Ján Ohriska Oscillation of n-th order linear and nonlinear delay differential equations

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 32 (1982), No. 2, 271-274

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/101801

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1982

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

OSCILLATION OF *n*-TH ORDER LINEAR AND NONLINEAR DELAY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

JÁN OHRISKA, KOŠICE

(Received February 27, 1981)

This paper is a continuation of the paper [3]. Likewise as in [3] we consider the equation

(1)
$$u^{(n)}(t) + p(t) |u(\tau(t))|^{\alpha} \operatorname{sign} u(\tau(t)) = 0$$
,

where

- (i) $0 \leq p(t) \in C_{[t_0,\infty)}$, p(t) is not identically zero in any neighborhood $O(\infty)$, (ii) $\tau(t) \in C_{[t_0,\infty)}$, $\tau(t) \leq t$, $\lim_{t \to \infty} \tau(t) = \infty$,
- (iii) $n \geq 2, \ \alpha \geq 1.$

Without mentioning them again, we shall assume the validity of conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) throughout the paper.

Suppose that there exist solutions of the equation (1) on an interval of the form $[b, \infty)$, where $b \ge t_0$. In the sequel we shall use the term "solution" only to denote a solution which exists on $[b, \infty)$ where $b \ge t_0$. Moreover, we shall exclude from our considerations solutions of (1) with the property that $u(t) \equiv 0$ for $t \ge T_1 \ge t_0$.

A solution u(t) of (1) is called *oscillatory* for $t \ge t_0$ if there exists an infinite sequence of points $\{s_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ such that $u(s_i) = 0$ and $s_i \to \infty$ for $i \to \infty$. A solution u(t) of (1) is called *nonoscillatory* if there exists a number $T_2 \ge t_0$ such that $u(t) \neq 0$ for $t \ge T_2$. A nonoscillatory solution is said to be *strongly monotone*, if it tends monotonically to zero together with its first n - 1 derivatives as $t \to \infty$.

In this paper we extend the main result obtained in [3], which gives sufficient conditions for all solutions of (1) to be oscillatory in the case n is even and for every solution of (1) to be either oscillatory or strongly monotone when n is odd.

Let us denote $\gamma(t) = \sup \{s \ge t_0 \mid \tau(s) \le t\}$ for $t \ge t_0$. It is clear that $t \le \gamma(t)$ and $\tau(\gamma(t)) = t$.

In the paper [3] (if we take into consideration the remark on p. 494) the following three assertions are proved.

Theorem 1. Let $\alpha \ge 1$ and let the equation (1) have an unbounded nonoscillatory

solution. Then

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty} \sup t^{n-1} \int_{\gamma(t)}^{\infty} p(x) \, \mathrm{d}x = 0 \,, \quad if \quad \alpha > 1$$

and

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\sup t^{n-1}\int_{\gamma(t)}^{\infty}p(x)\,\mathrm{d}x\leq (n-1)!\,,\quad if\quad \alpha=1\,.$$

Theorem 2. Let $\alpha > 0$ and

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty} \sup t^{n-1} \int_t^\infty p(x) \, \mathrm{d}x = \infty \; .$$

Then, for n even, all nonoscillatory solutions of (1) are unbounded, while, for n odd, every nonoscillatory solution of (1) is either unbounded or strongly monotone.

Theorem 3. Let $\alpha > 1$. If

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty} \sup t^{n-1} \int_{\gamma(t)}^{\infty} p(x) \, \mathrm{d}x > 0$$

and

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty} \sup t^{n-1} \int_t^\infty p(x) \, \mathrm{d}x = \infty ,$$

then every solution of (1) is oscillatory if n is even, and is either oscillatory or strongly monotone if n is odd.

It is clear that in the same way as we obtained (in [3]) Theorem 3 from Theorems 1 (case $\alpha > 1$) and 2, we obtain the following result from Theorems 1 (case $\alpha = 1$) and 2.

Theorem 4. Let $\alpha = 1$. If

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty}\sup t^{n-1}\int_{\gamma(t)}^{\infty}p(x)\,\mathrm{d}x>(n-1)!$$

and

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty} \sup t^{n-1} \int_t^\infty p(x) \, \mathrm{d}x = \infty ,$$

then every solution of (1) is oscillatory if n is even, and is either oscillatory or strongly monotone if n is odd.

The study of the paper [3] shows that all considerations remain valid also in the case $\tau(t) \equiv t$. Then the above theorems are valid for the ordinary differential equation

(2)
$$u^{(n)}(t) + p(t) |u(t)|^{\alpha} \operatorname{sign} u(t) = 0.$$

Because $\gamma(t) \equiv t$ if $\tau(t) \equiv t$, so according to Theorems 3 and 4 we can formulate the following theorem.

272

Theorem 5. Let $\alpha \ge 1$. If

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\sup t^{n-1}\int_t^\infty p(x)\,\mathrm{d}x=\infty\,,$$

then every solution of (2) is oscillatory if n is even, and is either oscillatory or strongly monotone if n is odd.

Now we may state an assertion which will be useful for a comparison of assumptions of the theorems.

Lemma 1. Let f(t) be a continuous and nonnegative function defined on a neighborhood $O(\infty)$. Let k be a natural number. If

(3)
$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\sup t^k\int_t^{\infty}f(x)\,\mathrm{d}x=\infty\,,$$

then

(4)
$$\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{k} f(t) dt = \infty.$$

Proof. Suppose that the condition (3) holds. Then, by Theorem 5, every solution of the equation

$$v^{(k+1)}(t) + f(t) |v(t)|^{\alpha} \operatorname{sign} v(t) = 0, \quad \alpha > 1,$$

is oscillatory if k is odd, and is either oscillatory or strongly monotone if k is even. This together with Theorem 2 in [1] (a necessary and sufficient condition for oscillation of our equation) implies that the condition (4) holds and the lemma is proved.

It is evident that the result of Theorem 2 has a considerable influence on the results of Theorems 3, 4 and 5. Therefore, we present Theorem 2.1 in [2], more precisely its corollary 2.1, which has (for $\tau(t) \leq t$ and also for $\tau(t) \equiv t$) the following wordig.

Theorem 6. Let $\alpha > 0$. The equation (1) has a nonoscillatory solution u(t) such that $\lim_{t \to \infty} u(t) = a \neq 0$ if and only if

$$\int^{\infty} t^{n-1} p(t) \, \mathrm{d}t < \infty \; .$$

Now, Theorems 1 and 6 yield the following results.

Theorem 7. Let $\alpha > 1$. If

이 것 같은 소리가 가락하는 것	۴œ		
(5)	lim sup t^{n-1}	p(x) dx	> 0
	t→∞	1.00	
and			

and

(6)
$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t^{n-1} p(t) dt = \infty,$$

273

Martin Starte Daniel Starter

then every solution of (1) is oscillatory if n is even, and is either oscillatory or strongly monotone if n is odd.

Proof. On the contrary, let u(t) be a nonoscillatory solution of (1). Moreover, let u(t) be not strongly monotone if n is odd. Then, by (5) and Theorem 1, u(t) must be bounded. On the other hand, by (6) and Theorem 6, u(t) must be unbounded if n is even, and u(t) must be either unbounded or strongly monotone if n is odd. This is a contradiction and the theorem is proved.

The proofs of the following two theorems are the same as that of Theorem 7 and thus are omitted.

Theorem 8. Let $\alpha = 1$. If

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty}\sup t^{n-1}\int_{\gamma(t)}^{\infty}p(x)\,\mathrm{d}x>(n-1)!$$

and

$$\int^{\infty} t^{n-1} p(t) dt = \infty ,$$

then every solution of (1) is oscillatory if n is even, and is either oscillatory or strongly monotone if n is odd.

Theorem 9. Let $\alpha = 1$. If

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty} \sup t^{n-1} \int_t^\infty p(x) \, \mathrm{d}x > (n-1)!$$

and

$$\int^{\infty} t^{n-1} p(t) \, \mathrm{d}t = \infty \,,$$

then every solution of (2) is oscillatory if n is even, and is either oscillatory or strongly monotone if n is odd.

Remark. From Lemma 1 we know that Theorems 7, 8 and 9 are better than Theorems 3, 4 and 5.

References

- [1] I. Ličko and M. Švec: Le caractère oscillatoire des solutions de l'équation $y^{(n)} + f(x)y^{\alpha} = 0$, n > 1. Czech. Math. J. 13 (1963), 481-491.
- [2] H. Onose: Oscillation and asymptotic behavior of solutions of retarded differential equations of arbitrary order. Hiroshima Math. J. 3 (1973), 333-360.
- [3] J. Ohriska: Sufficient conditions for the oscillation of n-th order nonlinear delay differential equation. Czech. Math. J. 30 (105), (1980), 490-497.

Author's address: 041 54 Košice, Komenského 14, ČSSR (Katedra matematickej analýzy Univerzity P. J. Šafárika).

274