for } z \text { in } \Delta . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The class $\mathscr{P}$ has interesting properties and many useful applications, particularly in the study of special classes of univalent functions; it has, as a consequence, experienced a long and detailed history.

Recently, several authors have examined some properties of functions $P(z)$ in $\mathscr{P}$ satisfying the additional requirement that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|P(z)-M|<M, \quad z \in \Delta \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a fixed $M, M>\frac{1}{2}$; the resulting subclass of $\mathscr{P}$ has been written $\mathscr{P}_{M}$.
Kaczmarski [6] obtained sharp coefficient estimates for meromorphic and univalent functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(z)=\frac{1}{z}+\alpha_{1} z+\alpha_{2} z^{2}+\ldots, \quad z \in \Delta \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which for a fixed $\alpha, 0 \leqq \alpha<1$, satisfy the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{(\alpha-1)}\left[\frac{z F^{\prime}(z)}{F(z)}+\alpha\right] \in \mathscr{P}_{M} . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

All $F(z)$ meeting these conditions form a subclass of the starlike functions of order $\alpha$ introduced by Pommerenke [10]. Kaczmarski also gave the coefficient bounds for $F(z)$ which are meromorphically spirallike in $\Delta$, [13], and meet a condition similar to (1.4).

[^0]Goel [3] gave the coefficient estimates and some distortion theorems for an arbitrary $P(z)$ in $\mathscr{P}_{M}, M \geqq 1$, and applied his results to subclasses of close-to-convex functions introduced by Libera [7].

SINGH [12] obtained coefficient and distortion bounds for regular starlike functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+a_{2} z^{2}+\ldots, \quad z \in \Delta \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that $z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z) \in \mathscr{P}_{1}$. JANOWSKI [4] established radii of starlikeness for functions of the form (1.5) which are defined by operations on regular starlike functions and members of $\mathscr{P}_{M}, M \geqq 1$; Janowski's functions may not be univalent throughout the disk $\Delta$. More recently he has announced additional results [6].

If $P(z)$ is in $\mathscr{P}_{M}$, then $P[\Delta]$, the image of $\Delta$ under $P(z)$, is contained entirely in the disk of radius $M$ centered at $M$; and the converse holds too. In this paper we study functions $P(z)$ in $\mathscr{P}$ for which $P[\Delta]$ is contained in an arbitrary (but fixed) disk contained in the right half-plane and which contains the point 1 ; these results are then used to generalize and extend some of the work mentioned above.
2. The Class $\mathscr{P}[\alpha, t, \varrho]$. A disk of radius $\varrho, \varrho>0$, lying in the right half-plane is tangent to a line $w=\alpha+i v, \alpha \geqq 0, v$ real, at a point $\alpha+i t$ and its center is at $(\varrho+\alpha)+i t$. If it is required that 1 be in this disk, then $|1-(\varrho+\alpha+i t)|<\varrho$, or

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=D(\alpha, t, \varrho)=2 \varrho(1-\alpha)+\alpha(2-\alpha)-\left(1+t^{2}\right)>0 . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Except for a rotation of $\Delta$ the linear transformation mapping $\Delta$ onto the above disk with 0 corresponding to 1 is

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(z)=\frac{A z+\varrho}{B z+\varrho}, \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{gather*}
A=A(\alpha, t, \varrho)=\varrho(1-2 \alpha)+\alpha(1-\alpha)-t^{2}+i t \text { and }  \tag{2.3}\\
B=B(\alpha, t, \varrho)=1-\varrho-\alpha+i t
\end{gather*}
$$

It is useful to observe that the discriminant of $L(z)$ is $\varrho(A-B)=\varrho D$.
Definition 1. $P(z)$ is in $\mathscr{P}[\alpha, t, \varrho], 0 \leqq \alpha<1, t$ real, $\varrho>\frac{1}{2}$ and $D(\alpha, t, \varrho)>0$ if and only if there is a function $\omega(z)$ regular in $\Delta$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(z)=\frac{\varrho+A \omega(z)}{\varrho+B \omega(z)} \text { and }|\omega(z)| \leqq|z| \text { for } z \text { in } \Delta, \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $A$ and $B$ are defined by (2.3).
As a consequence of the principle of subordination [9, p. 226] we conclude that every $P(z)$ in $\mathscr{P}[\alpha, t, \varrho]$ is subordinate to $L(z)$, therefore $P[\Delta]$ is contained in the open
disk of radius $\varrho$ and centered at $(\varrho+\alpha+i t)$. The class $\mathscr{P}_{M}$, mentioned above, is the same as $\mathscr{P}[0,0, M]$. For every admissible $\varrho$ and $t, \mathscr{P}[\alpha, t, \varrho]$ is a subclass of the functions of "positive real part of order $\alpha$ " which are defined implicitly in [10] and [11] and explicitly in [8].

The subsequent parts of this section deal with the coefficient and distortion bounds on functions in $\mathscr{P}[\alpha, t, \varrho]$; the coefficient bounds which follow (and those given in later theorems) are derived by using the Method of Clunie [2].

Theorem 1. If $P(z)=1+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p_{k} z^{k}$ is in $\mathscr{P}[\alpha, t, \varrho]$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|p_{n}\right| \leqq 2(1-\alpha)+\frac{\alpha(2-\alpha)-\left(t^{2}+1\right)}{\varrho}, \quad n=1,2, \ldots ; \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

these results are sharp for all admissible $\alpha, t$ and $\varrho$.
Proof. The representation for $P(z)$ in (2.4) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
[A-B P(z)] \omega(z)=\varrho[P(z)-1], \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[A-B \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{k} z^{k}\right] \omega(z)=\varrho \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p_{k} z^{k}, \quad p_{0}=1 . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

This can be rewritten

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[(A-B)-B \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} p_{k} z^{k}\right] \omega(z)=\varrho \sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{k} z^{k}+\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} q_{k} z^{k} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

the last term also being absolutely and uniformly convergent in compacta on $\Delta$. Writing $z=r e^{i \theta}$, performing the indicated integration and making use of the bound $|\omega(z)| \leqq|z|<1$ for $z$ in $\Delta$ gives

$$
\begin{gather*}
|A-B|^{2}+|B|^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\left|p_{k}\right|^{2} r^{2 k}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|(A-B)+B \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} p_{k} r^{k} e^{i k \theta}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \theta \geqq  \tag{2.9}\\
\geqq \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\left\{(A-B)+B \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} p_{k} r^{k} e^{i k \theta}\right\} \omega\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \theta \geqq \\
\geqq \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\varrho \sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{k} r^{k} e^{i k \theta}+\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} q_{k} r^{k} e^{i k \theta}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \theta \geqq \varrho^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|p_{k}\right|^{2} r^{2 k}+\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty}\left|q_{k}\right|^{2} r^{2 k} .
\end{gather*}
$$

The last term is non-negative and $r<1$, therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
|A-B|^{2}+|B|^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\left|p_{k}\right|^{2} \geqq \varrho^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|p_{k}\right|^{2}, \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varrho^{2}\left|p_{n}\right|^{2} \leqq|A-B|^{2}+\left(|B|^{2}-\varrho^{2}\right) \sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\left|p_{k}\right|^{2} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

A brief calculation shows that $|B|^{2}-\varrho^{2}=-D(\alpha, t, \varrho)$, which is negative, (2.1), hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|p_{n}\right| \leqq \frac{|A-B|}{\varrho}=\frac{D(\alpha, t, \varrho)}{\varrho}, \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and this is equivalent to (2.5). If $\omega(z)=z^{n}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(z)=1+\frac{D(\alpha, t, \varrho)}{\varrho} z^{n}+\ldots, \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

which makes (2.5) sharp.
If $P(z) \in \mathscr{P}[\alpha, t, \varrho]$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(z)=\frac{P\left[\frac{z+\zeta}{1+\bar{\zeta} z}\right]-i \operatorname{Im} P(\zeta)}{\operatorname{Re} P(\zeta)}=1+\frac{P^{\prime}(\zeta)\left(1-|\zeta|^{2}\right)}{\operatorname{Re} P(\zeta)} z+\ldots \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

is in $\mathscr{P}$ for each $\zeta$ in $\Delta$. Furthermore $Q[\Delta]$ is contained in the disk of radius $\varrho / \operatorname{Re} P(\zeta)$ centered at $[(\alpha+\varrho)+i(t-\operatorname{Im} P(\zeta))] / \operatorname{Re} P(\zeta)$, consequently $Q(z)$ is in

$$
\mathscr{P}\left[\frac{\alpha}{\operatorname{Re} P(\zeta)}, \frac{t-\operatorname{Im} P(\zeta)}{\operatorname{Re} P(\zeta)}, \frac{\varrho}{\operatorname{Re} P(\zeta)}\right]
$$

and in this case (2.12) reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left|p^{\prime}(\zeta)\right|}{\operatorname{Re} P(\zeta)}\left(1-|\zeta|^{2}\right) \leqq \frac{\operatorname{Re} P(\zeta)}{\varrho} D\left(\frac{\alpha}{\operatorname{Re} P(\zeta)}, \frac{t-\operatorname{Im} P(\zeta)}{\operatorname{Re} P(\zeta)}, \frac{\varrho}{\operatorname{Re} P(\zeta)}\right) \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Summarizing and rewriting these results we have the following
Corollary 1. If $P(z) \in \mathscr{P}[\alpha, t, \varrho]$ and $z \in \Delta$, then
(2.16) $\left|P^{\prime}(z)\right|\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) \leqq 2(\operatorname{Re} P(z)-\alpha)-\frac{(\operatorname{Re} P(z)-\alpha)^{2}+(t-\operatorname{Im} P(z))^{2}}{\varrho}$.

Theorem 2. If $P(z) \in \mathscr{P}[\alpha, t, \varrho]$, then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\left|\left(1-r^{2}\right) \varrho^{2}+r^{2}(\varrho+\alpha) D+i r^{2} t D\right|-r \varrho D}{\left(1-r^{2}\right) \varrho^{2}+r^{2} D} \leqq  \tag{2.17}\\
\leqq|P(z)| \leqq \frac{\left|\left(1-r^{2}\right) \varrho^{2}+r^{2}(\varrho+\alpha) D+i r^{2} t D\right|+r \varrho D}{\left(1-r^{2}\right) \varrho^{2}+r^{2} D}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gather*}
1-\frac{r[r(1-\alpha)+\varrho(1-r)] D}{\left(1-r^{2}\right) \varrho^{2}+r^{2} D} \leqq \operatorname{Re}\{P(z)\} \leqq  \tag{2.18}\\
\leqq 1-\frac{r[r(1-\alpha)-\varrho(1+r)] D}{\left(1-r^{2}\right) \varrho^{2}+r^{2} D},
\end{gather*}
$$

for $|z| \leqq r$. These bounds are sharp for each $r, 0<r<1$, and all admissible $\alpha, t$ and $\varrho$.

Proof. (In the theorem and below $D=D(\alpha, t, \varrho)$.) A calculation shows that $L(z)$, (2.2), maps the disk $\{z:|z| \leqq r\}$ onto the disk with its center at

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{0}=\frac{A}{B}-\frac{\varrho^{2}(A-B)}{B\left[\varrho^{2}-|B|^{2} r^{2}\right]} \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and radius

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=\frac{r \varrho|A-B|}{\left|\varrho^{2}-|B|^{2} r^{2}\right|} . \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.1) and (2.3) we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\varrho^{2}-|B|^{2} r^{2}\right|=\left(1-r^{2}\right) \varrho^{2}+r^{2} D>0, \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{0}=\frac{\varrho^{2}-A \bar{B} r^{2}}{\varrho^{2}-|B|^{2} r^{2}}=1-\frac{r^{2} \bar{B} D}{\left(1-r^{2}\right) \varrho^{2}+r^{2} D} \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=\frac{r \varrho D}{\left(1-r^{2}\right) \varrho^{2}+r^{2} D} . \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $P(z)$ is in $\mathscr{P}[\alpha, t, \varrho]$, it follows by subordination that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|w_{0}\right|-R \leqq|P(z)| \leqq\left|w_{0}\right|+R \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{w_{0}\right\}-R \leqq \operatorname{Re}\{P(z)\} \leqq \operatorname{Re}\left\{w_{0}\right\}+R, \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $|z| \leqq r$; and these are equivalent to (2.17) and (2.18) respectively.
For any choice of values of $\alpha, t$ and $\varrho$ members of $\mathscr{P}[\alpha, t, \varrho]$ are necessarily bounded in $\Delta$, consequently $\bigcup \mathscr{P}[\alpha, t, \varrho]$, the union being taken over all $\alpha, t$ and $\varrho$, is a proper subset of $\mathscr{P}$. However, for any fixed $t$ and $\alpha$, the class $\bigcup_{Q>1 / 2} \mathscr{P}[\alpha, t, \varrho]$ is dense in the family $\mathscr{P}[\alpha]$ of functions $P(z)$ in $\mathscr{P}$ for which $\operatorname{Re} P(z)>\alpha$ for $z$ in $\Delta$. Therefore, the
preceding results can be extended to $\mathscr{P}[\alpha], 0<\alpha<1$, and $\mathscr{P}[0]=\mathscr{P}$, by taking appropriate limits.

If, in particular, we choose $\alpha=t=0$ and let $\varrho \rightarrow \infty$, then Theorem 1 gives the classical coefficient bound of Carathéodory [1] and Theorem 2 gives known distortion bounds [9, p. 173] for every $P(z)$ in $\mathscr{P}$.

In a similar way, setting $t=0$ and letting $\varrho \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.5), gives sharp coefficient bounds for $\mathscr{P}[\alpha]$, which appear in [8]. The same procedure in Corollary 1 and Theorem 2 gives the following distortion bounds.

Corollary 2. If $P(z)$ is in $\mathscr{P}[\alpha]$, for $\alpha$ fixed, $0<\alpha<1$ and $|z| \leqq r<1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left|z P^{\prime}(z)\right|}{\operatorname{Re}\{P(z)-\alpha\}} \leqq \frac{2 r}{1-r^{2}}, \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1-2(1-\alpha) r+(1-2 \alpha) r^{2}}{1-r^{2}} \leqq|P(z)| \leqq \frac{1+2(1-\alpha) r+(1-2 \alpha) r^{2}}{1-r^{2}} \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1-2(1-\alpha) r+(1-2 \alpha) r^{2}}{1-r^{2}} \leqq \operatorname{Re}\{P(z)\} \leqq \frac{1+2(1-\alpha) r+(1-2 \alpha) r^{2}}{1-r^{2}} . \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choosing $\alpha=t=0$ and $\varrho=M$ in Definition 1 gives the class $\mathscr{P}_{M}$ defined by (1.2) and studied earlier by Goel [3], Kaczmarski [6] and Janowski [4]; however they restricted their attention to the case $M \geqq 1$. In general, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3. If $P(z)$ is in $\mathscr{P}[0,0, M]=\mathscr{P}_{M}$ for fixed $M, M \geqq \frac{1}{2}$, and $P(z)=$ $=1+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p_{k} z^{k}$, then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|p_{k}\right| \leqq 2-\frac{1}{M}, \quad k=1, ?, \ldots ;  \tag{2.29}\\
\left|P^{\prime}(z)\right|\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) \leqq 2 \operatorname{Re} P(z)-\frac{|P(z)|^{2}}{M}, \quad z \in \Delta ; \\
\frac{M(1-|z|)}{M+(M-1)|z|} \leqq|F(z)| \leqq \frac{M(1+|z|)}{M-(M-1)|z|}, \quad z \in \Delta ;
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{M(1-|z|)}{M+(M-1)|z|} \leqq \operatorname{Re} P(z) \leqq \frac{M(1+|z|)}{M-(M-1)|z|}, \quad z \in \Delta . \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

3. Other Results. In this section we will indicate some of the many possible applications of the preceding results.

Definition 2. $F(z)=z^{-1}+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k}$, meromorphic in $\Delta$, is in $\Sigma^{*}[\alpha, t, \varrho]$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{-z F^{\prime}(z)}{F(z)} \text { is in } \mathscr{P}[\alpha, t, \varrho] \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The restrictions on $\alpha, t$ and $\varrho$ are those in Definition 1. For each $\alpha, \Sigma^{*}[\alpha, t, \varrho]$ is a subset of the class of meromorphic starlike functions of order $\alpha,[10]$, hence all functions covered by Definition 2 are univalent in $\Delta$.

Theorem 3. If $F(z)=z^{-1}+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k}$ is in $\Sigma^{*}[\alpha, t, \varrho]$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{n}\right| \leqq \frac{D(\alpha, t, \varrho)}{\varrho(n+1)}=\frac{2(1-\alpha)}{(n+1)}+\frac{\alpha(2-\alpha)-\left(1+t^{2}\right)}{\varrho(n+1)}, \quad n=0,1,2, \ldots ; \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

each of these inequalities is rendered sharp by the function $F(z)$ in $\Sigma^{*}[\alpha, t, \varrho]$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{z F^{\prime}(z)}{F(z)}=\frac{\varrho+A z^{n+1}}{\varrho+B z^{n+1}} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\alpha, t$ and $\varrho$ admitted above, with $D, A$ and $B$ defined by (2.1) and (2.3).
Proof. Using Definitions 1 and 2 we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{-z F^{\prime}(z)}{F(z)}=\frac{\varrho+A \omega(z)}{\varrho+B \omega(z)}, \text { for } z \text { in } \Delta \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\omega(z)$ satisfying Schwarz's Lemma [9, p. 165]. Using the power series representation for $F(z)$ we may rewrite (3.4) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \varrho(k+1) a_{k} z^{k}=\left[\frac{A-B}{z}+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(A+B k) a_{k} z^{k}\right] \omega(z) . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The last equation implies that $\varrho a_{0}=(A-B) \omega^{\prime}(0)$, and since $\left|\omega^{\prime}(0)\right| \leqq 1$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{0}\right| \leqq \frac{A-B}{\varrho}=\frac{D}{\varrho} . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand for $n>0$ and because $\omega(0)=0$, (3.5) can be rewritten

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\sum_{k=0}^{n} \varrho(k+1) a_{k} z^{k}+\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} d_{k} z^{k}=\left\{\frac{D}{z}+\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(A+B k) a_{k} z^{k}\right\} \omega(z), \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} d_{k} z^{k}$ being absolutely and uniformly convergent on compact subsets of $\Delta$. Letting $z=r e^{i \theta}$ and using the assumption that $\omega(z)$ is bounded by 1 , we conclude that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|-\sum_{k=0}^{n} \varrho(k+1) a_{k} r^{k} e^{i k \theta}+\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} d_{k} r^{k} e^{i k \theta}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \theta \leqq  \tag{3.8}\\
& \quad \leqq \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|D r^{-1} e^{-i \theta}+\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(A+B k) a_{k} r^{k} e^{i k \theta}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \theta
\end{align*}
$$

which, by an application of Parseval's identity [ 9, p. 100] is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{n} \varrho^{2}(k+1)^{2}\left|a_{k}\right|^{2} r^{2 k}+\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty}\left|d_{k}\right|^{2} r^{2 k} \leqq \frac{D^{2}}{r^{2}}+\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}|A+B k|^{2}\left|a_{k}\right|^{2} r^{2 k} . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the infinite series is non-negative and $0<r<1$, we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{n} \varrho^{2}(k+1)^{2}\left|a_{k}\right|^{2} \leqq D^{2}+\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}|A+B k|^{2}\left|a_{k}\right|^{2} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varrho^{2}(n+1)^{2}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2} \leqq D^{2}+\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\left[|A+B k|^{2}-\varrho^{2}(k+1)^{2}\right]\left|a_{k}\right|^{2} . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The remainder of the proof consists of showing that the coefficient of $\left|a_{k}\right|^{2}$ is not positive for $k=0,1,2, \ldots$

For each $k$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
|A+B k|^{2}-\varrho^{2}(k+1)^{2}=\left\{(1-\varrho-\alpha)^{2}+t^{2}-\varrho^{2}\right\} k^{2}+  \tag{3.12}\\
+\left\{2(1-\varrho-\alpha)\left[\varrho(1-2 \alpha)+\alpha(1-\alpha)-t^{2}\right]+2 t^{2}-2 \varrho^{2}\right\} k+ \\
+\left\{\left[\varrho(1-2 \alpha)+\alpha(1-\alpha)-t^{2}\right]^{2}+t^{2}-\varrho^{2}\right\} .
\end{gather*}
$$

The coefficient of $k^{2}$ is $-D(\alpha, t, \varrho),(2.1)$, hence it is negative. Rewriting the coefficient of $k$ as a quadratic in $t$ gives us the form

$$
\text { (3.13) } 2(\varrho+\alpha)\left[(1-\alpha)(1-2 \varrho-\alpha)+t^{2}\right]=2(\varrho+\alpha)[-D(\alpha, t, \varrho)]<0 .
$$

The constant term in (3.12) can be rewritten and bounded in the following way

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\varrho(1-2 \alpha)+\alpha(1-\alpha)-t^{2}\right]^{2}+t^{2}-\varrho^{2}= \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
=\alpha(1-\alpha)(2 \varrho+\alpha)(1-2 \varrho-\alpha)+\left[2 \varrho \alpha+\alpha^{2}-(1-\alpha)(2 \varrho+\alpha-1)\right] t^{2}+t^{4}= \\
=\alpha(2 \varrho+\alpha)\left(-D-t^{2}\right)+\left(2 \varrho \alpha+\alpha^{2}-D-t^{2}\right) t^{2}+t^{4}= \\
=-D\left(2 \varrho \alpha+\alpha^{2}+t^{2}\right)<0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Consequently, (3.11) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varrho^{2}(n+1)^{2}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2} \leqq D(\alpha, t, \varrho)^{2}, \quad n=1,2, \ldots ; \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is equivalent to (3.2).
If for any fixed $n, n=0,1,2, \ldots$, we let $F(z)$ be defined by (3.3) and have the series representation of the hypotheses of Theorem 3, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{-z F^{\prime}(z)}{F(z)}=1+\frac{A-B}{\varrho} z^{n}+\ldots \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
-z^{-1}-\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k a_{k} z^{k}=\left\{1+\frac{D}{\varrho} z^{n}+\ldots\right\}\left(z^{-1}+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k}\right) . \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

A comparison of coefficients shows that $a_{k}=0$, for $k=0,1, \ldots, n-1$ and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{n}\right|=\frac{D(\alpha, t, \varrho)}{\varrho(n+1)} . \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.
An examination of the preceding proof yields the following analog of the Area Theorem [9, p. 210].

Corollary 4. If $F(z)=z^{-1}+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k}$ is in $\Sigma^{*}[\alpha, t, \varrho]$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left[k^{2}+2(\varrho+\alpha) k+\left(2 \varrho \alpha+\alpha^{2}+t^{2}\right)\right]\left|a_{k}\right|^{2} \leqq D(\alpha, t, \varrho) . \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Applying Parseval's identity to (3.5) and making use of the bound on $\omega(z)$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left[\varrho^{2}(k+1)^{2}-|A+B k|^{2}\right]\left|a_{k}\right|^{2} \leqq|A-B|^{2}, \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, by making use of the calculations in (3.12), (3.13) and (3.14), may be written

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left[D k^{2}+2(\varrho+\alpha) D k+D\left(2 \varrho \alpha+\alpha^{2}+t^{2}\right)\right]\left|a_{k}\right|^{2} \leqq D^{2} . \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

This form is equivalent to (3.19).
By specializing the choices of the parameters $\alpha, t$ and $\varrho$ in Theorem 3, we get some interesting special cases which have appeared elsewhere.

Corollary 5. If $F(z)=z^{-1}+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k}$ is in $\Sigma^{*}[0,0, M]$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{n}\right| \leqq \frac{2 M-1}{M(n+1)}, \quad n=0,1,2, \ldots \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

This result appears in [6], with the additional proviso that $a_{0}=0$; many other theorems appearing in [6] for meromorphic starlike, or spiral, functions can be extended by the above methods.

Let $\Sigma^{*}[\alpha]$ be the class of meromorphic starlike functions of order $\alpha,[10]$. For any fixed $t, \bigcup_{e>1 / 2} \Sigma^{*}[\alpha, t, \varrho]$ forms a dense subclass of $\Sigma^{*}[\alpha]$, consequently, letting $\varrho \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.2) we obtain the following theorem of Pommerenke [10].

Corollary 6. If $F(z)=z^{-1}+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k}$ is in $\Sigma^{*}[\alpha]$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{n}\right| \leqq \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{n+1}, \quad n=0,1,2, \ldots \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 4. If $F(z)$ is in $\Sigma^{*}[\alpha, t, \varrho], A$ and $B$ are as in (2.3) and $|z|=r, 0<r<1$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{r}\left(\frac{\varrho+|B| r}{\varrho-|B| r}\right)^{(B-A) / 2|B|}\left(\frac{\varrho^{2}-|B|^{2} r^{2}}{\varrho^{2}}\right)^{(B-A) \operatorname{Re} B / 2|B|^{2}} \leqq|F(z)| \leqq  \tag{3.24}\\
& \quad \leqq \frac{1}{r}\left(\frac{\varrho+|B| r}{\varrho-|B| r}\right)^{(A-B) / 2|B|}\left(\frac{\varrho^{2}}{\varrho^{2}-|B|^{2} r^{2}}\right)^{(A-B) \operatorname{Re} B / 2|B|^{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

and these inequalities are made sharp when $t=0$, in which case $A$ and $B$ are real, by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(z)=\frac{1}{z}\left(\frac{\varrho+B z}{\varrho}\right)^{(B-A) / A} . \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. From (3.4) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
-z \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} z} \log [z f(z)]=\frac{(A-B) \omega(z)}{\varrho+B \omega(z)}, \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(z)=\frac{1}{z} \exp \left\{-D \int_{0}^{z} \frac{\omega(\zeta)}{\zeta(\varrho+B \omega(\zeta))} \mathrm{d} \zeta\right\}, \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
|F(z)|=\frac{1}{r} \exp \left\{-D \int_{0}^{r} \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\omega\left(s e^{i \theta}\right)}{\varrho+B \omega\left(s e^{i \theta}\right)}\right) \frac{\mathrm{d} s}{s}\right\}, \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

recalling that $A-B=D>0$.

Excepting the constant term $(A-B)$, the right side of (3.26) is subordinate to the linear transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
l(z)=\frac{z}{\varrho+B z} \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

which for any $r, 0<r<1$, maps the disk $\{z:|z| \leqq r\}$ onto the disk with center and radius

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{-r^{2} \bar{B}}{\varrho^{2}-|B|^{2} r^{2}} \text { and } \frac{\varrho r}{\varrho^{2}-|B|^{2} r^{2}}, \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

having observed in (2.21) that the denominators in (3.30) are positive. Therefore, as in the proof of Theorem 2, we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{\varrho r+r^{2} \operatorname{Re} B}{\varrho^{2}-} \leqq \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\omega(z)}{\varrho+\left.B\right|^{2} r^{2}}\right) \leqq \frac{\varrho r-r^{2} \operatorname{Re} B}{\varrho^{2}-|B|^{2} r^{2}} . \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

The left side of this inequar. v yields

$$
\begin{align*}
\exp & \left\{-D j^{r} k\right.  \tag{3.32}\\
& =\exp D\left\{\frac{1}{2|B|} \log \left(\frac{\varrho+|B| r}{\varrho-|B| r}\right)+\frac{\operatorname{Re} B}{2|B|^{2}} \log \left(\frac{\varrho^{2}}{\varrho^{2}-|B|^{2} r^{2}}\right)\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

Combining this with (3.28) gives the upper bound in (3.24); the lower bound is obtained in much the same way.

If $t=0$, then $B$ is real and (3.31) reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{r}{\varrho-B r} \leqq \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\omega(z)}{\varrho+B \omega(z)}\right) \leqq \frac{r}{\varrho+B r} . \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

These bounds are sharp when $\omega(z)=z$ with equality on the left occurring for $z=-r$ and on the right for $z=r, 0 \leqq r<1$; consequently for this choice of $\omega(z)$ and with $\theta=\pi$, (3.32) is sharp, whereas with $\theta=0$ the corresponc. g lower bound is sharp. The function given in (3.25) comes from this choice of $\omega(z)$.

In concluding the proof of Theorem 4 we should like to remerk that equality on either side of (3.31) obtains at a point $z,|z|=r$, if and only if $\omega(z)=c z,|c|=1$. However, a calculation shows that equality will occur on either side of (3.31) at points $r e^{i \theta_{1}}$ and $r e^{i \theta_{2}}, \theta_{1}$ and $\theta_{2}$ being dependent on $r$. As a result, the estimates made in (3.32) are not sharp in general because the integration is performed along a ray from the origin.

The remaining portion of this section deals with applications to regular functions.
Theorem 5. If $f(z)=z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k}$ is regular in $\Delta$ and, for a fixed $\varrho, \frac{1}{2}<\varrho<1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-\varrho\right|<\varrho, \quad z \in \Delta \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{n}\right| \leqq \frac{2 \varrho-1}{\varrho(n-1)}, \quad n=2,3, \ldots \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

These equalities are sharp, equality being attained for each $n, b y$

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z\left[1+\left(\frac{1-\varrho}{\varrho}\right) z^{n-1}\right]^{(2 \varrho-1) /(1-\varrho)(n-1)} \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

This theorem has been given for $\varrho=1$ by Singh [12] and Janowski [5] recently announced partial results for $\varrho>1$.

Proof. Condition (3.34) is equivalent to requiring that $z f^{\prime}(z) \mid f(z)$ be in $\mathscr{P}[0,0, \varrho]$. In this case Definition 1 gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}=\frac{\varrho+\varrho \omega(z)}{\varrho+(1-\varrho) \omega(z)}, \quad z \in \Delta \tag{3.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\omega(z)$ satisfying Schwarz's Lemma. Substitution of the Maclaurin series for $f(z)$ enables us to write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}(k-1) a_{k} z^{k}=\omega(z) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(1-\left(\frac{1-\varrho}{\varrho}\right) k\right) a_{k} z^{k} \tag{3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

or because $\omega(0)=0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=2}^{n} \varrho(k-1) a_{k} z^{k}+\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} c_{k} z^{k}=\omega(z) \sum_{k=1}^{n-1}(\varrho(k+1)-k) a_{k} z^{k} \tag{3.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

the series $\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} c_{k} z^{k}$ being uniformly and absolutely convergent on compact subsets of $\Delta$. Since $|\omega(z)| \leqq 1, z \in \Delta$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\sum_{k=2}^{n} \varrho(k-1) a_{k} r^{k} e^{i k \theta}+\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} c_{k} r^{k} e^{i k \theta}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \theta \leqq  \tag{3.40}\\
& \quad \leqq \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}(\varrho(k+1)-k) a_{k} r^{k} e^{i k \theta}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \theta
\end{align*}
$$

for $0<r<1$. Applying Parseval's identity to both sides of (3.40) gives
(3.41) $\sum_{k=2}^{n} \varrho^{2}(k-1)^{2}\left|a_{k}\right|^{2} r^{2 k}+\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty}\left|c_{k}\right|^{2} r^{2 k} \leqq \sum_{k=1}^{n-1}(\varrho(k+1)-k)^{2}\left|a_{k}\right|^{2} r^{2 k}$,
and this, upon letting $r \rightarrow 1$ and neglecting the (non-negative) infinite series, gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=2}^{n} \varrho^{2}(k-1)^{2}\left|a_{k}\right|^{2} \leqq \sum_{k=1}^{n-1}(\varrho(k+1)-k)^{2}\left|a_{k}\right|^{2} . \tag{3.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

In consequence,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\varrho^{2}(n-1)^{2}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2} \leqq(2 \varrho-1)^{2}+\sum_{k=2}^{n-1}\left\{(\varrho(k+1)-k)^{2}-\varrho^{2}(k-1)^{2}\right\}\left|a_{k}\right|^{2}=  \tag{3.43}\\
=(2 \varrho-1)^{2}+\sum_{k=2}^{n-1} k(2 \varrho-k)(2 \varrho-1)\left|a_{k}\right|^{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

By assumption, $\frac{1}{2}<\varrho<1$, therefore $0<2 \varrho-1<1$ and $2 \varrho-k \leqq 0, k=$ $=2,3, \ldots, n-1$; hence from (3.43) we infer that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varrho^{2}(n-1)^{2}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2} \leqq(2 \varrho-1)^{2}, \tag{3.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

and this is equivalent to (3.35).
Theorem 6. If $f(z)$ is regular in $\Delta, f(0)=f^{\prime}(0)-1=0$ and it satisfies (3.34) for $\varrho \geqq 1$, then $f(z)$ is convex for

$$
\begin{equation*}
|z|<\frac{(4 \varrho-1)-\sqrt{ }\left(1-8 \varrho+12 \varrho^{2}\right)}{2 \varrho} \tag{3.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

moreover there is a function satisfying the given conditions which is not convex in a larger disk.
This result was announced recently by Janowski [5] where he indicates that his proofs depend on variational methods; the proof given below makes use of a classical inequality for bounded functions [ 9, p. 165]. Singh gives the bound (3.45) for the case $\varrho=1$.

Proof. From the hypotheses, in particular (3.34), we can say that there is a function $\phi(z)$ regular and bounded by 1 in $\Delta$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}=\varrho(1+\phi(z)), \quad z \in \Delta, \quad \text { and } \quad \phi(0)=\frac{1-\varrho}{\varrho} . \tag{3.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{gather*}
1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}=\frac{z \phi^{\prime}(z)+\varrho(1+\phi(z))^{2}}{(1+\phi(z))}=  \tag{3.47}\\
=\frac{z \phi^{\prime}(z)(1+\overline{\phi(z)})+\varrho|1+\phi(z)|^{2}(1+\phi(z))}{|1+\phi(z)|^{2}} .
\end{gather*}
$$

It is well-known [9, p. 224] that $f(z)$ maps the circle $|z|=r$ onto the boundary of a convex set whenever the real part of the form in (3.47) is non-negative for $|z|=r$, and conversely.

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Re} & \left\{z \phi^{\prime}(z)(1+\overline{\phi(z)})+\varrho|1+\phi(z)|^{2}(1+\phi(z))\right\} \geqq  \tag{3.48}\\
& \geqq \varrho|1+\phi(z)|^{2}(1+\operatorname{Re} \phi(z))-\left|z \phi^{\prime}(z)\right||1+\phi(z)|= \\
& =|1+\phi(z)|\left\{\varrho|1+\phi(z)|(1+\operatorname{Re} \phi(z))-\left|z \phi^{\prime}(z)\right|\right\} \geqq \\
& \geqq|1+\phi(z)|\left\{\varrho(1-|\phi(z)|)^{2}-\left|z \phi^{\prime}(z)\right|\right\},
\end{align*}
$$

and making use of the inequality [ 9, p. 168],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\phi^{\prime}(z)\right| \leqq \frac{1-|\phi(z)|^{2}}{1-|z|^{2}}, \quad z \in \Delta, \tag{3.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

we write

$$
\begin{gather*}
\varrho(1-|\phi(z)|)^{2}-\left|z \phi^{\prime}(z)\right| \geqq \varrho(1-|\phi(z)|)^{2}-\frac{|z|\left(1-|\phi(z)|^{2}\right)}{1-|z|^{2}}=  \tag{3.50}\\
=(1-|\phi(z)|)\left\{\varrho(1-|\phi(z)|)-\frac{|z|(1+|\phi(z)|)}{1-|z|^{2}}\right\} .
\end{gather*}
$$

Since [9, p. 167]

$$
\begin{gather*}
|\phi(z)| \leqq \frac{|\phi(0)|+|z|}{1+|\phi(0)||z|}=\frac{(\varrho-1)+\varrho|z|}{\varrho+(\varrho-1)|z|},  \tag{3.51}\\
\varrho(1-|\phi(z)|)-\frac{|z|(1+|\phi(z)|)}{1-|z|^{2}}=\left(\varrho-\frac{|z|}{1-|z|^{2}}\right)-  \tag{3.52}\\
-\left(\varrho+\frac{|z|}{1-|z|^{2}}\right)|\phi(z)| \geqq\left(\varrho-\frac{|z|}{1-|z|^{2}}\right)- \\
-\left(\varrho+\frac{|z|}{1-|z|^{2}}\right)\left(\frac{(\varrho-1)+\varrho|z|}{\varrho+(\varrho-1)|z|}\right)=\frac{\left[\varrho|z|^{2}+(1-4 \varrho)|z|+\varrho\right]}{(1-|z|)(\varrho+(\varrho-1)|z|)} .
\end{gather*}
$$

Consequently, $\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+z f^{\prime \prime}(z) \mid f^{\prime}(z)\right\}>0,|z|=r$, whenever

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varrho r^{2}+(1-4 \varrho) r+\varrho>0 ; \tag{3.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

and this guadretic is positive for the values in the disk given by (3.45).
If

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z\left(1+\left(\frac{1-\varrho}{\varrho}\right) z\right)^{(2 \varrho-1) /(1-\varrho)}, \varrho \neq 1 \tag{3.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $f(z)$ satisfies (3.34) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}=\frac{\varrho z^{2}+(4 \varrho-1) z+\varrho}{(1+z)(\varrho+(1-\varrho) z)}, \tag{3.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is equal to zero for

$$
z=-\frac{(4 \varrho-1)-\sqrt{ }\left(1-8 \varrho+12 \varrho^{2}\right)}{2 \varrho}
$$

Therefore $f(z)$ shows the bound indicated in (3.45) is best possible for $\varrho \neq 1$. Singh [12] gives an extremal function for the case $\varrho=1$. These methods do not seem to give sharp results for the case $\frac{1}{2}<\varrho<1$.
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