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$$
5,2 \text { (1964) }
$$

HOMOLOGICAL FIXED POINT THEOREMS, II. Comar HAJEK, Praha

This paper consists of some notes and generalisations of results of the preceding paper [4].

The first of these concerns lemms 2 of [4], stating that the invariant $j$ of endomorphisms $f$ of a group $G$ is independent of the behaviour of $f$ on the periodic part of G . Here we present a considerably stronger result in theo rem 1 .

The second extends a result of [4](for a continuous $P: S^{2 n} \rightarrow s^{2 n}, f^{2}$ has a fixed point) to a more general class of spaces, admitting formation of cartesian products; lemma 1 and theorem 2 .

The rôle which even-dimensionality plays in this result suggests the posaibility of a connection with other familiar theorems having similar restrictions:'Brouwer's theorem on antipodals [1, ch. XVI, § 5], or the "hedgehog theorem" of Poincaré (loc.cit., there is no nonzero tangent vector field on $S^{2 n}$ ). A closer examination reveals that the resemblance is only superficial: the latter theorems admit a natural generalisation to e.g. odd-dimensional spheres, as will be shown in theorem 3; our result does not.

As in [4], we consider the category $G_{J}$ consisting of abelian groups with an integrity domain $J$ as left operators, and of their operator homomorphisms. The reader is first re-
ferred to [2], exercises $D$ in chap. IV. The re it is shown how one may assign to each group $G$. in $G_{J}$ a vector space $G^{\wedge}$ over $\hat{\mathcal{J}}$, the quotient field of $J$; and to each $P: G \rightarrow G^{\prime}$ in $\mathcal{g}_{J}$ a $\hat{J}$-homomorphism $\mathcal{P}^{\wedge}: G^{\wedge} \rightarrow G^{0 \wedge}$. The resulting object turns out to be an additive exact covariant functor $\wedge$ from $G_{J}$ to $G_{\hat{J}}$. (The definition loc.cit. of the transitive relation $\sim$ should, however, be corrected to: $\left[\theta_{1}, x_{1}\right] \sim\left[\theta_{2}, x_{2}\right]$ iff $\theta_{2} x_{1}=\theta_{1} x_{2}$ for some $\theta \neq 0$ in $J$.$) The circumflex \wedge$ will henceforth be used in this sense, and not in that of [4].

Exactness of $\wedge$ then implies that, on the category $\partial G_{J}$ of differential groups over $J$, the homology functor and $\wedge$ commute:

$$
H\left(G^{\wedge}\right)=(H(G))^{\wedge}, \quad\left(f^{\wedge}\right)_{*}=\left(f_{*}\right)^{\wedge} \text {. }
$$

It is noted (loc.cit.) that $\wedge$ preserves ranks. Since $j\left(i d_{G}\right)=(\operatorname{rank} G) /(1-\lambda)[4$, section 1$]$, this is the $P=$ $=$ identity special case of the following

Theorem 1. If $f: G \rightarrow G$ in $g_{J}$, then $f(f) \neq j\left(f^{\wedge}\right)$.
By [4, definition 3], gli depends on $j$; thus theorem 1 implies $g l i(f)=g l i\left(f^{\wedge}\right)$ for $f: G \rightarrow G$ in the category of group sequences. In [4, theorem 3] it was shown that $g l i(f)=$ $=g l i\left(P_{*}\right)$ for $f: G \rightarrow G$ in the category of differential group sequences (i.e., complexes); our present result yields, then,

$$
g l i(f)=g l i\left(f_{*}^{\hat{*}}\right)
$$

Proof of theorem 1. There is a canonic mapping $c: G \rightarrow \hat{G}$ defined by $c x=(1, x)$; we have $c \in \operatorname{Hom}_{J}\left(G, G^{\wedge}\right)$ and $c f$ $=f^{\wedge}$ e for $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_{J}(G, G)$. It is easily shown that, if $B$ is a w-base in $G[4$, section 1], then $c(B)$ is linearly
independent and generates $\hat{G}$; thus $c(B)$ is a base in $\hat{G}$. The relations

$$
\theta_{i} f x_{j}=\Sigma_{j} \alpha_{i j} x_{j}
$$

used to define matrices $D, A$ and then $p, j[4$, def.l. and 2] carry over to

$$
\theta_{i} f^{\wedge} c x_{i}=\Sigma_{j} \alpha_{i j} c x_{j} ;
$$

thus they define the same matrices $D, A$ and hence also $p$, $j$. This completes the proof.

Definition. A triangulable_space_will be_called non-2dd if_all_its_odd=dimensional_homology groups (over integer coefficients) are periodic.

This definition is a modification of an earlier inadequate version; the present formulation and also the proof of the lemma to follow were suggested to the author by Mr. A. Pultr, the referge.

Cells and even-dimensional spheres are non-odd, since their odd-dimensional homology groups are trivial. Even-dimensional projective spaces are non-odd, as may be shown directly. We note that the Euler characteristic of a non-odd space reduces to the sum of ranks of the even-dimensional homology groups; hence it is positive unless the spaces is empty.

Lemma 1. The cartesian product of_two_non=odd_spaces is non-odd.

Proof. Let $X, Y$ be non-odd; the Künneth formula (e.g. [3, chap.I, th. 5.5.2]) is
$H_{n}(X \times Y)=\sum_{p+q=n} H_{p}(X) \otimes H_{q}(Y)+\sum_{p+q=n-1} \operatorname{Tor}\left(H_{p}(X), H_{q}(Y)\right.$. The second sum is always a periodic group; consider any summand in the first sum. For odd $n=p+q$, one of $p, q$ is also odd, so that by assumption one factor is periodic; hence $H_{p}(X)$ (8) $H_{q}(Y)$ is periodic. This completes the proof.

It may be remarked that if the condition in the definition is atrengthened to "all odd-dimensional homology groups are trivial", then the corresponding lema no longer holds.

Theorem 2. Let $\mathbf{P}: X \rightarrow X$ be a continuous mapping of a non-odd space $X \neq \varnothing$. Then one of

$$
f, f^{2}, f^{3}, \ldots, f^{x(x)}
$$

has a fixed point.
As a trivial but weird example, for every map $f$ of a finite set of $n$ points into itself, at least one of $f, \ldots$, $f^{n}$ has a fixed point; this is easily checked directiy, and in goneral, $f^{n}$ cannot be replaced by a preceding iterate.

Corollary. $f^{x(X)!}$ has a fixed point.
This includes our corollary to theorem 5 in [4], and also the Brouwer fixed - point theorem; $x^{\left(s^{2 n}\right)}=2, \quad x\left(E^{n}\right)=1$ respectively. As concerns the conjecture in [4, section 3], we now have the following result. A space $X$ consisting of the product of $n$ cells and $m$ even-dimensional spheres has as Euler characteristic $X(X)$.the product of characteristics of its factors, namely $2^{m}$. Thus one of

$$
f, f^{2}, f^{3}, \ldots, f^{2^{m}}
$$

does have a fixed point, but here one may not omit the $f^{i}$ with $1 \neq 2^{j}$ (e.g. for $X=S^{0} \times S^{0}$ ).

Proof of the theorem 2. Let $f_{*}$ be the homomorphiem of the homology sequence of $X$, induced by $f$. From theorem 1 ,

$$
g l i(f)=g l i(f \hat{*})
$$

From [4], section 3, lemma 4 and definition 3, we then have for the Lefschetz number $J$

$$
\begin{equation*}
J\left(f^{\mathbf{r}}\right)=J\left(f_{*}^{\wedge}\right)=\Sigma_{q} \operatorname{tr}\left(f_{* 2 q_{1}}^{\wedge}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

since by our nssumption on $X, H_{q}(X)^{\wedge}=0$ for odd dimensions
q. Finally, from the proof of theorem 2 in [4],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathcal{f}_{* 2}^{\wedge} \hat{q}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{x_{q}} \lambda \underset{j, q}{r} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r_{q}=$ rank $H_{r}(X)^{\wedge}=$ rank $H_{q}(X)$, and $\lambda_{j, q}$ are certain complex numbers (characteristic roots of certain matrices
$\left.D_{2 q}^{-1} A_{2 q}\right)$. It is known that $\operatorname{tr}\left(f_{* 0}^{\wedge r}\right)=1$ if $X$ is connected (e.g.[1], chap. XVII, § 1); in our case we have at least that $\operatorname{tr}\left(f_{* 0}^{\hat{F})}=m\right.$, a positive integer since $X$ is nonempty.

Substitute (3) into (2), omit all $\lambda=0$, assemble all
$\lambda=1$, and finally all equal $\lambda^{\prime}$ 's. Thus we may write

$$
J\left(f^{r}\right)=m_{0}+\sum_{j=1}^{x(x)-1} m_{j} \lambda_{j}^{r}
$$

with $m_{j} \geqslant 0$ integers, $m_{0}>0, \lambda_{j}$ s distinct with $0 \neq$ $\neq \lambda_{j} \neq 1$. (By non-oddness, $\quad x(x)=\sum$ rank $H_{2 q}=\Sigma r_{2 q}$; thus there are at most $X(X)$ distinct $\lambda_{j}$, of which at least one is included in the $m_{0}$ term.

With notation thus established, assume that the assertion of the theorem does not hold. Thus the iterate $f^{r}$ with $1 \leqslant$ $\leqslant r \leq \chi(X)$ has no fixed points, and from the Hopf-Lefschetz theorem we obtain $\quad \chi(T)$ equations $J\left(f^{T}\right)=0$. Substracting the roth from the following there results

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{(x)-1} m_{j} \lambda_{j}^{r}\left(\lambda_{j}-1\right)=0 \quad(1 \leqslant r \leqslant \chi(x)-1)
$$

Consider these as a system of equations in unknowns $m_{j}$. Obviously the determinant of the system is

$$
\Delta=\pi_{j} \lambda_{j} \times \pi_{j}\left(\lambda_{j}-1\right) \times v\left(\ldots \lambda_{j} \ldots\right)
$$

with $V$ the Vandermonde determinant. Since by construction the $\lambda_{j}$ are all distinct and $0 \neq \lambda_{j} \neq 1$, we conclude $m_{j}=0$ for all $j$. Thus our relations $J\left(f^{T}\right)=0$ reduce to $m_{0}=0$; this contradiction with $m_{0}>0$ proves our theorem.

To unburden the formulation of the theorem to follow, we first introduce, provisionally, two new terms.

A topological space $T$ may be called_sphere-like_if it is triangulable connected, with positive dimension $n$, and
$H_{Q}(T)=0$ for $0<q<n, \quad$ rank $H_{n}(T)=1$. Obviously, spheres are sphere-like; however $S^{0}$ and e.g. $S^{n} \times S^{m}$ or $\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{n}}$ are not ( $n>0$ ).

A homeomorphism $h: T \rightarrow T$ of a sphere-like space will be called positive or negative in accordance with the sign of its degree. This latter term may be introduced for continuous maps $\mathbf{I}: T \rightarrow T$ (aphere-like) as follows. Take any element $x \in H_{n}(T)$ of infinite order; since $H_{n}(T)$ has rank 2 , there exists integers $\theta \neq 0$ and - such that

$$
\theta \mathbf{f}_{* n} x=\alpha x ;
$$

then set

$$
\text { degree }(f)=\frac{\alpha}{\theta}
$$

This is easily shown to be independent of the choice of $x, \theta$, $\alpha$. (In the notation of [4], degree $(f)=\operatorname{tr}\left(f_{* n}\right)=$ $\left.=\frac{d}{d \lambda} j_{n}(f ; \lambda) l_{\lambda=0}.\right)$ If $T=S^{n}, H_{n}\left(S^{n}\right)$ is infinite cyclic and degree $(f)$ is an integer, and coincides with the customary concept. If $I$ is a homeomorphism, degree $(f)= \pm 1$; for $T$ a simply connected region in $S^{2}$ this coincides with the sign of $f$ as defined in $[4, p$. 433]. The identity map is a positive homeonorphism; if $T=S^{n}$, then change of sign of $k$ of the $n+1$ coordinates is positive or negative according as $k$ is even or odd.

Theorem 3. Let $\mathrm{I}: T \rightarrow T$ be a continuous map of aphe-re-like apace $T$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
f x= & h x \\
& -90
\end{aligned}
$$

is solvable in $T$, either for all positive or for all negative homeomorphisms $h: T \rightarrow T$. If $f$ itself is a homeomorphism, then precisely one of these alternatives holds.

Proof. With the Hopf-Lefschetz theorem, the proof is al- . most trivial: it sufficed to consider existence of fixed points of $h^{-1} f$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
J\left(h^{-1} f\right) & =1+(-1)^{n} \text { degree }\left(h^{-1} f\right)= \\
& =1+(-1)^{n} \text { degree }(h) \text { degree }(f) \neq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

for at least one of degree $(h)= \pm 1$. If also degree $(f)= \pm 1$, then there is precisely one possibility.

As an example, take $T=S^{2 n}$. Then either $f x=x$ is solvable ( $h=$ identity, degree $(h)=1$ ) or $f x=-x$ is solvable ( $h x=-x$, degree $(h)=(-1)^{2 n+1}=-1$ ). This is Brouwer's theorem on antipodals.

Theorem 3 suggests that it may be interesting to obtain further results on solvability of

$$
f x=g x
$$

for given continuous $\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{g}: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ 。
A problem was formulated in [4], to prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(f)=x(A) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all maps $f: X \rightarrow X$ of a triangulable space $X$ and with A the set of fixed points of $f$. A class of maps was exhibited for which the stronger relation

$$
g l i(\rho)=\frac{x(A)}{1-\lambda}
$$

holds [3, theorem 6]. The desirability of formula (4) follons from the information concerning $A$ which could be obtained from rathor superficial information about f;e.g., the Hopfo Lefschetz fixed point theorem would follow.

However, the conjecture is not valid, and the heuristica Which led to it were rot sufficiently careful: thore is a
aimple counter-example. Take $X=S^{1}$, treated as the unit circle in the complex plane. Let $f$ be defined by $f=x^{d}$, $d$ integer. Then $f$ has degree $d[2, c h . X I$, theorem 4.5], and thus

$$
J(f)=1-d
$$

For $d \neq 1, f$ has precisely $|d-1|$ fixed points, and in any case

$$
x(\mathrm{~A})=|\mathrm{d}-1|
$$

for the set $A$ of fixed points of $f$. Thus $J(f) \neq x(A)$ for $d>1$
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