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NONLINEAR EQUATIONS OF URYSOHN 'S TYPE IN A BANACH SPACE Chaitan P. GUPTA, Dekalb

Abstract: Let $X$ be a real Banach space, $X *$ its dual Banach space. Let $K_{1}, \ldots, K_{n}$ be a given finite family of compact monotone linear mappings from $X *$ into $X$ 'and $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{n}$ be a corresponding family of bounded demicontinuous mappings from $X$ into $X^{*}$. Some results on the existence of solutions of the equation $u+\sum_{i=1}^{m} K_{i} F_{i} u=0$ in $X$ are obtained in this paper using Leray-Schauder Principle.
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Let $X$ be a real Banach space and $X^{*}$ its dual Banach space. Let $\left\{K_{1}, \ldots, K_{n}\right\}$ be a finite family of linear mappings from $X^{*}$ into $X$ and $\left\{F_{1}, \ldots, F_{n}\right\}$ be a corresponding family of (nonlinear) mappings from $X$ into $X^{*}$. In this paper we establish some results on the existence of solutions for the nonlinear equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u+\sum_{i=1}^{m} K_{i} F_{i} u=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the Banach space $X$. When the Iinear mappings $K_{1}, \ldots, K_{n}$ are angle-bounded, equation (1) was studied by Browder [4] in the non-compact case and recently by Joshi [8] in the compact
case. We study equation ( 1 ) when $K_{1}, \ldots, K_{n}$ are compact monotone linear mappings and our main tool is the LeraySchauder Principle ([9]): If C is a compact continuous mapping from a Banach space $\mathbb{X}$ into itself and there exists an $R>0$ such that $u+t C u \neq 0$ for every $t \in[0,1]$ and every $u \in X$ with $\|u\|=R$, then there exists at least one solution $u$ of the equation $u+C u=0$ in $X$ with $\|u\|<R$. We do not use splitting lemma for angle-bounded linear mappings due to Browder-Gupta [5] and existence theorems for mappings of monotone type ([3],[6]) as in [8].

The author thanks the Forschungsinstitut für Mathematik, Zürich, for their hospitality and the facilities during his visit there when this paper was written.

Main results . Let $X$ be a real Banach space and $X *$ its dual Banach space. We denote by ( $w, u$ ) the duality pairing between the elements $w$ in $X^{*}$ and $u$ in $X$. A bounded linear mapping $K: X \rightarrow X^{*}$ is said to be monotone if $(K u, u) \geq 0$ for all $\mathfrak{u}$ in $X$. The bounded linear monotone mapping is said to be angle-bounded if there exists a constant $\propto \geq 0$ such that $|(K u, v)-(K v, u)| \leqslant 2 \propto \sqrt{(K u, u)} \sqrt{(K v, v)}$ for all $u, v$ in $X$. A mapping $K$ is said to be compact if it maps bounded subsets of $X$ into relatively compact subsets of $X^{*}$. A mapping $F: X \rightarrow X^{*}$ is said to be demi-continuous if it is continuous from $X$ to $X^{*}$ endowed with weak-topology and $f$ is said to be bounded if it maps bounded subsets


Theorem 1 : Let $\left\{K_{1}, \ldots, K_{n}\right\}$ be a finite family of com= pact monotone linear mappings from $X^{*}$ into $X$ and let $\left\{F_{1}, \ldots, F_{n}\right\}$ be a corresponding finite family of demi-continuous bounded (nonlinear) mappings from $X$ into $X^{*}$. Suppose that there exists an $R>0$ such that for any $\frac{n-t u p l e}{2}\left\{u_{1}, \ldots\right.$ $\left.\ldots, u_{n}\right\}$ in $X$ with $i \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{X}^{2}=R^{2}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(F_{i} u, u_{i}\right) \geq 0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u=\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{i}$.
Then the equation $u+\sum_{i=1}^{m} K_{i} F_{i} u=0$ has at least one solution $u$ in $X$.

Proof. We first observe that there exists a bounded continuous mapping $S: X \rightarrow X^{*}$ such that for all $u$ in $X$ we have $\|S u\|_{X^{*}} \leqslant\|u\|_{X}$ and $(S u, u) \geq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|_{X}^{2}$. The existence of such an $S$ was first observed by Amann [2] using an argument on partitions of unity due to Stanley-Weiss. Let, now, $Y=\frac{X \times \ldots \times X}{n}$ be the cartesian product of $X$ with itself n-times and let for $U=\left[u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right] \in Y,\|U\|_{Y}=\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}\left\|u_{i}\right\|^{2}}$.

For each $\varepsilon>0$ we define a mapping $T_{E}: Y \longrightarrow Y$ by $T_{\varepsilon}(U)=\left[K_{1} F_{1} u+\varepsilon K_{1} S u_{1}, \ldots, K_{n} F_{n} u+\varepsilon K_{n} S u_{n}\right]$ where $U=$ $=\left[u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right] \in Y, u=\sum_{i=1}^{m} u_{i}$. Obviously $T_{\varepsilon}$ is a compact continuous mapping from $Y$ into $Y$. We assert that there exists a $U_{\varepsilon} \subset Y,\left\|U_{\varepsilon}\right\|<R$ such that $\left(I+T_{\varepsilon}\right)\left(U_{\varepsilon}\right)=$ $=0$, where $I$ denotes the identity mapping on $Y$. Indeed,
our assertion would follow from the Leray-Schauder Principle if we showed that $\left(I+t T_{e}\right)(U) \neq 0$ for $t \in[0,1]$ and $U \in Y$ with $\|U\|_{Y}=R$. Now, clearly $\left(I+t T_{\varepsilon}\right)(U) \neq 0$ for $t=0$ and $U \in Y$ with $\|U\|_{Y}=R$. For $t>0$, let us suppose on the other hand that there exists a $U \in Y$, $\|U\|_{Y}=R$ such that $\left(I+t T_{\varepsilon}\right) U=0$, i.e. $\left[u_{1}+t K_{1} F_{1} u+\right.$ $\left.+t \varepsilon K_{1} S u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}+t K_{n} F_{n} u+t \varepsilon K_{n} S u_{n}\right]=0$ where $U=$ $=\left[u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right]$ and $u=\sum_{i=1}^{m} u_{i}$. We then have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(F_{i} u+\varepsilon S u_{i}, u_{i}+t K_{i} F_{i} u+t \varepsilon K_{i} S u_{i}\right) \\
& \geq \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\varepsilon S u_{i}, u_{i}\right) \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|u_{i}\right\|^{2} X=\frac{\varepsilon}{2} R^{2}>0
\end{aligned}
$$

which is a contradiction. Hence $\left(I+t T_{e}\right)(U) \neq 0$ for every $t \in[0,1]$ and every $U \in Y$ with $\|U\|_{Y}=R$ and thus there exists a $U_{\varepsilon} \in Y$ with $\left\|U_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{Y}<R$ and $\left(I+T_{\varepsilon}\right)\left(U_{\varepsilon}\right)=$ $=0$.

Let, now, $T: Y \rightarrow Y$ be depined by $T(U)=\left[K_{1} F_{1} u, \ldots\right.$ $\left.\ldots, K_{n} F_{n} u\right]$ where $U=\left[u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right] \in Y$ and $u=\sum_{i=1}^{m} u_{i}$. Clearly $T$ is a compact continuous mapping from $Y$ into Y . Now,

$$
0=\left(I+T_{\varepsilon}\right)\left(U_{\varepsilon}\right)=(I+T) U_{\varepsilon}+\varepsilon W_{\varepsilon}
$$

where $W_{\varepsilon}=\left[K_{1} S u_{1}^{\varepsilon}, \ldots, K_{n} S u_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right]$ where $U_{\varepsilon}=\left[u_{1}^{\varepsilon}, \ldots, u_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right]$. Clearly, $\left\{W_{\varepsilon}\right\}$ are bounded in $Y$ and so $\varepsilon W_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 0$ strongly in $Y$. Hence $(I+T) U_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 0$ strongly in $Y$. Since $\left\{U_{E}\right\}$ 's are bounded in $Y$ and $T$ is compact we see that there exists a sequence $\left\{\varepsilon_{m}\right\}, \varepsilon_{m} \rightarrow 0$ and $a$
$W \in Y$ such that $T U_{\varepsilon_{n}} \rightarrow W$ strongly in $Y$. We then have that $U_{\varepsilon_{n}} \longrightarrow-W$ strongly in $Y$ which implies by the continuity of $T$ that $T U_{\varepsilon_{n}} \rightarrow T(-W)$ strongly in $Y$ and again since $(I+T) U_{\varepsilon} \longrightarrow 0$ strongly in $Y$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ we have $U_{\varepsilon_{n}} \rightarrow-T(-W)$ strongly in $Y$. Thus we must have $-W=-T(-W)$. Taking $U=-W$ we then get that $U+$ $+T U=0$, that is $\left[u_{1}+K_{1} F_{1} u, \ldots, u_{n}+K_{n} F_{n} u\right]=0$ where $U=\left[u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right]$ and $u=\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{i}$. This immediately implies that $u+\sum_{i=1}^{m} K_{i} F_{i} u=0$. Hence the Theorem. Q.E.D.

Remark 1. In the case $n=1$, Theorem 1 is essentially due to Amann [2] (see also [1],[4],[7]).

Remark 2. If in Theorem 1, above we replace the demicontinuity of the $F_{i}$ 's by continuity we need not assume that the monotone mappings $K_{i}$ are linear so long as we assume that they are Lipschitzian and $K_{i}(0)=0$ for each 1 .

Theorem 2. Let $\left\{K_{1}, \ldots, K_{n}\right\}$ be a finite family of com= pact linear mappings from $X^{*}$ into $X$ such that there exists a constant $\alpha>0$ with $\left(w, K_{1} w\right) \geq \alpha\left\|K_{1} w\right\|_{X}^{2}$ for $w$ in $X^{*}$ and $i=1,2, \ldots, n$. Let $\left\{F_{i}, \ldots, F_{n}\right\}$ be the corresponding family of demi-continuous bounded (nonlinear) mappings from $X$ into $X^{*}$. Suppose that there exists a $\beta>0$ with $\beta<\infty$ such that for any n-tuple $\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right\}$ in $X$ me have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(F_{i} u, u_{i}\right) \geq-\beta \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left\|u_{i}\right\|_{t}^{2}+\left(F_{i}(0), u_{i}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u=\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{i}$.
Then the equation $u+\sum_{i=1}^{n} K_{i} F_{i} u=0$ has at least one solution $u$ in $X$.

Proof. Let $Y=\underbrace{X \times \ldots \times X}_{n}$ be the cartesian product of $X$ with itself n-times. Let the norm in $Y$ be given by $\|U\|_{Y}=\sqrt{\sum_{i} \sum_{1}\left\|u_{i}\right\|_{X}^{2}}$ for $U=\left[u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right] \in Y$. Consider the mapping $T: Y \rightarrow Y$ defined by $T(U)=\left[K_{1} F_{1} u, \ldots, K_{n} F_{n} u\right]$ where $U=\left[u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right] \in Y$ and $u=\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{i}$. Clearly, $T$ is a compact continuous mapping from $Y$ into $Y$. Now to complete the proof of the theorem it suffices to show, by LeraySchauder Principle, that there is an $R>0$ such that ( $I+$ $+t T)(U) \neq 0$ for every $t \in[0,1]$ and every $U \in Y$ with $\|U\|_{Y}=R$, where $I$ denotes the identity mapping on $Y$. Now, let $R>0$ be such that

$$
\alpha-\beta-\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left\|F_{i}(0)\right\|_{Z}^{2} / R}>0 .
$$

Such an $R$ exists aince $\alpha-\beta>0$ by assumption. We assert that $(I+t T)(U) \neq 0$ for every $t \in[0,1]$ and every $U$ in $Y$ with $\|U\|_{Y}=R$. This is obvious for $t=0$. For $t>0$, suppose on the contrary that there is a $U=$ $=\left[u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right] \in Y$ with $\|U\|_{Y}=R$ in $Y$ such that
$(I+t T)(U)=\left[u_{1}+t K_{1} F_{1} u_{1} \ldots, u_{n}+t K_{n} P_{n} u\right]=0$ where $u=$ $=\sum_{i=1}^{m} u_{i}$. This, then gives that

$$
0=\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(F_{i} u, u_{i}\right)+t \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(F_{i} u, K_{i} F_{i} u\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \geq t \propto \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left\|K_{i} F_{i} u\right\|_{X}^{2}-\beta \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|u_{i}\right\|_{X}^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(B_{i}(0), \dot{u}_{i}\right) \\
& \geq\left(\alpha-\beta-\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|F_{i}(0)\right\|_{X}^{2}} / R\right) R^{2}>0
\end{aligned}
$$

a contradiction. Hence $(I+t T)(U) \neq 0$ for every $t \in[0,1]$ and every $U \in Y$ with $\|U\|_{Y}=R$ and so there exists a $U=$ $=\left[u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right] \in Y$ such that
$0=(I+T)(U)=\left[u_{1}+K_{1} F_{1} u, \ldots, u_{n}+K_{n} F_{n} u\right]$ where $u=\sum_{i=1}^{m} u_{i}$.
It is then immediate that there is a $u$ in $X$ such that $u+$ $+\sum_{i=1}^{m} K_{i} F_{i} u=0$. Hence the Theorem.

Remark 3: Theorem 2 above generalizes and also simplifies the main result of [8] since our condition ( $\left.w, K_{i} w\right) \geq$ $\geq \propto\left\|K_{i} w\right\|_{X}^{2}$ is a proper weakening of the condition of angleboundedness even for compact mappings (see [7]).

Remark 4: If we replace condition (3) in Theorem 2 by the condition
(3)

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(F_{i} u, u_{1}\right) \geq-\beta \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|u_{i}\right\|_{x}^{2}
$$

we can then assume that $\beta \leqslant \alpha$ instead of $\beta<\alpha$. With this observation Theorem 2 generalizes Theorem 2.1 of [4] in the case of compact $K_{i}$ 's when $n>1$.

Theorem 3. Let $\Lambda$ be a measure space with a finite measure $d \xi$. Let us suppose that we are given measurable families of compact mbnotone linear mappings $\left\{K_{\infty}: \propto \in \Lambda\right\}$
from $X^{*}$ into $X$ and of bounded demi-continuous (nonlinear) mappings $\left\{F_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Lambda\right\}$ from $X$ into $X^{*}$. Suppose that there exist a constant $k$ such that $\left\|K_{\propto}\right\| \leq k$ for $\propto \in \Lambda$ and that for each $u \in X,\left\|F_{\infty}(u)\right\|_{X *}$ is essentially-bounded on $\Lambda$. Let $R$ be the mapping of $L^{2}(\Lambda, X)$ into $X$ given by

$$
R(u)=\int_{\Lambda} u(\alpha) d \xi(\alpha)
$$

Suppose further that there exists an $r>0$ such that for elements $u=\{u(\propto)\}_{\propto \in \Lambda}$ in $L^{2}(\Lambda, X)$ with

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Lambda}\|u(\propto)\|_{X}^{2} d \xi(\propto)= & r^{2} \text { we have } \\
& \int_{\Lambda}\left(F_{\propto}(R(u)), u(\propto)\right) d \xi(\propto) \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the mapping $T: X \rightarrow X$ defined $b y$

$$
T u=\int_{\Lambda} K_{\propto}\left(F_{\propto}(u)\right) d \xi(\propto)
$$

for $u \in X$ is such that the equation $u+T u=0$ has at least one solution $u$ in $X$.

Theorem 4e Let $\Lambda$ be a measure space with a finite measure $d \xi$. Let us suppose that we are given a measurable family $\left\{K_{\alpha}: \propto \in \Lambda\right\}$ of compact linear mappings from $X^{*}$ into $X$ such that there exist a constant $c>0$ such that $\left(w, K_{\propto} w\right) \geq c\left\|K_{\alpha} w\right\|_{X}^{2}$ for every $w \in X^{*}$ and $\propto \in \Lambda$. Let $\left\{F_{\alpha}: \propto \in \Lambda\right\}$ be a corresponding measurable family of bounded demicontinuous (nonlinear) mappings from $X$ into $X^{*}$ such that for each $u \in X,\left\|F_{\infty} u\right\|_{X *}$ is essentially bounded on $\Lambda$. Let $R$ be the mapping of $L^{2}(\Lambda, X)$ into $X$ given by

$$
R(u)=\int_{\Lambda} u(\propto) d \xi(\alpha)
$$

Suppose that there exist a constant $d>0$ with $c>d$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Lambda}\left(F_{\alpha}(R(u)), u(\alpha)\right) d \xi(\propto) \geq & -d \int_{\Lambda}\|u(\alpha)\|_{X}^{2} d \xi(\propto)+ \\
& +\int_{\Lambda}\left(F_{\alpha}(0), u(\alpha)\right) d \xi(\alpha)
\end{aligned}
$$

for each $u=\{u(\alpha)\}$ in $L^{2}(\Lambda, X)$.
Then the mapping $T: X \rightarrow X$ defined by

$$
T u=\int_{\Lambda} K_{\propto}\left(F_{\propto}(u)\right) d \xi(\propto)
$$

for $u \in X$ has the property that the equation $u+T u=0$ has at least one solution $u$ in $X$.

We omit the proofs of Theorems 3 and 4 as they are analogous to the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 with obvious modifications.

Remark 5: Theorem 3 and 4 generalize Theorem 5.2 of [4] when the mappings $K_{\infty}$ 's are compact. Also we do not need the measurability considerations as in Theorem 5.2 of [4].
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