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# PARTITIONS AND CONGRUENCES IN ALGEBRAS IV. ASSOCIABLE SYSTEMS 

TRAN DUC MAI, Brno<br>(Received August 1973)


#### Abstract

We recall briefly some definitions and results with which it is possible to get acquainted in the introductory paragraphs of the paper [11]. The notion of partition on a set was studied in many papers, e.g. $[1,3,4,6,7,8,9,10,13,14]$. A partition in a set $G$ is a partition on a subset of the set $G$. The elements of the partition are called blocks and the union $\cup A$ of all blocks of the partition $A$ is called the domain of the partition $A$. The set $P(G)$ of all partitions in the set $G$ is in a one-to-one correspondence with the set of all symmetric and transitive binary relations (ST-relations) in $G$. The papers $[2,5,11,12]$ deal with the partitions ,,in", to a smaller extent also [3, 4]. Under the congruence in a universal algebra $(G, \Omega)$ we understand the stable ST-relation in the algebra $(G, \Omega)$. By the symbol $\mathscr{K}(G)$ we denote the lattice of all congruences in $(G, \Omega)$, symbols $\vee_{\mathscr{K}}, \mathrm{V}_{\mathscr{K}}$ mean the supremum in the lattice $\mathscr{K}(G)$. As a rule, however, we write simply $\vee, \mathrm{V}$ instead of $\vee_{P}, \mathrm{~V}_{P}$ for the supremum in the lattice $P(G)$.


4.0 In the paper [8] the concept of associable system of partitions on a set was introduced and in [6] it was generalized for the partitions in a set. In [14] the term of "absolutely permutable system of relations of equivalence" was used for the same concept; see also [7]. This concept represents a generalization of the permutability of partitions in a set for a system $\left\{A_{1}, A_{2}\right\}$ of two partitions in a set $G$ is associable if and only if $A_{1}, A_{2}$ commute [6] Lemma 1.2, see also 4.3. In this paper we consider a system of congruences in an algebra $G$ associable if the corresponding system of partitions in $G$ is associable. Many theorems in the sequel use and generalize results of the paper [6] on partitions and apply them to the congruences in algebras, especially in $\Omega$-groups. Main general results for the partitions are included in Theorems 4.17, 4.19 and 4.22 .
4.1 Definition. A system $\left\{A_{\imath}: \imath \in \Gamma\right\}$ of partitions in a set $G$ is called associable if it satisfies: For any system $\mathfrak{A}=\left\{x_{\imath}: \imath \in \Gamma\right\}$ of elements of the set $G$ fulfilling $x^{\alpha}\left(\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} A_{\imath}\right) x^{\beta}(\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma)$ there holds one of the following conditions:
$(4.1,1) x \in G$ exists such that $x^{\imath} A_{\imath} x, t \in \Gamma$
$(4.1,2) \alpha \in \Gamma$ and $A_{\alpha}^{1} \in A_{\alpha}$ exist such that $\mathfrak{A} \subseteq A_{\alpha}^{1}$ and if $A_{\alpha}^{1} \cap \cup A_{\beta} \neq \emptyset$ for some $\beta \in \Gamma$, then $A_{\alpha}^{1} \in A_{\beta}$. ([6], Definition 1.2)
4.2 Definition. A system of congruences $\left\{A_{t}: \imath \in \Gamma\right\}$ in an algebra $G$ is called associable if the system of partitions $\left\{A_{t}: \imath \in \Gamma\right\}$ in the set $G$ is associable.
4.3 A system $\left\{A_{1}, A_{2}\right\}$ of partitions in a set $G$ is associable if and only if the partitions $A_{1}, A_{2}$ commute. ([6], Lemma 1.2)

Proof. Let the system $\left\{A_{1}, A_{2}\right\}$ be associable, $x^{1} A_{2} A_{1} x^{2}$. Then $x^{1}\left(A_{1} \vee A_{2}\right) x^{2}$. If the condition (4.1,1) is satisfied, then $x \in G$ exists such that $x^{1} A_{1} x A_{2} x^{2}$, hence $x^{1} A_{1} A_{2} x^{2}$. If (4.1,2) holds, there exists $\alpha \in\{1,2\}$ and a block $A_{\alpha}^{1} \in A_{\alpha}$ such that $x^{1}, x^{2} \in A_{\alpha}^{1}$. For any $\imath \in\{1,2\}$ there is $A_{\alpha}^{1} \cap \cup A_{\imath} \neq \emptyset$ since $x^{1}$ or $x^{2} \in A_{\alpha}^{1} \cap \cup A_{\imath}$. Thus $A_{\alpha}^{1} \in A_{1}(l=1,2)$, i.e. $\left(x^{1}, x^{2}\right) \in A_{1} \cap A_{2} \subseteq A_{1} A_{2}$. Hence $A_{2} A_{1} \subseteq A_{1} A_{2}$. The reverse inclusion can be proved symmetricaliy. Hence the required equality.

Conversely, let the partitions $A_{1}, A_{2}$ commute and let $x^{1}\left(A_{1} \vee A_{2}\right) x^{2}$. By [12] 3.1.1(1) $A_{1} \vee A_{2}=A_{1} A_{2} \cup A_{1} \cup A_{2}$, thus $x^{1} A_{1} A_{2} x^{2}$ or $x^{1} A_{1} x^{2}$ or $x^{1} A_{2} x^{2}$. In the first case $x \in G$ exists such that $x^{1} A_{1} x A_{2} x^{2}$, consequently $(4.1,1)$ holds. In the second case $x^{1}, x^{2} \in A_{1}^{1}$ for some block (say $\alpha=1$ ) $A_{1}^{1} \in A_{1}$. If for $i=2$ there exists an element $x \in A_{1}^{1} \cap \bigcup A_{2}$, then $x^{2} A_{1} x A_{2} y$ for some $y \in G$; from the permutability of the partitions $A_{1}, A_{2}$ it follows $x^{2} A_{2} A_{1} y$, thus $x^{2} A_{2} x^{2}$. Hence $x^{1} A_{1} x^{2} A_{2} x^{2}$ and therefore the condition (4.1,1) is satisfied. The last case $x^{1} A_{2} x^{2}$ is symmetric to the preceding one.
4.4 $A$ system $\left\{A_{\imath}: \imath \in \Gamma\right\}$ of partitions on a set $G$ is associable if and only if for any system $\left\{x^{t}: \imath \in \Gamma\right\}$ of elements of $G$ with $x^{\alpha} \mathrm{V}_{t \in \Gamma} A_{\imath} x^{\beta}(\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma)$ there holds (4.1,1). In particular, a system of two partitions on the set $G$ is associable if and only if these partitions commute.

Proof follows immediately from definition 4.2; the second part from 4.3.
4.5 If $\left\{A_{\imath}: \imath \in \Gamma\right\}$ is an associable system of partitions in a set $G, \emptyset \neq \Lambda \subseteq \Gamma$, then the system $\left\{A_{\imath}: t \in \Lambda\right\}$ is associable as well. In particular, any two partitions of an associable system commute.
([6], Theorem 2.1)
4.6 We shall study more in detail the structure of an associable system of partitions. Let $\boldsymbol{A}=\left\{A_{\imath}: \imath \in \Gamma\right\}$ be an associable system of partitions in a set $G$. Let $G_{0}=\bigcap_{\imath \in \Gamma} \cup A$. Then the following propositions 4.6 .1 to 4.6 .6 hold.
4.6.1 If a system $\mathfrak{H}=\left\{x^{\imath}: \imath \in \Gamma\right\}$ of elements of $G$ satisfying $x^{\alpha} \bigvee_{\imath \in \Gamma} A_{\imath} x^{\beta}(\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma)$ has the property (4.1,1), then $\mathfrak{A}$ is a subset of some block $V \in \underset{\imath \in I}{ } A_{t}$ and the blocks of every $A_{\mathfrak{l}}$ cover the set $V$.

Remark. Such a system $\mathfrak{A}$ and block $V \in \underset{\in \Gamma}{ } A$ will be called a system of the 1 st kind and $a$ block of the 1 st kind.

Proof. The first assertion is clear. Further let $y \in V, \imath \in \Gamma$. Then there exists $x \in G$ such that $x^{1} A_{t} x_{1} A_{\alpha_{1}} x_{2} \ldots x_{n} A_{\alpha_{n}} y$ for some $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n} \in \Gamma, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \in G$, otherwise written $x^{l} A_{t} A_{\alpha_{1}} \ldots A_{\alpha_{n}} y$. From the permutability of partitions of the system $\boldsymbol{A}$ (4.3 and 4.5) it follows that $x^{i} A_{\alpha_{1}} \ldots A_{\alpha_{n}} A_{i} y$, thus $y \in \cup A_{i}$.
4.6.2 Let $V$ be a block of the 1 st kind, $\mathfrak{B}=\left\{y^{i}: \imath \in \Gamma\right\} \subseteq V$. Then $\mathfrak{B}$ is a system of the 1 st kind.

Proof. If $\mathfrak{B}$ has the property $(4.1,2)$, there exist $\alpha \in \Gamma$ and $A_{\alpha}^{1} \in A_{\alpha}$ such that $\mathfrak{B} \subseteq$ $\subseteq A_{\alpha}^{1}$. By 4.6.1 $A_{\alpha}^{1} \cap \cup A_{\imath} \neq \emptyset, l \in \Gamma$, thus $A_{\alpha}^{1} \in A_{\imath}, l \in \Gamma$. Hence any element $x \in A_{\alpha}^{1}$ satisfies the condition (4.1,1), consequently $\mathfrak{B}$ is a system of the 1 st kind.
4.6.3 Let $V$ be a block of the 1 st kind, $a, b \in V$. Then $a A_{\alpha} A_{\beta} b$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma, \alpha \neq \beta$. In other words: for $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma, \alpha \neq \beta$, every block of the partition $A_{\alpha}$ contained in $V$ is incident with every block of the partition $A_{\beta}$ contained in $V$.

Proof. By 4.6.2 the system $\mathfrak{B}=\left\{y^{i}: \imath \in \Gamma\right\} \cong V$ where $y^{\alpha}=a, y^{\beta}=b$ is of the 1 st kind, there exists then $x \in G$ such that $y^{\alpha} A_{\alpha} x, y^{\beta} A_{\beta} x$, thus $a A_{\alpha} A_{\beta} b$.
4.6.4 Let $V$ be a block of the 1 st kind. Then $V$ is a block of every partition $A_{\alpha} A_{\beta}$, $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma, \alpha \neq \beta$.

Proof. $A_{\alpha} A_{\beta}$ is a partition according to [12] 3.1 as $A_{\alpha}, A_{\beta}$ are permutable partitions by 4.3 and 4.5. The assertion follows from 4.6.3 and from the relation $A_{\alpha} A_{\beta} \leqq \bigvee_{\imath \in \Gamma} A_{\imath}$.
4.6.5 $G_{0}=\bigcap_{i \in \Gamma} \cup A_{\imath}$ is union of all blocks of the 1 st kind.

Proof. By 4.6.1 every block of the 1 st kind is a subset of $G_{0}$. Conversely, let $x \in G_{0}$. Then $\left\{x^{l}: l \in \Gamma\right\}$, where $x^{l}=x, l \in \Gamma$, is a system of the first kind, thus the block of the partition $\bigvee_{l \in I} A_{l}$ containing $x$ is of the 1 st kind (and is contained in $G_{0}$ ).
4.6.6 If $V \in \bigvee_{t \in \Gamma} A_{\imath}$ is not a block of the 1 st kind (i.e. if $V$ is not a subset of $G_{0}$ ), then it is a block of every $A_{\imath}$ the domain of which intersects $V$.

Proof. If $V$ is not a block of the 1st kind, any system $\mathfrak{B}=\left\{x^{\imath}: \imath \in \Gamma\right\} \subseteq V$ satisfies (4.1, 2) (by 4.6.2). Then there exist $\alpha \in \Gamma$ and $A_{\alpha}^{1} \in A_{\alpha}$ such that $\mathfrak{B} \subseteq A_{\alpha}^{1}$ and there is $A_{\alpha}^{1} \subseteq V$. If $A_{\alpha}^{1} \cap \cup A_{\imath} \neq \emptyset$ holds for some $t \in \Gamma$, then $A_{\alpha}^{1} \in A_{\imath}$. Thus $V=$ $=A_{\alpha}^{1} \in A_{\imath}$ for all such $\imath \in \Gamma$.
4.7 Now let $G$ be an $\Omega$-group and $\left\{A_{\imath}: l \in \Gamma\right\}$ be an associable system of congruences in $G$. The following propositions 4.7 .1 to 4.7 .5 hold.
4.7.1 $\left(\bigvee_{\imath \in \Gamma} A_{t}\right)(O)=\sum_{\imath \in \Gamma} A_{\imath}(O)=A_{\alpha}(O)+A_{\beta}(O) \subseteq G_{0}$ for any $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma, \alpha \neq \beta$.

Proof. The block. $V=\left(\underset{i \in \Gamma}{ } A_{\imath}\right)(O)$ is of the 1 st kind since the system $\left\{x^{t}=O: \imath \in \Gamma\right\}$ is of the 1 st kind. By 4.6.5 $V \cong G_{0}$. Whenever $\alpha \neq \beta(\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma)$, by
4.6.3 $A_{\alpha}(O)$ is incident with every block of the congruence $A_{\beta}$ contained in $V$ and these blocks of the partition $A_{\beta}$ cover $V$ (by 4.6.1). Thus $V=A_{\alpha}(O)+A_{\beta}(O)$.
4.7.2 If for some $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma, \alpha \neq \beta$ the domains $\cup A_{\alpha}, \cup A \beta$ are incident outside $G_{0}$, then $A_{t}(O) \subseteq A_{\alpha}(O)=A_{\beta}(O)$ for all $t \in \Gamma$.

Proof. Let $x \in \cup A_{\alpha} \cap \cup A_{\beta} \cap\left(G \backslash G_{0}\right)$. Then $x \in \cup\left(\bigvee_{t \in \Gamma} A_{t}\right)$, hence $x^{\alpha} \bigvee_{t \in \Gamma} A_{\imath} x^{\beta}$ $(\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma)$ holds for the system $\left\{x^{t}=x: \imath \in \Gamma\right\}$. By 4.6 .5 this system is not of the 1st kind, therefore the condition $(4.1,2)$ is satisfied for some index $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and some block $A_{\gamma}^{1} \in A_{\gamma}$. Since $x \in A_{\gamma}^{1} \cap \cup A_{\alpha}, x \in A_{\gamma}^{1} \cap \cup A_{\beta}$, there holds $A_{\gamma}^{1} \in A_{\alpha}$, $A_{\gamma}^{1} \in A_{\beta}$, consequently $A_{\alpha}(O)=A_{\gamma}(O)=A_{\beta}(O)$.

The inclusion $A_{l}(O) \subseteq A_{\alpha}(O)(\imath \in \Gamma)$ can be obtained from 4.7.1 in the following way: $A_{\alpha}(O)=A_{\alpha}(O)+A_{\beta}(O)=A_{\alpha}(O)+A_{\imath}(O)$ for all $\imath \in \Gamma, \imath \neq \alpha$, then $A_{\imath}(O) \cong$ $\cong A_{\alpha}(O)$.
4.7.3 $G_{0} / \sum_{t \in \Gamma} A_{\imath}(O)=\bigvee_{t \in \Gamma}\left(A_{\imath} \sqcap G_{0}\right)=\bigvee_{t \in \Gamma}\left(A_{\imath} \sqcap G_{0}\right)(=\mathfrak{y})$. The partition $\bigvee_{t \in \Gamma} \mathrm{~V}_{P} A_{t}$ as a set of its blocks contains the set of blocks $\mathfrak{Y}$.

As fur the symbol $\square$ see [3] I 2.3: $A \square G_{0}=\left\{A^{1} \cap G_{0}: A^{1} \in A, A^{1} \cap G_{0} \neq \emptyset\right\}$
Proof. The first equality follows from 4.7.1 (the system $\left\{A_{\imath} \sqcap G_{0}: \imath \in \Gamma\right\}$ is associable), the second from the fact that $A_{\imath} \sqcap G_{0}$ are congruences on the $\Omega$-group $G_{0}$ (for congruences on an algebra there is namely $\mathrm{V}_{\mathscr{H}}=\mathrm{V}_{P}$ ). The last assertion follows from 4.7.1 for $A_{\imath}(O) \cong G_{0}, t \in \Gamma$.
4.7.4 It holds the following equality between the sets of blocks $\bigvee_{t \in \Gamma} A_{t}=G_{0} / \sum_{i \in \Gamma} A_{t}(O) \cup$ $\cup \bigcup_{\imath \in \Gamma}\left[A_{\imath} \backslash\left(A_{\imath} \sqcap G_{0}\right)\right]$.

Proof follows from 4.7.3 and 4.6.6.
4.8 Definition. We shall say that the subset $H \cong G$ respects a partition $A$ in $G$ if there holds: $A^{1} \in A, A^{1} \cap H \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow A^{1} \subseteq H$.
4.8.1(a) If $\left\{A_{i}: \imath \in \Gamma\right\}$ is an associable system of partitions in a set $G$, then each of the sets $\cup A_{\alpha}, \alpha \in \Gamma, G_{0}=\bigcap_{i \in \Gamma} \cup A_{i}$ respects each of the partitions $A_{\beta}, \beta \in \Gamma, \bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} A_{i}$.
(b) If $A$ is a congruence in an $\Omega$-group $G, H$ a subgroup of the additive group $G$, then $H$ respects the partition $A$ if and only if $A(O) \subseteq H$.

Proof. (a) Let $V \in \bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} A$, let $A_{\alpha}^{1}$ be a block of a partition $A_{\alpha}$ for which $A_{\alpha}^{1} \subseteq V$ and let $G_{0}=\bigcup_{i \in \Gamma} \cup A_{i}$. By 4.6 .6 it holds: $V \cap G_{0}=\emptyset \Rightarrow V=A_{\alpha}^{1} \cong \cup A_{\alpha}$. Let $V \cap G_{0} \neq \emptyset$. If $V$ is a block of the 1st kind, there is $V \subseteq G_{0} \subseteq \cup A_{\alpha}$ (4.6.5). If $V$ is not a block of the 1 st kind, then by 4.6.6 $V=A_{\alpha}^{1}$, therefore again $V=A_{\alpha}^{1} \subseteq \cup A_{\alpha}$.

We have proved that $\cup A_{\alpha}$ respects the partition $\underset{\imath \in \Gamma}{ } A_{i}$. Hence it already follows the assertion (a).
(b) is evident.
4.8.2 Definition. Let $\boldsymbol{A}=\left\{A_{\imath}: \imath \in \Gamma\right\}$ be a system of partitions in a set $G, \emptyset \neq H \subseteq$ $\subseteq G$. Under $A \sqcap H$ we understand the system $\left\{A_{\imath} \sqcap H: \imath \in \Gamma\right\}$.
4.9 A system $A=\left\{A_{\imath}: \imath \in \Gamma\right\}$ of partitions in $a$ set $G$ is associable if and only if for $G_{0}=\bigcup_{\imath \in \Gamma} \cup A_{\imath}$ there holds:
$(4.9,1) A \sqcap G_{0}$ is an associable system of partitions (on $G_{0}$ ):
$(4.9,2) G_{0}$ respects the partition $A_{\imath}, t \in \Gamma$;
$(4.9,3) \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma, A_{\alpha}^{1} \in A_{\alpha}, A_{\beta}^{1} \in A_{\beta}, A_{\alpha}^{1} \cap A_{\beta}^{1} \cap\left(G \backslash G_{0}\right) \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow A_{\alpha}^{1}=A_{\beta}^{1}$.
([6] Lemma 2.2)
Remark. 1. In the Theorem it is possible to write instead of "for $G_{0}=\bigcap_{i \in \Gamma} \cup A_{i}$ there holds" "there exists a subset $G_{0} \subseteq G$ such that it holds" together with the fact that the requirement "on $G_{0}$ " in (4.9.1) will not be in the parantheses.

This latter formulation is used in [6] Lemma 2.2. From the proof of this lemma it follows that the formulation given in 4.9 can be used equivalently and that for $G_{0}$ the union of all blocks of the 1 st kind of the partition $\bigvee_{\imath \in T} A_{\imath}$ can be taken. By 4.6.5 there is $G_{0}=\bigcap_{i \in \Gamma} \cup A_{i}$.
2. 4.3 follows immediately from 4.9.
4.10 In the following theorems 4.10 .1 and 4.10 .2 which can be obtained by an easy modification of Theorem 4.9 for congruences in an $\Omega$-group $G$, appropriate variants regarding $G_{0}$ as in Remark to 4.9 can be applied.
4.10.1 $A$ system $A=\left\{A_{i}: \imath \in \Gamma\right\}$ of congruences in an $\Omega$-group $G$ is associable if and only if there holds for $G_{0}=\bigcap_{i \in \Gamma} \cup A_{\imath}$
$(4.10,1) \boldsymbol{A} \sqcap G_{0}$ is an associable system of congruences (on the $\Omega$-group $G_{0}$ );
$(4.10,2) A_{\iota}(O) \cong G_{0}, \imath \in \Gamma$,
$(4.10,3) \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma .\left(\cup A_{\alpha} \cap \cup A_{\beta}\right) \backslash G_{0} \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow A_{\alpha}(O)=A_{\beta}(O)$.
4.10.2 $A$ system $\left\{A_{\imath}: \imath \in \Gamma\right\}$ of congruences in an $\Omega$-group $G$ is associable if and only if for $G_{0}=\bigcap_{\imath \in \Gamma} \cup A_{\imath}$ there holds:
$(4.10,4) A_{\imath}(O)$ is an ideal of $G_{0}, l \in \Gamma$;
$(4.10,5)\left\{G_{0} / A_{\imath}(O): \imath \in \Gamma\right\}$ is an associable system of congruences (on the $\Omega$-group $G_{0}$ ); $(4.10,6) \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma,\left(\cup A_{\alpha} \cap \cup A_{\beta}\right) \backslash G_{0} \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow A_{\alpha}(O)=A_{\beta}(O)$.
4.11 Let a system $\left\{A_{\imath}: \imath \in \Gamma\right\}$ consist of two congruences in an $\Omega$-group $G(\Gamma=$ $=\{1,2\}$ ). Then the condition $(4.10,1)$ is always satisfied because two congruences on
an $\Omega$-group commute and then they are associable by 4.4 . Condition $(4.10,3)$ is satisfied trivially. Hence, by 4.10 .1 we obtain the following statement.

Congruences $A_{1}, A_{2}$ in an $\Omega$-group form an associable system if and only if $A_{1}(O) \cup A_{2}(O) \subseteq \cup A_{1} \cap \cup A_{2}$, which is by 3.9 [12] equivalent to the permutability of congruences $A_{1}, A_{2}$. Thus we have recovered Theorem 4.3 for congruences in an $\Omega$-group.
4.11.0 If we use Theorem 4.9 for a pair of congruences in an algebra, then taking regard to Theorem 4.3 we obtain the following propositions 4.11.1 and 4.11.2:
4.11.1 Congruences $A_{1}, A_{2}$ in an algebra $G$ commute if and only if, for the subalgebra $G_{0}=\cup A_{\mathrm{i}} \cap \cup A_{2}$ there holds:
(4.11,1) Congruences $A_{1} \sqcap G_{0}, A_{2} \sqcap G_{0}$ (on $G_{0}$ ) commute;
$(4.11,2) G_{0}$ respects the partitions $A_{1}, A_{2}$.
4.11.2 Let $G$ be an algebra of a variety in which congruences "on" commute. Then it holds: Congruences $A_{1}, A_{2}$ in the algebra $G$ commute if and only if the set $\cup A_{1} \cap \cup A_{2}$ respects the partitions $A_{1}, A_{2}$.
E.g., the class of all $\Omega$-groups and the class of all relatively complemented lattices (see 0.4 [11]) fulfil the assumptions of Theorem 4.11.2.
4.12 An example of a system of congruences on an $\Omega$-group (that is of a system of congruences commuting in pairs) which is not associable.

Let card $\Gamma \geqq 3, G=\sum_{\imath \in \Gamma} Z_{\imath}$, card $Z_{\imath} \geqq 2, Z_{\imath}$ being an arbitrary $\Omega$-group $(\imath \in \Gamma)$. For any $\imath \in \Gamma$, the set $A_{\imath}(O)=\left\{\left(\ldots O, a_{\imath}, O, \ldots\right): a_{\imath} \in Z_{\imath}\right\}$ is an ideal in $G, A_{\imath}=$ $=G / A_{\imath}(O)$ is a congruence on the $\Omega$-group $G$. The system $\left\{A_{\imath}: \imath \in \Gamma\right\}$ is not associable. Suppose the contrary. For $\alpha \in \Gamma$ let there be $x^{\alpha} \in \sum_{i \in \Gamma} Z_{\imath}, x^{\alpha}=\left(\ldots, b_{\imath}^{\alpha}, \ldots\right)\left(b_{\imath}^{\alpha} \in Z_{\imath}\right)$. Since $A_{i}$ are congruences on $\Omega$-group, there holds $\left(\underset{i \in \Gamma}{\mathrm{~V}_{P} A_{i}}\right)(O)=\left(\underset{i \in \Gamma}{\mathrm{~V}_{\mathscr{F}}} A_{i}\right)(O)=$ $=\sum_{i \in \Gamma} A_{i}(O)$. Hence $x^{\alpha} \bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} A_{i} x^{\beta}(\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma)$. By our supposition (see 4.4) there exists $x=\left(\ldots, b_{\imath}, \ldots\right) \in G$ such that $x^{\alpha} A_{\alpha} x(\alpha \in \Gamma)$. Thus for every $\imath, \alpha \in \Gamma, \imath \neq \alpha$, we have got $(4.12,1) b_{t}=b_{t}^{\alpha}$.

Choose three distinct indices $\imath, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ and pick $x^{\alpha}, x^{\beta}$ such that $b_{\alpha}^{t} \neq b_{\imath}^{\beta}$. But by $(4.12,1)$ it follows $b_{\imath}^{\alpha}=b_{\imath}=b_{\imath}^{\beta}$, contrary to the choice of $x^{\alpha}, x^{\beta}$.
4.13 Example 4.12 shows that, in general, the following theorem does not hold: If $\boldsymbol{A}=\left\{A_{\imath}: \imath \in \Gamma\right\}$ is an associable system of congruences in an $\Omega$-group $G, B$ a congruence in $G$ permutable with all $A_{t}, l \in \Gamma$, then the system $\{B\} \cup \boldsymbol{A}$ is associable.

The Theorem does not hold even in the case of congruences "on".
Proof. The system $\left\{A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}\right\}$ from example 4.1 (for card $\Gamma=3$ ) which is not associable arises from the associable system $\left\{A_{1}, A_{2}\right\}$ being extended by a congruence $A_{3}$ (which commutes with $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ as well).
4.14 Let $A, B, C$ be partitions in a set $G$. Then $A(B \cap C) \subseteq A B \cap A C$. If $A \leqq C$, then $A(B \cap C)=A B \cap A C=A B \cap C$. Analogous relations hold for binary relations $(B \cap C) A, B A \cap C A, B A \cap C$.

Proof. $x[A(B \cap C)] z \Rightarrow y \in G$ exists such that $x A y(B \cap C) z \Rightarrow x A y B z, x A y C z \Rightarrow$ $\Rightarrow x A C z, x A B z \Rightarrow x(A B \cap A C) z . A(B \cap C) \subseteq A B \cap A C$ is proved.

Let $A \leqq C$. Then $A C \cong C C=C$, consequently $A B \cap A C \cong A B \cap C$. Now, if $x(A B \cap C) z$, then $x C z$ and $y \in G$ exists such that $x A y B z$; then with regard to $A \leqq C$ there will be $x C y$ and with regard to $x C z$ we get $y C z$. Hence $x A y(B \cap C) z$, $x[A(B \cap C)] z$ and therefore $A B \cap C \subseteq A(B \cap C)$. Finally, $A B \cap C \leqq A(B \cap C) \subseteq$ $\cong A B \cap A C \cong A B \cap C$.
4.14.1 Let $B, C$ be partitions in a set $G$. Then $B(B \cap C)=B \cap B C,(B \cap C) B=$ $=B \cap C B$.

The proof follows directly from 4.14 if we put by turns $B, C, B$ instead of $A, B, C$.
4.14.2 Let $A, B, C$ be partitions in a set $G, A \leqq C$. If $A, B$ commute, then $A, B \wedge C$ commute and $A \wedge B, B \wedge C$ commute.

Proof. By [12] 3.1, $A B \wedge C$ is a partition, by 4.14, $A(B \wedge C)$ is a partition so that by [12] 3.1 again $A, B \wedge C$ commute. If we apply the very proved assertion on the triple $B \wedge C, A, B$, we get the second assertion of the Theorem.
4.14.3 If partitions $B, C$ in a set $G$ commute, then $B, B \wedge C$ commute.

The proof follows from 4.14 .2 if we put $B, C, B$ instead of $A, B, C$.
4.15 1. Let $A, B_{\imath}(\imath \in \Gamma)$ be partitions in a set $G$. If $A$ commutes with every $B_{\imath}, \imath \in \Gamma$, then $A$ commutes with $\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} B_{i}$.
2. Let $A, B_{i}(\imath \in \Gamma)$ be congruences in an $\Omega$-group $G . A, \bigwedge_{\imath \in \Gamma} B_{\imath}$ commute if and only if $\bigcap_{\imath \in \Gamma} \cup B_{\imath} \supseteq A(O), \cup A \supseteqq \bigcap_{\imath \in \Gamma} B_{\imath}(O)$.

Proof. The first proposition is Theorem 2.2 [6], the second one follows directly from [12] 3.9,

Remark. The second assertion holds if $A, B_{i}(\imath \in \Gamma)$ commute or more generally if $\cup B_{\imath} \supseteq A(O)$ for all $\imath \in \Gamma$, $\cup A \notin B_{i}(O)$ for some $\imath \in \Gamma$. From 4.15 we get easily the following consequences a) to d ):

For congruences $A, B, C, D$ in an $\Omega$-group $G$ there holds:
a) $A, A \wedge B$ commute if and only if $\cup B \supseteqq A(O)$.
b) Let $A, B$ commute. $A, B \wedge C$ commute if and only if $\cup C \supseteqq A(O)$. (See [6] Corollary 2.8.)
c) Let $A, B$ commute. Then $A \wedge D, B \wedge C$ commute if and only if $\cup C \supseteqq A(O) \cap$ $\cap D(O), \cup D \supseteqq B(O) \cap C(O)$. (See [6] Corollary 2.9).
d) If $A, B$ commute, $A \leqq C \leqq B$, then $A, C$ commute and $B, C$ commute.

Infact, $A, C$ commute by b), $B, C$ by a).
The assertion d) is a special case of the following general assertion e) which follows directly from [12] 3.9.
e) Let $\left\{A_{i}: \imath \in \Gamma\right\}$ be a system of congruences in an $\Omega$-group $G$. Then

$$
A_{\alpha}, A_{\beta} \text { commute }(\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma) \Leftrightarrow \bigcup_{\imath \in \Gamma} A_{i}(O) \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in \Gamma} \cup A_{i} .
$$

4.16 Let $A, B_{\imath}(\imath \in \Gamma)$ be congruences in an algebra, let $A$ commute with the $\mathscr{K}$-supremum of every finite subset of the system $B_{l}(\imath \in \Gamma)$. Then $A$ commutes with $\mathrm{V}_{i \in \Gamma} B_{1}$.

The proof follows from $4.15(1)$ and from the fact that $\mathrm{V}_{\mathscr{E}} B_{t}=\mathrm{V}_{P}^{i \in \Gamma} C_{\varkappa}$, where $C_{\varkappa}$ runs through $\mathscr{K}$-suprema of all finite subsets of the system $B_{t}(t \in \Gamma)$ (see [11] 1.2).
4.17 Let $A=\left\{A_{\imath}: \imath \in \Gamma\right\}$ be an associable system of partitions in a set $G, G_{0}=$ $=\bigcap_{\imath \in \Gamma} \cup A_{\imath}$. Let $\boldsymbol{B}=\left\{B_{\imath}: \imath \in \Gamma\right\}$ be a system of partitions in $G, H_{0}=\bigcap_{\imath \in \Gamma} \cup B_{\imath}$ and let there holds $A_{\alpha} \leqq B_{\alpha} \leqq \bigvee_{\imath \in \Gamma} A_{\imath}, \alpha \in \Gamma$. Then the system $\boldsymbol{B}$ is associable if and only if for $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma, A_{\alpha}^{1} \in A_{\alpha}$ :
$(4.17,1) A_{\alpha}^{1} \cap\left(H_{0} \backslash G_{0}\right) \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow \boldsymbol{B} \sqcap A_{\alpha}^{1}$ is an associable system of partitions $\left(\right.$ on $\left.A_{\alpha}^{1}\right)$; $(4.17,2) A_{\alpha}^{1} \cap\left(\cup B_{\beta} \backslash \cup A_{\beta}\right) \cap\left(G \backslash H_{0}\right) \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow A_{\alpha}^{1} \subseteq B_{\beta}^{1}$ for some $B_{\beta}^{1} \in B_{\beta}$.

Remark. Condition $(4.17,2)$ can be equivalently replaced by a formally stronger condition
$\left(4.17,2^{\prime}\right) A_{\alpha}^{1} \cap\left(G \backslash H_{0}\right) \neq \emptyset, A_{\alpha}^{1} \cap \cup B_{\beta} \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow A_{\alpha}^{1} \in B_{\beta}$.
Proof. Suppose $\boldsymbol{A}$ is associable and $A_{\alpha} \leqq B_{\alpha} \leqq \bigvee_{\imath \in \Gamma} A_{\imath}, \alpha \in \Gamma$.
I. $\alpha \in \Gamma, A_{\alpha}^{1} \in A_{\alpha}, A_{\alpha}^{1} \cap\left(G \backslash G_{0}\right) \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow A_{\alpha}^{1} \in B_{\alpha}$.

Indeed, there exists $B_{\alpha}^{1} \in B_{\alpha}$ with $A_{\alpha}^{1} \subseteq B_{\alpha}^{1}$. Let $V$ be the block of the partition $\bigvee A_{\imath}=\bigvee B_{\imath}$ containing $B_{\alpha}^{1}$. By 4.6.5 the block $V$ is not of the 1 st kind and by 4.6.6 $V=A_{\alpha}^{1}$. From the relations $B_{\alpha}^{1} \subseteq V=A_{\alpha}^{1} \subseteq B_{\alpha}^{1}$ there follows $A_{\alpha}^{1}=B_{\alpha}^{1}$.
II. Let the conditions $(4.17,1)$ and $(4.17,2)$ be satisfied. We shall prove that the system $B$ is associable. Let $V \in \bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} A_{i}=\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} B_{i}, \mathfrak{A}=\left\{x^{i}: l \in \Gamma\right\} \subseteq V$. If $V \cap G_{0} \neq \emptyset$, then by $(4.9,2)$ for $\boldsymbol{A}$ there holds $V \cong G_{0}$. By 4.6.5 $V$ is a block of the 1 st kind for $\boldsymbol{A}$. Consequently $x \in G$ exists such that $x^{\imath} \boldsymbol{A}_{\imath} x, \imath \in \Gamma$. From the relation $A_{\imath} \leqq B_{\imath}$ if follows then $x^{1} B_{\imath} x, t \in \Gamma$. Thus $\mathfrak{U}$ satisfies $(4.1,1)$ for $\boldsymbol{B}$.

Let $V \cong G \backslash G_{0}$. There exist $\alpha \in \Gamma$ and $A_{\alpha}^{1} \in A_{\alpha}$ such that $V \supseteqq A_{\alpha}^{1}$. By $(4.9,3)$ for $A$ there is $V=A_{\alpha}^{1}$ and by I $V=B_{\alpha}^{1}$ for some block $B_{\alpha}^{1} \in B_{\alpha}$. We can now distinguish two cases.

First, let $A_{\alpha}^{1} \cap\left(H_{0} \backslash G_{0}\right) \neq \emptyset$. $V$ is a block of the partitions $\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma}\left(B_{\imath} \sqcap A_{\alpha}^{1}\right)$ because $B_{\alpha} \sqcap A_{\alpha}^{1}$ contains $B_{\alpha}^{1}(=V)$ for its unique block and $\cup\left(B_{\imath} \sqcap A_{\alpha}^{1}\right) \cong A_{\alpha}^{1}$ for all $\imath \in \Gamma$. By our assumption, $\boldsymbol{B} \sqcap A_{\alpha}^{1}$ is an associable system of partitions. It follows that every element of the system $B \sqcap A_{\alpha}^{1}$ is a partition on $A_{\alpha}^{1}$. Indeed, for the block $A_{\alpha}^{1}$ of the
partition $\left\{A_{\alpha}^{1}\right\} \in B \sqcap A_{\alpha}^{1}$ there holds $A_{\alpha}^{1} \cap \bigcup_{\imath \in \Gamma} \cup\left(B_{\imath} \sqcap A_{\alpha}^{1}\right)=A_{\alpha}^{1} \cap H_{0} \neq \emptyset$, then by $(4.9,2)$ for $\boldsymbol{B} \sqcap A_{\alpha}^{1}$ there is $A_{\alpha}^{1} \cong \bigcap_{\imath \in \Gamma} \cup\left(B_{\iota} \sqcap A_{\alpha}^{1}\right)=H_{0}$, thus $A_{\alpha}^{1} \supseteqq \cup\left(B_{\iota} \sqcap A_{\alpha}^{1}\right) \supseteqq$ $\supseteq A_{\alpha}^{1}, \cup\left(B_{\imath} \sqcap A_{\alpha}^{1}\right)=A_{\alpha}^{1}$ for every $\imath \in \Gamma$. By $(4.17,1)$ and $4.4 \mathfrak{H}$ satisfies $(4.1,1)$ for $\boldsymbol{B}$. Next, let $A_{\alpha}^{1} \cap\left(G \backslash H_{0}\right) \neq 0$. Then $\mathfrak{A l}$ verifies the condition (4.1,2) for $\boldsymbol{B}$. To show it, we recall the relations $\mathfrak{H} \cong V=B_{\alpha}^{1}=A_{\alpha}^{1}$ that was just proved. Now let $B_{\alpha}^{1} \cap$ $\cap \cup B_{\beta} \neq \emptyset$ for some $\beta \in \Gamma$. By our supposition $A_{\alpha}^{1}$ is not incident with $H_{0}$, consequently $A_{\alpha}^{1} \cap \cup B_{\beta} \cap\left(G \backslash H_{0}\right) \neq \emptyset$. If $A_{\alpha}^{1} \cap\left(\cup B_{\beta} \backslash \cup A_{\beta}\right) \cap\left(G \backslash H_{0}\right) \neq \emptyset$, then using $(4.17,2) A_{\alpha}^{1} \subseteq B_{\beta}^{1}$ holds for some $B_{\beta}^{1} \in B_{\beta}$. From the relations $V=B_{\alpha}^{1}=A_{\alpha}^{1} \subseteq$ $\subseteq B_{\beta}^{1} \subseteq V$ it follows that $B_{\alpha}^{1}=B_{\beta}^{1}$. If $A_{\alpha}^{1} \cap \cup A_{\beta} \cap\left(G \backslash H_{0}\right) \neq \emptyset$, then from the associability of $\boldsymbol{A}$ it follows that $A_{\alpha}^{1}=A_{\beta}^{1}$ for some $A_{\beta}^{1} \in A_{\beta}$. Denote by $B_{\beta}^{1}$ the block of the partition $B_{\beta}$ for which $B_{\beta}^{1} \supseteq A_{\beta}^{1}$. We have got $A_{\beta}^{1}=A_{\alpha}^{1}=B_{\alpha}^{1}=V \supseteqq B_{\beta}^{1} \supseteq A_{\beta}^{1}$, then $B_{\alpha}^{1}=B_{\beta}^{1}$. Thus the sufficiency of conditions $(4.17,1)$ and $(4.17,2)$ is proved.
III. Let the system $\boldsymbol{B}$ be associable. We shall prove $(4.17,1)$. Let $A_{\alpha}^{1} \cap\left(H_{0} \backslash G_{0}\right) \neq \emptyset$ be for some $\alpha \in \Gamma$ and $A_{\alpha}^{1} \in A_{\alpha}$. Then by (4.9,2) for $\boldsymbol{A}$ there is $A_{\alpha}^{1} \subseteq G \backslash G_{0}$ and by $(4.9,3)$ for $A A_{\alpha}^{1} \in \bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} A_{i}=\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} B_{i}$. By (4.9,2) for $\boldsymbol{B} A_{\alpha}^{1} \subseteq H_{0}$ is true (see also 4.8,1(a)) so that $B_{\imath} \sqcap A_{\alpha}^{1}$ is a partition on $A_{\alpha}^{1}(l \in \Gamma)$. If we denote by $B_{\alpha}^{1}$ the block of the partition $B_{\alpha}$ for which $B_{\alpha}^{1} \supseteq A_{\alpha}^{1}$, then according to I , there is $A_{\alpha}^{1}=B_{\alpha}^{1} . A_{\alpha}^{1}\left(=B_{\alpha}^{1}\right)$ is the unique block of the partition $\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma}\left(B_{\imath} \sqcap A_{\alpha}^{1}\right)$. To prove it, it suffices to consider that $\cup\left(B_{\imath} \sqcap A_{\alpha}^{1}\right) \subseteq A_{\alpha}^{1}(\imath \in \Gamma)$ and that $B_{\alpha} \sqcap A_{\alpha}^{1}$ has the unique block $A_{\alpha}^{1}=B_{\alpha}^{1}$. Then it follows that $\boldsymbol{B} \sqcap A_{\alpha}^{1}$ is an associable system of partitions on $A_{\alpha}^{1}$. Indeed, let $x^{\lambda} \bigvee_{\imath \in \Gamma}\left(B_{\imath} \sqcap A_{\alpha}^{1}\right) x^{\mu}(\lambda, \mu \in \Gamma)$ hold for $\mathfrak{A l}=\left\{x^{\imath}: \imath \in \Gamma\right\}$; then $\mathfrak{A} \cong A_{\alpha}^{1}$. Since $A_{\alpha}^{1} \in \mathbf{V}_{\imath \in \Gamma} \boldsymbol{B}_{\imath}$, from the associability of $\boldsymbol{B}$ it follows either $(4.1,1)$ or $(4.1,2)$. In the first case there exists $x \in G$ such that $x^{\imath} B x(l \in \Gamma)$, thus $x$ belongs to the same block of the partition $\vee B_{\imath}$ as all $x^{\iota}(l \in \Gamma)$, i.e. $x \in A_{\alpha}^{1}$. Hence $x^{\imath}\left(B_{\imath} \sqcap A_{\alpha}^{1}\right) x(l \in \Gamma)$. Then $\mathfrak{A}$ satisfies $(4.1,1)$ for $\boldsymbol{B} \sqcap A_{\alpha}^{1}$. In the second case we shall prove that $\mathfrak{A}$ satisfies $(4.1,2)$ for $\boldsymbol{B} \sqcap \boldsymbol{A}_{\alpha}^{1}$. There exist $\beta \in \Gamma$ and $B_{\beta}^{1} \in B_{\beta}$ such that $\mathfrak{A} \subseteq B_{\beta}^{1}$, then $\mathfrak{A} \subseteq B_{\beta}^{1} \cap A_{\alpha}^{1}\left(\in B_{\beta} \sqcap A_{\alpha}^{1}\right)$. If $\left(B_{\beta}^{1} \cap A_{\alpha}^{1} \cap \cup\left(B_{\gamma} \sqcap A_{\alpha}^{1}\right) \neq \emptyset\right.$ for some $\gamma \in \Gamma$, then $B_{\beta}^{1} \cap \cup B_{\gamma} \neq \emptyset$, thus $B_{\beta}^{1} \in B_{\gamma}$ and hence $B_{\beta}^{1} \cap A_{\alpha}^{1} \in B_{\gamma} \sqcap A_{\alpha}^{1}$. The associability of the system $B \sqcap A_{\alpha}^{1}$ (i.e. the validity of the condition (4.17,1)) is proved in this way.

We shall prove (4.17, $\left.2^{\prime}\right)$. Let $A_{\alpha}^{1} \cap\left(G \backslash H_{0}\right) \neq \emptyset, A_{\alpha}^{1} \cap \cup B_{\beta} \neq \emptyset$ for some $\beta \in \Gamma$. By $(4.9,2)$ for $A$ there is $A_{\alpha}^{1} \subseteq G \backslash G_{0}$. If $A_{\alpha}^{1} \cap\left(H_{0} \backslash G_{0}\right) \neq \emptyset$ held, then by the condition $(4.17,1)$ the validity of which was just proved, it were $A_{\alpha}^{1} \subseteq \bigcap_{\imath \in \Gamma} \cup\left(B_{\imath} \sqcap A_{\alpha}^{1}\right)=$ $=H_{0} \cap A_{\alpha}^{1}, \subseteq H_{0}$ a contradiction. Hence $A_{\alpha}^{1} \subseteq G \backslash H_{0}$ so that $A_{\alpha}^{1} \cap \cup B_{\beta} \cap$ $\cap\left(G \backslash H_{0}\right) \neq \emptyset$. By I there is $A_{\alpha}^{1}=B_{\alpha}^{1}$ for some $B_{\alpha}^{1} \in B_{\alpha}$. By (4.9,3) for $\boldsymbol{B}$ there will be $A_{\alpha}^{1}=B_{\alpha}^{1} \in B_{\beta}$. This completes the proof.
4.17.1 Let the notation from 4.17 hold, the system $\boldsymbol{A}$ be associable and $A_{\alpha} \leqq B_{\alpha} \leqq$ $\leqq \bigvee_{\imath \in \Gamma} A_{\imath}, \alpha \in \Gamma$. Then it holds
a) If $G_{0}=H_{0}$ and if $(4.17,2)$ is true, the assertion of Theorem 4.17 holds.
b) The condition $G_{0}=H_{0}$ does not guarantee that $(4.17,2)$ is fulfilled.
c) If $\cup A_{t}=\cup B_{t}, l \in \Gamma$ holds (which is the condition of Th. 2.3 [6]), in particula if $A_{\imath}, l \in \Gamma$, are partitions on $G$, then the conditions $(4.17,1)$ and $(4.17,2)$ are satisfied.
d) The equality $\cup A_{\alpha}=\cup B_{\alpha}$ for some $\alpha \in \Gamma$ is satisfied if each block of the partition $B_{\alpha}$ contains a block of the partition $A_{\alpha}$.

Proof. a) is evident.
b) Example. Let $G=\{1,2,3,4\}, A_{1}=\{\{1\}\}, B_{1}=\{\{1\},\{3\}\}, A_{2}=\{\{2\}\}=$ $=B_{2}, A_{3}=\{\{3,4\}\}=B_{3}$. Then $G_{0}=\emptyset=H_{0}, A_{\imath} \leqq B_{1}, l \in \Gamma(=\{1,2,3\})$, $V_{i \in \Gamma} A_{i}=\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} B_{i},\left\{A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}\right\}$ is an associable system. (4.17,2) is not satisfied since for $A_{3}^{1}=\{3,4\}$ there holds $A_{3}^{1} \cap\left(\cup B_{1} \backslash \cup A_{1}\right) \cap\left(G \backslash H_{0}\right) \neq \emptyset$ while $A_{3}^{1}$ is not a subset of any block of the partition $B_{1}$.
c) Supposition $\cup A_{\imath}=\cup B_{\imath}, \imath \in \Gamma$, implies $G_{0}=H_{0}$, then also (4.17,1). Let the supposition of condition (4.17,2) be satisfied. Then $A_{\alpha}^{1} \cap\left(G \backslash H_{0}\right) \neq \emptyset, A_{\alpha}^{1} \cap B_{\beta}^{1} \neq \emptyset$ for some $B_{\beta}^{1} \in B_{\beta}$. According to our supposition there exists $A_{\beta}^{1} \in A_{\beta}$ such that $A_{\beta}^{1} \subseteq B_{\beta}^{1}, A_{\alpha}^{1} \cap A_{\beta}^{1} \neq \emptyset$. By $(4.9,3)$ there is $A_{\alpha}^{1}=A_{\beta}^{1}$ so $A_{\alpha}^{1} \cong B_{\beta}^{1}$.
d) From 4.8.1(a) it follows that $\cup A_{\alpha}$ does not respect the partition $B_{\alpha}$. By supposition, any $B_{\alpha}^{1} \in B_{\alpha}$ contains some $A_{\alpha}^{1} \in A_{\alpha}$, thus $B_{\alpha}^{1} \cap \cup A_{\alpha} \neq \emptyset$. Hence $\cup B_{\alpha} \subseteq \cup A_{\alpha}$. The reverse inclusion being evident, thus $\cup A_{\alpha}=\cup B_{\alpha}$.
4.17.2 Corollary. Let $A, B, C$ be partitions in a set $G$, let $A, B$ commute, $A \leqq C \leqq$ $\leqq A \vee B$. Then $B, C$ commute if and only if $\cup C$ respects the partition $B$.

Proof. The condition is necessary by 4.8.1.
Sufficiency. Denoting $A_{1}=A, A_{2}=B=B_{2}, B_{1}=C$, we get $G_{0}=\cup A \cap \cup B$, $H_{0}=\cup B \cap \cup C$. We shall prove that the conditions $(4.17,1)$ and $(4.17,2)$ will be satisfied. Let $A_{\alpha}^{1}=A^{1} \in A, x \in A^{1} \cap H_{0} \cap\left(G \backslash G_{0}\right) \neq \emptyset$. Because of $\cup A \subseteq \cup C$, $x \in \cup A \square B \cap(G \backslash \cup A \cap \cup B)=\emptyset$ holds, which is a contradiction.

Let $A_{\alpha}^{1}=B^{1} \in B, B^{1} \cap H_{0} \cap\left(G \backslash G_{0}\right) \neq \emptyset$. $\boldsymbol{B} \sqcap A_{\alpha}^{1}=\left\{C \sqcap B^{1}, B \sqcap B^{1}\right\}$ holds. By assumption $C \sqcap B^{1}$ is a partition on $B^{1}$. Further $B \sqcap B^{1}=\left\{B^{1}\right\}$. The partitions $C \sqcap B^{1}, B \sqcap B^{1}$ commute as they are comparable and "on" (on $A_{\alpha}^{1}$ ), thus $B \sqcap A_{\alpha}^{1}$ is an associable system of partitions on $A_{\alpha}^{1}$. Thus $(4.17,1)$ is verified. We shall check (4.17, $2^{\prime}$ ).

Let $A_{\alpha}^{1}=A^{1} \in A, B_{\beta}^{1}=C^{1} \in C, A^{1} \cap\left(G \backslash H_{0}\right) \neq \emptyset, A^{1} \cap C^{1} \neq \emptyset$. As $A, B$ commute and $A \leqq C$, there holds $A^{1} \cap \cup B=\emptyset$, thus $A^{1} \in A \vee B$, consequently from the relations $A \leqq C \leqq A \vee B$ and from the supposition $A^{1} \cap C^{1} \neq \emptyset$ it follows that $A^{1}=C^{1}$.

Let $A_{\alpha}^{1}=A^{1} \in A, B_{\beta}^{1}=B^{1} \in B, A^{1} \cap\left(G \backslash H_{0}\right) \neq \emptyset, A^{1} \cap B^{1}=\emptyset$. Then from the commutativity of $A, B$ and from the relation $A \leqq C$ it follows that $A^{1} \cap \cup B=\emptyset$, which is a contradiction with $A^{1} \cap B^{1} \neq \emptyset$.

Let $A_{\alpha}^{1}=B^{1} \in B, \quad B_{\beta}^{1}=C^{1} \in C, \quad B^{1} \cap\left(G \backslash H_{0}\right) \neq \emptyset, \quad B^{1} \cap C^{1} \neq \emptyset$. Since $\cap C$
respects the partition $B$, there is $B^{1} \cap \cup C=\emptyset-$ a contradiction with $B^{1} \cap C^{1} \neq \emptyset$.
Let $A_{\alpha}^{1}=B^{1} \in B, B_{\beta}^{1}=B^{2} \in B, B^{1} \cap\left(G \backslash H_{0}\right) \neq \emptyset, B^{1} \cap B^{2} \neq \emptyset$. Then evidently $B^{1}=B^{2}$. The proof is complete.
4.17.3 Corollary ([6] Cor. 2.4). Let $A, B, C$ be partitions in a set $G$, let $A, B$ commute, $A \leqq C \leqq A \vee B$. If $\cup A=\cup C$ holds, then $B, C$ commute.

Indeed, since $A, B$ commute, by 4.8.1(a) $\cup A(=\cup C)$ respects the partition $B$. The assertion follows then from 4.17.2.
4.18 Corollary. Let $\left\{A_{\imath}: \imath \in \Gamma\right\}$ be an associable system of partitions in a set $G$, let $\left\{\Gamma_{\chi}: \varkappa \in K\right\}$ be a nonempty system of nonempty subsets of the set $\Gamma$. Then the system $\left\{\underset{\imath \in \Gamma_{x}}{ } A_{\imath}: x \in K\right\}$ is associable.

Proof. Denote $\Gamma_{0}=\bigcup_{x \in K} \Gamma_{\chi}$. By 4.5 the system $\left\{A_{\imath}: \imath \in \Gamma_{0}\right\}$ is associable. For $\alpha \in \Gamma_{0}$ let us denote by $B_{\alpha}$ any partition $\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma_{\star}} A_{\imath}$ for which $\alpha \in \Gamma_{\chi}$. Further, denote $G_{0}=$ $=\bigcap_{i \in \Gamma_{0}} \cup A_{i}, H_{0}=\bigcap_{i \in \Gamma_{0}} \cup B_{i}$.
I. First we shall prove the following:
$A_{\alpha}^{1} \cap \cup B_{\beta} \neq \emptyset$ for some $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma_{0}, A_{\alpha}^{1} \cap\left(G \backslash G_{0}\right) \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow A_{\alpha}^{1} \in B_{\beta}$. .
Let $B_{\beta}=\bigvee_{l \in \Gamma_{\alpha}} A_{l}$ and fix $B_{\beta}^{1} \in B_{\beta}, x \in A_{\alpha}^{1} \cap B_{\beta}^{1}$. For every $y \in B_{\beta}^{1}$ there exist indices $l_{0}, \imath_{1}, \ldots, t_{n} \in \Gamma_{x}$ and elements $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n} \in G$ such that $x A_{t_{0}} x_{1} A_{t_{1}} x_{2} \ldots x_{n} A_{\iota_{n}} y$. Thus for certain blocks $A_{i_{0}}^{1} \in A_{i_{0}}, \ldots, A_{\iota_{n}}^{1} \in A_{i_{n}}$ there holds $x, x_{1} \in A_{i_{0}}^{1}, x_{1}, x_{2} \in$ $\in A_{i_{1}}^{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, y \in A_{\imath}^{1}$. By $(4.9,3)$ we get successively $A_{\alpha}^{1}=A_{i_{0}}^{1}, A_{i_{0}}^{1}=A_{i_{1}}^{1}, \ldots$, $A_{\iota_{n-1}}^{1}=A_{\iota_{n}}^{1} \ni y$. Hence $A_{\alpha}^{1} \supseteqq B_{\beta}^{1}$. By the definition of $B_{\beta}$ there is $B_{\beta} \geqq A_{\beta}$ and there exist $\gamma \in \Gamma_{\kappa}$ and $A_{\gamma}^{1} \in A_{\gamma}$ such that $B_{\beta}^{1} \supseteq A_{\gamma}^{1}$ Hence $A_{\alpha}^{1} \supseteq A_{\gamma}^{1}$ so that by (4.9,3) $A_{\alpha}^{1}=A_{\gamma}^{1}$. Finally, $A_{\alpha}^{1} \supseteq B_{\beta}^{1} \supseteq A_{\gamma}^{1}=A_{\alpha}^{1}$ and so $A_{\alpha}^{1}=B_{\beta}^{1}$.
II. We shall show that (4.17.1) is true. Let $A_{\alpha}^{1} \cap\left(H_{0} \backslash G_{0}\right) \neq \emptyset$. If $A_{\alpha}^{1} \cap \cup B_{\beta}=\emptyset$ for some $\beta \in \Gamma_{0}$, then $A_{\alpha}^{1} \cap H_{0}=\emptyset$ - a contradiction. Thus $A_{\alpha}^{1} \cap \cup B_{\beta} \neq \emptyset$ for all $B \in \Gamma_{0}$. By I, $A_{\alpha}^{1} \in B_{\beta}$ for all $\beta \in \Gamma_{0}$. Hence for all $\beta \in \Gamma_{0}, B_{\beta} \sqcap A_{\alpha}^{1}$ has the unique block $A_{\alpha}^{1}$. Then $\boldsymbol{B} \square A_{\alpha}^{1}$ is an associable system of partitions (on $A_{\alpha}^{1}$ ). Hence (4.17,1).

Clerarly the condition ( $4.17,2^{\prime}$ ) is satisfied by I.
4.19 Let $\left\{A_{i}: \imath \in \Gamma\right\}$ be an associable system of partitions in a set $G,\left\{\Gamma_{x}: \chi \in K\right\}$ a nonempty system of nonempty subsets of the set $\Gamma, \Gamma_{0}=\bigcup_{x \in K} \Gamma_{x}$. Let $C=\left\{C_{x}: x \in K\right\}$ be a system of partitions in $G, \underset{x \in K}{ } C_{x} \leqq \bigvee_{i \in \Gamma_{0}} A_{i}$. Denote $G_{0}=\bigcap_{i \in \Gamma_{0}} \cup A_{i}, H_{0}=$ $=\bigcap_{x \in K}\left(\cup C_{x} \cup \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Gamma_{\varkappa}} \cup A_{\alpha}\right)$. Then the system $\left\{C_{\chi} \vee A_{\alpha}: x \in K, \alpha \in \Gamma_{\chi}\right\}$ is associable if and only if for $\varkappa, \lambda \in K, \alpha \in \Gamma_{\alpha}, \beta \in \Gamma_{\lambda}, A_{\alpha}^{1} \in A_{\alpha}$ there holds:
$(4.19,1) A_{\alpha}^{1} \cap\left(H_{0} \backslash G_{0}\right) \neq \emptyset=C\left(A_{\alpha}^{1}\right)=\left\{\left(C_{\lambda} \vee A_{\beta}\right) \sqcap A_{\alpha}^{1}: \lambda \in K, \beta \in \Gamma_{\lambda}\right\}$ is an associable system of partitions (on $A_{\alpha}^{1}$ ).
$(4.19,2) A_{\alpha}^{1} \cap\left(\cup C_{\lambda} \backslash \cup A_{\beta}\right) \cap\left(G \backslash H_{0}\right) \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow A_{\alpha}^{1} \in C_{\lambda}$ or $A_{\alpha}^{1} \in A_{\beta}$.

Remark. The system $\boldsymbol{C}\left(A_{\alpha}^{1}\right)$ in the condition $(4.19,1)$ consists of the one-block partition $\left\{A_{\alpha}^{1}\right\}$ and of all partitions $C_{\lambda} \sqcap A_{\alpha}^{1}(\lambda \in K)$ for which $\beta \in \Gamma_{\lambda}$ exists such that $A_{\alpha}^{1} \cap \cup A_{\beta}=\emptyset$.

In the condition $(4.19,2)$ the statement on the right hand side of the implication can be replaced by $A_{\alpha}^{i} \in C_{\lambda} \vee A_{\beta}$.

Proof. For $\chi \in K, \alpha \in \Gamma_{\varkappa}$ let us denote $A_{\varkappa, \alpha}=A_{\alpha}, B_{\chi, \alpha}=C_{\kappa} \vee A_{\alpha}$. The system $\left\{A_{\imath}: \imath \in \Gamma_{0}\right\}$ is associable, consequently so is the system $A=\left\{A_{\varkappa, \alpha}: \chi \in K, \alpha \in \Gamma_{\chi}\right\}$. For $x \in K, \alpha \in \Gamma_{x}$ there holds

$$
A_{\chi, \alpha}=A_{\alpha} \leqq C_{\varkappa} \vee A_{\alpha}=B_{\chi, \alpha} \leqq \bigvee_{x \in K} C_{\varkappa} \vee \bigvee A_{i}=\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma_{0}} A_{i}=\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma_{0}}\left\{A_{\chi, \alpha}: x \in K\right.
$$ $\left.\alpha \in \Gamma_{\chi}\right\}$.

By 4.17, the system $\boldsymbol{B}=\left\{B_{\chi, \alpha}: \chi \in K, \alpha \in \Gamma_{\alpha}\right\}=\left\{C_{\varkappa} \vee A_{\alpha}: \chi \in K, \alpha \in \Gamma_{\chi}\right\}$ is associable if and only if the conditions $(4.17,1)$ and $(4.17,2)$ are satisfied, where $G_{0}=$ $=\bigcap_{i \in \Gamma_{0}} \cup A_{l}, H_{0}=\bigcap_{x \in K}\left[\cup C_{\chi} \cup \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Gamma_{\chi}} \cup A_{\alpha}\right]$.

Let $x, \lambda \in K, \alpha \in \Gamma_{\chi}, \beta \in \Gamma_{\lambda}, A_{\chi, \alpha}^{1} \in A_{\chi, \alpha}, B_{\lambda, \beta}^{1} \in B_{\lambda, \beta}$.
We reformulate $(4.17,1)$ for the present situation:
$A_{\chi, \alpha}^{1} \cap\left(H_{0} \backslash G_{0}\right) \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow \boldsymbol{B} \sqcap A_{\chi, \alpha}^{1}$ is an associable system of partitions (on $A_{\chi, \alpha}^{1}$ ).
Consider a partition $B_{\lambda, \beta} \sqcap A_{\chi, \alpha}^{1}$ belonging to the system $\boldsymbol{B} \sqcap A_{\chi, \alpha}^{1}: B_{\lambda, \beta} \sqcap$ $\sqcap A_{\chi, \alpha}^{1}=\left(C_{\lambda} \vee A_{\beta}\right) \sqcap A_{\alpha}^{1}$. By (4.9,3) for the associable system $\left\{A_{\imath}: \imath \in \Gamma_{0}\right\}$ there holds

$$
A_{\alpha}^{1} \in \underset{\imath \in \Gamma_{0}}{\bigvee} A_{\imath} \geqq C_{\lambda} \vee A_{\beta} \geqq C_{\lambda}
$$

Hence we obtain: if $A_{\alpha}^{1} \cap \cup A_{\beta}=\emptyset$, then $\left(C_{\lambda} \vee A_{\beta}\right) \sqcap A_{\alpha}^{1}=C_{\lambda} \sqcap A_{\alpha}^{1}$. If $A_{\alpha}^{1} \cap$ $\cap \cup A_{\beta} \neq \emptyset$, then $A_{\alpha}^{1} \in A_{\beta}$, consequently $\left(C_{\lambda} \vee A_{\beta}\right) \sqcap A_{\alpha}^{1}$ has the unique block $A_{\alpha}^{1}$. Such a case necessarily occurs, namely for $\lambda=\chi, \beta=\alpha$. Then the condition (4.17,1) in our case reads:
$A_{\alpha}^{1} \cap\left(H_{0} \backslash G_{0}\right) \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow C\left(A_{\alpha}^{1}\right)$ is an associable system of partitions (on $A_{\alpha}^{1}$ ).
Analogously we reformulate the condition (4.17,2):

$$
A_{\chi, \alpha}^{1} \cap\left(\cup B_{\lambda, \beta} \backslash \cup A_{\lambda, \beta}\right) \cap\left(G \backslash H_{0}\right) \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow A_{\chi, \alpha}^{1} \in B_{\lambda, \beta}
$$

Using the original notation, this condition reads:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\alpha}^{1} \cap\left(\cup C_{\lambda, ~} \backslash \cup A_{\beta}\right) \cap\left(G \backslash H_{0}\right) \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow A_{\alpha}^{1} \in C_{\lambda} \vee A_{\beta} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us consider that $A_{\alpha}^{1} \in \underset{i \in \Gamma_{0}}{ } A_{i}$. If $A_{\alpha}^{1}$ does not contain any block of the partition $A_{\beta}$, then it will be $A_{\alpha}^{1} \in C_{\lambda}$, if it contains, it will be $A_{\alpha}^{1} \in A_{\beta}$ (by 4.9,3)). Conversely, let $A_{\alpha}^{1}=C_{\lambda}^{1} \in C_{\lambda}$ or $A_{\alpha}^{1}=A_{\beta}^{1} \in A_{\beta}$ (and, of course, let the statement on the left of (1) be true). Evidently there holds $A_{\alpha}^{1} \in \bigvee_{i \in \Gamma_{0}} A_{i}$. If $A_{\alpha} \cap \cup A_{\beta}=\emptyset$, then $A_{\alpha}^{1}=C_{\lambda}^{1} \in$ ¿ $C_{\lambda} \vee A_{\beta}$; if $A_{\alpha}^{1} \cap \cup A_{\beta} \neq \emptyset$, then $A_{\alpha}^{1}=A_{\beta}^{1}$ for some $A_{\beta}^{1} \in A_{\beta}$ (by 4.9,3)) and with
regard to the relations $A_{\beta}^{1}=A_{\alpha}^{1} \in \bigvee_{i \in \Gamma_{0}} A_{i} \geqq \bigvee_{x \in K} C_{x} \geqq C_{\lambda}$ it will be $A_{\alpha}^{1}=A_{\beta}^{1} \in C_{\lambda} \vee A_{\beta}$. Thus it is established that the statement on the reight of (1) can be equivalently written in the form $A_{\alpha}^{1} \in C_{\lambda}$ or $A_{\alpha}^{1} \in A_{\beta}$.
4.19.1 The conditions $(4.19,1)$ and $(4.19,2)$ are satisfied trivially if there holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cup C_{\varkappa} \cong \cup A_{\alpha}, \quad x \in K, \quad \alpha \in \Gamma_{\chi} . \tag{4.19,3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 4.17 will be obtained as a consequence of Theorem 4.19 if we put there $K=\Gamma, \Gamma_{t}=\{\imath\}, C_{\imath}=B_{t}$ for all $t \in K(=\Gamma)$.

Theorems 2.4 and 2.4a [6] are corollaries to Theorem 4.19, as well. Indeed, by introducing a suitable notation the condition $(4.19,3)$ is evidently satisfled. (E.g. if we put in $4.19 K=\Gamma, \Gamma_{\imath}=\{\imath\}$ for all $\imath \in K, C_{\imath}=B_{2}$ for $\imath \in \Gamma_{1}, C_{\imath}=B_{1}$ for $\imath \in \Gamma_{2}$ we obtain Th. 2.4a)
4.20 Let $A, B, A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}$ be partitions in a set $G, A^{\prime} \vee B^{\prime} \leqq A \vee B$, let $A, B$ commute. Then $A \vee A^{\prime}, B \vee B^{\prime}$ commute if and only if
$\cup B^{\prime} \backslash \cup B$ respects the partition $A$,
$\cup A^{\prime} \backslash \cup A$ respects the partition $B$.
Proof. In Theorem 4.19 let us put $K=\{1,2\}, \Gamma_{\imath}=\{\imath\}$ for $\imath \in K, A_{1}=A, A_{2}=$ $=B, C_{1}=A^{\prime}, C_{2}=B^{\prime}$. Condition (4.19,2) is satisfied trivially. Indeed, $H_{0}=$ $=\left(\cup A \cup \cup A^{\prime}\right) \cap\left(\cup B \cup \cup B^{\prime}\right) \supseteqq\left(\cup A \cap \cup B^{\prime}\right) \cup\left(\cup B \cap \cup A^{\prime}\right)$, then $A^{1} \cap$ $\cap\left(\cup B^{\prime} \backslash \cup B\right) \cap\left(G \backslash H_{0}\right) \subseteq \cup A \cap \cup B^{\prime} \cap\left(G \backslash H_{0}\right)=\emptyset, B^{1} \cap\left(\cup A^{\prime} \backslash \cup A\right) \cap$ $\cap\left(G \backslash H_{0}\right) \subseteq \cup B \cap \cup A^{\prime} \cap\left(G \backslash H_{0}\right)=\emptyset$ and evidently $A^{1} \cap\left(\cup A^{\prime} \backslash \cup A\right) \subseteq$ $\cong \cup A \cap\left(\cup A^{\prime} \backslash \cup A\right)=\emptyset, B^{1} \cap\left(\cup B^{\prime} \backslash \cup B\right)=\emptyset$. Condition (4.19,1) reads (for $\alpha=1$ ):
(1) $A^{1} \cap\left(H_{0} \backslash G_{0}\right) \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow\left\{A^{1}\right\}, B^{\prime} \sqcap A^{1}$ are commuting partitions.

Partitions $\left\{A^{1}\right\}, B^{\prime} \sqcap A^{1}$ commute if and only if $B^{\prime} \sqcap A^{1}$ is a partition on $A^{1}$ (or empty but this is evidently eliminated in (1)) and this is equivalent to the relation $\cup B^{\prime} \supseteq A^{\prime}$ and to the relation $\cup B^{\prime} \backslash \cup B \supseteqq A^{1}$ as well since by $(4.9,2) A^{1} \cap \cup B \neq$ $\neq \emptyset \Rightarrow A^{1} \cap G_{0} \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow A^{1} \cong G_{0}-\mathrm{a}$ contradiction. The first statement in (1) is equivalent to the statement $A^{1} \cap\left(\cup B^{\prime} \backslash \cup B\right) \neq \emptyset$. Indeed, $A^{1} \cap\left(H_{0} \backslash G_{0}\right)=$ $=\left(A^{1} \cap H_{0}\right) \backslash G_{0}=A^{1} \cap\left(\cup A \cup \cup A^{\prime}\right) \cap\left(\cup B \cup \cup B^{\prime}\right) \backslash G_{0}=\left(A^{1} \cap \cup B\right) \cup$ $\cup\left(A^{1} \cap \cup B^{\prime}\right) \backslash(\cup A \cap \cup B)=\left(A^{1} \cap \cup B^{\prime}\right) \backslash(\cup A \cap \cup B)=\left(A^{1} \cap \cup B^{\prime}\right) \backslash$ $\backslash\left(A^{1} \cap \cup B\right)=A^{1} \cap\left(\cup B^{\prime} \backslash \cup B\right)$. Thus the first condition in (4.20,1) is verified. The second is obtained similarly.
4.20.1 Condition $(4.20,1)$ is evidently satisfied if there holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cup A^{\prime} \cong \cup A, \cup B^{\prime} \cong \cup B \tag{4.20,2}
\end{equation*}
$$

4.20.2 Cor. 2.6 and Cor. 2.7a in [6] are consequences of Theorem 4.20. In the first case it suffices to put $A=\boldsymbol{A}^{\prime}, \boldsymbol{B}^{\prime}=\boldsymbol{C}$. In both cases condition (4.20,2) is satisfied.
4.20.3 We specialize Theorems 4.20 by putting requirements on $A$ and $A^{\prime}$ or $B$ and $B^{\prime}$, respectively.

Let $A, A^{\prime}, B, B^{\prime}, C, D$ be partitions in a set $G, A, B$ commute, $A^{\prime} \vee B^{\prime} \leqq A \vee B$, $C \leqq A \vee B$. Then the following assertions 1 to 5 hold:
(1) If $A \leqq A^{\prime}, B \leqq B^{\prime}$, then the following conditions are equivalent:
a) $A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}$ commute
b) $(4.20,1)$ is true
c) $\left(\cup A^{\prime} \cap \cup B^{\prime}\right) \backslash(\cup A \cap \cup B)$ respects the partitions $A$ and $B$
(2) $A, B \vee C$ commute if and only if $\cup C \backslash \cup B$ respects the partition $A$. If we suppose moreover that $A \wedge B \leqq C$, then $A, B \vee C$ commute if and only if $\cup C$ respects the partition $A$. (See Cor. 2.6[6].)
(3) $A \vee C, B \vee C$ commute if and only if $\cup C \backslash \cup B$ respects the partition $A$ and $\cup C \backslash \cup A$ respects the partition $B$.
(4) If $A \leqq C$, then $B, C$ commute if and only if $\cup C$ respects the partition $B$ (See 4.17.2).
(5) If $\cup B \cap \cup C \cong \cup A, \cup A \cap \cup D \subseteq \cup B$, then the partitions $A \vee(B \wedge C)$, $B \vee(A \wedge D)$ commute. (Cf. Cor. 2.12 [6] and 4.25.).

Proof: (1) We apply Theorem 4.19 in the same manner as in the proof to Theorem 4.20. Condition $(4.19,2)$ is satisfied trivially (see proof to 4,20$)$. Condition $(4.19,1)$ can be formulated as follows (for $\alpha=1, A_{1}=A, A^{1} \in A$ ):
(i) $A^{1} \cap\left(H_{0} \backslash G_{0}\right) \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow\left\{A^{1}\right\}, B^{\prime} \sqcap A^{1}$ are commuting partitions on $A^{1}$.

The first statement in (i) is equivalent to the following

$$
A^{1} \cap\left[\left(\cup A^{\prime} \cap \cup B^{\prime}\right) \backslash(\cup A \cap \cup B) \neq \emptyset\right.
$$

The second statement in (i) is equivalent to the fact that $B^{\prime} \sqcap A^{1}$ is a partition on $A^{1}$ and this is equivalent to the relation $\cup B^{\prime} \supseteqq A^{1}$ and also to the relation $\cup B^{\prime} \backslash$ $\backslash(\cup A \cap \cup B) \supseteqq A^{1}$ (since $A^{1} \cap\left(H_{0} \backslash G_{0}\right) \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow A^{1} \cong G \backslash G_{0}-$ by (4.9,2)). Because of $A^{1} \cong \cup A^{\prime}$, the last relation can be equivalently formulated in the form $\left(\cup A^{\prime} \cap \cup B^{\prime}\right) \backslash(\cup A \cap \cup B) \supseteqq A^{1}$. It follows that the condition (i) is equivalent to the statement: $\left(\cup A^{\prime} \cap \cup B^{\prime}\right) \backslash(\cup A \cap \cup B)$ respects the partition $A$. That it also respects the partition $B$ can be proved by a similar argument (formulate (4.19,1) for $\alpha=2$ ). So we have got the equivalence between $a$ ) and $c$ ). The equivalence between a) and b) follows directly from 4.20 .
(2) The first assertion follows from 4.20 by putting $A=A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}=C$. The second assertion: If $A, B \vee C$ commute, then $\cup C \backslash \cup B$ respects the partition $A$. Hence if $A^{1} \cap(\cup C \backslash \cup B) \neq \emptyset$, then $A^{1} \subseteq \cup C$. If $A^{1} \cap \cup B \cap \cup C \neq \emptyset$, then from the
commutativity of $A, B$ and from the supposition $A \wedge B \leqq C$ it follows that $A^{1} \subseteq$ $\subseteq \cup B \cap \cup \subseteq \cup C$. If now, conversely, $\cup C$ respects $A$ and $A^{1} \cap(\cup C \backslash \cup B) \neq \emptyset$, then using commutativity of $A, B$ it follows that $A^{1} \subseteq \cup C$ and $A^{1} \subseteq G \backslash \cup B$, then. $A^{1} \cong \cup C \backslash \cup B$.
(3) can be obtained from 4.20 for $A^{\prime}=B^{\prime}=C$.
(4) 4.20 for $A \leqq A^{\prime}=C, B^{\prime}=B$.
(5) If we put $A^{\prime}=B \wedge C, B^{\prime}=A \wedge D$, the condition (4.20,2) will be satisfied.
4.21 Let $A, B, A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}$ be congruences in an $\Omega$-group. Then $A \wedge A^{\prime}, B \wedge B^{\prime}$ commute if and only if $\left[A^{\prime}(O) \cap A(O)\right] \cup\left[B^{\prime}(O) \cap B(O)\right] \cong \cup A \cap \cup B \cap A^{\prime} \cap \cup B^{\prime}$.

The assertion is obtained immediately from [12] 3.9.
4.21.1 Corollary. Let $A, B, A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}$ be congruences in an $\Omega$-group, $A, B$ commute. Then $A \wedge A^{\prime}, B \wedge B^{\prime}$ commute if one of the following conditions is satisfied:
a) $A^{\prime}(O) \cap A(O) \subseteq \cup B^{\prime}, B^{\prime}(O) \cap B(O) \subseteq \cup A^{\prime}$ (the condition is also necessary)
b) $A \leqq B^{\prime}, B \leqq A^{\prime}$
c) $A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}$ commute.

Proof. a) follows immediately from 4.21, b) and c) follow from a).
4.21.2 Remark. Assertion 4.21 .1 b) agrees to Cor. 2.9 [6] applied to congruences in $\Omega$-group.
4.22 Let $\boldsymbol{A}=\left\{A_{t}: \imath \in \Gamma\right\}$ be an associable system of partitions in a set $G, B$ a partition in $G, G_{0}=\bigcap_{i \in \Gamma} \cup A_{i}, H=\cup B \cap G_{0}, \Gamma_{1} \cong \Gamma, \Gamma_{2}=\Gamma \backslash \Gamma_{1}$. The system $\boldsymbol{B}=$ $=\left\{A_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Gamma_{1}\right\} \cup\left\{B \wedge A_{\mu}: \mu \in \Gamma_{2}\right\}$ is associable if and only if the following conditions $1,2,3$ and 4 hold provided that $\Gamma_{2} \geqq 2, \Gamma_{1} \neq \emptyset$, conditions 1', 2, 3 and 4 provided that card $\Gamma_{2}=1$, and condition 1' provided that $\Gamma_{1}=\emptyset$ :
$(4.22,1) B \sqcap H \geqq A_{\alpha} \sqcap H, \alpha \in \Gamma_{1}$
$\left(4.22,1^{\prime}\right) \boldsymbol{B} \sqcap H$ is an associable system of partitions (on $H$ )
$(4.22,2) H$ respects the partition $A_{\alpha}, \alpha \in \Gamma_{1}$
$(4.22,3) \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma_{1} \Rightarrow A_{\alpha} \sqcap\left(G_{0} \backslash H\right)=A_{\beta} \sqcap\left(G_{0} \backslash H\right)$
$(4.22,4) \alpha \in \Gamma_{1}, \mu \in \Gamma_{2}, A_{\alpha}^{1} \cap A_{\mu}^{1} \cap B^{1} \cap\left(G \backslash G_{0}\right) \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow A_{\alpha}^{1} \cong B^{1}$.
Remark. For the cases of card $\Gamma_{2}=1$ or $\Gamma_{1}=\emptyset$ the problem is solved unsatisfactorily in the sense that the Theorem gives a trivial result for partitions "on".

Proof. Denote $B_{\alpha}=A_{\alpha}$ for $\alpha \in \Gamma_{1}, B_{\mu}=B \wedge A_{\mu}$ for $\mu \in \Gamma_{2}$.
I. Suppose that the systems $\boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{B}$ are associable.

1. By $(4.9,1)$ for $\boldsymbol{B}, \boldsymbol{B} \sqcap H$ is an associable system of partitions on $H$. This is a stronger variant of the condition $1^{\prime}$. Now let card $\Gamma_{2} \geqq 2$, let $a \bigvee(B \sqcap H) z$ hold for $a, z \in H$. Choose $\mu, v \in \Gamma_{2}, \mu \neq v$. Let $x^{x} V(\boldsymbol{B} \sqcap H) x^{\lambda}, x, \lambda \in \Gamma$, hold for a system $\left\{x^{i}: l \in \Gamma\right\}$ where $x^{\mu}=a, x^{v}=z$. Then there exists $x \in H$ such that $x^{x}\left(B_{\chi} \sqcap H\right) x, x \in \Gamma$, then $x^{x}(B \sqcap H) x, x \in \Gamma_{2}$, hence $a=x^{\mu}(B \sqcap H) x^{\nu}=z$. The
element $a$ can run through the entire block of the partition $\bigvee(B \sqcap H)$ containing the element $z$. Therefore $B \sqcap H \geqq \bigvee(B \sqcap H) \geqq A_{\alpha} \sqcap H, \alpha \in \Gamma_{1}$, which is the condition 1.
2. From $(4.9,2)$ for $\boldsymbol{B}$ we get 2 .
3. By $(4.9,3)$ for $\boldsymbol{B}$ one gets:

$$
\alpha, \quad \beta \in \Gamma_{1}, \quad A_{\alpha}^{1} \cap A_{\beta}^{1} \cap\left(G_{0} \backslash H\right) \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow A_{\alpha}^{1}=A_{\beta}^{1} .
$$

From (4.9,2) for $\boldsymbol{A}$ it follows that $A_{\alpha} \sqcap\left(G_{0} \backslash H\right)$ and $A_{\beta} \sqcap\left(G_{0} \backslash H\right)$ are partitions on $G_{0} \backslash H$, thus $A_{\alpha} \sqcap\left(G_{0} \backslash H\right)=A_{\beta} \sqcap\left(G_{0} \backslash H\right)$, which is the condition 3.
4) From the condition $\alpha \in \Gamma_{1}, \mu \in \Gamma_{2}, A_{\alpha}^{1} \cap A_{\mu}^{1} \cap B^{1} \cap\left(G \backslash G_{0}\right) \neq \varnothing$ it follows by $(4.9,3)$ for $\boldsymbol{B}$ that $A_{\alpha}^{1}=A_{\mu}^{1} \cap B^{1} \subseteq B^{1}$.
II. Sufficiency. 1. Condition $(4.9,1)$ for $\boldsymbol{B}$ is identical with the condition $1^{\prime}$ of our Theorem. Then if card $\Gamma_{2}=1$ or $\Gamma_{1}=\emptyset,(4.9,1)$ is satisfied for $\boldsymbol{B}$. Let $\Gamma_{1} \neq \emptyset$, card $\Gamma_{2} \geqq 2$. If we prove that the system $\boldsymbol{A} \sqcap H$ is associable, the suppositions of Theorem 2.5 [6] for the systems $\boldsymbol{A} \sqcap H, \boldsymbol{B} \sqcap H$ will be satisfied as it follows from the condition 1 of our Theorem, hence the system $\boldsymbol{B} \sqcap H$ will be associable, i.e. the condition $(4.9,1)$ will be satisfied for $\boldsymbol{B}$. Then let $x^{x} \vee(\boldsymbol{A} \sqcap \boldsymbol{H}) x^{\lambda}, x, \lambda \in \Gamma$, hold for $\left\{x^{\imath}: \imath \in \Gamma\right\}$. Then $x^{x} \vee\left(\boldsymbol{A} \sqcap G_{0}\right) x^{\lambda}, x, \lambda \in \Gamma$. Since, by $(4.9,1)$ for $\boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{A} \sqcap G_{0}$ is an associable system of partitions on $G_{0}, x \in G_{0}$ will exist by 4.4 such that $x^{\prime}\left(A_{1} \sqcap G_{0}\right) x$, $l \in \Gamma$. For $\alpha \in \Gamma_{1}$ and for suitable $A_{\alpha}^{1} \in A_{\alpha}$ there is $x^{\alpha} \in A_{\alpha}^{1} \cap H$, then by condition 2 of our Theorem there si $A_{\alpha}^{1} \cong H$ and consequently $x \in H$ since $x \in A_{\alpha}^{1}$. Hence $x^{t}(A, \sqcap H) x, t \in \Gamma$, i.e. the system $\boldsymbol{A} \sqcap H$ is associable.
2. Condition (4.9,2) for $\boldsymbol{B}$ is satisfied provided that $\alpha \in \Gamma_{1}$ as it follows from the condition 2 of our Theorem. Let $\mu \in \Gamma_{2}, B_{\mu}^{1} \cap H=\emptyset, B_{\mu}^{1}=A_{\mu}^{1} \cap B^{1}$. Then $A_{\mu}^{1} \cap G_{0} \neq$ $\neq \emptyset$, thus $A_{\mu}^{1} \subseteq G_{0}$ and hence $B_{\mu}^{1}=A_{\mu}^{1} \cap B^{1} \subseteq G_{0} \cap \cup B=H$; so condition (4.9,2) applied to $\boldsymbol{B}$ holds for $\mu \in \Gamma_{2}$ as well.
3. First case. Let $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma_{1}, A_{\alpha}^{1} \cap A_{\beta}^{1} \cap(G \backslash H) \neq \emptyset$. If $A_{\alpha}^{1} \cap A_{\beta}^{1} \cap\left(G \backslash G_{0}\right) \neq \emptyset$, then $A_{\alpha}^{1}=A_{\beta}^{1}$ by $(4.9,3)$ for $A$. If $A_{\alpha}^{1} \cap A_{\beta}^{1} \cap\left(G_{0} \backslash H\right) \neq \emptyset$, then $A_{\alpha}^{1}, A_{\beta}^{1} \cong G_{0}$ holds by (4.9,2) for $\boldsymbol{A}$ and by condition 2 of our Theorem there will be $A_{\alpha}^{1}, A_{\beta}^{1} \subseteq G \backslash H$, thus $A_{\alpha}^{1}, A_{\beta}^{1} \subseteq G_{0} \backslash H$. From the condition 3 of our Theorem it follows that $A_{\alpha}^{1}=A_{\beta}^{1}$. Thereofre (4.9,3) for $\boldsymbol{B}$ is verified for the first case.

Second case. Let now $\alpha \in \Gamma_{1}, \mu \in \Gamma_{2}, A_{\alpha}^{1} \cap B_{\mu}^{1} \cap(G \backslash H) \neq \emptyset, B_{\mu}^{1}=A_{\mu}^{1} \cap B^{1}$. Since $A_{\alpha}^{1} \cap A_{\mu}^{1} \cap B^{1} \cap(G \backslash H) \subseteq \cup B$, there holds $A_{\alpha}^{1} \cap A_{\mu}^{1} \cap B^{1} \cap\left(G \backslash G_{0}\right) \neq \emptyset$, then by $(4.9,3)$ for $\boldsymbol{A}$ there is $A_{\alpha}^{1}=A_{\mu}^{1}$ and by the condition 4 of our Theorem one gets $A_{\alpha}^{1} \subseteq B^{1}$, consequently $A_{\alpha}^{1}=A_{\alpha}^{1} \cap B^{1}=A_{\mu}^{1} \cap B^{1}=B_{\mu}^{1}$, i.e. $(4.9,3)$ holds for $\boldsymbol{B}$ again.

Third case. Let $\mu, v \in \Gamma_{2},\left(A_{\mu} \wedge B\right)^{1} \cap\left(A_{\nu} \wedge B\right)^{1} \cap(G \backslash H) \neq \emptyset$. Evidently $\left(A_{\mu} \wedge B\right)^{1}=A_{\mu}^{1} \cap B^{1},\left(A_{v} \wedge B\right)^{1}=A_{v}^{1} \cap B^{1}$ where $A_{\mu}^{1}, A_{v}^{1}, B^{1}$ are blocks of the partitions $A_{\mu}, A_{v}, B$, respectively, containing the element $x$. Then we have $A_{\mu}^{1} \cap$ $\cap A_{v}^{1} \cap B^{1} \cap(G \backslash H) \neq \emptyset$. As in the previous case there will be $A_{\mu}^{1} \cap A_{v}^{1} \cap B^{1} \cap$ $\cap\left(G \backslash G_{0}\right) \neq \emptyset$, consequently by $(4.9,3)$ for $A$ we have $A_{\mu}^{1}=A_{v}^{1}$. Hence $\left(A_{\mu} \wedge\right.$ $\wedge B)^{1}=A_{\mu}^{1} \cap B^{1}=A_{v}^{1} \cap B^{1}=\left(A_{v} \wedge B\right)^{1}$. This completes the proof.
4.22.1 If $\Gamma_{1} \neq \emptyset, B \geqq A_{\alpha}, \alpha \in \Gamma_{1}$, then the conditions of Theorem 4.22 are satisfied.

Remark. Th. 2.5 [6] is thus a consequence of Theorem 4.22.
Proof. From the condition $B \geqq A_{\alpha}, \alpha \in \Gamma_{1} \neq \emptyset$, it follows that $G_{0}=H$, thus condition 2 follows from the associability of the system $\boldsymbol{A}$ and conditions 1, 3, 4 are satisfied trivially. Condition $1^{\prime}$ is also satisfied since, by Theorem 2.5 [6] system $\boldsymbol{B}$ is associable and by $(4.9,1)$ for $\boldsymbol{B}$, the system $\boldsymbol{B} \square H$ is associable as well.
4.22.2 Corollary. Let $\boldsymbol{A}=\left\{A_{1}: t \in \Gamma\right\}$ be an associable system of partitions on a set $G, B$ a partition on $G, \emptyset \neq \Gamma_{1} \subseteq \Gamma$, card $\left(\Gamma \backslash \Gamma_{1}\right) \geqq 2$. Then the system $\boldsymbol{B}=$ $=\left\{A_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Gamma_{1}\right\} \cup\left\{B \wedge A_{\mu}: \mu \in \Gamma \backslash \Gamma_{1}\right\}$ is associable if and only if $B \geqq A_{\alpha}, \alpha \in \Gamma_{1}$.

Proof follows directly from 4.22.
4.22.3 Remark. Theorem 4.22.2 does not hold when card $\left(\Gamma \backslash \Gamma_{1}\right)=1$. In greater detail: Let $\emptyset \neq \Gamma_{1}$, card $\left(\Gamma \backslash \Gamma_{1}\right)=1$, let the system $A$ be associable. Then it holds: $B \geqq A_{\alpha}, \alpha \in \Gamma_{1} \Rightarrow$ the system $B$ is associable (by 4.22 and 4.22 .1 ). The implication cannot be inverted (not even in the case of partitions "on") as the following example shows: the system $B=\left\{A_{1}, B \wedge A_{2}\right\}$ is associable, the system $A=\left\{A_{1}, A_{2}\right\}$ is associable as well but $B<A_{1}$, where $G=\{1,2,3,4,5,6\}, A_{1}=\{\{1,2,3,4\}$, $\{5,6\}\}, A_{2}=\{\{1,3,5\},\{2,4,6\}\}, B=\{\{1,2\},\{3,4\},\{5,6\}\}$.
4.22.4 Definition. Let $A$ be a partition in a set $G, F \subseteq G$. Under $A \square F$ we understand the set of all blocks of the partition $A$ that are incident with the set $F$. The partition $A \sqsupset F$ is called the closure of the set $F$ in the partition $A([3,4] 2.3)$.
4.22.5 Corollary. Let $\boldsymbol{A}=\left\{A_{1}: \imath \in \Gamma\right\}$ be an associable system of partitions in a set $G, B$ a partition in $G, \cup B \supseteqq G_{0}=\bigcap_{t \in \Gamma} \cup A_{\imath}, \Gamma_{1} \subseteq \Gamma, \Gamma_{2}=\Gamma \backslash \Gamma_{1}$. The system $\boldsymbol{B}=\left\{A_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Gamma_{1}\right\} \cup\left\{B \wedge A_{\mu}: \mu \in \Gamma_{2}\right\}$ is associable if and only if the following condition 5 holds provided that card $\Gamma_{2} \geqq 2, \Gamma_{1} \neq \emptyset$, conditions $5^{\prime}$ and 6 provided that card $\Gamma_{2}=1$ and condition $5^{\prime}$ provided that $\Gamma_{1}=\emptyset$ :
$(4.22,5) \quad B \geqq A_{\alpha} \sqsupset\left(\cup A_{\mu} \cap \cup B\right), \alpha \in \Gamma_{1}, \mu \in \Gamma_{2}$,
$\left(4.22,5^{\prime}\right) \boldsymbol{B} \sqcap G_{0}$ is an associable system of partitions (on $G_{0}$ )
$(4.22,6) \quad B \geqq A_{\alpha} \sqsupset\left[\cup A_{\mu} \cap \cup B \cap\left(G \backslash G_{0}\right)\right], \alpha \in \Gamma_{1}, \mu \in \Gamma_{2}$.
Proof. From the supposition $\cup B \supseteqq G_{0}$ it follows that $H=G_{0} \cap \cup B=$ $=G_{0}$. Condition (4.22, $1^{\prime}$ ) is then identical with condition (4.22,5') (so the case $\Gamma_{1}=\emptyset$ is established), condition (4.22,2) follows from the associability of the system $\boldsymbol{A}$ (see $(4.9,2)$ ) and condition $(4.22,3)$ is satisfied trivially. From the condition $(4.22,4)$ it follows that for $\alpha \in \Gamma_{1}, \mu \in \Gamma_{2}$ and for $F_{\mu}=\cup A_{\mu} \cap \cup B \cap$ $\cap\left(G \backslash G_{0}\right)$ it holds $B \geqq A_{\alpha} \sqsupset F_{\mu}$. Conversely, from this condition we obtain $(4.22,4)$ for $x \in A_{\alpha}^{1} \cap A_{\mu}^{1} \cap B^{1} \cap\left(G \backslash G_{0}\right)$ implies $x \in A_{\alpha}^{1} \cap F_{\mu}$, thus $B^{1} \supseteq A_{\alpha}^{1}$. Hence the case card $\Gamma_{2}=1$ is established.

Now let card $\Gamma_{2} \geqq 2, \Gamma_{1} \neq \emptyset$. From the condition (4.22,1) it follows $B \geqq B \sqcap$ $\sqcap G_{0} \geqq A_{\alpha} \sqcap G_{0}=A_{\alpha} \sqsupset G_{0}$ for $\alpha \in \Gamma_{1}$, which together with (4.22,6) gives $B \geqq$ $\geqq A_{\alpha} \sqsupset\left(\cup A_{\mu} \cap \cup B\right)$ for $\alpha \in \Gamma_{1}, \mu \in \Gamma_{2}$, which is condition (4.22,5). Conversely, let $(4.22,5)$ hold. Then on the one hand $B \geqq A_{\alpha} \sqsupset F_{\mu}$ for $F_{\mu} \cong \cup A_{\mu} \cap \cup B-$ then $(4.22,4)$ holds, and on the other hand $B \geqq A_{\alpha} \sqsupset G_{0}$ for $G_{0} \cong \cup A_{\mu} \cap \cup B$ and hence $B \geqq A_{\alpha} \sqsupset G_{0}=A_{\alpha} \sqcap G_{0}$, then $B \sqcap G_{0} \geqq A_{\alpha} \sqcap G_{0}\left(\alpha \in \Gamma_{1}\right)$ - thus condition $(4.22,1)$ is verified as required. The Theorem is proved.
4.22.6 Corollary. Let $\left\{A_{\imath}: \imath \in \Gamma\right\}$ be an associable system of congruences in an $\Omega$-group $G, B$ a congruence in $G, G_{0}=\bigcap_{i \in \Gamma} \cup A_{i}, H=G_{0} \cap \cup B, \Gamma_{1} \subseteq \Gamma, \Gamma_{2}=\Gamma \backslash \Gamma_{1}$. The system $\boldsymbol{B}=\left\{A_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Gamma_{1}\right\} \cup\left\{B \wedge A_{\mu}: \mu \in \Gamma_{2}\right\}$ is associable if and only if the following conditions $7,8,9$ and 10 hold provided that card $\Gamma_{2} \geqq 2, \Gamma_{1} \neq \emptyset$, conditions $7^{\prime}, 8,9$ and 10 provided that card $\Gamma_{2}=1$ and condition 7' provided that $\Gamma_{1}=\emptyset$;
$(4.22,7) \quad G_{0} \cap B(O) \supseteqq A_{\alpha}(O) \cap \cup B, \alpha \in \Gamma_{1}$
$\left(4.22,7^{\prime}\right) \boldsymbol{B} \sqcap H$ is an associable system of congruences (on $H$ )
$(4.22,8) \quad A_{\alpha}(O) \cong \cup B, \alpha \in \Gamma_{1}$
$(4.22,9) \cup B \notin G_{0} \Rightarrow A_{\alpha}(O)=A_{\beta}(O)$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma_{1}$
$(4.22,10) \alpha \in \Gamma_{1}, \mu \in \Gamma_{2}, \cup A_{\alpha} \cap \cup A_{\mu} \cap \cup B \cap\left(G \backslash G_{0}\right) \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow A_{\alpha}(O) \subseteq B(O)$.
The Theorem is an immediate consequence of Theorem 4.22.
4.22.7 Let $\left\{A_{i}: \imath \in \Gamma\right\}$ be an associable system of congruences in an $\Omega$-group $G, B$ a congruence in $G, \cup B \supseteqq G_{0}=\bigcap_{i \in \Gamma} \cup A_{\imath}, \Gamma_{1} \cong \Gamma, \Gamma_{2}=\Gamma \backslash \Gamma_{1}$. Then the system $\boldsymbol{B}=\left\{A_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Gamma_{1}\right\} \cup\left\{B \wedge A_{\mu}: \mu \in \Gamma_{2}\right\}$ is associable if and only if condition 11 is satisfied provided that card $\Gamma_{2} \geqq 2, \Gamma_{1} \neq \emptyset$, conditions 11', 12 provided that card $\Gamma_{2}=1$ and condition 11' provided that $\Gamma_{2}=\emptyset$ :
$(4.22,11) B(O) \supseteqq A(O), \alpha \in \Gamma_{1}$
$\left(4.22,11^{\prime}\right) B \sqcap G_{0}$ is an associable system of congruences (on $G_{0}$ )
$(4.22,12) \alpha \in \Gamma_{1}, \mu \in \Gamma_{2}, \cup A_{\alpha} \cap \cup A_{\mu} \cap \cup B \cap\left(G \backslash G_{0}\right) \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow A_{\alpha}(O) \subseteq B(O)$.
The Theorem is a consequence of 4.22.5.
4.22.8 In particular, it holds: Let congruences $A, B$ in an $\Omega$-group $G$ commute, let $\cup C \supseteqq \cup A \cap \cup B$ hold for a congruence $C$ in $G$. Then the congruences $A, B \wedge C$ commute.

Proof. We shall use Theorem 4.22 .7 for card $\Gamma_{2}=1$. Condition $(4.22,12)$ is satisfied trivially, condition $\left(4.22,11^{\prime}\right)$ requires the permutability of congruences $A \sqcap(\cup A \cap \cup B),(C \wedge B) \sqcap(\cup A \cap \cup B)$. Regarding $\cup C \supseteqq \cup A \cap \cup B$, these congruences are on $\cup A \cap \cup B$, and so commute.

Remark. In Theorem 4.22.8, which has been just proved, the following fact can be demonstrated: From the associability of the system $\left\{A_{\imath}: \imath \in \Gamma\right\}$ and the validity of
$\left(4.22,11^{\prime}\right)$ and $(4.22,12)$ (see Theorem 4.22 .7$)$ may follow the associability of the system $B$, even if the relation $\cup B \supseteqq G_{0}$ or - using the same notation as in 4.22.8the relation $\cup C \supseteqq \cup A \cap \cup B$ fails.

Indeed, the assertion of Theorem 4.22 .8 holds if the requirement $\cup C \supseteqq \cup A \cap \cup B$ is replaced by the condition $\cup C \supseteqq A(O)$ (this can be easily deduced from [12] 3.9). Commuting congruences $A, B$ in $\Omega$-group can be easily found as well, for which $\cup A \cap \cup B \neq A(O)$. If we choose a congruence $C$ such that $\cup C=A(O)$, it will be $\cup A \cap \cup B \supsetneqq \cup C$.
4.22.9 (I) Let $A, B, C$ be partitions in a set $G, A, B$ commute, $H=\cup A \cap \cup B \cap C$. Then $A, B \wedge C$ commute if and only if the partitions $A \sqcap H,(B \wedge C) \sqcap H$ commute and $H$ respects the partition $A$.
(II) Let $A, B, C$ be congruences in an $\Omega$-group $G, A, B$ commute. Then $A, B \wedge C$ commute if and only if $A(O) \cong \cup C$.

Proof. (I) It suffices to formulate the conditions $1^{\prime}, 2,3$ and 4 of Theorem 4.22 for the case that the partitions $A_{1}, A_{2}, B$ are denoted by $A, B, C$ :
(1') $A \sqcap H,(B \wedge C) \sqcap H$ commute, (2) $H$ respects the partition $A$. Condition (3) is satisfied evidently, condition (4) trivially.
(II) If $A, B, C$ are congruences, then $A \sqcap H,(B \wedge C) \sqcap H$ as congruences on the $\Omega$-group $H$ commute, therefore ( $1^{\prime}$ ) holds. Condition (2) is equivalent to the condition $A(O) \subseteq \cup C$. It is evidently implied by condition (2) and it implies it as well for $A(O) \subseteq \cup A \cap \cup B$.

Remark. 1. Assertion (II) can be very easily deduced from 4.22 .6 or from 4.21.1(a).
2. From (I) there follows Cor. 2.8 [6]. Namely, if $A \leqq C$, then $\cup C$ and consequently even $H$ respect $A . A \sqcap H,(B \wedge C) \sqcap H$ commute as well, since for $x, y \in H$ there holds:
$x A(B \wedge C) y \Rightarrow x A B y, x A C y$ (see, e.g. 4.14) $\Rightarrow x B A y, x C A y$ (since the comparable partitions $A \sqcap H \leqq C \sqcap H$ on the set $H$ commute $) \Rightarrow x(B A \wedge C A) y \Rightarrow x(B \wedge C) A y$ (see 4.14). The reverse implications hold as well (by an analogous argument). This completes the proof.
4.23 Let $A=\left\{A_{\imath}: \imath \in \Gamma\right\}$ be a system of partitions in a set $G, B$ a partition in $G$, $G_{0}=\bigcup_{i \in \Gamma} \cup A_{i}, H=G_{0} \cap \cup B, \Gamma_{1} \subseteq \Gamma, \Gamma_{2}=\Gamma \backslash \Gamma_{1}$. Let the system $B=\left\{A_{\alpha}:\right.$ $\left.: \alpha \in \Gamma_{1}\right\} \cup\left\{B \wedge A_{\mu}: \mu \in \Gamma_{2}\right\}$ be associable, $V \boldsymbol{A}=\mathrm{V} \boldsymbol{B}$. Then the system $\boldsymbol{A}$ is associable if and only if there holds:
a) $\alpha \in \Gamma_{1}, A_{\alpha}^{1} \cap\left(G_{0} \backslash H\right) \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow A \sqcap A_{\alpha}^{1}$ is an associable system of partitions (on $A_{\alpha}^{1}$ ),
b) $\alpha \in \Gamma_{1}, \mu \in \Gamma_{2}, A_{\alpha}^{1} \cap\left(\cup A_{\mu} \backslash \cup B\right) \cup\left(G \backslash G_{0}\right) \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow A_{\alpha}^{1} \in A_{\mu}$.

Proof. Denoting $B_{\imath}=A_{\imath}\left(\imath \in \Gamma_{1}\right)$, resp. $=B \wedge A_{\imath}\left(\imath \in \Gamma_{2}\right)$ it will be $B_{\imath} \leqq A_{\imath} \leqq$
$\leqq \bigvee_{t \in \Gamma} B_{i}$. By 4.17 the system $A$ is associable if and only if $(4.17,1)$ and $(4.17,2)$ hold. The first condition will be reduced to a) in the present case, the second to b).
4.23.1 Corollary. Let $\boldsymbol{A}=\left\{A_{\imath}: \imath \in \Gamma\right\}$ be a system of partitions on a set $G, B$ a partition on $G, \Gamma_{1} \subseteq \Gamma, \Gamma_{2}=\Gamma \backslash \Gamma_{1}$. Let the system $\boldsymbol{B}=\left\{A_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Gamma_{1}\right\} \cup$ $\cup\left\{B \wedge A_{\mu}: \mu \in \Gamma_{2}\right\}$ be associable, $\vee \boldsymbol{A}=\vee$. Then the system $\boldsymbol{A}$ is associable.
4.23.2 Remark. The associability of both the systems $\boldsymbol{A}$ and $\boldsymbol{B}$ does not imply the equation $\mathrm{V} \boldsymbol{A}=\mathrm{V} \boldsymbol{B}$ (not even in the case of partitions "on") as it is shown by the following example: $G=\{1,2,3,4\}, A_{1}=\{\{1,2\},\{3,4\}\}, A_{2}=\{\{1,3\},\{2,4\}\}$, $B=A_{1}$. The partitions $A_{1}, A_{2}$ commute, the partitions $A_{1}, B \wedge A_{2}$, too. There holds $A_{1} \vee A_{2}=G_{\text {max }}, A_{1} \vee\left(B \wedge A_{2}\right)=A_{1} \neq G_{\text {max }}$.
4.24 Let $A=\left\{A_{\imath}: \imath \in \Gamma\right\}$ be an associable system of partitions in a set $G, B, C$ partitions in $G, \Gamma_{1} \cong \Gamma, \Gamma_{2}=\Gamma \backslash \Gamma_{1}$, card $\Gamma_{1} \geqq 2$, card $\Gamma_{2} \geqq 2$, $\cup B \supseteqq \bigcap_{i \in \Gamma} \cup A_{1}$ $\left(=G_{0}\right), \cup C \supseteqq G_{0} \cap \cup B$. The system $C=\left\{C \wedge A_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Gamma_{1}\right\} \cup\left\{B \wedge A_{\mu}: \mu \in \Gamma_{2}\right\}$ is associable if there holds
$(4.24,1) B \geqq A \sqsupset\left(\cup A_{\mu} \cap \cup B\right), \alpha \in \Gamma_{1}, \mu \in \Gamma_{2}$
$(4.24,2) C \geqq A_{\mu} \sqsupset\left(\cup A_{\alpha} \cap \cup C\right), \alpha \in \Gamma_{1}, \mu \in \Gamma_{2}$.
Proof. From 4.22 .5 it follows that condition (4.24,1) implies the associability of the system $\boldsymbol{B}=\left\{A_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Gamma_{1}\right\} \cup\left\{B \wedge A_{\mu}: \mu \in \Gamma_{2}\right\}$. From condition (4.24,2) it follows immediately that $C \geqq\left(B \wedge A_{\mu}\right) \sqsupset\left(\cup A_{\alpha} \cap \cup C\right), \alpha \in \Gamma_{1}, \mu \in \Gamma_{2}$. Since we assume $\cup C \supseteqq G_{0} \cap \cup B$, the system $C$ is associable by 4.22.5.
4.25 The following two theorems represent modifications of Cor. 2.12 [6] for congruences in $\Omega$-group.
(1) Let $A, B, C, D$ be congruences in an $\Omega$-group $G, A, B$ commute, $A \leqq C, B \leqq D$. Then the congruences
$A_{1}=A(B \wedge C)=C \wedge A B$ and $B_{1}=B(A \wedge D)=D \wedge A B$ commute. The partitions
$A_{2}=A \vee_{P}(B \wedge C)=C \wedge\left(A \vee_{P} B\right)$ and $B_{2}=B \vee_{P}(A \wedge D)=D \vee\left(B \vee_{P} D\right)$ commute as well.
(2) Let $A, B, C, D$ be congruences in an $\Omega$-group $G$. Let every two successive partitions in the sequence $A, B, C, D, A$ commute. Then the partitions

$$
A_{2}=A \vee_{P}(B \wedge C), B_{2}=B \vee_{P}(A \wedge D)
$$

commute.
Remark. Further commuting pairs will be obtained by cyclic permutations of the ordered set $A, B, C, D$.

Proof. (1) Two expressions of $A_{1}$ and $B_{1}$ follow from 4.14. $A_{1}$ is a congruence for $C \wedge A B$ is a congruence by [12] 3.3. Similarly for $B_{1}$.

Two expressions of $A_{2}$ follow from the commutativity of $A, B$; by [12] 3.9.2 there holds namely $(B, A) M^{*}$ in $P(G)$. Similarly for $B_{2}$.

The commutativity of $A_{1}, B_{1}$ :
By [12] 3.5.5 there holds (with regard to the relations

$$
\begin{gathered}
A(O) \cup B(O) \cong \cup A \cap \cup B, \cup A \subseteq \cup C, \cup B \cup \cup D) \\
\cup A_{1}=A(O)+\cup A \cap \cup B \cap \cup C=\cup A \cap \cup B, \cup B_{1}=\cup A \cap \cup B \\
A_{1}(O)=A(O)+\cup A \cap B(O) \cap C(O) \cong \cup A \cap \cup B=\cup B_{1}, B_{1}(O) \subseteq \cup A_{1} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Then the congruences $A_{1}, B_{1}$ commute by [12] (3.9).
In proving commutativity of the partitions $A_{2}, B_{2}$ we need prove at first that each of the partitions $A$ and $B \wedge C$ commutes with each of $B$ and $A \wedge D$.
$A, B \wedge C$ commute by 4.14.2 and since $B \wedge C \leqq D, B \wedge C, A \wedge D$ commute as well. The congruences $A, A \wedge D$ commute ([12] 3.9) since

$$
\begin{gathered}
A(O) \cong \cup B \cong \cup D \Rightarrow A(O) \cong \cup A \cap \cup D=\cup(A \wedge D) \\
(A \wedge D)(O)=A(O) \cap D(O) \cong \cup A
\end{gathered}
$$

Analogously, $B, B \wedge C$ commute. By 4.15
$A_{2}=A \vee_{P}(B \wedge C)$ commutes with $B$ and with $A \wedge D$,
consequently, by 4.15 again, $A_{2}$ commutes with $B \vee_{P}(A \wedge D)=B_{2}$.
(2) Similarly as in (1), to prove the commutativity of $A_{2}, B_{2}$, it suffices to prove that each of the partitions $A$ and $B \wedge C$ commutes with each of $B$ and $A \wedge D$. By 4.13.3 $A, A \wedge D$ commute and $B, B \wedge C$ as well. The congruences $B \wedge C, A \wedge D$ also commute since $(B \wedge C)(O)=B(O) \cap C(O) \cong \cup A \cap \cup D=\cup(A \wedge D)$, $(A \wedge D)(O)=A(O) \cap D(O) \cong \cup B \cap \cup C=\cup(B \wedge C)$.
4.26 Let $A, B, C$ be congruences in an $\Omega$-group, $A, B$ commute, $C=\left(A \vee_{P} C\right) \wedge$ $\wedge\left(B \vee_{P} C\right)$ and $C(O) \cong \cup A$. Then $A, C$ commute. If, moreover, $C \leqq A \vee_{P} B$ holds, then $B, C$ also commute.

Remark. 4.26 is a generalization of Th .2 .8 [6] referred to congruences.
Proof. Condition $C=\left(A \vee_{P} C\right) \wedge\left(B \vee_{P} C\right)$ implies $\cup C=(\cup A \cup \cup C) \cap$ $\cap(\cup B \cup C) \supseteqq \cup A \cap \cup B$. The condition of commutativity of $A, B$ leads to $A(O) \subseteq \cup A \cap \cup B \subseteq \cup C$. This together with the condition $C(O) \subseteq \cup A$ gives the commutativity of $A, C$.

If, moreover, there holds $C \leqq A \vee_{P} B$, we have $A \leqq A \vee_{P} C \leqq A \vee_{P} B$. By 4.17.2 $A \vee_{P} C, B$ will commute if $\cup A \cap \cup C$ respects $B$. This holds, however, for

$$
\cup A \supseteqq B(O), \quad \cup C \supseteqq \cup A \cap \cup B \supseteqq B(O)
$$

Now we apply Theorem 4.22 .9 (I) to the triple of partitions $B, A \vee_{P} C, C$. Then $B$, $\left(A \vee_{P} C\right) \wedge C=C$ commute if and only if $B \sqcap H, C \sqcap H$ (where $H=\cup B \cap C$ ) commute (which is satisfied for there are considered congruences on the $\Omega$-group $H$ )
and if $B^{1} \cap \cup B \cap \cup \neq \emptyset$ implies $B^{1} \subseteq \cup C$. This later condition means that the set $\cup C$ respects the partition $B$, i.e. $B(O) \subseteq \cup C$. This, however, holds as well as we have shown above.
4.27 Let $A, B, C$ be congruences in an $\Omega$-group, $A, B$ commute, $C$ is between $A$ and $B$ (i.e. $\left.(A \wedge C) \vee_{P}(B \wedge C)=C=\left(A \vee_{P} C\right) \wedge\left(B \vee_{P} C\right)\right)$. Then $A, C$ commute and $B, C$ commute.

Proof. By 3.5.7 there holds

$$
\begin{gathered}
C(O)=[A(O) \cap C(O)+\cup A \cap \cup C \cap B(O) \cap C(O)] \cup[\cup B \cap \cup C \cap A(O) \cap \\
\cap C(O)+B(O) \cap C(O)] .
\end{gathered}
$$

With regard to the relation $A(O) \cup B(O) \cong \cup A \cap \cup B([12] 3.9)$ one gets

$$
C(O)=A(O) \cap C(O)+B(O) \cap C(O) \cong \cup A \cap \cup B
$$

So it is proved that the conditions of Theorem 4.26 are satisfied. Then $A, C$ commute and $B, C$ commute.
4.27.1 The previous Theorem represents a generalization of Cor. 2.13 [6] related to the congruences in an $\Omega$-group.
4.28 (See Th. $\left.2.10^{\prime}[6]\right)$. Let $A, B$ be congruences in an $\Omega$-group $G$. The following conditions are equivalent.
(1) Every partition $C \in P(G), A \wedge B \leqq C \leqq A$, commutes with $B$.
(2) Every partition $C \in P(G), A \wedge B \leqq C \leqq B$, commutes with $A$.
(3) $A, B$ commute and $A(O), B(O)$ are comparable sets.
(4) $A(O) \cong B(O) \subseteq \cup A$ or $B(O) \cong A(O) \subseteq \cup B$.

Proof. We shall use Theorem 2.10 [6] by which (1) is equivalent to the following condition:
(5) A, B commute and any block $V$ of the partition $A \vee_{P} B$ either does not contain any block of the partition $A$ or contains a block $A^{1} \in A$ such that any block $A^{2} \in A$, $A^{2} \neq A^{1}, A^{2} \subseteq V$, is contained in a block of the partition $B$.

In proving the equivalence (3) $\Leftrightarrow(5)$, we shall prove (1) $\Leftrightarrow(3)$. Since condition (3) is symmetric with regard to $A, B$, we have immediately $(2) \Leftrightarrow(3)$. The equivalence (4) $\Leftrightarrow$ (3) follows from 3.9.

Let the condition (5) be satisfied. The block $V \in A \vee_{P} B$ containing $O \in G$ contains $A(O)$ and $B(O)$. From the commutativity of $A, B$ it follows by 4.8.1 that $\cup A \cap \cup B$ respects the partition $A \vee_{P} B$, then $V \cong \cup A \cap \cup B$. If $A(O)$ is the unique block of $A$ contained in $V$, then $V$ is on the one hand equal to $A(O)$ and on the other hand is union of these blocks of $B$ which are incident with $A(O)$, i.e. $A(O)=V=$ $=A(O)+B(O)$. Hence $B(O) \subseteq A(O)$. Let $V$ contain a block of $A$ different from $A(O)$, e.g. $v+A(O)$. Then from (5) it follows that $A(O)$ or $v+A(O)$ is a subset of
a block of $B$. The first case gives $A(O) \cong B(O)$, the other $v+A(O) \subseteq v+B(O)$, hence again $A(O) \subseteq B(O)$. Finally: $A(O)$ and $B(O)$ are comparable sets.

Let (3) hold. From 4.8 .1 it follows that $\cup A \cap \cup B$ respects the partition $A \vee_{P} B$. Then any block $V \in A \vee_{P} B$ is a subset of some of the sets $\cup A \backslash \cup B, \cup A \cap \cup B$, $\cup B \backslash \cup A$. Let $V$ contain two distinct blocks of $A$. Then $V \subseteq \cup A \cap \cup B$. The partitions $\bar{A}=A \sqcap(\cup A \cap \cup B)$ and $\bar{B}=B \sqcap(\cup A \cap \cup B)$ are congruences on the $\Omega$-group $\cup A \cap \cup B$ and $V \in \bar{A} \vee_{P} \bar{B}$. If $A(O) \subseteq B(O)$, then $\bar{A} \leqq \bar{B}$, consequently each block of $\bar{A}$ which is a subset of $V$ is a subset of a block of $B$. If $B(O) \subseteq A(O)$, then $\bar{B} \leqq \bar{A}$, thus $V$ contains the unique block of $\bar{A}$ and consequently of $A$ as well. This completes the proof.
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