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# ON $q$-IDEALS IN COMPACT SEMIGROUPS 

Katsumi Numakura, Saitama

(Received May 15, 1976)

A semigroup is a non-empty Hausdorff space together with a continuous associative multiplication, denoted by juxtaposition, $(x, y) \rightarrow x y$. In what follow $S$ will denote a semigroup. If $S$ contains a zero. i.e. an element 0 such that $x 0=0=0 x$ for all $x \in S, S$ is said to be a semigroup with 0 . In this paper, to make the explanation more concise, we treat only semigroups with 0 , so we shall use the term semigroup to denote semigroup with 0 . However, the results obtained in this paper are valid for semigroups without zero elements.

Our main objective of this paper is to generalize a number of results of Hoo and Shum [1] and Shum [9] dealing with radicals and compressed ideals in a compact semigroup. After elementary preparations in § 1, we shall give, in § 2, the definition of $q$-ideal in $S$ (Definition 2.1). This concept is a generalization of the concepts of radical and open semiprime ideal of a compact semigroup. And we shall give a necessary and sufficient condition that an ideal in a compact semigroup be a $q$-ideal (Theorem 2.6). We shall also introduce, in this section, the notion of $Q$-primitive idempotent, where $Q$ is a $q$-ideal of $S$ (Definition 2.8). And we shall extend a result of Koch [2] concerning primitive idempotents in a compact semigroup (Theorem 2.9). In $\S 3$, we shall discuss about $Q$-divisors in $S$. If $Q$ is a $q$-ideal in $S$, then for any element $a$ of $S$, the set $\{x \in S: x S a \subset Q\}$ is called the $Q$-divisor of $a$, and we denote it by $(Q: a)$. This concept is an analogy of the topological $B$-divisor defined by Shum [9]. Some properties of the set $(Q: a)$ will be treated and some results in [1] and [9] concerning topological $B$-divisors in a compact semigroup will be generalized. The last section $\S 4$, is devoted to examples of $q$-ideals in compact semigroups.

For most of the terminology used in this paper we refer to A. B. Paalman-de Miranda [8]. Unless otherwise stated, the word "ideal" shall mean two-sided ideal of $S$.

## I. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this paper we shall adhere to the following notation.
$\bar{A} \quad=$ the topological closure of a subset $A$ of $S$.
$X-Y=$ the set-theoretical complement of $Y$ in $X, X$ and $Y$ being any sets.
$E \quad=$ the set of idempotents of $S$.
$J(A)=A \cup A S \cup S A \cup S A S$, i.e. the smallest ideal containing $A$, $A$ being a non-empty subset of $S$.
$R(A)=A \cup A S$, i.e. the smallest right ideal containing $A, A$ being a non-empty subset of $S$.
$L(A)=A \cup S A$, i.e. the smallest left ideal containing $A, A$ being a non-empty subset of $S$.

It is clear that if $e$ is an idempotent of $S$, then $J(e)=S e S, R(e)=e S$ and $L(e)=S e$ hold. Furthermore it is obvious that if $S$ and $A$ are compact, then $J(A), R(A)$ and $L(A)$ are compact.
$J_{0}(A)=$ the union of all ideals contained in $A$, i.e. the largest ideal contained in $A$ if $0 \in A$.

It has been shown in [3] that if $A$ is open and $S$ is compact, then $J_{0}(A)$ is open.
We define $T^{\omega}$ and $\Gamma(a)$ as follows:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left.T^{( }\right)=\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} T^{n}, \quad \text { where } T \text { is a subsemigroup of } S . \\
\Gamma(a)=\overline{\left\{a^{n}: n=1,2, \ldots\right\}}, \quad a \text { being any element of } S .
\end{gathered}
$$

Generally we shall not distinguish between $x$ and $\{x\}$ if confusion of meaning is unlikely, so that we write $x A$ in place of $\{x\} A, x \cup A$ in place of $\{x\} \cup A$ and $A-x$ in place of $A-\{x\}$.

The following two propositions, Propositions 1.1 and 1.3 , are well-known results in the ring theory (refer to Theorems 4.3 and 4.12 in McCoy [4]).

Proposition 1.1. If $P$ is an ideal of $S$, then all of the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) If $A$ and $B$ are ideals of $S$ such that $A B \subset P$, then $A \subset P$ or $B \subset P$.
(ii) If $a, b \in S$ such that $a S b \subset P$, then $a \in P$ or $b \in P$.
(iii) If $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ are right ideals of $S$ such that $R_{1} R_{2} \subset P$, then $R_{1} \subset P$ or $R_{2} \subset P$.
(iv) If $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ are left ideals of $S$ such that $L_{1} L_{2} \subset P$, then $L_{1} \subset P$ or $L_{2} \subset P$.

Definition 1.2. An ideal $P$ of $S$ is said to be a prime ideal if it satisfies one (hence all) of the conditions of Proposition 1.1.

Proposition 1.3. If $Q_{0}$ is an ideal of $S$, then all of the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) If $A$ is an ideal of $S$ such that $A^{2} \subset Q_{0}$, then $A \subset Q_{0}$.
(ii) If $a \in S$ such that $a S a \subset Q_{0}$, then $a \in Q_{0}$.
(iii) If $R$ is a right ideal of $S$ such that $R^{2} \subset Q_{0}$, then $R \subset Q_{0}$.
(iv) If $L$ is a left ideal of $S$ such that $L^{2} \subset Q_{0}$, then $L \subset Q_{0}$.

Definition 1.4. An ideal $Q_{0}$ of $S$ is said to be a semiprime ideal if it satisfies one (hence all) of the conditions of Propostion 1.3.

It is obvious that a prime ideal is semiprime. Furthemore it follows easily by induction that if $Q_{0}$ is a semiprime ideal and $A$ a one-sided ideal such that $A^{n} \subset Q_{0}$ for some positive integer $n$, then $A \subset Q_{0}$.

The next propostion is McCoy's Corollary 4.16 in [4].
Proposition 1.5. An ideal $Q_{0}$ of $S$ is a semiprime ideal if and only if it is an intersection of prime ideals of $S$.

We conclude this section by presenting known results concerning the radical and open prime ideals of $S$, which will be used later.

An element $b$ of $S$ is said to be nilpotent if $b^{n} \rightarrow 0$, that is, if for every neighborhood $U$ of 0 there exists a positive integer $n_{0}$ such that $b_{n} \in U$ for all $n \geqq n_{0}$. We denote here the set of all nilpotent elements in $S$ by $N_{0}$. Clearly, $N_{0}$ is not empty, because $0 \in N_{0}$.

Definition 1.6. (Numakura [5]). The largest ideal contained in $N_{0}$ is called the radical of $S$ and is denoted by $N$, namely $N=J_{0}\left(N_{0}\right)$.

The next proposition is the author's Theorem 1 in [7].
Proposition 1.7. If $S$ is compact, then $N$ is the intersection of all open prime ideals of $S$.

The following proposition is also the author's Theorem 2 in [7].
Proposition 1.8. If $S$ is compact, then each open prime ideal $P(\neq S)$ has the form $P=J_{0}(S-e)$ for some non-zero idempotent $e$, and conversely for each non-zero idempotent $e, J_{0}(S-e)$ is an open prime ideal.

## II. $q$-IDEALS AND $Q$-PRIMITIVE IDEMPOTENTS

In this section we first present the concept of $q$-ideal in the following definition.
Definition 2.1. An ideal $Q$ of $S$ is said to be a $q$-ideal provided $Q$ can be expressed as an intersection of open prime ideals.
In view of Proposition 1.5, it is evident that a $q$-ideal is a semiprime ideal. Moreover, from Proposition 1.7, it can easily be seen that the following theorem holds.

Theorem 2.2. The radical of a compact semigroup is a q-ideal.
We shall next prove the following result.
Proposition 2.3. If $I$ is an ideal of $S$, then all of the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) Every ideal of $S$ which is not contained in I has an idempotent e such that $e \notin I$.
(ii) Every right ideal of $S$ which is not contained in I has an idempotent e such that $e \notin I$.
(iii) Every left ideal of $S$ which is not contained in I has an idempotent e such that $e \notin I$.

Proof. It is obvious that (ii) implies (i) and (iii) implies (i).
We shall show that (i) implies (ii). Suppose that $R$ is a right ideal of $S$ not contained in $I$. Certainly $L(R)=R \cup S R$ is an ideal of $S$ not contained in $I$, and so it has an idempotent $e$ such that $e \notin I$. If $e \in R$, there is nothing to prove. Otherwise, $e \in S R$ and so $e$ can be written in the form $e=a b$, where we may assume that $a=e a \in e S$ and $b=b e \in R e$. Let $f=b a$. Then we have

$$
f^{2}=b(a b) a=b e a=b a=f
$$

and

$$
f=b a \in(R e)(e S) \subset R .
$$

That is, $f$ is an idempotent contained in $R$. Moreover we have $f \notin I$. For, otherwise we obtain

$$
e=e^{2}=a(b a) b=a f b \in a I b \subset I,
$$

and this contradicts to the assumption. Hence $R$ has an idempotent $f$ such that $f \notin I$.

Analogously it can be shown that (i) implies (iii).
It will be convenient here to give the following definition.
Definition 2.4. (Property $\mathscr{E}$ ). We say that an ideal $I$ of $S$ has the property $\mathscr{E}$ if $I$ satisfies one (hence all) of the conditions of Proposition 2.3.

The next lemma gives a class of ideals in a compact semigroup having the property $\mathscr{E}$.

Lemma 2.5. If $Q_{1}$ is an open semiprime ideal of a compact semigroup $S$, then $Q_{1}$ has the property $\mathscr{E}$.

Proof. Let $M$ be an arbitrary ideal of $S$ which is not contained in $Q_{1}$. Take an element $x$ from $M-Q_{1}$, and consider the ideal $J(x)$. Clearly $J(x)$ is a compact ideal contained in $M$ but not contained in $Q_{1}$. We shall show that $J(x)$ has an idempotent $e$ which is not contained in $Q_{1}$. Assume now, by the way of contradiction, that $E \cap J(x) \subset Q_{1}$. By Lemma 7 in [7], we have $J(x)^{\omega}=J(x)(E \cap J(x)) J(x) \subset Q_{1}$. Since $Q_{1}$ is open and $J(x)$ is compact, there exists a positive integer $n$ such that $J(x)^{n} \subset Q_{1}$. Using the fact that $Q_{1}$ is a semiprime ideal, we obtain $J(x) \subset Q_{1}$. This gives the desired contradiction, which completes the proof.

We can now easily prove the following theorem which characterizts a $q$-ideal in a compact semigroup.

Theorem 2.6. Let $I$ be an ideal of a compact semigroup $S$. Then $I$ is a q-ideal if and only if I has the property $\mathscr{E}$.

Proof. Only if part: Suppose that $I$ is a $q$-ideal of $S$. Let $M$ be an arbitrary ideal of $S$ which is not contained in $I$. We shall show that $M$ contains an idempotent $e$ such that $e \notin I$. Since $I$ is an intersection of open prime ideals and $M$ is not contained in $I$, there exists an open prime ideal $P$ which contains $I$ but does not contain $M$. By Lemma 2.5, there exists an idempotent $e$ in $M$ such that $e \notin P$. It is clear that $e$ is a desired idempotent. Therefore $I$ has the property $\mathscr{E}$.

If part: Suppose that $I$ is an ideal of $S$ having the property $\mathscr{E}$. Let $I_{1}$ denote the intersection of all open prime ideals containing $I$. We shall show that $I=I_{1}$. Certainly $I \subset I_{1}$, so let us assume that $I \neq I_{1}$ and seek a contradiction. Since $I_{1}$ is not contained in $I$, there exists an idempotent $e \in I_{1}$ such that $e \notin I$. Let $P_{1}=J_{0}(S-e)$. Then $P_{1}$ is an open prime ideal containing $I$ but not containing $I_{1}$. This gives the desired contradiction. Hence we obtain $I=I_{1}$, so that $I$ is a $q$-ideal.

The next theorem is an immediate consequence of Lemma 2.5 and Theorem 2.6.
Theorem 2.7. An open semiprime ideal of a compact semigroup is a q-ideal.
We now define another concept whose significance will be indicated in the next section.

Definition 2.8. (Shum [9]). Let $I$ be an ideal of $S$. An idempotent $e$ of $S$ is said to be an $I$-primitive idempotent if $e \notin I$ and $e$ is the only idempotent in $e S e-I$.

We remark here that (0)-primitive or $N$-primitive idempotents are non-zero primitive idempotents in an ordinary sense.

The following result gives a generalization of Koch's Theorem 1 in [2].
Theorem 2.9. Let $S$ be a compact semıgroup and $Q$ a q-ideal of $S$. If $e$ is an idempotent of $S$, then all of the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) $e$ is a $Q$-primitive idempotent.
(ii) $S e S$ is a minimal ideal not contained in $Q$.
(iii) $S e(e S)$ is a minimal left (right) ideal not contained in $Q$.
(iv) $e S e-Q$ is a group.
(v) Every idempotent in $\operatorname{SeS}-Q$ is a $Q$-primitive idempotent.
(Let $A$ and $B$ be ideals (right, left or two-sided) of $S$. The expression " $A$ is a minimal ideal not contained in $B^{\prime \prime}$ means that $A$ is a minimal member among the ideals of $S$ which are not contained in B.)

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): Suppose that $e$ is a $Q$-primitive idempotent in $S$. Let $M$ be an ideal of $S$ contained in $S e S$. Assume that $M \notin Q$, then, since $Q$ has the property $\mathscr{E}$, there is an idempotent $f \in M$ such that $f \notin Q$. As $f=f^{3} \in f(S e S) f=(f S e)(e S f), f$ can be written in the form $f=a b$, where $a \in f S e$ and $b \in e S f$. From this it follows that

$$
(b a)^{2}=b(a b) a=b f a=b a .
$$

Hence $b a$ is an idempotent in $e S e$. Moreover, $b a$ is not contained in $Q$. For, otherwise we have $f=f^{2}=a(b a) b \in a Q b \subset Q$, a contradiction. Therefore, $b a$ is an idempotent in $e S e-Q$, and so $b a$ must coincide with $e$. It follows that

$$
S e S=S b a S=S b f a S \subset S f S \subset M
$$

Hence $M=S e S$, and so $M$ is a minimal ideal not contained in $Q$.
(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii): Suppose that $S e S$ is a minimal ideal not contained in $Q$. Let $L$ be a left ideal of $S$ contained in $S e$. Assume that $L \nsubseteq Q$, then there is an idempotent $f \in L$ such that $f \notin Q$. From $S e \supset L \supset S f$, it follows that $S e S \supset S f S$. As $S f S \nsubseteq Q$, the minimality of $\operatorname{SeS}$ implies that $\operatorname{SeS}=S f S$. Therefore there exist elements $a$ and $b$ such that $e=a f b$. Here, we may assume that $a \in e S f$ and $b \in f S e$. Now, $S f \subset S e$ implies $f=f e$; hence for any positive integer $n$,

$$
a^{n} f b^{n}=\left(a^{n-1} f\right)(a f b) b^{n-1}=a^{n-1}(f e) b^{n-1}=a^{n-1} f b^{n-1}=\cdots=a f b=e .
$$

Using Lemma 1 in [6] or Lemma 1.1.4 in [8], we can find an idempotent $g \in \Gamma(a)$ and an element $h \in \Gamma(b)$ such that $e=g f h$. We note $g f=g$, hence

$$
e=g e=g f e=g f=g
$$

and

$$
e=g=g f
$$

This implies $e \in S f$, and so $S e \subset S f \subset L$. Hence $S e=L$, so that $L$ is a minimal left ideal not contained in $Q$.

Analogously it can be shown that $e S$ is a minimal right ideal not contained in $Q$.
(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv): Suppose that $S e$ is a minimal left ideal not contained in $Q$.

We shall first show that for any $x \in e S e-Q$ there exists a left inverse $x^{\prime}$ in $e S e-Q$ with $x^{\prime} x=e$. As $L(x) \subset S e$ and $L(x) \notin Q$, it follows that $L(x)=S e$. Therefore, $x=e$ or there is an element $x^{\prime \prime}$ in $S$ such that $x^{\prime \prime} x=e$. In the former case $x$ is the identity element of $e S e-Q$. In the later case let $x^{\prime}=e x^{\prime \prime} e$. Then $x^{\prime} \in e S e$ and $x^{\prime} x=\left(e x^{\prime \prime} e\right) x=e x^{\prime \prime}(e x)=e\left(x^{\prime \prime} x\right)=e^{2}=e$. It is clear that $x^{\prime} \notin Q$, because $e \notin Q$.

We shall next show that if $x$ and $y$ are in $e S e-Q$, then $x y \in e S e-Q$. Let $x^{\prime}$ and $y^{\prime}$ be left inverses of $x$ and $y$ in $e S e-Q$, respectively. Clearly, $x y \in e S e$. If $x y \in Q$, then we have

$$
e=\left(y^{\prime} x^{\prime}\right)(x y) \in Q,
$$

a contradiction. Hence $x y \in e S e-Q$, and we can conclude that $e S e-Q$ is a group.
(iv) $\Rightarrow$ (i): Trivial.
(v) $\Rightarrow$ (i): Trivial.
(ii) $\Rightarrow(\mathrm{v})$ : Let $f$ be any idempotent in $S e S-Q$, we have to show that $f$ is a $Q$ primitive idempotent. Since $S e S$ is a minimal ideal not contained in $Q$ and $S f S$ is an ideal of $S$ contained in $S e S$ but not contained in $Q$, it follows that $S f S=S e S$. This implies that $S f S$ is also a minimal ideal not contained in $Q$. From the equivalency of (i) and (ii), we can conclude that $f$ is a $Q$-primitive idempotent.

## III. $Q$-DIVISORS

We begin this section with the following lemma.
Lemma 3.1. If $Q_{0}$ is a semiprime ideal of $S$ and $x, y \in S$ such that $x S y \subset Q_{0}$, then $y S x \subset Q_{0}$.

Proof. Let $Q_{0}=\bigcap\left\{P_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Lambda\right\}$ be an expression of $Q_{0}$ as an intersection of prime ideals (see Proposition 1.5). If $P_{\alpha}$ is any prime ideal in $\left\{P_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Lambda\right\}$, then $x S y \subset$ $\subset Q \subset P_{\alpha}$ implies that $x \in P_{\alpha}$ or $y \in P_{\alpha}$. From this it follows that $y S x \subset P_{\alpha}$. Since $P_{\alpha}$ is taken arbitrary, we can conclude that $y S x \subset \bigcap\left\{P_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Lambda\right\}=Q_{0}$.

We now define the concept of $Q$-divisor in $S$.
Definition 3.2. Let $Q$ be a $q$-ideal and $A$ a non-empty subset of $S$. The $Q$-divisor of $A$ is defined to be the set

$$
(Q: A)=\{x \in S: x S a \subset Q \text { for all } a \in A\} .
$$

In view of Lemma 3.1, we can easily see that

$$
(Q: A)=\{x \in S: a S x \subset Q \text { for all } a \in A\} .
$$

If $A=\{a\}$, we abbreviate the notation $(Q:\{a\})$ by $(Q: a)$.
From the definition of $Q$-divisor one can quickly verify the following proposition.

Proposition 3.3. Let $Q$ be a q-ideal and $A$ a non-empty subset of $S$. Then each of the following assertions is true.
(i) $(Q: A)$ is an ideal of $S$ containing $Q$.
(ii) $A \subset Q$ if and only if $(Q: A)=S$.
(iii) If $Q$ is closed, then $(Q: A)$ is closed.
(iv) If $Q$ is open and if $S$ and $A$ are compact, then $(Q: A)$ is open.

Lemma 3.4. If $S$ is compact and $Q$ a q-ideal of $S$, then $(Q: A)$ is a q-ideal for any non-empty subset $A$.

Proof. We shall first show that for any element $a \in A,(Q: a)$ is a $q$-ideal. If $a \in Q$, then $(Q: a)=S$, and so $(Q: a)$ is certainly a $q$-ideal. Suppose that $a \notin Q$, and let $M$ be an ideal of $S$ not contained in $(Q: a)$. Then there exists an element $x \in M$ such that $x S a \not \ddagger Q$. Since $Q$ is an intersection of open prime ideals, we can find an open prime ideal $P$ containing $Q$ such that $x S a \notin P$. Hence $J(x) \notin P$, and $J(x)-P$ contains an idempotent $e$. As $P$ is a prime ideal and $e, a \notin P$, it follows that $e S a \not \ddagger P$. Therefore $e S a \not \ddagger Q$, and so we obtain $e \notin(Q: a)$. Thus $M$ contains an idempotent $e$ which is not contained in $(Q: a)$. In view of Theorem 2.6, $(Q: a)$ is a $q$-ideal.

From $(Q: A)=\bigcap\{(Q: a): a \in A\},(Q: A)$ is also expressed as an intersection of open prime ideals. This completes the proof.

We shall next prove the following theorem which is an extension of Shum's Theorem 2.8 in [9].

Theorem 3.5. Let $S$ be a compact semigroup and $Q$ a q-ideal of $S$. If $e$ is a $Q$ primitive idempotent, then $(Q: e)$ is an open prime ideal of $S$, more precisely, $(Q: e)=J_{0}(S-e)$.

Moreover, $(Q: e)$ is a minimal prime ideal containing $Q$, that is, if $P^{\prime}$ is a prime ideal (not necessarily open) such that $Q \subset P^{\prime} \subset(Q: e)$, then $P^{\prime}=(Q: e)$.

Proof. We shall first show that $(Q: e)=J_{0}(S-e)$. From $e S e \notin Q$, it is clear that $e \notin(Q: e)$, and therefore $(Q: e) \subset J_{0}(S-e)$. To prove $J_{0}(S-e)=(Q: e)$, let us assume that $J_{0}(S-e) \not \ddagger(Q: e)$ and seek a contradiction. Since $(Q: e)$ is a $q$-ideal (see Lemma 3.4), $J_{0}(S-e)$ contains an idempotent $f$ such that $f \notin(Q: e)$. From $f \notin(Q: e)$ it follows that $e S f \not \ddagger Q$ and so $e S f S \nsubseteq Q$. Therefore there exists an idempotent $g \in e S f S$ such that $g \notin Q$. We have now

$$
\begin{gathered}
(g e)^{2}=g(e g) e=g g e=g e, \\
e(g e)=(e g) e=g e
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
(g e) e=g e .
$$

Hence $g e$ is an idempotent in $e S e$. Furthermore, $g e \notin Q$. For, otherwise we have

$$
g=g^{2}=(e g)^{2}=e(g e) g \subset e Q g \subset Q,
$$

a contradiction. Thus $g e$ is an idempotent in $e S e-Q$, therefore $g e$ must coincide with $e$, i.e. $g e=e$. From this it follows that

$$
e=g e \in e S f S e \subset J(f) \subset J_{0}(S-e)
$$

so that we arrived at a contradiction. Hence we have $(Q: e)=J_{0}(S-e)$.
Let us next assume that $P^{\prime}$ is a prime ideal such that $Q \subset P^{\prime} \subset(Q: e)$. Let $x$ be an arbitrary element in $(Q: e)$. Then $x S e \subset Q \subset P^{\prime}$ and so $x \in P^{\prime}$ or $e \in P^{\prime}$ since $P^{\prime}$ is a prime ideal. But $e \notin(Q: e)$ and $P^{\prime} \subset(Q: e)$ imply that $e \notin P^{\prime}$. Hence we have $x \in P^{\prime}$, therefore $(Q: e) \subset P^{\prime}$. Thus $(Q: e)$ is a minimal prime ideal containing $Q$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

Suppose that $P$ is an open prime ideal of $S$ (containing a $q$-ideal $Q$ ). We say that $P$ is a minimal open prime ideal (containing $Q$ ) if $P$ is a minimal member among the open prime ideals of $S$ (which contain $Q$ ).

The following theorem gives a partial converse of the preceding theorem.
Theorem 3.6. Let $S$ be a compact semigroup and $Q$ a q-ideal of $S$. If $P$ is a minimal open prime ideal containing $Q$, then $P=S$ or $P=(Q: e)$ for some $Q$-primitive idempotent e.

Proof. Suppose that $P \neq S$, then according to Proposition 1.8 there exists an idempotent $e$ such that $P=J_{0}(S-e)$. We shall show that $e$ is a $Q$-primitive idempotent. Certainly $e \notin Q$, so let us assume that $e$ is not a $Q$-primitive idempotent and seek a contradiction. By Theorem 2.9 , there exists an ideal $M$ such that $M \subset S e S$, $M \neq S e S$ and $M \notin Q$. Let $f$ be an idempotent in $M$ such that $f \notin Q$. Then the open prime ideal $J_{0}(S-f)$ contains $Q$ and it is properly contained in $J_{0}(S-e)=P$, because $S f S \subset M \subset S e S, M \neq S e S$ (in this connection see Lemma 9 in [7]). This contradicts to the minimality of $P$, and $e$ must be a $Q$-primitive idempotent. Hence we have $(Q: e)=J_{0}(S-e)=P$.

According to Theorem 2.2 and the fact that every open prime ideal contains the radical (see Proposition 1.7), we have the following immediate corollary to the preceding theorems:

Corollary 3.7. Let $S$ be a compact semigroup and $N$ the radical of $S$. If $e$ is a nonzero primitive idempotent, then $(N: e)$ is a minimal open prime ideal of $S$. Conversely, if $P$ is a minimal open prime ideal of $S$, then $P=S$ or $P=(N: e)$ for some non-zero primitive idempotent $e$.

The following theorem is a generalization of Corollary 1 to Theorem 3.1 in [9] and Lemma 3.1 in [1].

Theorem 3.8. Let $S$ be a compact semigroup and $Q$ a proper $q$-ideal of $S$. Then $Q$ is the intersection of all $Q$-divisors $(Q: e)$, where e runs through the set $E-Q$.

Proof. Since $S$ itself is an ideal not contained in $Q$, there is an idempotent $e$ in $S$ such that $e \notin Q$. Hence we have $E-Q \neq \emptyset$. Let $Q^{\prime}$ be the intersection of all the sets ( $Q: e$ ), where $e$ runs through $E-Q$. Clearly $Q^{\prime} \supset Q$, and so to prove $Q^{\prime}=Q$ let us assume that $Q^{\prime} \not \ddagger Q$ and seek a contradiction. Let $f$ be an idempotent in $Q^{\prime}$ such that $f \notin Q$. Then, since $f \in E-Q$, we obtain $Q^{\prime} \subset(Q: f)$ and so $f \in(Q: f)$. This implies $f S f \subset Q$, so that $f \in Q$. Thus we arrived at a contradiction, which completes the proof.

Corollary 3.9. Let $S$ be compact and $S \neq N$, then $N$ is the intersection of all $N$-divisors $(N: e)$, where e runs through the set of non-zero idempotents.

We now proceed to consider the existence of $Q$-primitive idempotents. Let us recall that open semiprime ideals in a compact semigroup are $q$-ideals (Theorem 2.7).

Lemma 3.10. Let $S$ be a compact semigroup and $Q$ an open semiprime ideal of $S$. If $M$ is an ideal of $S$ not contained in $Q$, then $M$ has a $Q$-primitive idempotent.

Proof. Take an element $x$ from $M-Q$ and consider the ideal $J(x) . J(x)$ is a compact ideal such that $J(x) \subset M$ and $J(x) \nsubseteq Q$. To prove the lemma it is enough to show that $J(x)$ has a $Q$-primitive idempotent. Therefore we may assume, without loss of generality, that $M$ itself is a compact ideal.

Let $\mathscr{M}$ be the set of all compact ideals contained in $M$ but not contained in $Q$. $\mathscr{M}$ is partially ordered by inclusion and is nonvoid, because $M \in \mathscr{M}$. We now assert that the intersection of an arbitrary chain $\mathscr{C}$ in $\mathscr{M}$ is an element of $\mathscr{M}$, because ideals in $\mathscr{C}$ are compact and $Q$ is open. By Zorn's lemma, $\mathscr{M}$ has a minimal element, say $M_{1}$. Namely, $M_{1}$ is a compact ideal contained in $M$ but not in $Q$ such that if $M^{\prime}$ is a compact ideal contained in $M$ but not in $Q$ and if $M^{\prime} \subset M_{1}$, then $M^{\prime}=M_{1}$. We shall show that the ideal $M_{1}$ is a minimal ideal not contained in $Q$. Suppose that $M^{\prime \prime}$ is an ideal of $S$ such that $M^{\prime \prime} \subset M_{1}$ and $M^{\prime \prime} \notin Q$. For any $y \in M^{\prime \prime}-Q, J(y)$ is a compact ideal contained in $M_{1}($ hence in $M$ ) but not contained in $Q$. Hence $J(y)$ is an element of $\mathscr{M}$. Since $M_{1}$ is a minimal element of $\mathscr{M}$, we obtain $J(y)=M_{1}$. Therefore we have $M_{1}=J(y) \subset M^{\prime \prime}$ and so $M_{1}=M^{\prime \prime}$. Thus $M_{1}$ is a minimal ideal not contained in $Q$. Take an idempotent $e$ from $M_{1}-Q$. Clearly $S e S$ coincides with $M_{1}$, therefore $S e S$ is a minimal ideal of $S$ not contained in $Q$. From Theorem 2.9 we can conclude that $e$ is a $Q$-primitive idempotent. This completes the proof of the lemma.

We can now easily prove the following theorem.
Theorem 3.11. Let $S$ be a compact semigroup and $Q$ a proper open semiprime ideal of $S$. If $P^{\prime}$ is an open prime ideal containing $Q$, then there exists a minimal prime ideal $P$ containing $Q$ such that $P \subset P^{\prime}$. Furthermore, $P$ has the form $P=$ $=J_{0}(S-e)=(Q: e)$ for some $Q$-primitive idempotent e.

Proof. Suppose, first, that $P^{\prime} \neq S$. In view of Proposition 1.8, $P^{\prime}$ has the form $P^{\prime}=J_{0}(S-f)$ for some idempotent $f$. The ideal $J(f)$ is not contained in $Q$, and so, by Lemma 3.10, there exists a $Q$-primitive idempotent $e$ in $J(f)$. Using Theorem 3.5, we can conclude that $(Q: e)=J_{0}(S-e)$ and $(Q: e)$ is a minimal prime ideal containing $Q$. According to Lemma 9 in [7], from $J(e) \subset J(f)$ it follows that $J_{0}(S-e) \subset P^{\prime}$. Therefore $P=(Q: e)=J_{0}(S-e)$ is a required prime ideal.

If $P^{\prime}=S, S$ contains a $Q$-primitive idempotent $e$ by Lemma 3.10. In this case, it is evident that the ideal $P=J_{0}(S-e)=(Q: e)$ is also a required prime ideal.

This completes the proof of the theorem.
We conclude this section with the following theorem and its corollary, which generalize the result of Hoo and Shum [1; Theorem 4.6].

Theorem 3.12. Let $S$ be a compact semigroup and $Q$ a proper open semiprime ideal of $S$. Then $Q$ is the intersection of all $Q$-divisors $(Q: e)$, where e runs through the set of $Q$-primitive idempotents.

Proof. For any $Q$-primitive idempotent $e$ it is evident that $Q \subset(Q: e)$.
Since $Q$ is a $q$-ideal, $Q$ has the form $Q=\bigcap P_{\alpha}^{\prime}$, where $P_{\alpha}^{\prime}$ runs over a set of open prime ideals containing $Q$. By Theorem 3.11, for any $P_{\alpha}^{\prime}$ we can find a minimal prime ideal $P_{\alpha}$ containing $Q$ such that $P_{\alpha} \subset P_{\alpha}^{\prime}$ and has the form $P_{\alpha}=\left(Q: e_{\alpha}\right)$, where $e_{\alpha}$ is a $Q$-primitive idempotent. Therefore we have

$$
Q=\cap P_{\alpha}=\cap\left(Q: e_{\alpha}\right) .
$$

Hence we can conclude that $Q$ is the intersection of all $Q$-divisors $(Q: e)$, where $e$ runs through the set of $Q$-primitive idempotents.

A semigroup $S$ is said to be an $N$-semigroup if $N$ is an open set in $S$.
As an immediate consequence of the preceding theorem we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.13. Let $S$ be a compact $N$-semigroup. If $N \neq S$, then $N$ is the intersection of all $N$-divisors $(N: e)$, where e runs through the set of non-zero primitive idempotents.

## IV. EXAMPLES

4.1. An ideal $B$ of $S$ is said to be compressed (Shum [9]) or completely semiprime if $a^{2} \in B$ implies that $a \in B, a$ being an element of $S$. The following is an example of a finite semigroup possessing a prime ideal which is not a compressed ideal ([8], p. 51).

Let $T$ be the semigroup consisting of five elements $e, f, a, b$ and 0 with multiplication table

|  | $e$ | $f$ | $a$ | $b$ | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $e$ | $e$ | 0 | 0 | $b$ | 0 |
| $f$ | 0 | $f$ | $a$ | 0 | 0 |
| $a$ | $a$ | 0 | 0 | $f$ | 0 |
| $b$ | 0 | $b$ | $e$ | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |.

Then $\{0\}$ is a prime ideal of $T$ which is not a compressed ideal.
4.2. We shall give here an example of a finite semigroup possessing a semiprime ideal which is not a prime ideal.

Let $T$ be the semigroup described in the preceding example. Let $S$ be the set of all pairs $(x, y)$ with $x, y \in T$. Under the discrete topology and the componentwise multiplication $S$ becomes a semigroup. Namely, $S$ is the direct product of the two semigroups $T$ and $T$. By $0^{*}$ we denote the zero element of $S$, that is, $0^{*}=(0,0)$.

We shall show that the ideal $\left\{0^{*}\right\}$ of $S$ is a semiprime ideal which is not prime. Let $A=\{(x, 0): x \in T\}$ and $B=\{(0, y): y \in T\}$. It is not difficult to see that $A$ and $B$ are ideals of $S$ distinct from $\left\{0^{*}\right\}$. And straighforward calculation shows that $A B=\left\{0^{*}\right\}$. Therefore $\left\{0^{*}\right\}$ is not a prime ideal of $S$. Next, suppose that $z^{*}=(x, y)$ is an element of $S$ such that $z^{*} S z^{*}=\left\{0^{*}\right\}$. From this it follows that $x T x=\{0\}$ and $y T y=\{0\}$. Since $\{0\}$ is a prime ideal of the semigroup $T$ (see Example 4.1), we obtain $x=0$ and $y=0$, and so $z^{*}=0^{*}$. Hence $\left\{0^{*}\right\}$ is a semiprime ideal of $S$.
4.3. Let $\Lambda$ be an arbitrary infinite set of indices. To each element $\alpha \in \Lambda$ we associate a copy $T_{\alpha}$ of the semigroup $T$ described in Example 4.1, and denote by $S$ the direct
product (Cartesian product) of all the semigroups $T_{\alpha}, \alpha \in \Lambda$. Then $S$, endowed with the product topology, is a compact, non-discrete semigroup. We denote by $\pi_{\alpha}$ the projection from $S$ onto $T_{\alpha}$. It is well-known that $\pi_{\alpha}$ is an open continuous homomorphism. The zero element of $S$ is denoted by $0^{*}$, i.e. $0^{*}$ is an element of $S$ such that $\pi_{\alpha}\left(0^{*}\right)=0$ for all $\alpha \in \Lambda$.

In exactly the same fashion with Example 4.2, it follows that $\left\{0^{*}\right\}$ is a semiprime ideal of $S$ which is not prime. Let $P_{\alpha}=\pi_{\alpha}^{-1}(0)$. Using the fact that $\{0\}$ is an open prime ideal of the semigroup $T$, it is not difficult to see that $P_{\alpha}$ is an open prime ideal of $S$. It is also easy to see that the intersection of all $P_{\alpha}$ 's coincides with $\left\{0^{*}\right\}$. From this we can conclude that $\left\{0^{*}\right\}$ is a $q$-ideal of $S$. It is noticed that $\left\{0^{*}\right\}$ is not open, since the index set $\Lambda$ is infinite.

Furthermore if we denote by $x_{\alpha}^{*}$ the element of $S$ such that $\pi_{\alpha}\left(x_{\alpha}^{*}\right)=x$ and $\pi_{\beta}\left(x_{\alpha}^{*}\right)=0$ for $\beta \neq \alpha$ where $x$ is an element of $T$, then it can easily be shown that $e_{\alpha}^{*}$ and $f_{\alpha}^{*}$ are $\left\{0^{*}\right\}$-primitive idempotents for every $\alpha \in \Lambda$.
4.4. Let $S$ be the closed unit interval of real numbers. $S$ is a compact commutative semigroup under the topology induced from reals and the multiplication defined by

$$
x y=\min (x, y), \quad x, y \in S .
$$

For any number $a(0<a \leqq 1)$, the set $P_{a}=\{x \in S: 0 \leqq x<a\}$ is an open prime ideal of $S$. Let $b$ be any element in $S$. The intersection of open prime ideals $P_{a}$, $b<a \leqq 1$, coincides with the closed ideal $\bar{P}_{b}$, and therefore $\bar{P}_{b}$ is a $q$-ideal of $S$. In this case, however, there are no $\bar{P}_{b}$-primitive idempotents.
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