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# EQUIVALENCE OF VON NEUMANN REGULAR AND IDEMPOTENT MATRICES 

D. Huylebrouck, Aveiro

(Received January 17, 1989)

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let $R$ be a ring (here: always with unity) and $A \in M_{m \times n}(R)$; if $X \in M_{n \times m}(R)$ is such that $A X A=A$ then $X$ is said to be a von Neumann regular inverse of $A$ (notation: $X \in A\{1\})$. In case $A$ is von Neumann regular, an arbitrary von Neumann regular inverse of $A$ will be denoted by $A^{(1)}$. The set of all $X$ such that $A X A=A$ and $X A X=X$ will be denoted by $A\{1,2\}$, and if such an $X$ exists, it will be denoted by $\tilde{A}$. It can be shown that if $A$ is von Neumann regular, it also has an $\tilde{A}$.

The diagonal matrix

$$
\left[\begin{array}{llll}
d_{1} & & & \\
& d_{2} & & \\
& & \ddots & \\
& & & d_{r}
\end{array}\right]=\operatorname{diag}\left[d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{r}\right]
$$

will be denoted by $\mathrm{dg}_{d}$.
A diagonal matrix which is von Neumann regular has a von Neumann regular inverse $\operatorname{dg}_{d}^{(1)}=\operatorname{diag}\left[d_{1}^{(1)}, d_{2}^{(1)}, \ldots, d_{r}^{(1)}\right]$. If in the diagonal matrix $\operatorname{dg}_{d}$ all diagonal elements are 1 , then we denote this $r$ by $r$ unit matrix by $1_{r}$. In [10] the following definition of an ID-ring was introduced:

Definition. A ring $R$ is called an $I D$-ring iff every idempotent matrix over $R$ is diagonalizable; i.e. for all $E \in M_{n i}(R), n \in \mathbb{N}, E^{2}=E$ there exist invertible matrices $P, Q \in M_{n}(R)$ such that $P E Q=\operatorname{dg}_{e}=\operatorname{diag}\left[e_{1}, \ldots, e_{r}, 0, \ldots, 0\right]$, with $\operatorname{dg}_{e}=\operatorname{dg}_{e}^{2}=$ $=\mathrm{dg}_{e}^{T}$.

It can be shown that an idempotent matrix $E$ is equivalent to another idempotent matrix iff it is similar to that idempotent matrix; so, in the above definition we may suppose that $Q=P^{-1}$.

Any von Neumann regular matrix over an artinian ring or over an ID-domain, is equivalent to a diagonal idempotent matrix (see [4]). The class of ID-rings over which this remains true, will be extended. However, we first will consider the more general problem of the equivalence of a von Neumann regular matrix to an idempotent matrix (diagonal or not).

## 2. EQUIVALENCE OF VON NEUMANN REGULAR TO IDEMPOTENT MATRICES

The equivalence of von Neumann regular matrices to idempotent matrices is related to a cancellation law for modules. In accordance with existing definitions (see [2] and [11]) we call a ring $R$ semi-cancellative iff it follows from ${ }^{n} R=A \oplus$ $\oplus B=C \oplus D$ and $A \cong C$ that $B \cong D$ for all right (finitely generated) $R$-modules $A, B, C$, and $D$ over $R$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proposition. Every square von Neumann regular matrix over a ring $R$ is equivalent to an idempotent matrix iff $R$ is semi-cancellative.
Proof. $\Rightarrow$ Let ${ }^{n} R=A \oplus B=C \oplus D$; take idempotent matrices $E_{A}, E_{B}, E_{C}$ and $E_{D}$ such that $A=E_{A} \cdot{ }^{n} R, B=E_{B} \cdot{ }^{n} R, C=E_{C} \cdot{ }^{n} R$ and $D=E_{D} \cdot{ }^{n} R . A \cong C$ means that matrices $X^{\prime}$ and $Y^{\prime}$ exist over $R$ such that $E_{A}=X^{\prime} Y^{\prime}, Y^{\prime} X^{\prime}=E_{C}$. Let $X$ be the matrix $X=E_{A} X^{\prime} E_{C}$ and $Y=E_{C} Y^{\prime} E_{A}$. Then $X$ will be an $n \times n$ von Neumann regular matrix; so there exist invertible matrices $P$ and $Q$ over $R$ such that $X=P G Q$, $G^{2}=G$ over $r$. If one takes $Q^{-1} G P^{-1} \in X\{1,2\}$, then $E_{A} \cdot{ }^{n} R=\operatorname{Im} X Y=$ $=\operatorname{Im} P G P^{-1}$. It follows that $E_{A}=T G T^{-1}$ for some invertible $T$. In the same way $E_{A}=S^{-1} G S$ for some $S$. So $E_{B} \cong 1-G \cong E_{D}$ and $B$ and $D$ are isomorphic.
$\Leftarrow$ If $A \in M_{n}(R)$ has a von Neumann regular inverse $\tilde{A}$, then $A \tilde{A} \cdot{ }^{n} R \oplus(1-A \tilde{A})$. ${ }^{n} R={ }^{n} R=\tilde{A} A \cdot{ }^{n} R \oplus(1-\tilde{A} A) .{ }^{n} R$. Since $R$ is semi-cancellative, it follows from $A \tilde{A} \cong \tilde{A} A$ that $1-A \tilde{A} \cong 1-\tilde{A} A$; thus $1-A \tilde{A}=X Y$ and $1-\tilde{A} A=Y X$, for some $X$, $Y$ over $R$. Then $A=(A+(1-A \tilde{A}) X(1-\tilde{A} A)) \tilde{A} A$ and $(A+(1-A \tilde{A})$. . $X(1-\tilde{A} A))^{-1}=\tilde{A}+(1-\tilde{A} A) Y(1-A \tilde{A})$. So $A$ is equivalent to the idempotent matrix $\tilde{A} A$.

Corollary. A square von Neumann regular matrix $A$ is equivalent to a matrix $A \tilde{A}$, for some von Neumann regular inverse $\tilde{A}$, iff it is equivalent to all $A X$, for all $X \in A\{1\}$.

Proof. If $A=P A \tilde{A} Q$ and $X \in A\{1\}$ then ${ }^{\bullet} \operatorname{Im} A=\operatorname{Im} A \tilde{A}=\operatorname{Im} A X$ so $A \tilde{A}=$ $=T A X T^{-1}$ for some invertible $T$. Thus $A=(P T) A X\left(T^{-1} Q\right)$.

In the proposition, only square matrices were considered.This is not an essential restriction. Indeed, if every square von Neumann regular matrix is equivalent to an idempotent matrix, then every rectangular matrix $A \in M_{m \times n}(R)$ will be equivalent to a matrix

$$
F^{\prime}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
F & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]_{m, n}, \quad F^{2}=F .
$$

If, for example, $m<n$, then $A$ can be completed by zero rows such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\begin{array}{c}
A \\
0
\end{array}\right] \in M_{n}(R) \text {. Then }\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\tilde{A} & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
A \\
0
\end{array}\right]=P G P^{-1},} \\
& {\left[\begin{array}{c}
A \\
0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\tilde{A} & 0
\end{array}\right]=Q^{-1} G Q \text { for an } \tilde{A} \in A\{1,2\}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and an idempotent $G=G^{2}$. From $\left[A^{T} 0\right]^{T}[\tilde{A} 0]=Q^{-1} G Q$ it follows that $Q^{-1} G Q=$ $=F^{\prime}$ (in which $F=A \widetilde{A}$ ) and thus $\tilde{A} A=P G P^{-1}=P Q Q^{-1} G Q Q^{-1} P^{-1}=$ $=(P Q) F^{\prime}(P Q)^{-1}$. Hence: $A=A \tilde{A} \cdot A \cdot \tilde{A} A=\left(F A P Q\left[F^{T} 0\right]^{T}+1-F\right) \cdot[F 0]$. . $Q^{-1} P^{-1}$ and $\left(F A P Q\left[F^{T} 0\right]^{T}+1-F\right)^{-1}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}F & 0\end{array}\right] . Q^{-1} P^{-1} \widetilde{A} F+1-F$.

Examples. 1. Consider a vector space $\mathscr{V}$ over a field $F$ with a field $F$ with a denumerable basis ( $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots$ ) over $F$ (see [3] and [5]). With respect to this basis one can consider the (non finite) matrices

$$
\left.U=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 1 & \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] . . .\right] \text { and } V=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & \cdots \\
1 & 0 & \\
\vdots & & \\
0 & 1 & \cdots \\
\vdots & \vdots &
\end{array}\right]
$$

$U$ is von Neumann regular, and $V \in \bigcup\{1,2\}$; now $U V=1, V U=\operatorname{diag}[0,1,1, \ldots]$, and here $1-U V=0$ is not isomorphic to $1-V U=\operatorname{diag}[1,0,0, \ldots]$. So the ring of linear transformations over the vector space $\mathscr{V}$ has von Neumann regular elements that are not equivalent to an idempotent matrix.
2. R. Puystjens and J. Van Geel gave the following example of a von Neumann regular matrix that is not equivalent to an idempotent matrix. Take $\mathscr{W}=F\left[x, y, \delta_{0}\right]$ where $x, y$ are variables and $\delta_{0}$ the derivation given by $x y-y x=1 . \mathscr{W}$ (the Weylalgebra) is then a Noetherian simple domain.

Consider the matrix

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
x & 0 \\
y & 0
\end{array}\right] ;
$$

it is von Neumann regular, but not equivalent an idempotent matrix. Using the proposition, we can deduce this result in another way.

Consider

$$
\tilde{A}=\left[\begin{array}{rr}
-y & x \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right] ;
$$

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A \tilde{A}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
-x y & x^{2} \\
-y^{2} & y x
\end{array}\right], \quad \tilde{A} A=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \\
& 0
\end{array}\right], \quad 1-A \tilde{A}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1+x y & -x^{2} \\
y^{2} & 1-y x
\end{array}\right], \\
& 1-\tilde{A} A=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

G. S. Rinehart (see [9]) noticed that $\left(x^{2}, y x-1\right)$ is not a principal ideal; hence $1-A \tilde{A}$ is not free. However, the other three idempotent matrices are isomorphic to a unit matrix. The following decompositions are obtained:

$$
{ }^{2} R:=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
-x y & x^{2} \\
-y^{2} & y x
\end{array}\right] \cdot{ }^{2} R \oplus\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1+x y & -x^{2} \\
y^{2} & 1-y x
\end{array}\right] \cdot{ }^{2} R=\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
\\
\end{array}\right] \cdot{ }^{2} R \oplus\left[\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
\\
\\
1
\end{array}\right] \cdot{ }^{2} R .
$$

The terms on the left of each direct sum are isomorphic, but not those on the right.

By the proposition, it follows that $A$ cannot be equivalent to an idempotent matrix.
3. Any von Neumann regular matrix over a Dedekind domain is equivalent to an idempotent matrix, but not necessarily to a diagonal one.

Indeed, suppose $R$ is a commutative Dedekind domain. It follows from the Steinitz-Chevalley theory, that if ${ }^{n} R=A \oplus B=C \oplus D$ and $A \cong C$ then either
i) $A \cong C \cong{ }^{r} R$, and thus $B \cong D \cong{ }^{n-r} R$; or
ii) $A \cong C \cong{ }^{r-1} R \oplus I$, and thus $B \cong D \cong{ }^{n-r-2} R \oplus J$.

Hence, any von Neumann regular matrix $A$ over a Dedekind domain is equivalent to
i) a diagonal idempotent matrix $\operatorname{diag}\left[1_{r}, 0\right]$; or
ii) to a matrix of the form

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1_{r-1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & E & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right], \quad \text { with } E \in M_{2 \times 2}(R) \text { idempotent, non-diagonalizable. }
$$

## 3. DIAGONALIZATION OF VON NEUMANN AND IDEMPOTENT MATRICES

The following proposition considers the diagonalizability of a von Neumann regular matrix over an ID-ring. It is not supposed that the diagonal matrix to which the von Neumann regular matrix is equivalent, should be idempotent.

Proposition. Let $R$ be an ID-ring; then every von Neumann regular matrix $A \in M_{m \times n}(R)$ can be diagonalized iff for every pair of isomorphic diagonal idempotent matrices $\operatorname{dg}_{e}^{2}=\mathrm{dg}_{e} \cong \mathrm{dg}_{f}=\mathrm{dg}_{f}^{2}$ a von Neumann regular matrix $\mathrm{dg}_{x}$ exists such that $\mathrm{dg}_{e}=K \mathrm{dg}_{x} \mathrm{dg}_{x}^{(1)} K^{-1}, \mathrm{dg}_{f}=L^{-1} \mathrm{dg}_{x}^{(1)} \mathrm{dg}_{x}$ L for some $\mathrm{dg}_{x}^{(1)}$ of $\mathrm{dg}_{x}$ and invertible $K, L$.

Proof. $\Rightarrow$ Suppose every von Neumann regular matrix can be diagonalized. If $\mathrm{dg}_{e} \cong \mathrm{dg}_{f}$ then there exist matrices $X, Y$ over $R$ such that $\mathrm{dg}_{e}=X Y, Y X=\mathrm{dg}_{f}$ or else: $\mathrm{dg}_{e}=\left(\mathrm{dg}_{e} X \mathrm{dg}_{f}\right) \cdot\left(\mathrm{dg}_{f} Y \mathrm{dg}_{e}\right)$ and $\mathrm{dg}_{f}=\left(\mathrm{dg}_{f} Y \mathrm{dg}_{e}\right) \cdot\left(\mathrm{dg}_{e} X \mathrm{dg}_{f}\right)$.

Now $\operatorname{dg}_{e} X \mathrm{dg}_{f}$ is von Neumann regular; so $\operatorname{dg}_{e} X \mathrm{dg}_{f}=P \mathrm{dg}_{x} Q$ wiht $P, Q$ invertible. Thus $\mathrm{dg}_{x}$ will be von Neumann regular too. Then $Q^{-1} \mathrm{~d} g_{x}^{(1)} P^{-1} \in$ $\in \operatorname{dg}_{e} X \operatorname{dg}_{f}\{1,2\}$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Im} X=\operatorname{Im~dg} \\
& =\operatorname{Im~}_{e} X \mathrm{dg}_{f} X Q^{-1} \operatorname{dg}_{f} \operatorname{dg}_{f} Y \operatorname{dg}_{e}=\operatorname{Im~dg} P_{e} .
\end{aligned}
$$

So $\mathrm{dg}_{e}=K \mathrm{dg}_{x} \mathrm{dg}_{x}^{(1)} K^{-1}$. Similarly, $\mathrm{dg}_{f}=L^{-1} \mathrm{dg}_{x}^{(1)} \mathrm{dg}_{x} L$.
$\Leftarrow$ Let $\tilde{A} \in A\{1,2\}$; there exist invertible matrices $P$ and $Q$ such that $A \tilde{A}=$ $=P \operatorname{dg}_{e} P^{-1}, \tilde{A} A=Q^{-1} \operatorname{dg}_{f} Q$, for $R$ is an ID-ring. The given condition assures that $A \tilde{A}=P K \operatorname{dg}_{x} \operatorname{dg}_{x}^{(1)} K^{-1} P^{-1}, \tilde{A} A=Q^{-1} L^{-1} \mathrm{dg}_{x}^{(1)} \mathrm{dg}_{x} L Q$. So

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=A \tilde{A} A \tilde{A} A=P K \mathrm{dg}_{x} \mathrm{dg}_{x}^{(1)} K^{-1} P^{-1} A Q^{-1} L^{-1} \mathrm{dg}_{x}^{(1)} \mathrm{dg}_{x} L Q= \\
& =(P K) \mathrm{dg}_{x}\left[\operatorname{dg}_{x}^{(1)}(P K)^{-1} A(L Q)^{-1} \mathrm{dg}_{x}^{(1)} \mathrm{dg}_{x}+1-\mathrm{dg}_{x}^{(1)} \mathrm{dg}_{x}\right](L Q) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\mathrm{dg}_{x}^{(1)}(P K)^{-1} A(L Q)^{-1} \mathrm{dg}_{x}^{(1)} \mathrm{dg}_{x}+1-\mathrm{dg}_{x}^{(1)} \mathrm{dg}_{x}\right] .} \\
& \cdot\left[\mathrm{dg}_{x}^{(1)} \mathrm{dg}_{x}(L Q) \tilde{A}(P K) \mathrm{dg}_{x}+1-\mathrm{dg}_{x}^{(1)} \mathrm{dg}_{x}\right]= \\
& =\operatorname{dg}_{x}^{(1)}(P K)^{-1} A \cdot \tilde{A} A \cdot \tilde{A}(P K) \mathrm{dg}_{x}+1-\mathrm{dg}_{x}^{(1)} \mathrm{dg}_{x}= \\
& =\mathrm{dg}_{x}^{(1)} \mathrm{dg}_{x} \mathrm{dg}_{x}^{(1)} \mathrm{dg}_{x}+1-\mathrm{dg}_{x}^{(1)} \mathrm{dg}_{x}=1
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\mathrm{dg}_{x}^{(1)} \mathrm{dg}_{x}(L Q) \tilde{A}(P K) \mathrm{dg}_{x}+1-\mathrm{dg}_{x}^{(1)} \mathrm{dg}_{x}\right] .} \\
& \cdot\left[\mathrm{dg}_{x}^{(1)}(P K)^{-1} A(L Q)^{-1} \mathrm{dg}_{x}^{(1)} \mathrm{dg}_{x}+1-\mathrm{dg}_{x}^{(1)} \mathrm{dg}_{x}\right]= \\
& =\operatorname{dg}_{x}^{(1)} \mathrm{dg}_{x}(L Q) \tilde{A} \cdot A \tilde{A} \cdot A(L Q)^{-1} \mathrm{dg}_{x}^{(1)} \mathrm{dg}_{x}+1-\mathrm{dg}_{x}^{(1)} \mathrm{dg}_{x}=1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 1. Over a commutative ID-ring every von Neumann regular matrix can be diagonalized.

Proof. Let $R$ be a commutative ID-ring. A Steger (see [10]) has shown that any idempotent matrix is in that case equivalent to a diagonal idempotent matrix $\operatorname{diag}\left[e_{1}, \ldots, e_{r}, 0, \ldots, 0\right]$, on which the conditions that $e_{1} \mid e_{1+1}, \forall i \in\{1, \ldots, r-1\}$, may be imposed.

If $A \in M_{m \times n}(R)$, and $\tilde{A} \in A\{1,2\}$, invertible matrices $P$ and $Q$ exist such that $A \tilde{A}=P \operatorname{dg}_{e} P^{-1} \quad$ with $\quad \operatorname{dg}_{e}=\operatorname{diag}\left[e_{1}, \ldots, e_{r}, 0, \ldots, 0\right]=\operatorname{dg}^{2}, \quad e_{1} \mid e_{i+1}, \quad \forall i \in$ $\in\{1, \ldots, r-1\}$ and $\tilde{A} A=Q^{-1} \operatorname{dg}_{f} Q$ with $\operatorname{dg}_{f}=\operatorname{diag}\left[f_{1}, \ldots, f_{s}, 0, \ldots, 0\right]=$ $=\operatorname{dg}^{2}, f_{1} \mid f_{i+1}, \forall i \in\{1, \ldots, s-1\}$. Suppose $r<s$; since $\operatorname{dg}_{e}=P^{-1} A Q^{-1} \cdot Q \tilde{A} P$ and $\mathrm{dg}_{f}=\mathrm{Q} \tilde{A} P . P^{-1} A Q^{-1}, \mathrm{dg}_{e}$ and $\mathrm{dg}_{f}$ are isomorphic idempotent matrices.

So

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lll}
e_{1} & & \\
& \ddots & \\
& & \\
& & \\
e_{r}
\end{array}\right] \text { and }\left[\begin{array}{lll}
f_{1} & & \\
& \ddots & \\
& & \\
& & \\
s
\end{array}\right]
$$

are isomorphic idempotent matrices too.
There exist $s \times s$ matrices $X$ and $Y$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\begin{array}{llll}
e_{1} & & & \\
& \ddots & & \\
& & e_{r} & \\
& & & 0
\end{array}\right]=X Y, \quad Y X=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
f_{1} & & & \\
& \ddots & & \\
& & \ddots & \\
& & & f_{s}
\end{array}\right] . \operatorname{So} \operatorname{det}\left[\begin{array}{llll}
e_{1} & & & \\
& \ddots & \\
& & e_{r} & \\
& & & 0
\end{array}\right]=0} \\
& =\operatorname{det} X . \operatorname{det} Y=\operatorname{det}\left(\operatorname{diag}\left[f_{1}, \ldots, f_{s}\right]\right)=f_{s} \text {, so } f_{s}=0 \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

If $r>s$, then in the same way it is obtained that $e_{r}=0$.
If $r=s$, there exist $s \times s$ matrices $X^{\prime}$ and $Y^{\prime}$ such that

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lll}
e_{1} & & \\
& \ddots & \\
& \ddots & \\
& & \\
e_{r}
\end{array}\right]=X^{\prime} \cdot Y^{\prime}, \quad Y^{\prime} \cdot X^{\prime}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
f_{1} & & \\
& \ddots & \\
& & \\
& & f_{s}
\end{array}\right]
$$

and thus: $e_{r}=\operatorname{det}\left(\operatorname{diag}\left[e_{1}, \ldots, e_{r}, 0, \ldots, 0\right]\right)=\operatorname{det} X^{\prime} . \operatorname{det} Y^{\prime}=$
$=\operatorname{det}\left(\operatorname{diag}\left[f_{1}, \ldots, f_{s}\right]\right)=f_{s}$. Since $\operatorname{diag}\left[e_{1}, \ldots, e_{r-1}, e_{r}\right]$ and $\operatorname{diag}\left[f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r-1}, f_{r}\right]=$ $=\operatorname{diag}\left[f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r-1}, e_{r}\right]$ are isomorphic, $\operatorname{diag}\left[e_{1}, \ldots, e_{r-1}, e_{r}, 1-e_{r}\right]$ and $\operatorname{diag}\left[f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}, 1-e_{r}\right]$ are isomorphic too. $\operatorname{But} \operatorname{diag}\left[e_{r}, 1-e_{r}\right] \cong \operatorname{diag}[1,0]$, so $\operatorname{diag}\left[e_{1}, \ldots, e_{r-1}, 1,0\right] \cong \operatorname{diag}\left[f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r-1}, 1,0\right]$. By repetition of the given argument: $f_{r}=e_{r}, f_{r-1}=e_{r-1}, \ldots, f_{1}=e_{1}$.

So it follows from $\operatorname{dg}_{e}^{2}=\operatorname{dg}_{e} \cong \operatorname{dg}_{f}=\mathrm{dg}_{f}^{2}$ that $\mathrm{dg}_{e}=\mathrm{dg}_{f}$ (so, $L=K=1_{r}$ and $\mathrm{dg}_{x}=\mathrm{dg}_{x}^{(1)}$ in the proposition). Thus, every von Neumann regular matrix over $R$ can be diagonalized.

The following property, which has been studied in several papers (see for example [1], [4] and the references given in these papers), is now a corollary of the above proposition.

Corollary 2. If $R$ is an ID-ring with 0 and 1 as its only idempotent elements, then every von Neumann regular matrix over $R$ is diagonalizble.

Proof. In this case all diagonal idempotent matrices are of the form $1_{s}$. Hence if $1_{s} \cong 1_{t}$ then $1_{s}=X Y$ and $1_{t}=Y X$ for some $X \in M_{s \times t}(R)$ and $Y \in M_{t \times s}(R)$. So $1_{s}=X 1_{t} 1_{t} X^{-1}$ and of course $1_{t}=1_{t}, 1_{t}$. Thus the conditions of the proposition are satisfied (Take $K=X, L=1_{t}$ and $\operatorname{dg}_{x}=1_{t}$ ).

From the proofs of the corollaries it also follows that in these two cases a von Neumann regular matrix is equivalent to diagonal idempotent matrix.

Applicatiins. 1. R. Puystjens and J. Van Geel have formulated the following conjecture: "If $R$ is an ID-ring and $A$ is a von Neumann regular matrix, then $A X$ is equivalent to $A$, for each von Neumann regular inverse $X$ of $A$."

If one considers the Weyl-algebra $\mathscr{W}=F\left[x, y, \delta_{0}\right]$ then $M_{2}(\mathscr{W})$ is a left and right principal ideal ring (see [6]). Every matrix over the ring $M_{2}(\mathscr{W})$ can thus be diagonalized (see [7]). In particular $M_{2}(\mathscr{W})$ is an ID-ring. The element

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
x & 0 \\
y & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

of this ring is however not equivalent to an idempotent element although it is von Neumann regular. Thus, every von Neumann regular matrix over $M_{2}(\mathscr{W})$ is equivalent to diagonal matrix, but not necessarily an idempotent one.

This illustrates the given proposition and provides a counter example to the conjecture.
2. Let $R$ be an arbitrary ring (with unity); an element $a \in R$ is called

- von Neumann regular iff there is an element $b \in R$ such that $a b a=a$.
- unit regular iff there is a unit $u \in R$ (i.e. $u$ has a two-sided inverse) such that $a u a=a$.
A ring $R$ is called partially unit regular (abbreviation p.u.r.) iff every regular element is unit regular. Hall, Hartwig, Katz and Newman have formulated the following open question (see [1]): "Does $R$ being p.u.r. always imply that $M_{n \times n}(R)$ is p.u.r.?"

The answer to this question is negative: the Weyl-algebra $\mathscr{W}$ is a domain, so it is a p.u.r. ring. It was shown above that there exist a 2 by 2 von Neumann regular matrix $A$ over $\mathscr{W}$ which is not equivalent to an idempotent matrix. Hence, $M_{2 \times 2}(\mathscr{W})$ cannot be p.u.r. (if $A U A=A$ for some invertible $U$, then $U A U A=U A$ so $U A$ is idempotent; thus $A=U^{-}(U A)$ and $A$ would be equivalent to an idempotent matrix).
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