Erich Barvínek Fundamental central dispersions in a double system  $\langle \langle \mathfrak{G} \rangle \rangle$ 

Archivum Mathematicum, Vol. 7 (1971), No. 4, 145--152

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/104748

## Terms of use:

© Masaryk University, 1971

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

## FUNDAMENTAL CENTRAL DISPERSIONS IN A DOUBLE SYSTEM <<6>>

E. BARVÍNEK, BRNO Received November 15, 1970

1. The double system  $\langle \langle \mathfrak{G} \rangle \rangle$ . The fundament of the abstract theory of dispersions is an arbitrary group  $\mathfrak{G}$  (with the unit  $\iota$ ) in which, in addition to the fundamental subgroup  $\mathfrak{F}$ , is still an invariant subgroup  $\mathfrak{P}$  of the index 2. Besides the decomposition  $\mathfrak{G}/_r\mathfrak{F}$  we have also the decomposition  $\mathfrak{P}/_r(\mathfrak{P} \cap \mathfrak{F})$ . The one-to-one mapping of all classes  $\mathfrak{F}\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{G}$  onto the set of all carriers determines also a one-to-one mapping of all classes ( $\mathfrak{P} \cap \mathfrak{F}$ )  $\beta$ ,  $\beta \in \mathfrak{P}$ , but generally only into the set o fall carriers, see fig. 1.



Fig. 1.

In every class  $\Im \alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{G}$  there is always contained at most one class  $(\mathfrak{P} \cap \mathfrak{F}) \beta$ ,  $\beta \in \mathfrak{P}$ , namely  $\mathfrak{P} \cap \mathfrak{F} \alpha$ , since  $(\mathfrak{P} \cap \mathfrak{F}) \beta \subseteq \mathfrak{F} \alpha$  gives  $\beta \in \mathfrak{F} \alpha$  and thus  $\mathfrak{F} \beta = \mathfrak{F} \beta$  and, with regard to the circumstance of  $\beta \in \mathfrak{P}$ , we have  $(\mathfrak{P} \cap \mathfrak{F}) \beta = \mathfrak{P} \cap \mathfrak{F} \beta = \mathfrak{P} \cap \mathfrak{F} \alpha$ .

Iff for any  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{G}$  there is  $\mathfrak{P} \cap \mathfrak{F} \alpha \neq \emptyset$ , every class  $\mathfrak{F} \alpha, \alpha \in \mathfrak{G}$  will contain just one class  $(\mathfrak{P} \cap \mathfrak{F}) \beta$ ,  $\beta \in \mathfrak{P}$  since for arbitrary  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{G}$  there exists  $\beta \in \mathfrak{P} \cap \mathfrak{F} \alpha$  and thus  $(\mathfrak{P} \cap \mathfrak{F}) \beta \subseteq \mathfrak{F} \alpha$ , see Fig. 2.



For an arbitrary subgroup  $\mathfrak{A} \subseteq \mathfrak{G}$  let us denote  $\mathfrak{Q} = \mathfrak{G} \setminus \mathfrak{A}$  the complement,  $\mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{A} = \{x \in \mathfrak{G}; x\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{A}x\}$  the normalizator,  $\mathfrak{A} = \{x \in \mathfrak{G}; x\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a}x \text{ for all } \mathfrak{a} \in \mathfrak{G}\}$  the centralizator,  $\mathfrak{A} = \{x \in \mathfrak{G}; x\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a}^{-1}x \text{ for all } \mathfrak{a} \in \mathfrak{A}\}$  the invertor, and  $\mathfrak{A} = \{x \in \mathfrak{A}; x\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a}x \text{ for all } \mathfrak{a} \in \mathfrak{A}\}$  the centre of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . 1.1. Lemma. Have arbitrary subgroups \$ and \$ in an arbitrary group 6. Then the following statements are equivalent

a) for any  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{G}$  there holds  $\mathfrak{P} \cap \mathfrak{F} \alpha \neq \emptyset$ ,

b) for any  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{G}$  there holds  $\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{P} \alpha \neq \emptyset$ .

If, moreover,  $\mathfrak{P}$  has the index 2, then the mentioned statements are equivalent with any of the statements

c) there holds  $\mathfrak{F} \cap {}^{c}\mathfrak{P} \neq \emptyset$ ,

d) for any  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{G}$  there holds  $\mathfrak{O}\mathfrak{P} \cap \mathfrak{F} \alpha \neq \emptyset$ .

Proof. The equivalence of the statements a), b) follows from the equality  $\mathfrak{P} \cap \mathfrak{Fa} = (\mathfrak{Pa}^{-1} \cap \mathfrak{F}) \alpha$ . Their equivalence with the statement c) follows from that  $\mathfrak{PP} = \mathfrak{P}\gamma$  for arbitrary  $\gamma \in \mathfrak{PP}$  so that then  $\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{PP} \neq \emptyset \equiv \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{PP} \neq \emptyset$  for any  $\gamma \in \mathfrak{PP}$ , whereas for any  $\beta \in \mathfrak{P}$  there is  $\mathfrak{P\beta} = \mathfrak{P}$  and thus  $\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{P\beta} = \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{P} \neq \emptyset$  automatically. The equivalence of the statement d) with b) follows from the equality  $\mathfrak{PP} \cap \mathfrak{Fa} = (\mathfrak{Pa}^{-1} \cap \mathfrak{F}) \alpha = (\mathfrak{Pa}^{-1} \cap \mathfrak{F}) \alpha$  for arbitrary  $\gamma \in \mathfrak{PP}$ .

**1.2. Definition.** A double system  $\langle\langle \mathfrak{G} \rangle\rangle$  will be called an arbitrary group  $\mathfrak{G}$  (with the unit  $\iota$ ) in which a so-called fundamental subgroup  $\mathfrak{F}$  and an invariant subgroup  $\mathfrak{P}$  of the index 2 are given, where the centre 3 of the subgroup  $\mathfrak{P} \cap \mathfrak{F}$  is an infinite cyclic group (with a generator  $\varepsilon$ ) whereas the centre of the fundamental subgroup  $\mathfrak{F}$  is trivial.

**1.3. Corollary.**  $\mathfrak{F} \cap {}^{\circ}\mathfrak{P} \neq \emptyset$ .

Proof. There holds  $\mathfrak{F} \cap {}^{\circ}\mathfrak{P} = \emptyset \equiv \mathfrak{F} \subseteq \mathfrak{P} \equiv \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{P} = \mathfrak{F} \Rightarrow {}^{3}(\mathfrak{P} \cap \mathfrak{F}) = {}^{3}\mathfrak{F}$  and this is a contradiction.

**1.4. Lemma.** For arbitrary  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{G}$  there holds  $3(\alpha^{-1}(\mathfrak{P} \cap \mathfrak{F}) \alpha) = \alpha^{-1} \Im \alpha$ .

Proof. The function  $y = \alpha^{-1}x\alpha$ ,  $x \in \mathfrak{F}$  is an isomorphism of  $\mathfrak{F}$  onto  $\alpha^{-1}\mathfrak{F}\alpha$  where  $\mathfrak{P} \cap \mathfrak{F}$  is mapped on  $\alpha^{-1}(\mathfrak{P} \cap \mathfrak{F}) \alpha$  and  $\mathfrak{Z}$  on  $\alpha^{-1}\mathfrak{Z}\alpha$ . We have  $\gamma \in \mathfrak{Z} \equiv x\gamma = \gamma x$  for all  $x \in \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{P} \equiv y(\alpha^{-1}\gamma\alpha) = (\alpha^{-1}\gamma\alpha) y$  for all  $y \in \alpha^{-1}(\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{P}) \alpha \equiv \alpha^{-1}\gamma\alpha \in \mathfrak{S}^{-1}(\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{P}) \alpha$ .

**1.5. Corollary.** For all  $\alpha \in \mathcal{F}$  there holds  $\alpha^{-1}\beta\alpha = \beta$ , and thus  $\beta$  is an invariant subgroup in  $\mathcal{F}$ .

**1.6.** Theorem. For any  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{F} \cap {}^{c}\mathfrak{P}$  there holds  $\alpha \varepsilon = \varepsilon^{-1}\alpha$ .

**Proof.** Since  $\alpha$  transforms 3 on 3, it transforms  $\varepsilon$  to  $\varepsilon$  or to  $\varepsilon^{-1}$ , and thus there holds  $\alpha^{-1}\varepsilon\alpha = \varepsilon^{\pm 1}$  with a suitable sign. Because of  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{P}$  and  $\mathfrak{P}$  having the index 2, there is  $\tilde{\alpha} = \alpha\beta$ ,  $\beta \in \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{P}$  a one-to-one mapping of  $\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{P}$  onto  $\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{P}$ . If  $\alpha^{-1}\varepsilon\alpha = \varepsilon$ , then for all  $\tilde{\alpha}$  we should have  $\tilde{\alpha}^{-1}\varepsilon\tilde{\alpha} = \beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1}\varepsilon\alpha\beta = \beta^{-1}\varepsilon\beta = \varepsilon$  and thus  $\varepsilon \in \mathfrak{F}$ , which is a contradiction. Therefore it necessarily holds  $\alpha^{-1}\varepsilon\alpha = \varepsilon^{-1}$ .

1.7. Remark. In the system  $\langle\langle \mathfrak{G} \rangle\rangle$  we have not only a one-to-one correspondence between all carriers q and all classes  $\mathfrak{F}\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{G}$ , but also between all carriers q and all classes  $(\mathfrak{P} \cap \mathfrak{F}) \beta$ ,  $\beta \in \mathfrak{P}$  owing to the condition  $\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{P} \neq \emptyset$ . Every class  $(\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{P}) \beta$ ,  $\beta \in \mathfrak{P}$  is of the form  $(e, q) \cap \mathfrak{P}$  for just one carrier q where  $\beta \in (e, q)$ .

The condition  $\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{P} \neq \emptyset$ , or its equivalent  $\mathfrak{P} \cap \mathfrak{F} \alpha \neq \emptyset$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{G}$  resp., guarantees that for any complex  $\alpha^{-1}\mathfrak{F} A$ ,  $\alpha$ ,  $A \in \mathfrak{G}$  there exist phases  $\beta$ ,  $B \in \mathfrak{P}$  such that  $\alpha^{-1}\mathfrak{F} A = \beta^{-1}\mathfrak{F} B$  because for arbitrary  $\beta \in \mathfrak{P} \cap \mathfrak{F} \alpha$ ,  $B \in \mathfrak{P} \cap \mathfrak{F} A$  we have  $\alpha^{-1}\mathfrak{F} A = (\alpha^{-1}\mathfrak{F})(\mathfrak{F} A) = (\beta^{-1}\mathfrak{F})(\mathfrak{F} B) = \beta^{-1}\mathfrak{F} B$ . Hence it further follows that  $\mathfrak{P} \cap \mathfrak{F} \alpha^{-1}\mathfrak{F} A = \mathfrak{P} \cap \beta^{-1}\mathfrak{F} B = \beta^{-1}(\mathfrak{P} \cap \mathfrak{F}) B$  so that between all complexes  $\alpha^{-1}\mathfrak{F} A$  in  $\mathfrak{G}$  and all complexes  $\beta^{-1}(\mathfrak{P} \cap \mathfrak{F}) B$  in  $\mathfrak{P}$  we have a one-to-one correspondence on the basis of the equation  $\mathfrak{P} \cap \alpha^{-1}\mathfrak{F}A = \beta^{-1}(\mathfrak{P} \cap \mathfrak{F}) B$ . I. e. every complex  $\beta^{-1}(\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{P})\beta$ in  $\mathfrak{P}$  is of the form  $(q, Q) \cap \mathfrak{P}$  where  $\beta \in (e, Q), B \in (e, Q)$ .

**1.8. Lemma.** For  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta \in (e, q)$  there holds  $\alpha^{-1} \varepsilon \alpha = \beta^{-1} \varepsilon \beta$  iff, either  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta \in \mathfrak{P} \cap (e, q)$  or  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta \in {}^{c}\mathfrak{P} \cap (e, q)$ .

Proof. For  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta \in (e, q)$  we have  $\alpha^{-1} \epsilon \alpha = \beta^{-1} \epsilon \beta \equiv \beta \alpha^{-1} \epsilon = \epsilon \beta \alpha^{-1} \equiv \beta \alpha^{-1} \epsilon \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{F} \equiv$  $\cdot \equiv \beta \in (e, q) \cap \mathfrak{P} \alpha \equiv \alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{P} \cap (e, q) \text{ or } \alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{P} \cap (e, q).$ 

**1.9. Corollary.** All  $\alpha \in (e, q) \cap \mathfrak{P}$  transform  $\varepsilon$  to the only and same element  $\varphi$ , whereas all  $\beta \in {}^{c}\mathfrak{P} \cap (e, q)$  transform  $\varepsilon$  to the element  $\varphi^{-1}$  or  $\varepsilon^{-1}$  to  $\varphi$ .

1.10. Remark. In comparison with  $\langle \mathfrak{G} \rangle$  the inclusion  $\mathfrak{F} \subseteq {}^{n}\mathfrak{Z}$  holds in  $\langle \langle \mathfrak{G} \rangle \rangle$ , but it does not hold an inclusion like  $\mathfrak{F} \subseteq {}^{z}\mathfrak{Z}$ . Further in  $\langle \langle \mathfrak{G} \rangle \rangle$ , for  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{G}$ , we have  $\alpha^{-1}\epsilon\alpha = \beta^{-1}\epsilon\beta$  iff  $\beta\alpha^{-1} \in {}^{z}\mathfrak{Z}$ . According to 1.6., moreover, we have here that  ${}^{z}\mathfrak{Z} \cap (\mathfrak{F} \cap {}^{c}\mathfrak{P}) = \emptyset$ , and evidently  $\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{P} \subseteq {}^{z}\mathfrak{Z}$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{F} \cap {}^{z}\mathfrak{Z} \subseteq \mathfrak{P}$  and thus  $\mathfrak{F} \cap {}^{z}\mathfrak{Z} \subseteq \mathfrak{P} \cap \mathfrak{F} \subseteq {}^{z}\mathfrak{Z} \cap \mathfrak{F}$  so that there holds  $\mathfrak{F} \cap {}^{z}\mathfrak{Z} = \mathfrak{P} \cap \mathfrak{F}$ . As a matter of fact, it is another proof of the lemma 1.8.

Further, in  $\langle\langle \mathfrak{G} \rangle\rangle$  for  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{G}$  we have  $\alpha^{-1}\Im \alpha = \beta^{-1}\Im \beta$  iff  $\beta \alpha^{-1} \in {}^{\mathbf{n}}\Im$ .

**1.11.** Theorem. For an arbitrary carrier q the centre  ${}^{3}(\mathfrak{P} \cap (q, q))$  of the subgroup  $\mathfrak{P} \cap (q, q)$  is an infinite cyclic group. For all  $\alpha \in (e, q)$  there holds  ${}^{3}(\mathfrak{P} \cap (q, q)) = \alpha^{-1}\beta\alpha$ . One of its generators is  $\alpha^{-1}\epsilon\alpha$ , independently on the choice of  $\alpha$  in  $\mathfrak{P} \cap (e, q)$ , the second is  $\beta^{-1}\epsilon\beta$ , independently on the choice of  $\beta$  in  ${}^{\circ}\mathfrak{P} \cap (e, q)$ , being necessarily  $(\beta^{-1}\epsilon\beta)^{-1} = \alpha^{-1}\epsilon\alpha$ .

Proof. We are going to link up with 1.4. Every subgroup  $\alpha^{-1}(\mathfrak{P} \cap \mathfrak{F}) \alpha$ , conjugated with  $\mathfrak{P} \cap \mathfrak{F}$  by means of the element  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{G}$  is of the form  $\mathfrak{P} \cap (q, q)$  for a suitable carrier q, where  $\alpha \in (e, q)$ , see 1.7., and vice versa, for every carrier there is  $\mathfrak{P} \cap (q, q) = \alpha^{-1}(\mathfrak{P} \cap \mathfrak{F}) \alpha$  where  $\alpha \in (e, q)$  being arbitrary.

According to 1.4. it is evident that for all  $\alpha \in (e, q)$   ${}^{3}(\mathfrak{P} \cap (q, q)) = \alpha^{-1}\Im\alpha$  is an infinite cyclic group with generators  $\alpha^{-1}\varepsilon^{\pm 1}\alpha$ . For all  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{P} \cap (e, q)$ , according to 1.8.,  $\alpha^{-1}\varepsilon\alpha$  is always the same generator, whereas for all  $\beta \in {}^{\circ}\mathfrak{P} \cap (e, q)$  is  $\beta^{-1}\varepsilon\beta$  the other generator. At the same time  $\beta\alpha^{-1}\in {}^{\circ}\mathfrak{P} \cap \mathfrak{F}$  and thus  $\beta\alpha^{-1}\varepsilon = \varepsilon^{-1}\beta\alpha^{-1}$  or  $\beta^{-1}\varepsilon^{-1}\beta = \alpha^{-1}\varepsilon\alpha$  or  $(\beta^{-1}\varepsilon\beta)^{-1} = \alpha^{-1}\varepsilon\alpha$ .

**1.12.** Definition. Put  $\varphi_q = \beta^{-1} \varepsilon \beta$  for every carrier q, where  $\beta \in \mathfrak{P} \cap (e, q)$ . Iff for all  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{P}$  there holds  $\{q; \mathfrak{Q} \cap (q, q)\} = \alpha^{-1} \mathfrak{Q} \alpha \} = \{q; \varphi_q = \alpha^{-1} \varepsilon \alpha\}$ , then  $\varphi_q$  is called the central dispersion of the carrier q, and  $\langle\langle \mathfrak{G} \rangle\rangle$  is called the system with fundamental central dispersions.

1.13. Remark. In the system  $\langle\langle \mathfrak{G} \rangle\rangle$  with fundamental central dispersions the same centres have the same central dispersion without regard to which carriers they belong.

**1.14.** Theorem. If  $\varphi$  is a fundamental central dispersion, then  $\varphi^{-1}$  is not a fundamental central dispersion for any carrier.

Proof. If  $\varphi^{-1} = \alpha^{-1} \varepsilon \alpha$  were for some  $\alpha \in (e, q) \cap \mathfrak{P}$ , then it would be  $\varphi^{-1} \in \mathfrak{I}(\mathfrak{P} \cap (q, q))$  and also  $\varphi \in \mathfrak{I}(\mathfrak{P} \cap (q, q))$ , where  $\varphi = \alpha^{-1} \varepsilon \alpha$  owing to  $\varphi$  being a fundamental central dispersion. Hence  $\varphi^{-1} = \alpha^{-1} \varepsilon^{-1} \alpha$  and thus  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon^{-1}$ , which is a contradiction.

**1.15.** Lemma. If for one  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{P}$  there is  $\{q; \mathfrak{I}(\mathfrak{P} \cap (q, q)) = \alpha^{-1}\mathfrak{I}\alpha\} = \{q; \varphi_q = \alpha^{-1}\mathfrak{E}\alpha\}$ , then it holds  $\mathfrak{P}_{\mathfrak{I}} \cap \mathfrak{P} = \mathfrak{P}_{\mathfrak{I}} \cap \mathfrak{P}$  and  $\mathfrak{P}_{\mathfrak{I}} \cap \mathfrak{O} \mathfrak{P} = \mathfrak{I}_{\mathfrak{I}} \cap \mathfrak{O} \mathfrak{P}$ .

**Proof.** Put  $N = \{q; \Im(\mathfrak{P} \cap (q, q)) = \alpha^{-1}\Im\alpha\}$ . Let us mention that  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{P}$ .

I. There holds  $\bigcup_{q \in N} (e, q) \cap \mathfrak{P} = (\mathfrak{n} \mathfrak{Z} \cap \mathfrak{P}) \alpha$  because  $\beta \in \bigcup_{q \in N} (e, q) \cap \mathfrak{P} \equiv \beta \in (e, q) \cap \mathfrak{P}$  $\bigcap \mathfrak{P}, \quad q \in N \equiv \stackrel{q \in N}{\beta \in (e, q)} \cap \mathfrak{P}, \quad {}^{3}\mathfrak{P} \cap (q, q)) = \beta^{-1}\beta\beta = \stackrel{q \in N}{\alpha^{-1}}\beta\alpha \equiv \beta^{-1}\beta\beta = \alpha^{-1}\beta\alpha, \\ \beta \in \mathfrak{P} \equiv \beta\alpha^{-1} \in {}^{n}\beta \cap \mathfrak{P} \equiv \beta \in ({}^{n}\beta \cap \mathfrak{P})\alpha.$ 

II. There holds  $\bigcup_{q \in N} (e, q) \cap {}^{c}\mathfrak{P} = ({}^{n}\mathfrak{Z} \cap {}^{c}\mathfrak{P})\alpha$  because  $\beta \in \bigcup_{q \in N} (e, q) \cap {}^{c}\mathfrak{P} \equiv \beta \in (e, q) \cap {}^{c}\mathfrak{P}, \ \mathfrak{g} \in \mathbb{N} = \beta \in (e, q) \cap {}^{c}\mathfrak{P}, \ \mathfrak{g} \in \mathbb{N} = \beta \in (e, q) \cap {}^{c}\mathfrak{P}, \ \mathfrak{g} \in \mathbb{N} = \beta = \alpha^{-1}\mathfrak{Z}\alpha \equiv \beta \in (e, q) \cap {}^{c}\mathfrak{P}, \ \mathfrak{g} \in \mathbb{N} = \beta \in (e, q) \cap {}^{c}\mathfrak{P}, \ \mathfrak{g} \in \mathbb{N} = \beta^{-1}\mathfrak{Z}\beta = \alpha^{-1}\mathfrak{Z}\alpha \equiv \beta \in (e, q) \cap {}^{c}\mathfrak{P}$  $\equiv \beta^{-1}\beta\beta = \alpha^{-1}\beta\alpha, \ \beta \in {}^{c}\mathfrak{P} \equiv \beta\alpha^{-1} \in {}^{n}\beta \cap {}^{c}\mathfrak{P} \equiv \beta \in ({}^{n}\beta \cap {}^{c}\mathfrak{P})\alpha.$ 

III. Suppose that  $N = \{q; \varphi_q = \alpha^{-1} \epsilon \alpha\}$ . We are going to show that  $n_3 \cap \mathfrak{P} \subseteq$  $\subseteq {}^{z}3 \cap \mathfrak{P}, {}^{n}3 \cap {}^{c}\mathfrak{P} \subseteq {}^{i}3 \cap {}^{c}\mathfrak{P}.$  For  $\gamma \in {}^{n}3 \cap \mathfrak{P}$  put  $\beta = \gamma \alpha$ . Then  $\beta \in ({}^{n}3 \cap \mathfrak{P})\alpha$ and thus  $\beta \in \bigcup_{q \in N} (e, q) \cap \mathfrak{P}$ , and consequently  $\beta^{-1} \varepsilon \beta = \alpha^{-1} \varepsilon \alpha$  so that  $\beta \alpha^{-1} \in {}^{\mathbb{Z}}\mathfrak{Z}$  and

therefore  $\gamma \in {}^{z}\mathfrak{Z} \cap \mathfrak{P}$ . Similarly put  $\beta = \gamma \alpha$  for  $\gamma \in {}^{n}\mathfrak{Z} \cap {}^{c}\mathfrak{P}$ . Then  $\beta \in ({}^{n}\mathfrak{Z} \cap \mathfrak{P}) \alpha$ and accordingly  $\beta \in \bigcup_{q \in N} (e, q) \cap {}^{c}\mathfrak{P}$  so that, according to 1.9., we have  $\beta^{-1}\varepsilon^{-1}\beta =$ 

 $= \alpha^{-1} \epsilon \alpha$  and thus  $\beta \alpha^{-1} \in {}^{i}3$  and consequently  $\gamma \in {}^{i}3 \cap {}^{c}\mathfrak{P}$ .

IV. In the system  $\langle \langle \mathfrak{G} \rangle \rangle$  always holds  ${}^{n}\mathfrak{Z} = {}^{z}\mathfrak{Z} \cup {}^{i}\mathfrak{Z}$  and thus  ${}^{z}\mathfrak{Z} \cap \mathfrak{P} =$  $\subseteq$   $n_3 \cap \mathfrak{P}$ ,  $i_3 \cap \mathfrak{O} \mathfrak{P} \subseteq n_3 \cap \mathfrak{O} \mathfrak{P}$ . Hence with regard to III. the assertion follows.

**1.16. Lemma.** In the system  $\langle \langle \mathfrak{G} \rangle \rangle$  the statements are equivalent

- a)  ${}^{n}3 \cap \mathfrak{P} = {}^{z}3 \cap \mathfrak{P}, \; {}^{n}3 \cap {}^{c}\mathfrak{P} = {}^{i}3 \cap {}^{c}\mathfrak{P},$
- $\cdot {}^{\mathbf{n}}\mathfrak{Z} \cap {}^{\mathbf{c}}\mathfrak{P} = {}^{\mathbf{i}}\mathfrak{Z},$ b)  $^{n}3 \cap \mathfrak{P} = {}^{z}3,$
- c)  $^{n}3 \cap \mathfrak{P} = {}^{\mathbf{z}}3$ ,
- d)  $^{n}3 \cap ^{\circ}\mathfrak{P} = ^{i}3,$
- e) for arbitrary q and arbitrary  $\alpha \in (e, q)$  in the denotation  $\varphi_q = \hat{\alpha}^{-1} \epsilon \tilde{\alpha}$  for  $\tilde{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{P} \cap (e, q)$  there holds  $\beta \in \mathfrak{P} \cap (\mathfrak{n} \mathfrak{Z}) \alpha \equiv \beta \varphi_{\mathfrak{q}} = \varepsilon \beta$ ,
- f) for arbitrary q and arbitrary  $\alpha \in (e, q)$  in the denotation  $\varphi_q = \tilde{\alpha}^{-1} \epsilon \tilde{\alpha}$  for  $\tilde{a} \in \mathfrak{P} \cap (e, q)$  there holds  $\beta \in {}^{c}\mathfrak{P} \cap ({}^{n}\mathfrak{Z}) \alpha \equiv \beta \varphi_{q} = \varepsilon^{-1}\beta$ ,
- g) for arbitrary  $\alpha \in {}^{n}\mathfrak{Z} \cap \mathfrak{P}$  and arbitrary  $\beta \in {}^{n}\mathfrak{Z} \cap {}^{\circ}\mathfrak{P}$  there holds  $\alpha^{-1}\varepsilon \alpha = \beta^{-1}\varepsilon^{-1}\beta$ ,
- h) for arbitrary  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{G}$  there holds  $\alpha^{-1} \varepsilon \alpha = \beta^{-1} \varepsilon^{-1} \beta$  iff  $\alpha, \beta$  are in the same class of the decomposition  $\mathfrak{G}/r^n\mathfrak{Z}$  and in the opposite classes of the factor group G/¥.

**Proof.** I. Evidently b)  $\Rightarrow$  a). Let a) hold. Then  $z_3 \cap c_{\mathfrak{P}} = (z_3 \cap c_{\mathfrak{P}}) \cap$  $\cap ({}^{\mathbf{n}}3 \cap {}^{\mathbf{c}}\mathfrak{P}) = {}^{\mathbf{z}}3 \cap {}^{\mathbf{i}}\mathfrak{P} \cap {}^{\mathbf{c}}\mathfrak{P} = \emptyset \text{ and thus } {}^{\mathbf{z}}3 \subseteq \mathfrak{P} \text{ so that } {}^{\mathbf{n}}3 \cap \mathfrak{P} = {}^{\mathbf{z}}3.$ Similarly  ${}^{i}3 \cap \mathfrak{P} = ({}^{i}3 \cap \mathfrak{P}) \cap ({}^{n}3 \cap \mathfrak{P}) = {}^{i}3 \cap {}^{z}3 \cap \mathfrak{P} = \emptyset$  and consequently  ${}^{i}3 \subseteq {}^{c}\mathfrak{P}$  so that  ${}^{n}3 \cap {}^{c}\mathfrak{P} = {}^{i}3$ . We have proved that a)  $\Rightarrow$  b).

II. Evidently b)  $\Rightarrow$  c), d) Let c) hold. Then  ${}^{1}3 = {}^{n}3 \setminus {}^{z}3 = {}^{n}3 \cap {}^{cz}3 = {}^{n}3 \cap$  $\cap c(n_3 \cap \mathfrak{P}) = n_3 \cap (cn_3 \bigcup c\mathfrak{P}) = n_3 \cap c\mathfrak{P}$ . We can see that  $c) \Rightarrow d$ , b). Similarly d)  $\Rightarrow$  c), b).

III. Let q be an arbitrary carrier. Put  $\varphi_g = \tilde{\alpha}^{-1} \varepsilon \tilde{\alpha}$  for  $\tilde{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{P} \cap (e, q)$ . For arbitrary  $\alpha \in (e, q)$  there is  $(n_3) \alpha = (n_3) \tilde{\alpha}$  because  $\tilde{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{F} \alpha$ . There holds  $\beta \varphi_g = \varepsilon \beta \equiv \beta^{-1} \varepsilon \beta = \beta^{-1} \varepsilon \beta$  $=\tilde{\alpha}^{-1}\epsilon\tilde{x}\equiv\beta\tilde{\alpha}^{-1}\epsilon^{x}3.$  From the other side  $\check{\beta}\tilde{\alpha}^{-1}\epsilon^{n}3\cap\mathfrak{P}\equiv\beta\epsilon\mathfrak{P}\tilde{\alpha}\cap(^{n}3)\alpha=$  $= \mathfrak{P} \cap (\mathfrak{n} \mathfrak{Z}) \alpha$ . We can see that, iff c) holds, then  $\beta \varphi_g = \varepsilon \beta \equiv \beta \in \mathfrak{P} \cap (\mathfrak{n} \mathfrak{Z}) \alpha$  holds for arbitrary  $\alpha \in (e, q)$  addn consequently e).

Similarly  $\beta \varphi_g = \varepsilon^{-1} \beta \equiv \beta^{-1} \varepsilon^{-1} \beta = \tilde{\alpha}^{-1} \varepsilon \tilde{\alpha} \equiv \beta \tilde{\alpha}^{-1} \in {}^1 3$ . On the other hand  $\beta \tilde{x}^{-1} \in$  $\in {}^{n}3 \cap {}^{c}\mathfrak{P} \equiv \beta \in {}^{c}\mathfrak{P}\tilde{\alpha} \cap ({}^{n}3)\tilde{\alpha} = {}^{c}\mathfrak{P} \cap ({}^{n}3)\alpha$ . We can see that, iff d) holds, then  $\beta \varphi_g = \varepsilon^{-1} \beta \equiv \beta \in {}^{\circ} \mathfrak{P} \cap ({}^{\mathbf{n}} \mathfrak{Z}) \alpha$  holds for arbitrary  $\alpha \in (e, q)$  and thus f).

IV. Evidently b)  $\Rightarrow$  g). Let g) hold. Put  $\alpha^{-1}\epsilon\alpha = \varphi$  for arbitrary  $\alpha \in {}^{n}\Im \cap \mathfrak{P}$ . Then  $\beta^{-1}\varepsilon^{-1}\beta = \varphi$  holds for arbitrary  $\beta \in n_3 \cap \mathfrak{S}$ . As an arbitrary  $\gamma \in n_3$  transforms 3 to 3, there is  $\varphi = \varepsilon^{\pm 1}$ . As  $\varphi$  does not depend on the choice of  $\beta$  in  ${}^{n}3 \cap {}^{c}\mathfrak{P}$ , we can choose  $\tilde{\beta} \in \mathfrak{F} \cap {}^{c}\mathfrak{P}$ . As  $\varphi$  depends neither on the choice of  $\alpha$  in  ${}^{n}3 \cap \mathfrak{P}$ , we can choose  $\tilde{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{P}$ . Hence  $\varphi = \tilde{\alpha}^{-1}\varepsilon \tilde{\alpha} = \tilde{\beta}^{-1}\varepsilon^{-1}\tilde{\beta} = \varepsilon$ . We get  $\alpha^{-1}\varepsilon \alpha = \varepsilon = \beta^{-1}\varepsilon^{-1}\beta$  and accordingly  $\alpha \in {}^{z}3 \cap \mathfrak{P}, \beta \in {}^{1}3 \cap {}^{c}\mathfrak{P}$ . By this it is proved that g)  $\Rightarrow$  a) and thus b), as well.

V. For  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{G}$  there holds  $\alpha^{-1} \epsilon \alpha = \beta^{-1} \epsilon^{-1} \beta \equiv \beta \alpha^{-1} \epsilon^{-1} \mathfrak{Z}$  and likewise there holds  $\beta \alpha^{-1} \in \mathfrak{n} \mathfrak{Z} \cap \mathfrak{o} \mathfrak{P} \equiv \beta \in (\mathfrak{n} \mathfrak{Z}) \alpha \cap (\mathfrak{o} \mathfrak{P}) \alpha$ . Iff there holds d), there is  $\beta \alpha^{-1} \in \mathfrak{i} \mathfrak{Z} \equiv \beta \alpha^{-1} \in \mathfrak{n} \mathfrak{Z} \cap \mathfrak{o} \mathfrak{P}$  and consequently h) holds.

**1.17. Lemma.** If  ${}^{n}3 \cap \mathfrak{P} = {}^{z}3$  holds in a system  $\langle\langle \mathfrak{G} \rangle\rangle$ , then  $\langle\langle \mathfrak{G} \rangle\rangle$  is a system with fundamental central dispersions.

Proof. Take arbitrary  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{P}$ . Evidently there always holds  $\{q; \varphi_q = \alpha^{-1}\epsilon\alpha\} \subseteq \subseteq \{q; z(\mathfrak{P} \cap (q, q)) = \alpha^{-1}\Im\alpha\}$ . Denote by  $N = \{q; z(\mathfrak{P} \cap (q, q)) = \alpha^{-1}\Im\alpha\}$ . Under the supposition of b) we have—see the proof of 1.15.—that  $\bigcup_{q \in N} (e, q) \cap \mathfrak{P} = (z\mathfrak{Z}) \alpha$ . For arbitrary  $q \in N$  and arbitrary  $\beta \in (e, q) \cap \mathfrak{P}$  we have then  $\beta \in (z\mathfrak{Z}) \alpha$  so that  $\varphi_q = \beta^{-1}\epsilon\beta = \alpha^{-1}\epsilon\alpha$  and consequently  $q \in \{q; \varphi_q = \alpha^{-1}\epsilon\alpha\}$  so that

 $N = \{q; \varphi_q = \alpha^{-1} \epsilon \alpha\}$  also holds. According to the definition 1.12.,  $\langle\langle \mathfrak{G} \rangle\rangle$  is then a system with fundamental central dispersions.

**1.18. Theorem.** Iff  ${}^{n}3 \cap \mathfrak{P} = {}^{z}3$ , then  $\langle\langle \mathfrak{G} \rangle\rangle$  is a system with fundamental central dispersions.

Proof. The consequence of 1.17., 1.16., and 1.15.

**1.19.** Theorem. In a system  $\langle \langle \mathfrak{G} \rangle \rangle$  with fundamental central dispersions for every carrier q, arbitrary phase  $\alpha \in (e, q)$  together with fundamental central dispersions  $\varphi \in {}^{3}(\mathfrak{P} \cap (q, q))$  and  $\varepsilon \in {}^{3}(\mathfrak{P} \cap \mathfrak{F})$  fulfils the Abelian relations

(1) 
$$\alpha \varphi = \varepsilon \alpha \quad \text{for} \quad \alpha \in (e, q) \cap \mathfrak{P}$$

(2)  $\alpha \varphi = \varepsilon^{-1} \alpha \quad \text{for} \quad \alpha \in (e, q) \cap {}^{c} \mathfrak{P}$ 

Proof. The fundamental central dispersion  $\varphi$  of the carrier q is defined by the relation  $\varphi = \alpha^{-1} \varepsilon \alpha$  for arbitrary  $\alpha \in (e, q) \cap \mathfrak{P}$ . So we have the relation (1). According to 1.9. we have the relation  $\alpha^{-1} \varepsilon^{-1} \alpha = \varphi$  for  $\alpha \in (e, q) \cap {}^{c}\mathfrak{P}$ , which is the relation (2).

2. In an arbitrary system  $\langle\langle \mathfrak{G} \rangle\rangle$  always  $\mathfrak{P} \cap {}^{\mathbf{n}}\mathfrak{Z} \neq \emptyset$  and  ${}^{c}\mathfrak{P} \cap {}^{\mathbf{n}}\mathfrak{Z} \neq \emptyset$ , since  $\mathfrak{F} \subseteq {}^{\mathbf{n}}\mathfrak{Z}$ ,  $\mathfrak{P} \cap \mathfrak{F} \neq \emptyset$  and  ${}^{c}\mathfrak{P} \cap \mathfrak{F} \neq \emptyset$ . So for any  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{G}$  there is also  $\mathfrak{P} \cap ({}^{\mathbf{n}}\mathfrak{Z})\alpha = (\mathfrak{P}\alpha^{-1} \cap {}^{\mathbf{n}}\mathfrak{Z}) \alpha \neq \emptyset$  and  ${}^{c}\mathfrak{P} \cap ({}^{\mathbf{n}}\mathfrak{Z}) \alpha = ({}^{c}\mathfrak{P}\alpha^{-1} \cap {}^{\mathbf{n}}\mathfrak{Z}) \alpha \neq \emptyset$ .

In an arbitrary system  $\langle \langle \mathfrak{G} \rangle \rangle$  for a given  $x \in \mathfrak{G}$  there is  $\{y \in \mathfrak{G}; y^{-1}\varepsilon^{-1}y = x^{-1}\varepsilon x\} = \{y \in \mathfrak{G}; yx^{-1} \in {}^{1}\mathfrak{Z}\} = ({}^{1}\mathfrak{Z}) x \neq \emptyset$  since  $\mathfrak{F} \cap {}^{c}\mathfrak{P} \subseteq {}^{1}\mathfrak{Z}$ .

2.1. Definition. A binary relation < on the group 6 will be called a pseudo-order of the system  $\langle\langle 6 \rangle\rangle$  if

a)	$\alpha < \beta \Rightarrow \alpha \neq \beta,$	$\beta \leq \alpha$	
b)	$\alpha < \beta \Rightarrow x \alpha < x \beta$	for all	$x \in \mathfrak{P}$
	$lpha < eta \Rightarrow xlpha > xeta$	for all	$x \in {}^{c}\mathfrak{P}$
·c)	$\alpha < \beta \Rightarrow \alpha x < \beta x$	for all	$x \in \mathfrak{G}$
J) 41	and a state and a state of the	h	~~~ f-161-

d) the generator  $\varepsilon$  of the centre 3 of the subgroup  $\mathfrak{P} \cap \mathfrak{F}$  fulfils  $\iota < \varepsilon$ .

**2.2. Theorem.** In a pseudo-ordered system  $\langle \langle \mathfrak{G} \rangle \rangle$  hold

$$\begin{array}{ll} \alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{P}, & \alpha < \beta \Rightarrow \alpha^{-1} > \beta^{-1} \\ \alpha, \beta \in {}^{c}\mathfrak{P}, & \alpha < \beta \Rightarrow \alpha^{-1} < \beta^{-1} \end{array}$$

Proof. a) Let  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta \in \mathfrak{P}$ ,  $\alpha < \beta$ . Then  $\iota = \alpha^{-1}\alpha < \alpha^{-1}\beta$ ,  $\beta^{-1} = \iota\beta^{-1} < \alpha^{-1}$ . b) Let  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta \in {}^{\circ}\mathfrak{P}$ ,  $\alpha < \beta$ . Then  $\iota = \alpha^{-1}\alpha > \alpha^{-1}\beta$ ,  $\beta^{-1} = \iota\beta^{-1} > \alpha^{-1}$ .

**2.3. Theorem.** In a pseudo-ordered system  $\langle \langle \mathfrak{G} \rangle \rangle$  it follows from the relation  $\alpha < \beta$  that  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  lie in the same class of the factor group  $\mathfrak{G}/\mathfrak{P}$ .

Proof. Admit that  $\alpha < \beta$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{P}$ ,  $\beta \in {}^{\circ}\mathfrak{P}$ . The other case  $\alpha \in {}^{\circ}\mathfrak{P}$ ,  $\beta \in \mathfrak{P}$ , by the multiplication from the right side by an arbitrary element  $\gamma \in {}^{\circ}\mathfrak{P}$ , gives  $\alpha \gamma < \beta \gamma$ ,  $\alpha \gamma \in \mathfrak{P}$ ,  $\beta \gamma \in {}^{\circ}\mathfrak{P}$  so that, without any loss of generality, the first case can be considered. Then the multiplication from the left side gives  $\iota < \alpha^{-1}\beta$ ,  $\beta^{-1}\alpha > \iota$ . By the multiplication from the right side of the first relation by the element  $\beta^{-1}\alpha$  we get  $\beta^{-1}\alpha < \iota$  which is a contradiction.

**2.4. Theorem.** In a pseudo-ordered system  $\langle \langle \mathfrak{G} \rangle \rangle$  there holds

$$3 \cap \mathfrak{P} = \mathfrak{z}3, \quad \mathfrak{n}3 \cap \mathfrak{o}\mathfrak{P} = \mathfrak{i}3.$$

Proof. Let  $x \in {}^{n}3 \cap \mathfrak{P}$ . Then  $x\varepsilon = \varepsilon^{\pm 1}x$  and under the influence of  $\iota < \varepsilon$  we have  $x < x\varepsilon$ ,  $\varepsilon^{-1}x < x$  and consequently it cannot hold  $x\varepsilon = \varepsilon^{-1}x$ . Therefore it necessarily holds  $x\varepsilon = \varepsilon x$  and thus  $x \in {}^{z}3$ . Then we have  ${}^{n}3 \cap \mathfrak{P} \subseteq {}^{z}3$ .

Let  $x \in {}^{n}\mathfrak{Z} \cap {}^{\mathfrak{c}}\mathfrak{P}$ . Then  $x\varepsilon = \varepsilon^{\pm 1}x$  and under the influence of  $\iota < \varepsilon$  we have  $x > x\varepsilon$ ,  $x < \varepsilon x$  and consequently it cannot hold  $x\varepsilon = \varepsilon x$ . Therefore it needs hold  $x\varepsilon = \varepsilon^{-1}x$  and thus  $x \in {}^{1}\mathfrak{Z}$ . We have the relation  ${}^{n}\mathfrak{Z} \cap {}^{\mathfrak{c}}\mathfrak{P} \subseteq {}^{1}\mathfrak{Z}$ .

Now we have  $n_3 \cap \mathfrak{P} \subseteq z_3 = n_3 \setminus i_3 = n_3 \cap c_1^i_3 \subseteq n_3 \cap (cn_3 \cup \mathfrak{P}) = n_3 \cap \mathfrak{P}$ and thus equality holds everywhere.

**2.5. Corollary.** A pseudo-ordered system  $\langle\langle \mathfrak{G} \rangle\rangle$  is a system with fundamental central dispersions. For any fundamental central dispersion  $\varphi$  there holds  $\varphi > \iota$ , since  $\varphi = \alpha^{-1} \varepsilon \alpha$  for  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{P}$ . For arbitrary  $\mu < \nu \in \mathbb{Z}$  there holds  $\varphi^{\mu} < \varphi^{\nu}$  and therefore every centre  $\{\varphi^{\nu}\}_{\nu} \in \mathbb{Z}$  is completely ordered by the relation <.

**2.6. Theorem.** Let  ${}^{n}\mathfrak{Z} \cap \mathfrak{P} = {}^{z}\mathfrak{Z}$  hold in a system  $\langle \mathfrak{G} \rangle$ . Then the relation < between the elements  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta \in \mathfrak{G}$  defined by

(3) 
$$\alpha < \beta \equiv \beta \alpha^{-1} = x^{-1} \varepsilon x$$
 for some  $x \in \mathfrak{P}$ 

is a pseudo-order of the system  $\langle\langle \mathfrak{G} \rangle\rangle$ .

Proof. Let  $\alpha < \beta$  so that for some  $\gamma \in \mathfrak{P}$  we have  $\beta \alpha^{-1} = \gamma^{-1} \varepsilon \gamma$ .

a) If it were  $\alpha = \beta$ , we should have  $\iota = \gamma^{-1} \epsilon \gamma$  and thus  $\gamma = \epsilon \gamma$  and consequently  $\iota = \epsilon$ , which does not hold. If it were  $\beta < \alpha$ , we should have for some  $y \in \mathfrak{P}$  the relation  $\alpha \beta^{-1} = y^{-1} \epsilon y$  or  $\beta \alpha^{-1} = y^{-1} \epsilon^{-1} y$  and thus  $\gamma^{-1} \epsilon \gamma = y^{-1} \epsilon^{-1} y$  or  $y \gamma^{-1} \epsilon^{-1} \mathfrak{Z} \subseteq {}^{c} \mathfrak{P}$ , which is a contradiction, since  $y \gamma^{-1} \epsilon \mathfrak{P}$ .

b) Choose  $x \in \mathfrak{G}$ . Multiplicating from the left side by x and from the right side by  $x^{-1}$  we get  $(x\beta) (x\alpha)^{-1} = x\beta\alpha^{-1}x^{-1} = x\gamma^{-1}\epsilon\gamma x^{-1} = (\gamma x^{-1})^{-1}\epsilon(\gamma x^{-1})$ . For  $x \in \mathfrak{P}$ we have  $\gamma x^{-1} \in \mathfrak{P}$  and thus  $x\alpha < x\beta$ . For  $x \in \mathfrak{O}\mathfrak{P}$  there is  $\gamma x^{-1} \in \mathfrak{O}\mathfrak{P}$ . According to the beginning of paragraph 2 there exists  $y \in ({}^{1}\mathfrak{Z}) \gamma x^{-1}$  such that  $y^{-1}\epsilon^{-1}y =$  $= (\gamma x^{-1})^{-1}\epsilon(\gamma x^{-1}) = (x\beta) (x\alpha)^{-1}$  accordingly  $(x\alpha) (x\beta)^{-1} = y^{-1}\epsilon y$ . At the same time  $y \in \mathfrak{P}$  because  ${}^{1}\mathfrak{Z} \subseteq {}^{\mathfrak{O}}\mathfrak{P}$ . We get  $x\beta < x\alpha$ .

c) Choose  $x \in \mathfrak{G}$ . Then  $(\beta x) (\alpha x)^{-1} = \beta \alpha^{-1} = \gamma^{-1} \varepsilon \gamma$  and thus there holds  $\alpha x < \beta x$ .

d) Since  $\iota \in \mathfrak{P}$  and it holds  $\varepsilon \iota^{-1} = \iota^{-1} \varepsilon \iota$ , we have  $\iota < \varepsilon$ .

2.7. Corollary. For any system  $\langle\langle \mathfrak{G} \rangle\rangle$  the following statements are equivalent: a)  ${}^{n}\mathfrak{Z} \cap \mathfrak{P} = {}^{z}\mathfrak{Z}$ ,

b) in  $\langle\langle \mathfrak{G} \rangle\rangle$  a pseudo-order may be defined,

c)  $\langle\langle \mathfrak{G} \rangle\rangle$  is a system with fundamental central dispersions.

**2.8. Remark.** Let  $\langle\langle \mathfrak{G} \rangle\rangle$  be a pseudo-ordered system. Then every  $\varphi > \iota$  fulfils  $\varphi \in \mathfrak{P}$  according to 2.3. Further,  $\varphi$  generates an infinite cyclic group  $\{\varphi^{\nu}\}_{\nu} \in \mathbb{Z}$  because there holds

$$\ldots < \varphi^{-2} < \varphi^{-1} < \iota < \varphi < \varphi^2 < \ldots$$

**2.9. Definition.** The pseudo-order from the definition 2.1. will be called the pseudo-order with regard to  $\varepsilon$ . Similarly it is possible to define the pseudo-order with regard to  $\varepsilon^{-1}$ .

**2.10. Theorem.** Let < be a pseudo-order with regard to  $\varepsilon$ . Then the relation  $\alpha < \beta$  defined by the relation  $\alpha > \beta$  is not a pseudo-order with regard to  $\varepsilon$ , but it is a pseudo-order with regard to  $\varepsilon^{-1}$ .

**2.11. Definition.** The pseudo-order (3) of the system  $\langle \langle \mathfrak{G} \rangle \rangle$  will be called canonical (with regard to  $\varepsilon$ ).

**2.12. Theorem.** In the canonical pseudo-order there is  $\iota < \varphi$  iff  $\varphi$  is a fundamental central dispersion.

Proof.  $\iota < \varphi \equiv \varphi = x^{-1} \varepsilon x$  for some  $x \in \mathfrak{P} \equiv \varphi$  is a fundamental central dispersion.

**2.13. Remark.** The canonical pseudo-order of the system  $\langle\langle \mathfrak{G} \rangle\rangle$  (with regard to  $\varepsilon$ ) is unique. An arbitrary pseudo-ordered system  $\langle\langle \mathfrak{G} \rangle\rangle$  fulfis the condition  ${}^{n}\mathfrak{Z} \cap \mathfrak{P} = {}^{z}\mathfrak{Z}$  and therefore it is possible to be ordered canonically (with regard to  $\varepsilon$ ).

**2.14.** Theorem. Let  $\langle be an arbitrary pseudo-order of the system <math>\langle \langle G \rangle \rangle$ . If any  $\varphi > \iota$  is a fundamental central dispersion, then  $\langle is a$  canonical pseudo-order (with regard to  $\varepsilon$ ). I.e. that the canonical pseudo-order (with regard to  $\varepsilon$ ) is characterized by the property  $\varphi > \iota$  iff  $\varphi$  is a fundamental central dispersion.

Proof. I. Let  $\alpha < \beta$ . Then  $\beta \alpha^{-1} = x^{-1} \epsilon x$  for some  $x \in \mathfrak{P}$ . Then in the acnonical pseudo-order  $<_1$  there holds  $\iota <_1 \beta \alpha^{-1}$  and therefore  $\alpha <_1 \beta$ .

II. Let  $\alpha <_1 \beta$  in the canonical pseudo-order. Then  $\beta \alpha^{-1} = x^{-1} \varepsilon x$  for some  $x \in \mathfrak{P}$  and therefore  $\iota < \beta \alpha^{-1}$  or  $\alpha < \beta$ .

We can see both pseudo-order relations < and  $<_1$  to be identical.

**2.15. Lemma.** The pseudo-order < of the system  $\langle \langle \mathfrak{G} \rangle \rangle$  defines in  $\mathfrak{G}$  the order relation  $\leq$  iff the relation < is transitive.

Proof. According to 2.1. a) the relation  $\leq$  is reflexive and antisymmetric. The transitivity of < is then a necessary and sufficient condition for the transitivity of  $\leq$ 

**2.16. Theorem.** For the canonical pseudo-order < of the system  $\langle \mathfrak{G} \rangle$  the relation  $\leq$  is and order relation in  $\mathfrak{G}$  iff the composition of each two fundamental central dispersions is again a fundamental central dispersion  $\mathfrak{F}_{\lambda}$ 

Proof. I. Let < be transitive. Let  $\varphi$ ,  $\psi$  be fundamental central dispersions. Then we have  $\iota < \varphi$ ,  $\varphi < \psi \varphi$  and thus  $\iota < \psi \varphi$ . According to 2.12.,  $\psi \varphi$  is a fundamental central dispersion. II. Let the composition of each two fundamental central dispersions be again a fundamental central dispersion. Let  $\alpha < \beta$ ,  $\beta < \gamma$ . Then  $\beta \alpha^{-1}$ ,  $\gamma \beta^{-1}$  are fundamental central dispersions according to 2.12., and consequently  $\gamma \alpha^{-1} =$  $= (\gamma \beta^{-1}) (\beta \alpha^{-1})$  is also a fundamental central dispersion so that  $\gamma \alpha^{-1} = x^{-1} \epsilon x$ for some  $x \in \mathfrak{P}$ . According to the definition of the canonical pseudo-order is then  $\alpha < \gamma$  so that the relation < is a transitive one.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Borůvka, O., Lineare differentialtransformationen 2. Ordnung, Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin 1967
- [2] Borůvka, O., Über dine Charakterisierung der allgemeinen Dispersionen linearer Differentialgleichungen 2. Ordnung, Math. Nachr. Bd. 38 (1968), H. 5/6, 261—266
- [3] Borůvka, O., Algebraic elements in the transformation theory of 2nd order linear oscillatory differential equations, Acta Univ. Com. Bratislava, Math. XVII, 1967, 27-36
- [4] Borůvka, O., Sur quelques propriétés de structure du groupe de phases des équations différentielles linéaires du deuxième ordre, Revue Roumaine de Mathématiques pures et appliquées, XV (1970); pp. 1345—1356
- [5] Barvínek, E., Fundamental central dispersion in a simple system Arch. Math. 1, VII: 1-8, 1971

## E. Barvinek

Department of Mathematics J. E. Purkyně University Brno Czechoslovakia