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$$
6,2 \text { (1965) }
$$

A PRINCIPLE OF DEHOMOGENIZATION FOR EIGENVALUE
PROBLEMS
(Preliminary communication $x$ )
Ivo MAREK, Praha

It is shown that some eigenvalue problems can be reduced to sequences of unhomogeneous equations by using iterative methods of Kellogg's type. By means of this procedure the problem of the accuracy-order of approximations of eigenelements in Banach spaces is investigated.

1. Notation and definitions.

Let $h$ be a real parameter $0<h<h_{0}$ and let $X, X_{h}$ be Banach spaces. Symbols denoting norms in all these spaces will not be distinguished. Let $\tilde{X}_{h} \subset X$ be some subspaces such that $\tilde{X}_{h}, X_{h}$ are isomorph. Let us denote this isomorphism by $S_{h}$. By $X^{\prime}, X_{h}^{\prime}$ the spaces of continuous linear forms on $X$ and $X_{h}$ with the usual norm (see [3]) will be denoted. If $Y$ is a Banach space, then by [Y] we shall. denote the space of linear bounded mappings of $Y$ into itself with the uniform topology (see [3]). Let $P_{h}$ be a projection of $X$ onto $X_{h}$ auch that


If $T$ is a linear, generally unbounded operator, then we denote the definition domain of $T$ by the symbol D(T) and the range of $T$ by $N(T)$ respectively.

[^0]In the following text we shall denote the constants which do not depend of $h$ by only one symbol $c$ without any furthe distinguishing.

Definition 1. Suppose that $\gamma_{r} \subset X$ and $T \in[X]$, $T_{h} \in\left[X_{h}\right]$. If the relation (1.1) $\quad\left\|P_{h} T x-T_{h} P_{h} x\right\| \leq c(x) h^{\text {h }}$ holds for each vector $x \in \mathscr{O}$, where $\eta$ is a positive inleger or zero, then we say that the "approximative" operator
$T_{h}$ has the approximation-order $\nrightarrow$ according to the operator $T$ on the set $\partial y$.

Let $y \in X$ be an arbitrary vector and let $y_{h}=P_{h} y$. By $\mu, \mu^{k}$ we shall denote the solutions of the equations (1.2)

$$
T x=\psi, T_{h} x_{h}=y_{h} \cdot
$$

Definition 2. Let the equations (1.2) have unique solutions $\mu, \mu^{h}$ for $y$, th given. If the inequality (: 3. 3) $\left\|P_{h} u-u^{h}\right\| \leqslant c h^{h}$ holds for $\mu, \mu^{h}$, where $r$ is a positive integer, then we say that $T_{h}$ has the accuracy-order $r$ with respect to $T$ 。

The equations involved in the preceding definitions have been assumed uniquely solvable. Therefore these definitions are not suitable for eigenvalue problems.

Definition 3. Let $\mu_{0}$ be an eigenvalue of an operator $T \in[X]$ and $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{t},(t<+\infty)$, the corresponding eigenvectors. We say that the operator $T_{h}$ has the accuracyorder $\nsim$ with respect to the eigenvalue $\mu_{0}$ of the operator $T$, if for each eigenvector $x_{j}$ corresponding to $u_{0}$ there exist an eigenvalue $\mu_{j}^{h}$ of the operator $T_{h}$ and an oigenvector $x_{j}^{h}$ corresponding to $\mu_{j}^{h}$ such that the irequalities

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|P_{h} x_{j}-x_{j}^{h}\right\| \leq c h^{h},  \tag{1.4}\\
& \left|\mu_{0}-\mu_{j}^{h}\right| \leqslant c h^{h}
\end{align*}
$$

hold for $j=1, \ldots, t$.
Let $M, C$ be linear operators mapping the domains $D(M), D(C)$ into $X$ and let $M_{h}, C_{h}$ be corresponding operators mapping the domains $\mathscr{D}\left(M_{h}\right), \mathscr{D}\left(C_{h}\right)$ into $X_{h}$. The couple $\left\{M_{h}, C_{h}\right\}$ will be called a scheme.

Definition 4. A scheme $\left\{M_{h}, \mathcal{C}_{h}\right\}$ has the accuracy-order $\nsim$ with respect to the equation $M \mu=C v$, where $v \in D(C)$ is some given vector, if the inequality

$$
\left\|P_{h} u-u^{h}\right\| \leq i \leq h^{h}
$$

holds for all solutions $u, u^{h}$ of the equations $M \mu=$ $=C v, M_{h} \mu^{h}=C_{h} P_{h} v . \quad$ respectively.

Definition 5. A scheme $\left\{M_{h}, C_{h}\right\}$ has the accuracy-order $\eta$ with respect to the characteristic value $\lambda_{0}$ if one of the operators $T_{h}=M_{h}^{-1} C_{h}, T_{h}=C_{h} M_{h}^{-1}$ has the accu-racy-order $p$ according to the eigenvalue $\mu_{0}=1 / \lambda_{0}$ of the operator $T=M^{-1} C \quad$ or $T=C M^{-1}$ respectively. If we use the scheme $\left\{M_{\ell}, C_{h}\right\}$ for counting $\lambda_{\ell}$ we shall denote this fact by $\left\{M_{h}, \mathcal{C}_{h} ; \lambda_{0}\right\}$.
2. Eigenvalue problems.

This paragraph is concerned with the investigation of aigenvalue problems of the form
(2.1) $\quad$ M $=\lambda C \mu$,
where $M, C$ are linear, generally unbounded, operators maping the domains $D(M), D(C)$ into $X$. Moreover it is assumed that $\mathscr{D}(M)$ is dense in $X$ and $D(M) \subset \mathscr{D C})$.

Simultaneously with the problem (2.1) we shall consider the "approximative" eigenvalue problem
$M_{h} u^{h}=\lambda^{h} C_{h} u^{h}$
assuming that the scheme $\left\{M_{h}, C_{h}\right\}$ hes the accuracy-order $p$ with respect to the equation $M u=C v$ according to the definition 4.

If we use this assumption we must reduce the equations (2.1) and (2.2) to sequences of inhomogeneous equations of the type (2.3) $M_{x}=y, M_{h} x^{h}=y^{h}$.

To do this we use Kellogg's iterative procedure. This procedure is applicable if the operators $M^{-1} C, C M^{-1}, M_{h}^{-1} C_{h}, C_{h} M_{h}^{-1}$ have suitable properties.

Let $T, T_{h}$ be one of the couples mentioned above. It will be supposed that $T$, $T_{h}$ be closed have dominant eigenvalues $\mu_{0}, \mu_{0}^{h}$ i.e. in spectra $\sigma(T), \sigma\left(T_{h}\right)$ there are points $\mu_{0}, \mu_{0}^{h}$ such that the inequalities (2.4) $\quad|\lambda|<\left|\mu_{0}\right|,\left|\lambda^{h}\right|<\left|\mu_{0}^{h}\right|$ hold for each $\lambda \in \sigma(T), \lambda \neq \mu_{0}$ and each $\lambda^{h} \in \sigma\left(T_{h}\right)$, $\lambda^{h} \neq \mu_{0}^{h}$. Moreover, we shall assume that the points $\mu_{0}$, $\left(\mu_{0}^{h}\right.$ are poles of the resolvents $R(\lambda, T)=(\lambda I-T)^{-1}$, $R\left(\lambda, T_{h}\right)=\left(\lambda I_{h}-T_{h}\right)^{-1}$, where $I$, $I_{h}$ denote the idem-tity-operstors in $X$ and $X_{h}$ respectively. We remark that the last assumption is not necessary.

Let $\mathrm{B}_{1}, \mathrm{~B}_{1}^{\text {h }}$ be the operators defined by the following integrals

$$
B_{1}=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{C_{0}} R(\lambda, T) d \lambda
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{1}^{h}=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{C_{0}} R\left(\lambda, T_{h}\right) d \lambda \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{0}, c_{0}^{h}$ denote the circles having the centres $\mu_{0}$, $\mu_{0}^{h}$ and radii $\rho_{0}, \rho_{0}^{h}$ such that for the sets $K=\left\{\lambda| | \lambda-\mu_{0} \mid \leqslant \rho_{0}\right\}, K_{h}=\left\{\lambda| | \lambda-\mu_{0}^{h} \mid \leqslant \rho_{0}^{h}\right\}$ the relations $K \cap \sigma(T)=\left\{\mu_{0}\right\}, K_{h} \cap \sigma\left(T_{h}\right)=\left\{\mu_{0}^{h}\right\}$ hold.

If $x_{h}^{\prime} \in X_{h}^{\prime}$, then we put

$$
x^{\prime}(x)=x_{h}^{\prime}\left(P_{h} x_{0}\right), \quad x \in X,
$$

so that $x^{\prime} \in X^{\prime}$.
Suppose that there exists a vector $x^{(0)} \in X$ for which (2.6) $0<c=\left|x^{\prime}\left(B_{1} x^{(0)}\right)\right|, 0<c \leqq\left|x_{h}^{\prime}\left(B_{1}^{h} P_{h} x^{(0)}\right)\right|$.

Now, we shall investigate the case of the operator

$$
T=M^{-1} C \text { and then we must assume that } C \text { is bounded. }
$$ The corresponding Kellogg's iterations leading to unhomogenous equations are defined as follows (2.7 a) $M \mu^{(n+1)}=C \mu_{(n)}, \mu_{(n+1)}=\lambda_{(n)} \mu^{(n+1)}, \mu_{(0)}=x^{(0)}$,

(2.7 b) $\quad \lambda_{(n)}=\frac{x^{\prime}\left(\mu_{(n)}\right)}{x^{\prime}\left(\mu^{(n+1)}\right)}$;
(2.8 a) $M_{h} \mu^{(n+1)}=C_{h} \mu_{(n)}^{h}, \mu_{(n+1)}^{h}=\lambda_{(n)}^{h}, \mu_{h}^{(n+1)}$,

$$
\mu_{(0)}^{h}=P_{h} x^{(0)},
$$

(2.8 b)

$$
\lambda_{(n)}^{h}=\frac{x_{h}^{\prime}\left(\mu_{(n)}^{h}\right)}{x_{h}^{\prime}\left(\mu_{h}^{(n+1)}\right)} .
$$

Let investigate the case of the operator $T=C M^{-1}$. It is easy to see that the restrictive assumption $C \in[X]$ can be replaced by a weaker one. Let instead of $\mathcal{C} \in[X]$ the inclusion
(2.9)

$$
\begin{aligned}
R\left(M^{-1}\right) \subset & D(C) \\
& -203-
\end{aligned}
$$

hold, where $R\left(M^{-1}\right)$ denotes the range of the operator $M^{-1}$. Similarly, let
(2.10)
$R\left(M_{h}^{-1}\right) \subset D\left(C_{h}\right)$.
The Kellogg's iterations corresponding to the operators $T=C M^{-1}, T_{h}=C_{h} M_{h}^{-1} \quad$ are defined as follows (2.11a) $M v^{(n)}=v_{(n)}, v_{(n+1)}=\lambda_{(n)}, C v^{(n)}, v_{(0)}=x^{(0)}=C y^{(0)}, y^{(0)} \in X$, (2.11b) $\quad \lambda_{(n)}=\frac{x^{\prime}(v(n))}{x^{\prime}\left(C v^{(n+1)}\right)}$; (2.12a) $M_{h} v_{h}^{(n)}=v_{(n)}^{h}, v_{(n+1)}^{h^{n}}=\lambda_{(n)}^{h}, C_{h} v_{h}^{(n)}, v_{(0)}^{h}=C_{h} F_{h} y^{(0)}, y^{(0)} \in X$, (2.12b)

$$
\lambda_{(n)}^{h}=\frac{x_{h}^{\prime}\left(v_{(h)}^{h}\right)}{x_{h}^{\prime}\left(C_{h} v_{h}^{(m+1)}\right)} .
$$

The convergence of the processes defined by (2.7),(2.8), (2.11), (2.12) is described in the following theorems.

Let $A \in[X]$ be an operator having a dominant eigenvalie $\mu_{0}$. Let $\mu$ be a number with the following properties: (a) $\mu<1 \mu_{0} I$; (b) $\lambda \in \sigma(A), \lambda \neq \mu_{0}$ implies $\lambda \epsilon$ $\epsilon H(A)$, where $H(A)=\{\lambda| | \lambda \mid<\mu\}$.

## Theorem \& [2] Suppose that

2. The values $\mu_{0}, \mu_{0}^{h}$ are dominant eigenvalues of the operators $T=M^{-1} C, T_{h}=M_{h}^{-1} C_{h}$.
3. $\mu_{0}, \mu_{0}^{h_{2}}$ are poles of the resolvents $R(\lambda, T)$, $R\left(\lambda, T_{\mu}\right)$.
4. The conditions (2.6) are fulfilled for a vector $x^{(0)} \in X$. 4. $\alpha=1 \frac{\mu}{\mu_{0}}\left|, \alpha_{h}=\left|\frac{\mu^{h}}{\mu_{0}^{h}}\right|\right.$, where $\mu, \mu^{h}$ are radii of the sets $H(T), H\left(T_{h}\right)$ defined above.

Then the relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\mu_{(n)}-\mu_{0}\right\| \leqslant c \alpha^{n},\left|\lambda_{(n)}-\lambda_{0}\right| \leqslant c \alpha^{n}, \\
& \left\|\mu_{(n)}^{h}, \mu_{0}^{h}\right\| \leqslant c \alpha_{h}^{n},\left|\lambda_{(n)}^{h}-\lambda_{0}^{h}\right| \leqslant c \alpha_{h}^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

( $n$ sufficiently large)
hold for the sequences defined by (2.7), (2.8), where

$$
\lambda_{0}=\frac{1}{\mu_{0}}, \quad \lambda_{0}^{h}=\frac{1}{\mu_{0}^{h}}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
M u_{0}=\lambda_{0} C \mu_{0}, M_{h} \mu_{0}^{h}=\lambda_{0}^{h} C_{h} \mu_{0}^{h}, \\
u_{0} \neq 0, \quad \mu_{0}^{h} \neq 0,
\end{gathered}
$$

Theorem B [2] Let the assumptions $1,2,4$ of the theorem A be fulfilled for the operators $T=C M^{-1}, T_{h}=C_{h} M_{h}^{-1}$. Instead of the assumption 3 let the following one be fulfilled: The condition (2.6) holds for a vector $x^{(0)}=v_{(0)}$, where $v_{(0)}=C y^{(0)}, y^{(0)} \in X$.

Then the relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|v_{(n)}-v_{0}\right\| \leqslant c \alpha^{n},\left|\lambda_{(n)}-\lambda_{0}\right| \leqslant c \alpha^{n} ; \\
& \left\|v_{(n)}^{h}-v_{0}^{h}\right\| \leqq c \alpha_{h}^{n},\left|\lambda_{(n)}^{h}-\lambda_{0}^{h}\right| \leqslant c \alpha_{h}^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$ ( $n$ sufficiently large)

hold for the sequences defined by (2.11), (2.12), where

$$
\lambda_{0}=\frac{1}{\mu_{0}}, \lambda_{0}^{h}=\frac{1}{\mu_{0}^{h}}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
M v_{0}= & \lambda_{0} C v_{0}, \quad M_{h} v_{0}^{h}=\lambda_{0}^{h} C_{h} v_{0}^{h}, \\
& v_{0} \neq 0, \quad v_{0}^{h} \neq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

By means of the iterations given by (2.7), (2.8) and (2.11), (2.12) the initial eigenvalue problems (2.1), (2.2) are reduced to sequences of inhomogeneous equations of the type (2.3). These procedures form a base of the dehomogenization.
3. Theory of accuracy-order of eigenvalue problems.

In this paragraph the accuracy-order of eigenvalue problems of the type

$$
M_{\mu}=\lambda C u, M_{h} \mu^{h}=\lambda^{h} C_{h} \mu^{h}
$$

will be investigated．The basic assumption is the knowledge of the accuracy－order of the scheme $\left\{M_{h}, C_{h}\right\}$ with respect to the unhomogeneous equation $M \mu=C v$ with given vectors $v \in X$ ．

Theorem d．Suppose that
1．The operators $T=M^{-1} C, T_{h}=M_{h}^{-1} C_{h}$ ，where the ope－ rates $M, C ; M_{h}, C_{h} \quad \operatorname{map} D(M), D(C)=X$ into $X$ and $D\left(M_{h}\right), D\left(C_{h}\right)=X_{h}$ into $X_{h}$ ，be bounded and have dominant eigenvalues $\mu_{0}, \mu_{0}^{h_{0}}$ and these values are simple poles of the resolvents $R(\lambda, T), R\left(\lambda, T_{h}\right)$ ．
2．The scheme $\left\{M_{\boldsymbol{h}}, C_{\boldsymbol{h}}\right\}$ has the accuracy－order $\neq$ with respect to the problem $M \mu=C v$ with a given vector $v \in X$ ．
3．The relation e（2．6）for a vector $x^{(0)} \in X$ and aimultanoous－ in the inequalities

$$
\left\|x_{h}^{\prime}\right\| \leqslant c \quad \text { if } 0<h<h_{0}
$$

hold for the system $\left\{x_{h}^{\prime}\right\}$ of linear forms $x_{h}^{\prime} \in X_{h}^{\prime}$ ．
4．The inequalities

$$
\left\|M_{h}^{-1} C_{h}\right\| \leqq c
$$

hold for all $h, 0<h<h_{0}$ ．
Then the scheme $\left\{M_{h}, C_{h} ; \lambda_{0}\right\}$ has the accuracy－order $\eta$ with respect to characteristic value $\lambda_{0}=1 / \mu_{0}$ ．

The case of an unbounded operator $\mathcal{C}$ is described in the following theorem．

## Theorem 2．Suppose that

1．The values $\mu_{0}, \mu_{0}^{h}$ are dominant eigenvalues of the closed operators $T=C M^{-1}, T_{h}=C_{h} M_{h}^{-1}$ ，where $M, C, M_{h}, C_{h}$ up the domaine $D(M), \mathscr{D}(C)$ into $X$ and $D\left(M_{h}\right), \mathscr{D}\left(C_{h}\right)$ into $X_{h}$ and the inclusions $R\left(M^{-1}\right) \subset D(C), R\left(M_{h}^{-1}\right) \subset D\left(C_{h}\right)$ hold．Moreover，let $\mu_{0}$ ，$\mu_{0}^{h}$ be simple poles of the resolvents
$R(\lambda, T), R\left(\lambda, T_{h}\right)$.
2. The approximative operator $M_{h}$ has the accuracy-order $\not \approx$ with respect to the equation $M \mu=v$ with a given $v \in X$. 3. For each vector $\mu \in \mathcal{Z}\left(M^{-1}\right)$ there exists a vector $y^{h} \in X_{h} \quad$ such that the identity

$$
C_{h} P_{h} u=P_{h} C_{\mu}+y^{h},
$$

holds, where

$$
\left\|y^{h}\right\| \leqslant c h^{n}
$$

In other words - the approximation-order of the operator $C_{h}$ is equal to $\eta$ with respect to the operator $\mathcal{C}$ on the set $R\left(M^{-1}\right)$.
4. The relations (2.6) for a vector $x^{(0)}=C y^{(0)}, y^{(0)} \in X$ and simultaneously the inequalities

$$
\left\|x_{h}^{\prime}\right\| \leqq c \text { if } 0<h<h_{0}
$$

hold for the system $\left\{x_{h}^{\prime}\right\}$ of linear forms $x_{h}^{\prime} \in X_{h}^{\prime}$.
5. The inclusions

$$
\Re\left(P_{h} M^{-1} X\right) \subset \Re\left(M_{h}^{-1} X_{h}\right)
$$

hold for $0<h<h_{0}$.
6. The inequalities

$$
\left\|c_{h} M_{h}^{-1}\right\| \leq c, 0<h<h_{0},
$$

hold for the operators $T_{h}=\mathcal{C}_{h} M_{h}^{-1}$ of the system $\left\{T_{h}\right\}$.
Then the scheme $\left\{M_{h}, C_{h} ; \lambda_{0}\right\}$ has the accuracy-arder $\eta$ with respect to the characteristic value $\lambda_{0}=1 / \mu_{0}$ of the etgenvalue problem

$$
M_{\mu}=\lambda C \mu .
$$

The assumption that the eigenvalue $\mu_{0}$ is a dominant point of the spectrum $\sigma(T)$ can' be weakened. Let us suppose that there is a finite number of eigenvalues $\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{s}$ on the circle $|\lambda|=\kappa(T)$, where $\kappa(T)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{\left\|T^{n}\right\|}$
is the spectral radius of the operator $T \in[X]$. In such

- case it always is possible to find suitable complex numbers $\nu_{1}, \ldots, \nu_{s}$ such that the operators
(3.1) $S_{j}=T+\nu_{j} I, j=1, \ldots, s$,
have dominant eigenvalues $\tau_{j}=\mu_{j}+\nu_{j}$
Now suppose that complex numbers $\nu_{1}, \ldots, \nu_{s}$ are echosen 80 that the values $\tau_{j}=\mu_{j}+\nu_{j}$ are dominant points of $\sigma\left(S_{j}\right) j=1, \ldots, s$, where $T=C M^{-1},\left|\mu_{j}\right|=r(T)$, $\left|\mu_{j}+\nu_{j}\right|=r\left(S_{j}\right)^{\prime}$ and $S_{j}, j=1, \ldots, s$, are defined in (3.1.)

Put

$$
L=M, \quad D=\nu_{j} M+C
$$

It is easy to see that the construction of the eigenvalue $\mu_{j}$ and the corresponding eigenvector $x_{j}$ is equivalent to the conetruction_of the dominant characteristic value $\rho_{j}=1 / \tau_{j}$ and the corresponding eigenvector of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nu_{j} M+C\right) u=\rho M u \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution of this problem can be obtained using the theorems 1 and 2 .

Let $L_{h, j}, D_{h, j}, S_{h, j}$ be operators corresponding to the operators $L, D, S_{j}$. This means that there exist complea numbers $\nu_{1}^{h}, \ldots, \nu_{k}^{h}$ such that the values $\nu_{1}^{h}+\mu_{1}^{h}, \ldots$ $\ldots, \nu_{n}^{h}+\mu_{b}^{h}$ are dominant eigenvalues of the operators

$$
S_{h, j}=T_{h}+\nu_{j}^{h} I_{h}, j=1, \ldots,>
$$

Theorem _3: Suppose that

1. The assumptions of theorem 2 are fulfilled for the operators $M, C$ and form $X^{\prime} \in X^{\prime}, x_{h}^{\prime} \in X_{h}^{\prime}$. 2. The operator $T=C M^{-1}$ has a finite number of eigenvaInes $\left(\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{\phi}, s \geq 1\right.$, on the circle $|\lambda|=n(T)$.
2. There exist complex numbers $\nu_{1}, \ldots, \nu_{s}$ such that
$\tau_{j}=\mu_{j} \pm \nu_{j}$ _are_dominant eigenvalues of the operators (3.1) and are simple poles of the resolvents $R\left(\lambda, S_{j}\right), j=1, \ldots s$.
3. The inequalities
(3.3) $0<c=\mid x^{\prime}\left(B_{1 j} x^{(0)}\left|, 0<c \leq\left|x_{h}^{\prime}\left(B_{1 j}^{h} P_{h} x^{(0)}\right)\right|\right.\right.$ hold for a vector $x^{(0)} \in X$, where $x^{\prime} \in X^{\prime}, X_{h}^{\prime} \in X_{h}^{\prime}$ and $B_{1 j} ; B_{1 j}^{h}$ are elements of Laurent developments of the reodvents $R\left(\lambda, S_{j}\right)=\left(\lambda I-S_{j}\right)^{-1}, R\left(\lambda S_{h, j}\right)=\left(\lambda I_{h}-S_{h, j}\right)^{-1}$ in neighbourhoods of the points $\tau_{j}, \tau_{j}^{h}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R\left(\lambda, S_{j}\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_{k j}\left(\lambda-\tau_{j}\right)^{k}+\sum_{h=1}^{\infty} B_{h j}\left(\lambda-\tau_{j}\right)^{-h} \\
& R\left(\lambda, S_{h, j}\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}{ }_{k}^{h}\left(\lambda-\tau_{j}^{h}\right)^{h}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} B_{k j}^{h}\left(\lambda-\tau_{j}^{h}\right)^{-h}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the schemes $\left\{M_{\ell}, C_{h} ; \lambda_{j}\right\}$ have the accuracyorder $\nsim$ with respect to 锚e characteristic values $\lambda_{j}=1 / \mu_{j}, j=1, \ldots, p$.
4. Applications.

The preceding theory can be applied to various probleme of numerical analyais. If the spaces $X_{h}$ are finite dimensional, the operators $T_{h}$ corresponding to the operator $T$ are given as finite matrices. Particularly, that is the case of net methods of numerical solution of differential equations (see [4]). Applications of the idea of dehomogenization of eigenvalue problems of this type were described by the author (see [1]) at the conference on basic probleme of numerical analysis, Liblice (Czechoslovakia) 1964 . Other applications will be given in the complete text which will be submitted to the Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal.
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