Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal

Jaroslav Fuka; Josef Král Analytic capacity and linear measure

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 28 (1978), No. 3, 445-461

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/101550

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1978

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project $\mathit{DML-GZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library } \texttt{http://dml.cz}$

ANALYTIC CAPACITY AND LINEAR MEASURE

JAROSLAV FUKA and JOSEF KRÁL, Praha (Received December 10, 1976)

INTRODUCTION

As usual, we shall denote by $\mathbb C$ the set of all complex numbers which will be identified with the Euclidean plane $\mathbb R^2$. For $M \subset \mathbb C$ we shall denote by $\overline M$ and diam M the closure and the diameter of M, respectively. Given $\varepsilon > 0$ we put

$$\mathscr{H}^{1}_{\varepsilon}(M) = \inf \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{diam} M_{n}$$
,

where the infimum is taken over all sequences of sets $M_n \subset \mathbb{C}$ with diam $M_n \leq \varepsilon$ such that

$$M \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} M_n.$$

The linear measure (= length) of M is defined by

$$\mathscr{H}^{1}(M) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0+} \mathscr{H}^{1}_{\varepsilon}(M).$$

If $K \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a compact set, then A(K, 1) will stand for the class of all holomorphic functions φ on $\mathbb{C} \setminus K$ with

$$|\varphi| \le 1$$
, $\varphi(\infty) \equiv \lim_{z \to \infty} \varphi(z) = 0$.

For any $\varphi \in A(K, 1)$ the derivative

$$\varphi'(\infty) = \lim_{z \to \infty} z \, \varphi(z)$$

is available and the analytic capcity of K is defined by

$$\gamma(K) = \sup \{ |\varphi'(\infty)|; \ \varphi \in A(K, 1) \}.$$

This quantity plays an important role in a number of investigations in complex function theory (cf. [1]-[7]) and much research has been done on its relations to various measures of K and, in particular, to $\mathcal{H}^1(K)$ (cf. [8]-[10] where further references may be found). If K is situated on a straight line, then the equality

$$\gamma(K) = \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{H}^1(K)$$

holds by a result of POMMERENKE (cf. [11], Satz 3, p. 272; see also [8], th. 6.2 on p. 29). For general K the estimate $\gamma(K) \leq \mathcal{H}^1(K)$ yields the implication

$$\mathscr{H}^1(K) = 0 \Rightarrow \gamma(K) = 0$$

which also follows from a classical result of Painlevé [12]. The converse of this implication does not hold and examples were exhibited by VITUŠKIN [13] and Garnett [14] (compare also [16], pp. 346-348), showing that $\gamma(K) = 0$ is possible for disconnected K with $\mathcal{H}^1(K) > 0$. For compact sets K situated on sufficiently smooth curves such a situation cannot occur, because $\gamma(K)$ can be estimated from below by a multiple of $\mathcal{H}^1(K)$; general smoothness restrictions on the curve (stronger than the mere existence of a continuous tangent) sufficient for such estimates have been established by Ivanov [15] (compare also [17]). The assertion that $\gamma(K) > 0$ for every compact set K with $\mathcal{H}^1(K) > 0$, K situated on a rectifiable curve, is known as the Denjoy conjecture (cf. [8], p. 36). It was shown by Davie [18] that the validity of the Denjoy conjecture for C^1 curves would imply its validity for general rectifiable curves.*) On the other hand Matyska has shown in [30] by modifying the method of Vituškin [13] that there exists a non rectifiable curve y = f(x), with f satisfying a Hölder condition for every exponent less than 1, carrying a compact set K with $\gamma(K) = 0$ and $\mathcal{H}^1(K) > 0$.

In the present paper we shall be concerned with geometric conditions on plane continua Q (which need not be smooth, in general) guaranteeing the validity of an estimate of the form

$$\gamma(K) \ge \operatorname{const} \mathscr{H}^1(K)$$

for all compact sets $K \in Q$. In order to be able to formulate our main result we shall first introduce the following

$$\varphi(\tau) = P \cdot V \cdot \int_{K} \frac{f(t) dt}{t - \tau},$$

is bounded in $L^p(K)$ for p > 1. This in combination with earlier results of Havin and Havinson [10] (cf. p. 791) and Havin [32] (cf. p. 512) implies the validity of the Denjoy conjecture.

The authors are indebted to L. I. Hedberg for the reference [31].

^{*)} Added in October, 1977: It has recently been proved by Calderón [31] that the singular integral operator $f \rightarrow \varphi$ on a C^1 curve K, given by the Cauchy integral

Notation. Let

$$\Gamma = \left\{ \zeta \in \mathbb{C}; \ \left| \zeta \right| = 1 \right\}$$

be the unit circumference. Given $z \in \mathbb{C}$ we denote by

$$\pi_z: \zeta \to \frac{\zeta - z}{|\zeta - z|}$$

the projection of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{z\}$ onto Γ . For $M \subset \mathbb{C}$ the symbol χ_M is used to denote the characteristic (= indicator) function of M. If $Q \subset \mathbb{C}$ is compact, we define for $\theta \in \Gamma$

$$N_z^Q(\theta) = \sum \chi_Q(u), \quad u \in Q \setminus \{z\}, \quad \pi_z(u) = \theta$$

(with the sum extended over all $u \in \pi_z^{-1}(\theta)$).

Thus $N_z^Q(\theta)$ $(0 \le N_z^Q(\theta) \le +\infty)$ denotes the total number (possibly infinite) of all points in the intersection of Q with the half-line $\{z + t\theta; t > 0\}$. It is well known that the function

$$N_z^Q:\theta\mapsto N_z^Q(\theta)$$

(which is called the Banach indicatrix of the mapping π_z) is Borel measurable (cf. [19], p. 217) and we may adopt the following

Definition. If $Q \in \mathbb{C}$ is compact, we define for any $z \in \mathbb{C}$

$$v^{Q}(z) = \int_{\Gamma} N_{z}^{Q}(\theta) \, \mathrm{d}\mathscr{H}^{1}(\theta) \, .$$

Further we put

(1)
$$V(Q) = \sup_{\zeta \in Q} v^{Q}(\zeta).$$

Our main result may now be formulated as follows.

Theorem. If $Q \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a fixed continuum (or, more generally, a compact set having only a finite number of components), then for all compact sets $K \subset Q$ the following estimate holds:

(2)
$$\gamma(K) \ge \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{V(Q) + \pi} \mathcal{H}^{1}(K).$$

Of course, (2) is of interest only if

$$(3) V(Q) < \infty.$$

If Q is a straight line segment, then V(Q) = 0 and (2) reduces to

$$\gamma(K) \geq \frac{1}{2\pi} \mathcal{H}^1(K) .$$

Let us note that (3) can be fulfilled also for curves Q that are not smooth and contain many angular points. On the other hand, (3) is not fulfilled for many arcs $Q \equiv Q(f)$ with the equation

$$y = f(x), \quad 0 \le x \le 1,$$

where $f:\langle 0,1\rangle \to \mathbb{R}^1$ is continuously differentiable. If $C^1(\langle 0,1\rangle)$ is the Banach space of all continuously differentiable functions f on $\langle 0,1\rangle$ vanishing at 0 equipped with the norm

$$||f|| = \max_{0 \le x \le 1} |f'(x)|,$$

then the set

$$\{f \in C^1(\langle 0, 1 \rangle); \ v^{Q(f)}(\zeta) = \infty \text{ for all } \zeta \in Q(f)\}$$

is residual in $C^1(\langle 0, 1 \rangle)$ (cf. [20]).

The fact that the above theorem holds not only for arcs, but also for continua Q submitted to (3), is based on Ważewski's deep characterization of rectifiable continua [21] (a formulation of Ważewski's result is given below in the proof of lemma 1.6).

We first prove in section 1 that continua Q satisfying (3) are rectifiable. In section 2 we establish a "maximum principle" for the function $v^{Q}(\cdot): \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{R}^{1}_{+}$ and finally, in section 3, we give the proof of the main theorem and present several corollaries.

1

We shall start with the following

1.1. Proposition. Let us suppose that the points $z_1, z_2, z_3 \in \mathbb{C}$ are not situated on a single straight line. If $Q \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a continuum such that $v^Q(z_j) < \infty$ for j = 1, 2, 3, then $\mathcal{H}^1(Q) < \infty$.

Proof. If $z \in Q$, then at least one of the straight lines determined by a couple of the points z_j does not contain z. In view of the compactness of Q it is sufficient to establish the following lemma.

1.2. Lemma. Let $Q \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a continuum and suppose that the points z_1, z_2 are different and

(4)
$$v^{Q}(z_1) + v^{Q}(z_2) < \infty$$
.

If L denotes the straight line passing through z_1, z_2 , then every point $z \in Q \setminus L$ has an open neighborhood $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ such that $\mathcal{H}^1(U \cap Q) < \infty$.

Proof. By a compact arc we shall always mean a homeomorphic image of a non-degenerate compact interval. If C is a compact arc, then C^0 will denote the open arc obtained by removing the end-points of C.

Let us now fix compact arcs Γ_1 , $\Gamma_2 \subset \Gamma$ with the end-points $\gamma_j \in \Gamma_1$ and $\delta_j \in \Gamma_2$ (j = 1, 2) such that the following conditions (i)—(iv) hold:

- (i) $\Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma_2 = \emptyset$,
- (ii) $\pi_{z_i}(z) \in \Gamma_j^0 \ (j = 1, 2),$
- (iii) $K = \pi_{z_1}^{-1}(\Gamma_1) \cap \pi_{z_2}^{-1}(\Gamma_2)$ is a compact set disjoint with L,
- (iv) Q has a finite (possibly void) intersection with each of the half-lines $\pi_{z_1}^{-1}(\gamma_j)$, $\pi_{z_2}^{-1}(\delta_j)$ (j=1,2).

Let us note that (iv) can be satisfied according to the condition (4) which guarantees that each of the sets

(5)
$$\{\theta \in \Gamma; \ N_{z,i}^{\mathcal{Q}}(\theta) < \infty\} \quad (j = 1, 2)$$

is dense in Γ .

We are going to prove that

$$\mathscr{H}^1(K \cap Q) < \infty$$
.

For this purpose it is sufficient to show that there is a constant k such that, for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

(6)
$$H_{\varepsilon}^{1}(K \cap Q) \leq k \left[v^{Q}(z_{1}) + v^{Q}(z_{2}) \right].$$

Let us fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and divide the arcs Γ_1 and Γ_2 into a finite number of non-overlapping compact subarcs $\Gamma_1^1, \ldots, \Gamma_1^n$ and $\Gamma_2^1, \ldots, \Gamma_2^m$ by means of the points $\gamma^1 = \gamma_1, \gamma^2, \ldots, \gamma^{n+1} = \gamma_2$ and $\delta^1 = \delta_1, \delta^2, \ldots, \delta^{m+1} = \delta_2$, respectively, in such a way that

diam
$$\left[\pi_{z_1}^{-1}(\Gamma_1^r) \cap \pi_{z_2}^{-1}(\Gamma_2^s)\right] \leq \varepsilon$$

and each of the half-lines

$$\pi_{z_1}^{-1}(\gamma^r), \ \pi_{z_2}^{-1}(\delta^s)$$

meets Q in a finite (possibly void) set (r = 1, ..., n; s = 1, ..., m). This is again possible because the sets (5) are dense in Γ . Every set

(7)
$$\pi_{z_1}^{-1}(\Gamma_1^r) \cap \pi_{z_2}^{-1}(\Gamma_2^s) \cap Q$$
,

considered as a subset of the space Q, has a finite relative boundary B^{rs} $(1 \le r \le n, 1 \le s \le m)$.

Let us now recall a classical result of JANISZEWSKI (cf. [22], p. 112):

If A is a proper closed subset of a continuum Q and C is a component of A, then $C \cap \overline{Q \setminus A} \neq \emptyset$, i.e. C has a non-void intersection with the relative boundary of A in Q.

Hence it follows that each of the sets (7) has only a finite number of components Γ_p^{rs} , $p = 1, ..., n_{rs}$. Let us denote by χ_p^{rs} the characteristic function of $\pi_{z_1}(\Gamma_p^{rs})$ on Γ .

Then

$$N_{z_1}^{Q}(\theta) \ge \sum_{r,s} \sum_{p=1}^{n_{rs}} \chi_p^{rs}(\theta) \quad \text{for} \quad \theta \in \Gamma \setminus \bigcup_{r,s} \pi_{z_1}(B^{rs}),$$

whence

$$(8_1) v^{Q}(z_1) = \int_{\Gamma} N_{z_1}^{Q}(\theta) \, d\mathcal{H}^{1}(\theta) \ge \sum_{r,s} \sum_{p=1}^{n_{rs}} H^{1}(\pi_{z_1}(\Gamma_p^{rs})) \, .$$

Analogously

(8₂)
$$v^{Q}(z_{2}) \ge \sum_{r,s} \sum_{p=1}^{n_{rs}} \mathcal{H}^{1}(\pi_{z_{2}}(\Gamma_{p}^{rs}))$$
.

Now we shall use the following simple geometric fact whose proof may be found in [23], lemma 1.29:

For every compact set K disjoint with L there exists a constant k (depending on K and on the mutual position of L and K) such that, for every couple of points $\zeta_1, \zeta_2 \in K$,

(9)
$$|\zeta_1 - \zeta_2| \le k[|\pi_{z_1}(\zeta_1) - \pi_{z_1}(\zeta_2)| + |\pi_{z_2}(\zeta_1) - \pi_{z_2}(\zeta_2)|].$$

Employing (iii) and (9) and using the connectivity of Γ_p^{rs} we obtain the estimate

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{diam} & \, \varGamma_p^{rs} \leq k \big[\operatorname{diam} \, \pi_{z_1} \big(\varGamma_p^{rs} \big) + \operatorname{diam} \, \pi_{z_2} \big(\varGamma_p^{rs} \big) \big] \leq \\ & \leq k \big[\mathscr{H}^1 \big(\pi_{z_1} \big(\varGamma_p^{rs} \big) \big) + \mathscr{H}^1 \big(\pi_{z_2} \big(\varGamma_p^{rs} \big) \big) \big] \end{split}$$

which together with (8_1) , (8_2) gives

$$\sum_{r,s} \sum_{p=1}^{n_{rs}} \operatorname{diam} \Gamma_p^{rs} \leq k [v^{Q}(z_1) + v^{Q}(z_2)].$$

Since diam $\Gamma_p^{rs} \le \varepsilon (1 \le r \le n, 1 \le s \le m, 1 \le p \le n_{rs})$, we have

$$\mathscr{H}^1_{\varepsilon}(K \cap Q) \leq k \lceil v^{Q}(z_1) + v^{Q}(z_2) \rceil$$

and the proof is complete.

Remark. Ideas similar to those employed in the above proof appear in [24].

1.3. Notation and remarks. Let $J \subset \mathbb{R}^1$ be an interval and consider continuous mapping $\psi: J \to \mathbb{C}$. It is well-known that for every $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \psi(J)$ there exists a continuous real-valued function $\vartheta_z^{\psi}(\cdot)$ on J such that

$$\psi(t) - z = |\psi(t) - z| \exp i \, \vartheta_z^{\psi}(t), \quad t \in J.$$

This continuous single-valued argument ϑ_z^{ψ} is determined up to an additive constant; if $J = \langle a, b \rangle$ is compact, then the increment

$$\vartheta_z^{\psi}(b) - \vartheta_z^{\psi}(a)$$

is independent of the choice of that constant and represents a harmonic function of

the variable $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \psi(J)$. If, besides that, $\psi(b) = \psi(a)$, then the function

$$(10) z \mapsto \vartheta_z^{\psi}(b) - \vartheta_z^{\psi}(a)$$

is constant on each component of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \psi(J)$.

Suppose now that $C \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a compact arc and $\psi : \langle a, b \rangle \to C$ is the corresponding homeomorphism. Then $\left| \vartheta_z^{\psi}(b) - \vartheta_z^{\psi}(a) \right|$ does not depend on the choice of the homeomorphism ψ and we are justified to introduce the notation

$$\Delta_C \arg(z) = \left| \vartheta_z^{\psi}(b) - \vartheta_z^{\psi}(a) \right| (z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus C)$$

for this quantity which depends on C and z only. The function

$$(11) z \mapsto \Delta_C \arg(z)$$

is continuous and subharmonic on $\mathbb{C} \setminus C$.

If $\zeta \in C^0$, then there are disjoint open sets G_1 , G_2 contained in $\mathbb{C} \setminus C$ such that $\overline{G}_j \cap C$ is a neighborhood of ζ in C, each of the functions (10), (11) is uniformly continuous on G_i (j = 1, 2) and $\overline{G}_1 \cup \overline{G}_2$ is a neighborhood of ζ in \mathbb{C} .

To see this it is sufficient to place the arc C on a Jordan curve \widetilde{C} (which is always possible by [22], p. 381) or, which is just the same, to extend ψ from $\langle a,b\rangle$ to a continuous mapping $\widetilde{\psi}:\langle a,b+1\rangle\to\mathbb{C}$ in such a way that $\widetilde{\psi}(b+1)=\widetilde{\psi}(a)$ and $\widetilde{\psi}(u) \neq \widetilde{\psi}(v)$ whenever $0<|u-v|< b+1-a, u,v\in\langle a,b+1\rangle$. By the Jordan theorem, the complement of $\widetilde{C}=\widetilde{\psi}(\langle a,b+1\rangle)$ consists precisely of two components G, E with $\overline{E}\cap \overline{G}=\widetilde{C}$, $\overline{G}\cup \overline{E}=\mathbb{C}$. Since the function

$$z \mapsto \left[\vartheta_z^{\psi}(b) - \vartheta_z^{\psi}(a)\right] + \left[\vartheta_z^{\tilde{\psi}}(b+1) - \vartheta_z^{\tilde{\psi}}(b)\right]$$

remains constant on both G and E and the function

(12)
$$z \mapsto \left[\vartheta_z^{\tilde{\psi}}(b+1) - \vartheta_z^{\tilde{\psi}}(b) \right]$$

is continuous on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \tilde{\psi}(\langle b, b+1 \rangle)$, it is sufficient to fix $\varrho > 0$ less than the distance of ζ from $\tilde{\psi}(\langle b, b+1 \rangle)$ and put

$$G_1 = \{z \in G; |z - \zeta| < \varrho\}, \quad G_2 = \{z \in E; |z - \zeta| < \varrho\}.$$

Then (12) is uniformly continuous on $\overline{G}_1 \cup \overline{G}_2 = \{z \in \mathbb{C}; |z - \zeta| \leq \varrho\}$ and, consequently, the function (10) (and the function (11) as well) is uniformly continuous on each of the sets G_1 , G_2 .

We have thus seen that (11), (10) are continuously extendable to any point $\zeta \in C^0$ "from both sides of C". In particular, the function (10) (and the function (11) as well) has at most two limit values at any $\zeta \in C^0$ and these depend continuously on ζ . Consequently,

(13)
$$\zeta \mapsto \limsup_{z \to \zeta, \ z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus C} \Delta_C \arg(z)$$

is a continuous function of the variable $\zeta \in C^0$.

If f is a (real- or complex-valued) function and J is an interval in the domain of f, then var [f; J] denotes the variation of f on J.

1.4. Lemma. Let $C \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a compact arc and let $\psi : \langle a, b \rangle \to C$ be the corresponding homeomorphism. Then

$$v^{C}(z) = \sum_{J} \operatorname{var} \left[\vartheta_{z}^{\psi_{J}}; J \right],$$

where J runs over all components of $\langle a, b \rangle \setminus \psi^{-1}(z)$ and $\vartheta_z^{\psi_J}$ is a continuous single-valued argument of $\psi - z$ on J.

Proof. This follows easily from the Banach theorem on variation of a continuous function (see lemma 2.2 in $\lceil 25 \rceil$).

1.5. Lemma. If $Q \subset C$ is a continuum fulfilling (3), then $\mathcal{H}^1(Q) < \infty$.

Proof. If Q is contained in a straight line, then $\mathcal{H}^1(Q) = \text{diam } Q < \infty$. In the opposite case we may pick up three points $z_1, z_2, z_3 \in Q$ that are not situated on a single straith line and apply proposition 1.1.

1.6. Lemma. If $Q \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a continuum with $\mathcal{H}^1(Q) < \infty$, then there is an increasing sequence of sets K_n , each of them being a union of finitely many disjoint compact arcs, such that

$$\bigcup_{n} K_n = Q \setminus Z, \quad \mathcal{H}^1(Z) = 0.$$

Proof. If $Q_1, ..., Q_k$ are disjoint continua contained in Q, then

$$\sum_{j=1}^k \operatorname{diam} Q_j \leq \sum_{j=1}^k \mathcal{H}^1(Q_j) \leq \mathcal{H}^1(Q).$$

We see that

$$W = \sup \sum_{j} \operatorname{diam} Q_{j} < \infty$$
,

where the supremum is taken over all finite disjoint systems of continua $Q_j \subset Q$. In other words, Q is rectifiable in the sense of Ważewski [21]. Ważewski proved that then there exist a mapping

$$\psi:\langle 0,2W\rangle\to Q$$

onto Q and a sequence of open arcs*) $C_n \subset Q$ such that the set

$$T = \langle 0, 2W \rangle \setminus \psi^{-1}(\bigcup_{n} C_{n})$$

^{*)} By an open arc we mean a homeomorphic image of (0, 1).

has linear measure zero and ψ fulfils the Lipschitz condition

$$0 \le t < u \le 2W \Rightarrow |\psi(t) - \psi(u)| \le |t - u|.$$

Consequently, $\mathcal{H}^1(\psi(T)) = 0$ and, in view of the inclusion

$$Z = Q \setminus \bigcup_{n} C_n \subset \psi(T),$$

we have $\mathcal{H}^1(Z) = 0$. Each open arc C_n can be expressed as a union of an increasing sequence of compact arcs $C_n^k (k = 1, 2, ...)$ and the sets

$$K_n = \bigcup_{j=1}^n C_j^n$$

have all the required properties.

1.7. Proposition. Let $Q \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a compact set with $\mathcal{H}^1(Q) < +\infty$, having only a finite number of components. If $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus Q$, then

(14)
$$v^{Q}(z) = \sup_{i=1}^{n} \Delta_{C_{i}} \arg(z),$$

where the supremum is taken over all finite systems of mutually disjoint compact arcs $C_1, ..., C_n \subset Q$.

Proof. Let us fix $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus Q$. Given a system of dijoint compact arcs $C_j \subset Q$ (j = 1, ..., n) defined by the corresponding homeomorphisms $\psi_j : \langle a_j, b_j \rangle \to C_j$, we have by lemma 1.4

$$v^{C_j}(z) = \operatorname{var}\left[\vartheta_z^{\psi_j}; \langle a_j, b_j \rangle\right] \ge \Delta_{C_j} \operatorname{arg}\left(z\right),$$

whence we get writing $C = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} C_{i}$

$$v^{Q}(z) \ge v^{C}(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} v^{C_j}(z) \ge \sum_{j=1}^{n} \Delta_{C_j} \arg(z)$$
.

Fix now an arbitrary number

$$(15) d < v^{Q}(z).$$

By lemma 1.6 there is an increasing sequence of compact sets $K_n \subset Q$, each consisting of a finite number of disjoint compact arcs, such that (as $n \to \infty$)

$$K_n \nearrow Q \setminus Z$$
, $\mathscr{H}^1(Z) = 0$.

Consequently, $\mathscr{H}^1(\pi_z(Z)) = 0$ and for $\theta \in \Gamma \setminus \pi_z(Z)$ we have

$$N_z^{K_n}(\theta) \nearrow N_z^Q(\theta)$$
,

whence

$$v_z^{K_n}(z) = \int_{\Gamma} N_z^{K_n}(\theta) \, \mathrm{d} \mathscr{H}^1(\theta) \, \nearrow \int_{\Gamma} N_z^Q(\theta) \, \mathrm{d} \mathscr{H}^1(\theta) = y^Q(z) \, .$$

We can thus fix a natural number m with

$$v^{K_m}(z) > d.$$

If K_m consists of disjoint compact arcs C_j (j=1,...,k) defined by the homeomorphisms $\psi_j: \langle a_j, b_j \rangle \to C_j, \bigcup_{j=1}^k C_j = K_m$, then by lemma 1.4

$$\sum_{j=1}^k \operatorname{var} \left[\vartheta_z^{\psi_j}; \langle a_j, b_j \rangle \right] = \sum_{j=1}^k v^{C_j}(z) = v^{K_m}(z) > d.$$

We may thus fix numbers $d_j < \text{var} \left[\vartheta_z^{\psi_j}; \langle a_j, b_j \rangle \right]$ such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^k d_j \ge d.$$

For every j there are disjoint non-degenerate intervals

$$\langle a_i^1, b_i^1 \rangle, \dots, \langle a_i^{n_j}, b_i^{n_j} \rangle \subset \langle a_i, b_i \rangle$$

such that

$$\sum_{r=1}^{n_j} \left| \vartheta_z^{\psi_j} (b_j^r) - \vartheta_z^{\psi_j} (a_j^r) \right| > d_j.$$

Defining $C_i^r = \psi_i(\langle a_i^r, b_i^r \rangle)$ we get

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k} \sum_{r=1}^{n_j} \Delta_{C_j r} \arg(z) > \sum_{j=1}^{k} d_j \ge d$$

and the arcs C_j^r are mutually disjoint. This completes the proof of the equality (14).

2

In the introduction we have associated with every compact set $Q \subset \mathbb{C}$ and every $z \in \mathbb{C}$ the quantity $v^Q(z)$ (which is sometimes called the cyclic variation of Q at z). Estimates of the function $v^Q(\cdot)$ on $\mathbb{C} \setminus Q$ in terms of its supremum (1) on Q are useful in various investigations in potential theory (cf. [26]). In § 3 we shall need a precise form of this "maximum principle" in the following formulation.

2.1. Proposition. Let $Q \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a compact set having only a finite number of components and define V(Q) by (1). Then for any $z \in \mathbb{C}$ the estimate

$$(16) v^{Q}(z) \le \pi + V(Q)$$

holds.

Before going into the proof of this proposition we shall recall several known auxiliary results.

2.2. Remarks. Let $\psi: \langle a, b \rangle \to \mathbb{C}$ be a homeomorphism, $\psi(\langle a, b \rangle) = C$, and fix $\xi \in (a, b)$, $\psi(\xi) = \zeta$. We shall denote by $\vartheta_{\zeta+}^{\psi}(t)$ and $\vartheta_{\zeta-}^{\psi}(t)$ a continuous single-valued argument of $\psi(t) - \zeta$ on (ξ, b) and on (a, ξ) , respectively.

According to lemma 1.4

(17)
$$v^{c}(\zeta) = \operatorname{var} \left[\vartheta_{t+}^{\psi}; (\xi, b) \right] + \operatorname{var} \left[\vartheta_{t-}^{\psi}; \langle a, \xi \rangle \right],$$

so that the assumption $v^{c}(\zeta) < \infty$ implies the existence of the limits

$$\lim_{t\to\xi+}\vartheta^\psi_{\zeta+}(t)=\vartheta^\psi_{\zeta}(\xi+)\,,\quad \lim_{t\to\xi-}\vartheta^\psi_{\zeta-}(t)=\vartheta^\psi_{\zeta}(\xi-)$$

and, in particular, the existence of half-tangent vectors

$$\tau_+^{\psi}(\zeta) = \lim_{t \to \xi+} \frac{\psi(t) - \zeta}{|\psi(t) - \zeta|} = \exp i \, \vartheta_{\zeta}^{\psi}(\xi+),$$

$$\tau_-^{\psi}(\zeta) = -\lim_{t \to \xi-} \frac{\psi(t) - \zeta}{|\psi(t) - \zeta|} = -\exp i \, \vartheta_{\zeta}^{\psi}(\xi-).$$

Under the assumption

(18)
$$V(C) \equiv \sup_{z \in C} v^{c}(z) < \infty$$

(which will always be fulfilled below) the half-tangent vectors $\tau_+^{\psi}(\zeta)$, $\tau_-^{\psi}(\zeta)$ are thus available for all $\zeta \in C^0$.

We shall say that z is an angular point of C if either z is an end-point of C or else $z \in C^0$ and $\tau_+^{\psi}(z) \neq \tau_-^{\psi}(z)$. It is easily seen that the set of all angular points of C is at most countable (cf. [27], p. 464). Consequently, the set of those $\zeta \in C^0$ at which a unique tangent vector $\tau^{\psi}(\zeta) \equiv \tau^{\psi}(\zeta+) = \tau^{\psi}(\zeta-)$ exists is dense in C. [Of course, this follows also from the known fact that a rectifiable arc C has a unique tangent \mathscr{H}^1 – almost everywhere on C.]

Let us now suppose that $\zeta = \psi(\xi)$ is not an angular point of C and put $v = i \tau(\zeta)$ [here i denotes the imaginary unit],

$$A(\zeta) = \int_{\langle a,\zeta\rangle} d\vartheta_{\zeta-}^{\psi} + \int_{\langle \zeta,b\rangle} d\vartheta_{\zeta+}^{\psi} .$$

In accordance with 1.3 we denote by $\vartheta_z^{\psi}(t)$ a continuous single-valued argument of $\psi(t) - z$ on $\langle a, b \rangle$ whenever $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus C$. Then

(19₁)
$$\lim_{r\to 0} \left[\vartheta^{\psi}_{\zeta+r\nu}(b) - \vartheta^{\psi}_{\zeta+r\nu}(a) \right] = A(\zeta) + \pi ,$$

(19₂)
$$\lim_{r\to 0+} \left[\vartheta_{\zeta-r\nu}^{\psi}(b) - \vartheta_{\zeta-r\nu}^{\psi}(a)\right] = A(\zeta) - \pi,$$

as it follows from [28], th. 2.11 (cf. also 1.1 and 1.5). We have already seen in 1.3 that the function (10) has at most two limit values at ζ . Since the limits (19₁) and (19₂) are different, we conclude that $\{|A(\zeta) + \pi|, |A(\zeta) - \pi|\}$ is just the set of all limit values of the function (11) at ζ . Hence we obtain

2.3. Lemma. Let $C \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a compact arc satisfying (18) and suppose that $\zeta \in C$ is not an angular point of C. Then

(20)
$$\limsup_{z \to \zeta, \ z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus C} \Delta_C \arg(z) \leq v^C(\zeta) + \pi.$$

In particular, the set of those $\zeta \in C$ for which (20) holds is dense in C.

Proof. We have just seen that

(21)
$$\limsup_{z \to \zeta, \ z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus C} \Delta_C \arg(z) = \max\{ |A(\zeta) + \pi|, \ |A(\zeta) - \pi| \}.$$

Employing (17) we get

$$|A(\zeta)| \le \operatorname{var} \left[\vartheta_{\zeta^{-}}^{\psi}; \langle a, \xi \rangle\right] + \operatorname{var} \left[\vartheta_{\zeta^{+}}^{\psi}; \langle \xi, b \rangle\right] = v^{c}(\zeta)$$

which together with (21) yields (20).

Now we are in position to present the following

2.4. Proof of proposition 2.1. We may clearly suppose that $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus Q$ and (3) holds. Fix an arbitrary $d < v^{Q}(z)$. By proposition 1.7 there is a finite system of mutually disjoint compact arcs C_1, \ldots, C_n contained in Q such that

(22)
$$d < \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Delta_{C_i} \arg(z).$$

The function

$$q: \zeta \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Delta_{C_i} \arg(\zeta)$$

is continuous and subharmonic on the complement of $K = \bigcup_{j=1}^{n} C_j$ and

$$\lim_{\zeta \to \infty} q(\zeta) = 0.$$

Besides that, $q(\zeta) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} v^{C_j}(\zeta)$ is bounded on $\mathbb{C} \setminus K$ by proposition 1.5 in [28].

If $\eta \in C_1$, then the function

$$\zeta \mapsto \sum_{k=2}^{n} \Delta_{C_k} \arg(\zeta)$$

is continuous in the vicinity of η . Defining

$$w(\eta) = \limsup_{\zeta \to \eta, \ \zeta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus K} \Delta_{C_1} \arg(\zeta) + \sum_{k=2}^{n} \Delta_{C_k} \arg(\eta),$$

we have thus

(24)
$$\limsup_{\zeta \to \eta, \ \zeta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus K} q(\zeta) \leq w(\eta).$$

As we have observed in 1.3., w is a continuous function of the variable $\eta \in C_1^0$. If $\eta \in C_1^0$ is not an angular point of C_1 , then lemma 2.3 gives

$$w(\eta) \leq \pi + v^{C_1}(\eta) + \sum_{k=2}^{n} \Delta_{C_k} \arg(\eta) \leq \pi + \sum_{k=1}^{n} v^{C_j}(\eta) = \pi + v^{K}(\eta) \leq \pi + V(Q)$$
.

Since the inequality

$$(25) w \le \pi + V(Q)$$

holds on a dense subset of C_1 , we infer from the continuity of w that (25) holds everywhere on C_1^0 . According to (24) we have

(26)
$$\limsup_{\zeta \to \eta, \ \zeta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus K} q(\zeta) \leq \pi + V(Q)$$

for all $\eta \in C_1^0$. Of course, the same inequality holds for $\eta \in C_j^0$ for any j = 1, ..., n. We see that (26) holds for all but a finite number of points $\eta \in K$. This together with (23) and the boundedness of q permits us to conclude on account of the maximum principle for subharmonic functions that

(27)
$$q \le \pi + V(Q) \quad \text{on} \quad \mathbb{C} \setminus K.$$

Combining (27) and (22) we get

$$d < \pi + V(Q)$$
.

Since d was an arbitrary number satisfying $d < v^{Q}(z)$ we arrive at (16).

3

Now we shall supply the proof of our main result formulated in the introduction.

3.1. Proof of the theorem. Let $Q \in \mathbb{C}$ be a compact set with $V(Q) < \infty$ consisting of finitely many components. Let us consider an arbitrary compact set $H \subset Q$ with $\mathscr{H}^1(H) > 0$ and fix a $\delta \in (0, 1)$. Let $K_n \nearrow Q \setminus Z$ be a sequence of compact sets with the properties described in lemma 1.6, $\mathscr{H}^1(Z) = 0$. We have then for suitable $K = K_n$

$$\mathscr{H}^1(K \cap H) \geq \delta \mathscr{H}^1(H)$$
.

Let $K = \bigcup_{j=1}^m C_j$, where $C_j = \psi_j(\langle 0, 1 \rangle)$ are disjoint compact arcs and $\psi_j : \langle 0, 1 \rangle \to C_j$ are the corresponding homeomorphisms (j = 1, ..., m). Since $\mathscr{H}^1(Q) < \infty$ by lemma 1.5, each ψ_j must have bounded variation on $\langle 0, 1 \rangle$ and the same holds of real-valued functions Im $e^{i\alpha}\psi_j$, $\alpha \in \langle -\pi, \pi \rangle$. The identifinite variations of the functions ψ_j , Im $e^{i\alpha}\psi_j$ determine in the usual way Borel measures on $\langle 0, 1 \rangle$ which will be denoted by var ψ_j , var Im $e^{i\alpha}\psi_j$, respectively. Put $H_j = \psi_j^{-1}(H \cap C_j)$. Then there is a real-valued Baire function f_j on $\langle 0, 1 \rangle$ such that

$$|f_j| \le 1$$
, $f_j(\langle 0, 1 \rangle \setminus H_j) = \{0\}$,
$$\int_0^1 f_j \, d \operatorname{Im} \psi_j = \operatorname{var} \operatorname{Im} \psi_j(H_j).$$

Let us define for $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus H$

$$\Phi(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{f_{j}(t)}{\psi_{j}(t) - z} d\psi_{j}(t).$$

Note that $f_j = 0$ outside $H_j = \psi_j^{-1}(H)$, so that Φ is holomorphic on $\mathbb{C} \setminus H$; besides that,

$$\lim_{z\to\infty} \Phi(z) = 0$$

If $\theta_z^{\psi_j}$ denotes a continuous single-valued argument of $\psi_j - z$ on $\langle 0, 1 \rangle$, then we get from lemma 1.4

$$\left|\operatorname{Im} \Phi(z)\right| = \left|\sum_{j=1}^{m} \int_{0}^{1} f_{j} d\vartheta_{z}^{\psi j}\right| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{m} \operatorname{var} \left[\vartheta_{z}^{\psi j}; \langle 0, 1 \rangle\right] = \sum_{j=1}^{m} v^{C_{j}}(z) \leq v^{Q}(z),$$

which together with (16) implies

(28)
$$\left|\operatorname{Im}\,\Phi(z)\right| \leq \pi + V(Q).$$

Next we obtain

(29)
$$\left| \Phi'(\infty) \right| = \lim_{z \to \infty} \left| z \; \Phi(z) \right| =$$

$$= \left| \sum_{j=1}^{m} \int_{0}^{1} f_{j} \; \mathrm{d}\psi_{j} \right| \ge \left| \sum_{j=1}^{m} \int_{0}^{1} f_{j} \; \mathrm{d} \operatorname{Im}\psi_{j} \right| = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \operatorname{var} \operatorname{Im}\psi_{j}(H_{j}).$$

The inequality (28) permits us to conclude that the function

$$F = \frac{1 - \exp \frac{\pi \Phi}{2(V(Q) + \pi)}}{1 + \exp \frac{\pi \Phi}{2(V(Q) + \pi)}}$$

belongs to A(H, 1) and (29) results in

$$|F'(\infty)| = \frac{\pi}{4(V(Q) + \pi)} |\Phi'(\infty)| \ge \frac{\pi}{4(V(Q) + \pi)} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \operatorname{var} \operatorname{Im} \psi_{j}(H_{j}).$$

Consequently, by the definition of the analytic capacity,

$$\gamma(H) \ge \frac{\pi}{4(V(Q) + \pi)} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \text{var Im } \psi_j(H_j).$$

Since the analytic capacity is invariant with respect to rotations, we have also for any $\alpha \in \langle -\pi, \pi \rangle$

$$\gamma(H) \ge \frac{\pi}{4(V(Q) + \pi)} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \text{var Im } e^{i\alpha} \psi_j(H_j).$$

Using the well-known formula

$$\frac{1}{4} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \operatorname{var} \operatorname{Im} e^{i\alpha} \psi_{j}(H_{j}) d\alpha = \mathscr{H}^{1}(H \cap C_{j})$$

(cf. [33], lemma 13 on p. 59 and also the definition of the so-called linear variation on p. 17) we get

$$\gamma(H) \geq \frac{1}{2(V(Q)+\pi)} \sum_{j=1}^m \mathscr{H}^1(H \cap C_j) = \frac{1}{2(V(Q)+\pi)} \mathscr{H}^1(H).$$

Since $\delta \in (0, 1)$ was arbitrarily chosen, we arrive at

$$\gamma(H) \ge \frac{1}{2(V(Q) + \pi)} \, \mathscr{H}^1(H)$$

and the proof is complete.

3.2. Corollary. Let $Q \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a compact set with (3) consisting of finitely many components. Then, for any compact set $H \subset Q$, the inequalities

(30)
$$\frac{1}{2(V(Q)+\pi)} \mathcal{H}^1(H) \leq \gamma(H) \leq \mathcal{H}^1(H)$$

are valid; in particular,

$$\gamma(H) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{H}^1(H) = 0$$
.

Proof. The first inequality occurring in (30) has been proved in 3.1, while the second inequality (which can be further improved) is known — it follows from the elementary fact that $\gamma(H) \leq r_1 + \ldots + r_n$ if H can be covered by circular discs of radii r_1, \ldots, r_n (cf. [4]).

3.3. Corollary. Let $Q \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a compact set with $V(Q) < \infty$ consisting of finitely many components. Then for each couple of compact sets $H_j \subset Q$ (j = 1, 2) the inequality

$$\gamma(H_i \cup H_2) \le 2(V(Q) + \pi) \left[\gamma(H_1) + \gamma(H_2) \right]$$

is true.

Proof. This follows at once from the inequalities established in 3.2.

3.4. Remark. The above corollary shows that the analytic capacity γ is semi-additive on subsets of Q provided Q has the properties described in 3.3. Further comments on the semi-additivity property of the analytic capacity may be found in [29].

References

- [1] L. Ahlfors: Bounded analytic functions, Duke Math. J. 14 (1947), 1-11.
- [2] L. Ahlfors, A. Beurling: Conformal invariants and function theoretic null sets, Acta Mathematica 83 (1950), 101–129.
- [3] *А. Г. Витушкин:* Аналитическая емкость и некоторые её свойства, Доклады АН СССР *123* (1958), 778—781.
- [4] *А. Г. Витушкин*: Аналитическая емкость в задачах теории приближений, Успехи матем. наук 22 (1967), 142—199.
- [5] L. Sario, M. Nakai: Classification theory of Riemann surfaces, Springer-Verlag 1970.
- [6] L. Zaleman: Analytic capacity and rational approximation, Lecture Notes in Math. vol. 50, Springer-Verlag 1968.
- [7] T. W. Gamelin: Uniform algebras, Prentice-Hall 1969.
- [8] J. Garnett: Analytic capacity and measure, Lecture Notes in Math. vol. 217, Springer-Verlag 1972.
- [9] С. Я. Хавинсон: О стирании особенностей Литовский математический сборник III (1963), 271—287.
- [10] В. П. Хавин, С. Я. Хавинсон: Некоторые оценки аналитической емкости, Доклады АН СССР 138 (1961), 789—792.
- [11] Ch. Pommerenke: Über die analytische Kapazität, Archiv der Math. 11 (1960), 270-277.
- [12] P. Painlevé: Sur les lignes singulières des fonctions analytiques, Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse, Sci. Math. et Sci. Phys. 2 (1888), 1-130.
- [13] А. Г. Витушкин: Пример множества положительной длины, но нулевой аналитической емкости, Доклады АН СССР 127 (1959), 246—249.
- [14] J. Garnett: Positive length but zero analytic capacity, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 24 (1970), 696-699.
- [15] Л. Д. Иванов: О гипотезе Данжуа, Успехи матем. наук 18 (1964), 147—149.
- [16] Л. Д. Иванов: Вариации множеств и функций, Изд. "Наука", Москва 1975.
- [17] Н. А. Широков: Аналитическая емкость множеств, близких к гладкой кривой, Вестник Ленинград. Ун-та 4 (1973), № 19, 73—78, 153.
- [18] A. M. Davie: Analytic capacity and approximation problems, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 171 (1972), 409-444.
- [19] T. Radó, P. V. Reichelderfer: Contincous transformations in Analysis, Springer-Verlag 1955.
- [20] J. Král: Hladké funkce s nekonečnou cyklickou variací, Čas. pěst. mat. 93 (1968), 178-185.

- [21] T. Ważewski: Kontinua prostowalne w związku z funkcjami i odwzorowaniami absolutnie ciagłemi, Ann. Soc. Polon. Math. 3, Suppl. (1927), 9-49.
- [22] K. Kuratowski: Topologie II, Warszawa 1952.
- [23] J. Štulc, J. Veselý: Souvislost cyklické a radiální variace cesty s její délkou a ohybem, Čas. pěst. mat. 93 (1968), 80—116.
- [24] Г. Е. Перевалов: О мере множеств, лежащих на плоских континуумах, Сибир. матем. жур. III (1962), 573—581.
- [25] J. Král: Some inequalities concerning the cyclic and radial variations of a plane path-curve, Czechoslovak Math. J. 14 (1964), 271-280.
- [26] J. Král: Teorie potenciálu I, Stát. ped. nakl. Praha 1965.
- [27] J. Král: The Fredholm radius in potential theory, Czechoslovak Math. J. 15 (1965), 454-473, 565-588.
- [28] J. Král: Non-tangential limits of the logarithmic potential, Czechoslovak Math. J. 14 (1964), 455-482.
- [29] S. Jacobson: Pointwise bounded approximation and analytic capacity of open sets, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 218 (1976), 261—283.
- [30] J. Matyska: An example of removable singularities for bounded holomorphic functions, to appear.
- [31] A. P. Calderón: Cauchy integrals on Lipschitz curves and related operators, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 4 (74) 1977, 1324—1327.
- [32] В. П. Хавин: Граничные свойства интегралов типа Коши и гармонически сопряженных функций в областях со спрямляемой границей, Матем. сборник т. 68 (110) 1965, 499—517
- [33] А. Г. Витушкин: О многомерных вариациях, Москва 1955.

Authors' address: 115 67 Praha 1, Žitná 25, ČSSR (Matematický ústav ČSAV).