

Pavel Pták

On equalizers in generalized algebraic categories

*Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae*, Vol. 13 (1972), No. 2, 351--357

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/105421>

## Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1972

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

ON EQUALIZERS IN GENERALIZED ALGEBRAIC CATEGORIES

Pavel PTÁK, Praha

Introduction. Universal algebras of a given type  $\Delta = \{\omega_\lambda \mid \lambda < \beta\}$  ( $\Delta$  is a family of ordinal numbers indexed by ordinal numbers) form the category  $A(\Delta)$  whose objects are the pairs  $(X, \{\omega_\lambda^X \mid \lambda < \beta\})$  where  $X$  is a set and  $\omega_\lambda^X$  are mappings  $\omega_\lambda^X : X^{\omega_\lambda} \rightarrow X$  and morphisms from  $(X, \{\omega_\lambda^X\})$  to  $(Y, \{\omega_\lambda^Y\})$  are mappings  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $\omega_\lambda^Y \circ f^{\omega_\lambda} = f \circ \omega_\lambda^X$  for every  $\lambda, \lambda < \beta$ , where  $f^{\omega_\lambda} : X^{\omega_\lambda} \rightarrow Y^{\omega_\lambda}$  is  $f$  acting coordinate-wise on  $\omega_\lambda$ -tuples from  $X^{\omega_\lambda}$ .

Now, let this device work in a general situation. Given two functors  $F$  and  $G$  of the covariant variance from sets to sets, we can define the generalized algebraic category as follows: objects are again pairs  $(X, \{\omega_\lambda^X\})$  but operations  $\omega_\lambda^X$  range over  $F(X)$  and take values in  $G(X)$  (so they are mappings  $\omega_\lambda^X : F(X)^{\omega_\lambda} \rightarrow G(X)$ ) and morphisms are mappings  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$\omega_\lambda^Y \circ F(f)^{\omega_\lambda} = G(f) \circ \omega_\lambda^X \quad \text{for every } \lambda, \lambda < \beta.$$

AMS, Primary: 18A30

Ref. Ž. 2.726.23

Secondary: 18B99

It is known that  $A(\Delta) = A(I, I, \Delta)$  always has limits. The general problem of the existence of limits in categories  $A(F, G, \Delta)$  is not so clear. Some results are known ([2],[4]).

The subject of the present paper is the study of equalizers in  $A(F, G, \Delta)$ .

The first part of the paper gives some basic definitions and results. In the first paragraph, we prove that the existence of such equalizers in  $A(F, G, I)$  that the natural forgetful functor  $\mathfrak{L}$  preserves them is, roughly speaking, equivalent to the fact that the functor  $G$  preserves equalizers. In the second paragraph, we shall give up the requirement for the equalizers to be preserved by the functor  $\mathfrak{X}$ . The essential part here is whether the functor  $F$  preserves unions. The theorems 2.1, 2.2 give the necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of equalizers.

I should like to thank V. Trnková for her encouraging help.

#### 0. Basic definitions, facts and notation

1. An ordinal number  $\alpha$  is the set of all ordinal numbers  $\beta$ ,  $\beta < \alpha$ .
2. All functors throughout this paper will be covariant functors from the category  $\mathcal{S}$  of all sets and all their mappings into itself. Natural equivalence of functors will be denoted by  $\simeq$ .
3. The identical functor will be denoted by  $I$ .

4. Let  $P, M$  be sets,  $\mu: P \rightarrow M$  a mapping. Then  $C_{P, \mu, M}$  is the functor  $F$  given by formulae  
 $F(\emptyset) = P$  and if  $X \neq \emptyset$ , then  $F(\vartheta_X) = \mu$ ,  $\vartheta_X: \emptyset \rightarrow X$ ,  $F(X) = M$ ,  $F(f) = id_M$  whenever  $f: X \rightarrow Y$ ,  $id_M$  is the identical mapping. If  $P \subset M$  and  $\mu$  is the inclusion, we write simply  $C_{P, M}$ .
5.  $\mathcal{Q}_M$  denotes a hom-functor from the set  $M$ , i.e.  
 $\mathcal{Q}_M(X) = Hom(M, X)$ .
6. The current set-theoretic notation, e.g.  $(\subset, \cup, \cap, \times, \vee, \circ)$  will be used for functors, too. So, if two functors  $F_1, F_2$  are given, then  $F_1 \cup F_2$  denotes the functor  $F$  (provided that it exists) such that  $F(X) = F_1(X) \cup F_2(X)$  for every set  $X$  and  $F_1, F_2$  are the subfunctors of  $F$ . The functors  $F_1 \times F_2, F_1 \vee F_2$  always exist.
7. We shall write  $F(X)_Y = [F(i)]F(X)$ , where  $F$  is a functor,  $X \subset Y$  and  $i: X \rightarrow Y$  is the inclusion.
8. Recall that a functor  $F$  preserves union if, whenever  $Y$  is a set and  $\{Y_\alpha, \alpha \in J\}$  a collection of its subsets, then  $F(\bigcup_{\alpha \in J} Y_\alpha)_Y = \bigcup_{\alpha \in J} F(Y_\alpha)_Y$ .
9. A functor  $F$  preserves unions if and only if  $F \simeq (I \times C_{P, \mu, M}) \vee C_{H, \mu, K}$  (see [5]).
10. An equalizer for two morphisms is defined as usual ([7]). The definition of a category having equalizers is evident. The definition of an equalizers-preserving functor and a

non-void equalizers-preserving functor is obvious, too.

1. Equalizers in the category  $A(F, G, 1)$  such that the natural forgetful functor  $\mathcal{X}$  preserves them

We denote  $\mathcal{X}$  the forgetful functor from the category  $A(F, G, \Delta)$  into the category  $\mathcal{S}$  of all sets and their mappings, i.e. if  $f: (Y, \{\omega_\lambda^Y\}) \rightarrow (X, \{\omega_\lambda^X\})$  is a morphism of  $A(F, G, \Delta)$ , then

$$\mathcal{X}(Y, \{\omega_\lambda^Y\}) = Y,$$

$$\mathcal{X}(f) = f.$$

Lemma 1.1. Let the functor  $G$  preserve equalizers. Then for every functor  $F$  the category  $A(F, G, 1)$  has equalizers and  $\mathcal{X}$  preserves them.

Lemma 1.2. If  $F(\emptyset) = \emptyset$  and  $G$  preserves non-void equalizers, then  $A(F, G, 1)$  has equalizers and  $\mathcal{X}$  preserves them.

Lemma 1.3. If  $G$  does not preserve non-void equalizers, then  $A(F, G, 1)$  has not equalizers such that  $\mathcal{X}$  preserves them.

Lemma 1.4. If  $G$  does not preserve equalizers and  $F(\emptyset) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $A(F, G, 1)$  has not equalizers such that  $\mathcal{X}$  preserves them.

Proofs of these lemmas are easy.

Theorem 1.1. Let  $F(\emptyset) = \emptyset$ . Then the category  $A(F, G, 1)$  has equalizers such that  $\mathcal{X}$  preserves them if and only if  $G$  preserves non-void equalizers.

Theorem 1.2. Let  $F(\emptyset) \neq \emptyset$ . Then the category  $A(F, G, 1)$  has equalizers such that  $\mathcal{E}$  preserves them if and only if  $G$  preserves equalizers.

Proofs are evident.

2. Equalizers in the category  $A(F, G, \Delta)$

Lemma 2.1. If  $G$  does not preserve equalizers and  $F(\emptyset) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $A(F, G, 1)$  has not equalizers.

Proof is evident.

Lemma 2.2. If  $F(\emptyset) = \emptyset$  and  $F$  preserves unions, then  $A(F, G, 1)$  has equalizers.

Proof. Let  $f, g: (X, \omega) \rightarrow (X', \omega')$  be morphisms,  $i: Z \rightarrow X$  an equalizer of mappings  $f, g$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the system of all  $Y \subset Z$  such that

$$(\forall x \in F(Y)_2) (\exists y \in G(Y)_2) [\omega \circ F(i)(x) = G(i)(y)],$$

put  $S = \cup \mathcal{S}$ . One can see that  $S \in \mathcal{S}$  and it is easy to define  $\sigma: F(S) \rightarrow G(S)$  such that  $(S, \sigma)$  is a domain of an equalizer of  $f, g$  in  $A(F, G, 1)$ .

Statement 2.1. Let  $F, G$  be two functors,  $F$  do not preserve unions,  $G$  do not preserve non-void equalizers. Then there exist  $f, g: Y \rightarrow Y'$  such that  $G(i) \neq \text{eq}(G(f), G(g))$ , where  $i: T \rightarrow Y$  is an equalizer of  $f, g$  and  $F(T) = \bigcup_{t \in T} F\langle t \rangle_T \neq \emptyset$ .

Proof. Take a set  $M$  such that  $F(M) = \bigcup_{m \in M} F\langle m \rangle_M \neq \emptyset$  and  $\tilde{f}, \tilde{g}: X \rightarrow X'$  such that  $G(\tilde{i}) \neq \text{eq}(G(\tilde{f}), G(\tilde{g}))$ , where  $\tilde{i} = \text{eq}(\tilde{f}, \tilde{g}), \tilde{i}: Z \rightarrow X, Z \neq \emptyset$

Put  $Y = X \vee M$ ,  $Y' = X' \vee M$ ,  $f, g: Y \rightarrow Y'$  such that  $f(x) = \tilde{f}(x)$ ,  $g(x) = \tilde{g}(x)$  for  $x \in X$ ,  $f(m) = g(m) = m$  for  $m \in M$ . It is easy to see that  $f, g$  have the required properties.

Lemma 2.3. If  $F$  does not preserve unions and  $G$  does not preserve non-void equalizers, then the category  $A(F, G, 1)$  has not equalizers.

Proof. Let  $f, g$  have the properties from Statement 2.1 with respect to  $F, G$ . We can choose  $\bar{y} \in G(Y) - G(T)_Y$ , where  $i: T \rightarrow Y$ ,  $i = e_{\mathcal{Q}}(f, g)$ ,  $G(f)(\bar{y}) = G(g)(\bar{y})$ . Put  $y_i = G(\lambda_i)(\bar{y})$ , where  $\lambda_i: Y \rightarrow Y \times \{1, 2\}$  is the mapping  $\lambda_i(y) = (y, i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Choose  $\bar{x} \in F(T)_Y - \bigcup_{t \in T} F\{t\}_Y$ . Put  $x = F(\lambda_1)(\bar{x})$ ,  $Y'' = Y \times \{1, 2\}$ . Define  $\hat{f}, \hat{g}: Y'' \rightarrow Y'$  as follows:

$$\hat{f}(y, 1) = f(y) = \hat{f}(y, 2), \hat{g}(y, 1) = g(y), \hat{g}(y, 2) = f(y).$$

Now, if we define  $\omega'', \omega'$  as follows:  $\omega''(y) = y_2$  for all  $y \neq x$ ,  $y \in F(Y'')$ ,  $\omega''(x) = y_1$ ,  $\omega'(x) = G(\hat{f})(y_1)$  for all  $x \in F(Y')$ , so  $\hat{f}, \hat{g}: (Y'', \omega'') \rightarrow (Y', \omega')$  are morphisms of the category  $A(F, G, 1)$  and one can see that they have no equalizer.

Theorem 2.1. Let  $F(\emptyset) = \emptyset$ . Then the category  $A(F, G, 1)$  has equalizers if and only if either  $G$  preserves non-void equalizers or  $F \simeq (I \times C_{P, P, M}) \vee C_{\emptyset, K}$ .

Theorem 2.2. Let  $F(\emptyset) \neq \emptyset$ . Then the category  $A(F, G, 1)$  has equalizers if and only if  $G$  preserves

equalizers.

Proofs are evident.

Statement 2.2. The categories  $A(F, G, \Delta)$  and  $A(\bigvee_{\alpha \in \Delta} G_{\alpha}, F, G, 1)$  are isomorphic.

Proof is evident.

In this way we can "translate" our results into the general case  $A(F, G, \Delta)$ .

#### R e f e r e n c e s

- [1] A. PULTR: On selecting of morphisms among all mappings between underlying sets of objects in concrete categories and realization of these, Comment. Math.Univ.Carolinae 8(1967), 53-85.
- [2] V. TRNKOVÁ, P. GORALČÍK: On products in generalized algebraic categories, Comment.Math.Univ.Carolinae 10(1969),49-89.
- [3] V. TRNKOVÁ: Some properties of set functors, Comment. Math.Univ.Carolinae 10(1969),323-352.
- [4] J. ADÁMEK, V. KOUBEK: Coequalizers in generalized algebraic categories, Comment.Math.Univ.Carolinae 13(1972),311-324.
- [5] V. TRNKOVÁ: On descriptive classification of set-functors 2., Comment.Math.Univ.Carolinae 12(1971), 345-357.
- [6] O. WYLER: Operational categories, Proceedings of the conference on categorical algebra, La Jolla 1965, 295-316.
- [7] B. MITCHELL: Theory of categories, Academic Press, New York, 1965.

ČVUT-fakulta elektrotechn.

Suchbátarova 2, Praha 6

(Oblatum 1.7.1971)

Československo