

Jindřich Nečas

On the range of nonlinear operators with linear asymptotes which are not invertible

*Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae*, Vol. 14 (1973), No. 1, 63--72

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/105470>

## Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1973

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

ON THE RANGE OF NONLINEAR OPERATORS WITH LINEAR ASYMPTOTES  
WHICH ARE NOT INVERTIBLE

Jindřich NEČAS, Praha

**Abstract:** Let  $A$  be a linear, bounded, selfadjoint operator from a real Hilbert space to itself with a closed range. Let  $0 < \dim \text{Ker } A < \infty$ . Let  $P$  be a completely continuous operator. If the operator  $P$  has weak asymptotes  $l(w)$  for  $w \in \text{Ker } A$ , then the condition  $(w, Aw) < (w)$  is sufficient for  $Aw \in \text{Range } (A + P)$ . This condition can be also necessary.

**Key words:** nonlinear operator, completely continuous operator, weak asymptote, fixed point, boundary value problem, closed range, alternative problem

AMS, Primary: 47H10, 47H15  
Secondary: 35J65

Ref. Ž. 7.956, 7.978.4

---

§ 1. Introduction. Let  $A$  be a linear, bounded, selfadjoint operator from a real Hilbert space  $H$  to itself with a closed range. Let  $0 < \dim (\text{Ker } A) < \infty$ . Let  $P$  be a completely continuous operator, in general nonlinear, from  $H$  to  $H$ , such that for all  $u$  from  $H$

$$(1.1) \quad \|Pu\| \leq \alpha < \infty.$$

Let us suppose that the operator  $P$  has a "weak asymptote  $l(w)$  on every halfray with the slope from the  $\text{Ker } A$ ": there exists a finite  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (w, P(u + tw)) = l(w)$ , uniform-

ly with respect to bounded sets of  $u$  and with respect to  $w$  from  $\text{Ker } A$  such that  $\|w\| = 1$ .

Put  $Tu = Au + Pu$ ,  $T(H) = R$ , and let us look for the conditions implying  $h \in R$ .

Results:

If for every  $w \in \text{Ker } A$ ,  $\|w\| = 1$ .

$$(1.2) \quad (w, h) < \ell(w) \quad ((w, h) > \ell(w)),$$

then  $h \in R$ .

If for every  $u \in H$  and  $w \in \text{Ker } A$ ,  $\|w\| = 1$ ,

$$(1.3) \quad (w, Pu) < \ell(w) \quad (\leq, >, \geq)$$

then (1.2) ( $\leq, >, \geq$ ) is necessary.

The necessary condition is obvious; for to prove the sufficient condition, we use the Cesari-Lazar type alternative problem, see L. Cesari [1] and Schauder's fixed point theorem.

As an example, we consider a general boundary value problem for one partial differential equation

$$\sum_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}_0} (-1)^{|i|} D^i (a_{ij} D^j u) + q(u) = f \quad \text{and we obtain,}$$

as a partial result, the assertion of the paper of S.A. Williams [2], which is a generalization of the paper of E. Landesman, A. Lazar [3]. This paper can be considered as a generalization of the above papers.

In the paper of the author, see J. Nečas [4] or [5], the  $\alpha$ -asymptote of a nonlinear operator is introduced.

In our case the operator  $A$  is the 1-asymptote of the operator  $T$  because  $\lim_{\|u\| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|Tu - Au\|}{\|u\|} = \lim_{\|u\| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|Pu\|}{\|u\|} = 0$ .

§ 2. Abstract results. Let us note  $\text{Ker } A = H_2, H_1 = H = H_2$ . Because  $A$  is a one-to-one operator from  $H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ , (and  $A(H) = H_1$ ), let  $S$  be the inverse of  $A$ , restricted to the space  $H_1$ . Let  $\dim H_2 = n$ .

Let  $L$  be the Hilbert space defined as  $L \times \mathbb{R}^n$ , of the couples  $(u, c) = U$ , provided with the scalar product  $(U, V) = (u, v) + (c^1, c^2)$ . Let  $P_i$  be the projections of  $H$  to  $H_i$ . Let  $\{w_i\}_{i=1}^n$  be an orthonormal basis of  $H_2$ . Let us define a mapping  $C$  of  $L$  to  $L$ , putting  $(u, c) \mapsto (u^*, c^*)$  and

$$(2.1) \quad u^* = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i w_i + SP_1(n - Pu), \quad c_i^* = c_i - (Pu^* - n, w_i), \quad \varepsilon > 0.$$

Clearly  $C$  is a completely continuous operator. We obtain immediately

Lemma 2.1 (Cezari-Lazar type alternative problem)

$Tu = n$  iff  $(u, c)$  is a fixed point of  $C$ .

Theorem 2.1. Let  $A$  be a linear, bounded, selfadjoint operator from  $H$  to  $H$  with a closed range and let  $0 < \dim(\text{Ker } A) < \infty$ . Let  $P$  be a completely continuous operator from  $H$  to  $H$  (nonlinear), satisfying (1.1). Let  $P$  have a weak asymptote  $\ell(w)$  on every halfray with the slope from the  $\text{Ker } A$ . Then the condition (1.2) is sufficient for  $n$  to be in the  $\text{Range}(A + P)$ .

Proof. Let us look for a fixed point of the operator  $C$ . Note  $|c| = \varphi, \sum_{i=1}^n c_i w_i = w, (Pu^* - n, w_i) = t_i$ .

We have

$$(Pu^* - h, w) = \rho \left( P \left( \frac{w}{\rho} + SP_1(h - Pu) \right) - h, \frac{w}{\rho} \right) \stackrel{df}{=} \rho \alpha(w, \rho).$$

Because  $\left( \frac{w}{\rho}, P \left( \mu + t \frac{w}{\rho} \right) \right) \rightarrow \lambda \left( \frac{w}{\rho} \right)$  uniformly,

$\lambda(\omega)$  for  $\|\omega\| = 1$ ,  $\omega$  from  $\text{Ker } A$ , is continuous and there exists  $\rho_1 > 0$  such that for  $\rho \geq \frac{\rho_1}{2}$ ;  $\alpha(w, \rho) \geq$

$$\geq \alpha_0 > 0. \text{ Consider } \rho_1 \geq \rho \geq \frac{\rho_1}{2}. (c^*, c^*) = \rho^2 - 2\varepsilon\rho\alpha(w, \rho) + \varepsilon^2|t|^2.$$

$|t|$  is bounded because of the condition (1.1), so we can

choose  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that for  $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$  and

$$\frac{\rho_1}{2} \leq \rho \leq \rho_1 :$$

$$(2.2) \quad |c^*|^2 \leq \rho^2 \leq \rho_1^2.$$

If we choose  $\varepsilon$  small enough, we obtain for  $0 \leq \rho \leq \frac{\rho_1}{2}$

$$(2.3) \quad |c^*|^2 \leq \rho_1^2.$$

It follows from the condition (1.1) that

$$(2.4) \quad \|u^*\|^2 \leq |c|^2 + M^2.$$

Put  $D = \{u \mid \|u\|^2 \leq \rho_1^2 + M^2, |c|^2 \leq \rho_1^2\}$ .  $D$  is a

closed, convex set in the space  $L$ . It follows from (2.2),

(2.3), (2.4) that the mapping  $C$  maps  $D$  into itself. Because  $C$

is completely continuous, there exists by the

Schauder's fixed point theorem a fixed point that in virtue

of the lemma 2.1 gives the result.

Remark 2.1. If for some subspace  $H_3$  of  $H$ ,  $H_1 \subset H_3 \subset H$ , the above operator  $P: H \rightarrow H_3$ , we can restrict our considerations to the subspace  $H_3$ . If  $H_3 = H_1$ , we have  $\text{Range}(A+P) = H_1$  because of the Fredholm alternative, see J. Nečas [4].

We obtain easily the necessary conditions for  $h \in \text{Range}(A+P)$ ; we formulate the situation for the inequality  $<$ , the reader can do it for  $>$ ,  $\leq$ ,  $\geq$ .

Proposition 2.1. Let for all  $u \in H$  and  $w \in \text{Ker } A$ ,  $\|w\| = 1$

$$(2.5) \quad (w, Pu) < \ell(w) .$$

Let the conditions of the theorem 2.1 be satisfied (clearly without (1.2)). Then if  $h \in \text{Range}(A+P)$  the inequality

$$(2.6) \quad (w, h) < \ell(w)$$

is valid.

Clearly:  $Au + Pu = h \implies (w, Pu) = (w, h) < \ell(w)$ .

Remark 2.2. For the proposition 2.1 to hold, the condition (1.1) is not necessary; only the limit  $\ell(w)$  must exist, eventually infinite.

### § 3. Application to general boundary value problems.

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  be a bounded domain with Lipschitz boundary. Let  $W^{k,2}(\Omega) = W^{k,2}$  be the Sobolev space of real functions  $u$  such that  $u$  and its derivatives (in the sense of

distribution) up to the order  $k$  are square-integrable in  $\Omega$ .  $W^{k,2}$  is a Hilbert space with the scalar product

$$(3.1) \quad (\mu, \nu)_k = \int_{\Omega} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} D^{\alpha} \mu D^{\alpha} \nu \, dx .$$

Let  $W_0^{k,2}$  be the subspace of  $W^{k,2}$  of functions whose derivatives  $D^{\alpha} \mu = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$  for  $|\alpha| < k$ . (For details, see for example J. Nečas [6].) Let  $V$  be a closed subspace of  $W^{k,2}$  such that  $W_0^{k,2} \subset V \subset W^{k,2}$ ,  $a_{ij} \in L_{\infty}(\Omega)$ ,

$$|i|, |j| \leq k, \quad a_{ij} = a_{ji} \quad \text{and}$$

$$(3.2) \quad \sum_{|i|, |j| \leq k} a_{ij} f_i f_j \geq c \sum_{|i| \leq k} f_i^2, \quad c > 0 .$$

Let  $A_{ij} \in L_{\infty}(\partial\Omega)$ ,  $A_{ij} = A_{ji}$ ,  $|i|, |j| < k$ . Let  $g(s)$  be a real, continuous function on the real line, such that  $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} g(s) = g(\infty)$ ,  $\lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} g(s) = g(-\infty)$ , both  $g(\infty)$  and  $g(-\infty)$  being finite. Put

$$(3.3) \quad A(\nu, \mu) = \int_{\Omega} \sum_{|i|, |j| \leq k} a_{ij} D^i \nu D^j \mu \, dx + \\ + \int_{\partial\Omega} \sum_{|i|, |j| < k} A_{ij} D^i \nu D^j \mu \, dS .$$

$A(\nu, \mu)$  is a symmetric bounded bilinear form on  $W^{k,2} \times W^{k,2}$  and define  $A: V \rightarrow V$  by

$$(3.4) \quad (A\nu, \mu)_k = A(\nu, \mu) .$$

Define  $(\nu, P\mu)_k = (\nu, g(\mu))_0$ . Let  $f \in L_2(\Omega)$ . (We can consider  $f \in V'$ .) Let us look for the generalized solution  $\mu$  of the boundary value problem with homogeneous boundary data, i.e. we seek  $\mu$  in  $V$  such that for all  $\nu \in V$ :

$$(3.5) \quad (A(v, u) + (v, g(u)))_0 = (v, f)_0 .$$

For details see J. Nečas [6]. Put  $(v, f)_0 = (v, h)_K$ . So the problem (3.5) can be formulated as the problem to solve

$$(3.6) \quad Au + Pu = h .$$

Because of the condition (3.2) and the fact that the imbedding  $W^{k,2}(\Omega) \rightarrow W^{k-1,2}(\Omega)$  and the imbedding  $W^{1,2}(\Omega) \rightarrow L_2(\partial\Omega)$  is completely continuous, we obtain easily that  $\dim(\text{Ker } A) < \infty$ . If  $\text{Ker } A = \{\theta\}$ , according to the remark 2.1  $Au + Pu$  is onto, so the problem (3.5) has a solution for every  $f \in L_2$ .

Let  $0 < \dim(\text{Ker } A)$ . Put  $\text{Ker } A = H_2$  and let  $V = H$ .

Lemma 3.1. For  $u \in H$ ,  $w \in H_2$ , there exists

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (w, P(u + tw))_K \text{ uniformly with respect to } \|u\|_K \leq c_1 ,$$

$$\|w\|_K = 1, w \in H_2 .$$

Proof. Let  $\Omega_+ = \{x \in \Omega \mid w(x) > 0\}$ ,  $\Omega_- = \{x \in \Omega \mid w(x) < 0\}$ .

We have

$$(3.7) \quad (w, P(u + tw))_K = \int_{\Omega_+} w(x) g(u(x) + tw(x)) dx +$$

$$+ \int_{\Omega_-} w(x) g(u(x) + tw(x)) dx .$$

For almost all  $x$  from  $\Omega_+$ ,

$$(3.8) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} w(x) g(u(x) + tw(x)) = w(x) g(\infty)$$

and for almost all  $x$  from  $\Omega_-$ :



$$(3.9) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} w(x) g(u(x) + tw(x)) dx = \int_{\Omega} w(x) g(-\infty) dx.$$

From the Lebesgue's theorem on the integrable majorants, it follows from (3.8) and (3.9) that

$$(3.10) \quad \mathcal{L}(w) = g(\infty) \int_{\Omega_+} w(x) dx + g(-\infty) \int_{\Omega_-} w(x) dx.$$

It follows from (3.10) that  $\mathcal{L}(w)$  is continuous on the sphere  $\|w\|_{K^2} = 1$ ,  $w \in \text{Ker } A$ . Let us suppose that the limit is not uniform. Then there exist  $t_m \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $w_m \rightarrow w$  in  $V$  and almost everywhere in  $\Omega$ ,  $u_m \rightarrow u$  in  $L_2$  (from the compactness of the imbedding) and almost everywhere in  $\Omega$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$(3.11) \quad |(\int_{\Omega} w_m, g(u_m + t_m w_m))_0 - \mathcal{L}(w_m)| \geq \varepsilon.$$

It follows from the continuity of  $\mathcal{L}(w)$  that for  $m \geq m_0$

$$(3.12) \quad |(\int_{\Omega} w, g(u_m + t_m w_m))_0 - \mathcal{L}(w)| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

But  $g(u_m(x) + t_m w_m(x)) \rightarrow g(\infty)$  for almost all  $x \in \Omega_+$  and  $g(u_m(x) + t_m w_m(x)) \rightarrow g(-\infty)$  for almost all  $x \in \Omega_-$ , so once more from the Lebesgue's theorem it follows  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (\int_{\Omega} w, g(u_m + t_m w_m))_0 = \mathcal{L}(w)$ , which is contradictory with (3.12).

Theorem 3.1. Let the conditions for the boundary value problem be satisfied. Let for  $w \in \text{Ker } A$ ,  $\|w\|_{K^2} = 1$

$$(3.13) \quad \int_{\Omega} w(x) f(x) dx < g(\infty) \int_{\Omega_+} w(x) dx + g(-\infty) \int_{\Omega_-} w(x) dx.$$

Then the problem (3.5) has a solution. (The same for  $>$  in (3.13).)

Remark 3.1. The set of  $f$  satisfying (3.13) is not empty if for example  $g(-\infty) < 0 < g(\infty)$ . If  $\dim(\text{Ker } A) = \infty$  it is enough that  $g(-\infty) < g(\infty)$ .

Theorem 3.2. Let  $g(-\infty) < g(b) < g(\infty)$ . Then a necessary condition for the boundary value problem (3.5) has a solution, is (3.3). If there is  $g(-\infty) \leq g(b) \leq g(\infty)$  (or other clear combinations as for example  $g(-\infty) > g(b) \geq g(\infty)$ ), we obtain the necessary condition in the form

$$(3.14) \quad \int_{\Omega} w(x) f(x) dx \leq g(\infty) \int_{\Omega_+} w(x) dx + g(-\infty) \int_{\Omega_-} w(x) dx$$

$$\left( \int_{\Omega} w(x) f(x) dx \geq g(\infty) \int_{\Omega_+} w(x) dx + g(-\infty) \int_{\Omega_-} w(x) dx \right).$$

Clearly:

$$(w, Pu)_{\mathbb{R}} = \int_{\Omega_+} w(x) g(u(x)) dx + \int_{\Omega_-} w(x) g(u(x)) dx <$$

$$< g(\infty) \int_{\Omega_+} w(x) dx + g(-\infty) \int_{\Omega_-} w(x) dx .$$

Remark 3.2. We can easily modify the theorem 3.1 and 3.2 replacing  $(v, g(u))_0$  in (3.5) by  $\sum_{|\alpha| < k} (D^\alpha v, g_\alpha(x, D^\alpha u))_0$ .

#### R e f e r e n c e s

- [1] L. CESARI: Functional analysis and Galerkin's method, Michigan Math.J.11(1964), 385-414.

- [2] S.A. WILLIAMS: A sharp sufficient condition for solution of a nonlinear elliptic boundary value problem, J.Diff.Eq.8(1970),580-586.
- [3] E. LANDESMAN, A. LAZAR: Nonlinear perturbations of linear elliptic boundary value problems at resonance, J.Math.Mech.19(1970),n.7,609-623.
- [4] J. NEČAS: Fredholm alternative for nonlinear operators and applications to partial differential equations and integral equations, Časopis přest.mat. 97(1972),65-71.
- [5] J. NEČAS: Remark on the Fredholm alternative for nonlinear operators with application to nonlinear integral equations of generalized Hammerstein type, Comment. Math.Univ.Carolinae 13(1972),109-120.
- [6] J. NEČAS: Les méthodes directes en théorie des équations elliptiques, Academia Prague,1967.

Matematicko-fyzikální fakulta

Karlova universita

Sokolovská 83, Praha 8

Československo

(Oblatum 25.1.1973)