

Karel Svoboda

Remark on surfaces in  $E^4$  satisfying certain relations between covariant derivatives of the mean and Gauss curvatures

*Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae*, Vol. 19 (1978), No. 4, 619--626

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/105879>

## Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1978

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

19,4 (1978)

REMARK ON SURFACES IN  $E^4$  SATISFYING CERTAIN RELATIONS  
BETWEEN COVARIANT DERIVATIVES OF THE MEAN AND GAUSS  
CURVATURES

Karel SVOBODA, Brno

Abstract: We show under which conditions surfaces with constant mean or Gauss curvature are, globally, a part of a 2-dimensional sphere in  $E^4$ .

Key words: Surface, mean and Gauss curvatures, sphere.

AMS: 53C45

This contribution gives several results concerning the global characterization of the 2-dimensional sphere among surfaces in  $E^4$ , under the supposition that at least one of the curvatures  $H$  and  $K$  is constant.

Let  $M$  be a surface in  $E^4$  and  $\partial M$  its boundary. Let  $\{U_\alpha\}$  be an open covering of  $M$  such that in each domain  $U_\alpha$ , there is a field of orthonormal frames  $\{M; v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}$  with  $v_1, v_2 \in T(M)$ ,  $v_3, v_4 \in N(M)$  where  $T(M)$ ,  $N(M)$  denote the tangent and normal bundle of  $M$ , respectively. Then

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} dM &= \omega^1 v_1 + \omega^2 v_2, \\ dv_1 &= \omega_1^2 v_2 + \omega_1^3 v_3 + \omega_1^4 v_4, \\ dv_2 &= -\omega_1^2 v_1 + \omega_2^3 v_3 + \omega_2^4 v_4, \\ dv_3 &= -\omega_1^3 v_1 - \omega_2^3 v_2 + \omega_3^4 v_4, \\ dv_4 &= -\omega_1^4 v_1 - \omega_2^4 v_2 - \omega_3^4 v_3 \quad ; \end{aligned}$$

$$(2) \quad d\omega^i = \omega^k \wedge \omega_k^i, \quad d\omega_i^j = \omega_i^k \wedge \omega_k^j, \quad \omega_i^j + \omega_j^i = 0$$

$$(i, j, k = 1, 2, 3, 4),$$

$$\omega^3 = \omega^4 = 0.$$

Differentiating the last equation of (2) and applying the Cartan's lemma, we get the existence of real-valued functions  $a_i, b_i, c_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) on each  $U_\alpha$  such that

$$(3) \quad \omega_1^3 = a_1 \omega^1 + b_1 \omega^2, \quad \omega_2^3 = b_1 \omega^1 + c_1 \omega^2,$$

$$\omega_1^4 = a_2 \omega^1 + b_2 \omega^2, \quad \omega_2^4 = b_2 \omega^1 + c_2 \omega^2.$$

As always, denote

$$(4) \quad H = (a_1 + c_1)^2 + (a_2 + c_2)^2,$$

$$K = a_1 c_1 - b_1^2 + a_2 c_2 - b_2^2$$

the mean and Gauss curvature of  $M$ , respectively.

Let  $F$  be a real function on  $M$ . According to [1], p. 16, we define its covariant derivatives  $F_i, F_{ij} = F_{ji}$  ( $i, j = 1, 2$ ) with respect to the given field of orthonormal frames over  $U_\alpha$  by

$$(5) \quad dF = F_1 \omega^1 + F_2 \omega^2,$$

$$dF_1 - F_2 \omega_1^2 = F_{11} \omega^1 + F_{12} \omega^2, \quad dF_2 + F_1 \omega_1^2 = F_{21} \omega^1 + F_{22} \omega^2.$$

The proof of the following theorem is based on the maximum principle of this form:

Let  $M$  be a surface in  $E^4$  and  $\partial M$  its boundary. Let  $F$  be a real-valued function on  $M$  and  $F_i, F_{ij}$  ( $i, j = 1, 2$ ) its covariant derivatives. Let  $F \geq 0$  on  $M$ ,  $F = 0$  on  $\partial M$ ,  $F$  satisfy in  $U_\alpha$  the equation

$a_{11}F_{11} + 2a_{12}F_{12} + a_{22}F_{22} + a_1F_1 + a_2F_2 + a_0F = a$   
 where  $a_0 \leq 0$ ,  $a \geq 0$  and the quadratic form  $a_{ij}x^i x^j$  is positive definite. Then  $F = 0$  on  $M$ .

Now, we are going to prove this

Theorem 1. Let  $M$  be a surface in  $E^4$  and  $\partial M$  its boundary. Let  $H_{ij}, K_{ij}$  ( $i, j, = 1, 2$ ) be covariant derivatives of  $H, K$ , respectively. Let

(i)  $\partial M$  consist of umbilical points;

(ii)  $H_{11} + H_{22} - 4(K_{11} + K_{22}) \geq 0$  on  $M$ .

Then  $M$  is a part of a 2-dimensional sphere in  $E^4$ .

Proof. Consider the function

$$(6) \quad f = H - 4K = (a_1 - c_1)^2 + (a_2 - c_2)^2 + 4b_1^2 + 4b_2^2$$

which is non-negative on  $M$  and equals to zero at the umbilical points  $(a_1 = c_1, a_2 = c_2, b_1 = 0, b_2 = 0)$  of  $M$ . From (5) and (6) we have immediately

$$(7) \quad f_{ij} = H_{ij} - 4K_{ij} \quad (i, j = 1, 2)$$

and hence

$$f_{11} + f_{22} = H_{11} + H_{22} - 4(K_{11} + K_{22}).$$

Using (ii) and applying the maximum principle we obtain  $f = 0$  on  $M$ .

The following results are direct consequences of the Theorem 1:

Corollary. Let  $M$  be a surface in  $E^4$ ,  $\partial M$  its boundary.

Let

(i)  $\partial M$  consist of umbilical points;

and let be satisfied one of these conditions:

- (ii)  $H = \text{const}$  , and  $K_{11} + K_{22} \neq 0$  on  $M$ ;
- (iii)  $K = \text{const}$  , and  $H_{11} + H_{22} \geq 0$  on  $M$ ;
- (iv)  $H = \text{const}$  ,  $K = \text{const}$  on  $M$ .

Then  $M$  is a part of a 2-dimensional sphere in  $E^4$ .

Next, we are going to prove a generalization of the preceding Theorem 1.

Theorem 2. Let  $M$  be a surface in  $E^4$  and  $\partial M$  its boundary. Let  $S$  be a positive definite symmetric quadratic tensor field on  $M$  with components  $S_{ij}$  ( $i, j = 1, 2$ ). Let

- (i)  $\partial M$  consist of umbilical points;
- (ii)  $S_{11}H_{11} + 2S_{12}H_{12} + S_{22}H_{22} - 4(S_{11}K_{11} + 2S_{12}K_{12} + S_{22}K_{22}) \geq 0$  on  $M$ .

Then  $M$  is a part of a 2-dimensional sphere in  $E^4$ .

Proof. Because of (7), we have

$$S_{11}f_{11} + 2S_{12}f_{12} + S_{22}f_{22} = S_{11}H_{11} + 2S_{12}H_{12} + S_{22}H_{22} - 4(S_{11}K_{11} + 2S_{12}K_{12} + S_{22}K_{22})$$

and the assertion follows immediately by means of the maximum principle.

Remark. In what follows, we shall show that the result of the Theorem 2 contains the most general condition expressed by means of the covariant derivatives of the functions  $H$ ,  $K$ , which enables to prove, using the maximum principle, that the given surface is a part of a sphere in  $E^4$ .

According to [3], we have

$$(8) \quad f_{11} = 2(a_1 - c_1)(A_1 - C_1) + 2(a_2 - c_2)(A_2 - C_2) + 8(b_1B_1 + b_2B_2) + 2(\alpha_1 - \gamma_1)^2 + 2(\alpha_2 - \gamma_2)^2 +$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + 8(\beta_1^2 + \beta_2^2) - [k + 4(a_1 b_2 - b_1 a_2)] k - \\
& - 2[(a_1 - c_1)c_1 + (a_2 - c_2)c_2 - 4(b_1^2 + b_2^2)] K, \\
f_{12} = & 2(a_1 - c_1)(B_1 - D_1) + 2(a_2 - c_2)(B_2 - D_2) + \\
& + 8(b_1 C_1 + b_2 C_2) + 2(\alpha_1 - \gamma_1)(\beta_1 - \sigma_1) + 2(\alpha_2 - \gamma_2) \cdot \\
& \cdot (\beta_2 - \sigma_2) + 8(\beta_1 \gamma_1 + \beta_2 \gamma_2) + 4[(a_1 + c_1)b_1 + \\
& + (a_2 + c_2)b_2] K, \\
f_{22} = & 2(a_1 - c_1)(C_1 - E_1) + 2(a_2 - c_2)(C_2 - E_2) + \\
& + 8(b_1 D_1 + b_2 D_2) + 2(\beta_1 - \sigma_1)^2 + 2(\beta_2 - \sigma_2)^2 + \\
& + 8(\gamma_1^2 + \gamma_2^2) - [k + 4(b_1 c_2 - c_1 b_2)] k + \\
& + 2[(a_1 - c_1)a_1 + (a_2 - c_2)a_2 + 4(b_1^2 + b_2^2)] K; \\
H_{11} = & 2(a_1 + c_1)(A_1 + C_1) + 2(a_2 + c_2)(A_2 + C_2) + \\
& + 2(\alpha_1 + \gamma_1)^2 + 2(\alpha_2 + \gamma_2)^2 + [(a_1 + c_1)b_2 - \\
& - (a_2 + c_2)b_1] k + 2[(a_1 + c_1)c_1 + (a_2 + c_2)c_2] K \\
H_{12} = & 2(a_1 + c_1)(B_1 + D_1) + 2(a_2 + c_2)(B_2 + D_2) + \\
& + 2(\alpha_1 + \gamma_1)(\beta_1 + \sigma_1) + 2(\alpha_2 + \gamma_2)(\beta_2 + \sigma_2), \\
H_{22} = & 2(a_1 + c_1)(C_1 + E_1) + 2(a_2 + c_2)(C_2 + E_2) + \\
& + 2(\beta_1 + \sigma_1)^2 + 2(\beta_2 + \sigma_2)^2 - [(a_1 + c_1)b_2 - \\
& - (a_2 + c_2)b_1] k + 2[(a_1 + c_1)a_1 + (a_2 + c_2)a_2] K; \\
K_{11} = & (c_1 A_1 - 2b_1 B_1 + a_1 C_1) + (c_2 A_2 - 2b_2 B_2 + a_2 C_2) + \\
& + 2(\alpha_1 \gamma_1 - \beta_1^2) + 2(\alpha_2 \gamma_2 - \beta_2^2) + \frac{3}{2}(a_1 b_2 - b_1 a_2) k + \\
& + [(a_1 c_1 - 2b_1^2) + (a_2 c_2 - 2b_2^2)] K, \\
K_{12} = & (c_1 B_1 - 2b_1 C_1 + a_1 D_1) + (c_2 B_2 - 2b_2 C_2 + a_2 D_2) + \\
& + (\alpha_1 \sigma_1 - \beta_1 \gamma_1) + (\alpha_2 \sigma_2 - \beta_2 \gamma_2) -
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - [(a_1 + c_1)b_1 + (a_2 + c_2)b_2] k, \\
K_{22} = & (c_1 C_1 - 2b_1 D_1 + a_1 E_1) + (c_2 C_2 - 2b_2 D_2 + a_2 E_2) + \\
& + 2(\beta_1 \sigma_1 - \gamma_1^2) + 2(\beta_2 \sigma_2 - \gamma_2^2) + \frac{3}{2}(b_1 c_2 - c_1 b_2) k + \\
& + [(a_1 c_1 - 2b_1^2) + (a_2 c_2 - 2b_2^2)] k;
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$k = (a_1 - c_1)b_2 - (a_2 - c_2)b_1,$$

the functions  $\alpha_i, \dots, \sigma_i, A_i, \dots, E_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) being determined by the prolongation procedure of the system (3). For being possible to use the maximum principle, we must be able to determine the functions  $S_{ij}, x_{ij}, y_{ij}$  in such a way that the equation

$$\begin{aligned}
(9) \quad S_{11} f_{11} + 2S_{12} f_{12} + S_{22} f_{22} = & x_{11} H_{11} + 2x_{12} H_{12} + x_{22} H_{22} \\
& + 2(y_{11} K_{11} + 2y_{12} K_{12} + y_{22} K_{22}) + \phi
\end{aligned}$$

would not contain  $A_i, \dots, E_i$ . Inserting (8) into (9), we obtain the system of equations

$$\begin{aligned}
(a_1 + c_1)x_{11} + c_1 y_{11} &= (a_1 - c_1)S_{11}, \\
(a_1 + c_1)x_{12} - b_1 y_{11} + c_1 y_{12} &= 2b_1 S_{11} + (a_1 - c_1)S_{12}, \\
(a_1 + c_1)x_{11} + (a_1 + c_1)x_{22} + a_1 y_{11} - 4b_1 y_{12} + c_1 y_{22} &= \\
&= - (a_1 - c_1)S_{11} + 8b_1 S_{12} + (a_1 - c_1)S_{22}, \\
(a_1 + c_1)x_{12} + a_1 y_{12} - b_1 y_{22} &= - (a_1 - c_1)S_{12} + 2b_1 S_{22}, \\
(a_1 + c_1)x_{22} + a_1 y_{22} &= - (a_1 - c_1)S_{22}; \\
(a_2 + c_2)x_{11} + c_2 y_{11} &= (a_2 - c_2)S_{11}, \\
(a_2 + c_2)x_{12} - b_2 y_{11} + c_2 y_{12} &= 2b_2 S_{11} + (a_2 - c_2)S_{12}, \\
(a_2 + c_2)x_{11} + (a_2 + c_2)x_{22} + a_2 y_{11} - 4b_2 y_{12} + c_2 y_{22} &= \\
&= - (a_2 - c_2)S_{11} + 8b_2 S_{12} + (a_2 - c_2)S_{22},
\end{aligned}$$

$$(a_2 + c_2)x_{12} + a_2y_{12} - b_2y_{22} = - (a_2 - c_2)S_{12} + 2b_2S_{22},$$

$$(a_2 + c_2)x_{22} + a_2y_{22} = - (a_2 - c_2)S_{22}.$$

Hence

$$(y_{11} + 2S_{11}) : (y_{12} + 2S_{12}) : (y_{22} + 2S_{22}) = 1 : 0 : 1$$

so that

$$y_{11} = - 2S_{11} + \lambda, \quad y_{12} = - 2S_{12}, \quad y_{22} = - 2S_{22} + \lambda$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (a_1 + c_1)x_{11} &= (a_1 + c_1)S_{11} - c_1\lambda, & (a_2 + c_2)x_{11} &= \\ & & &= (a_2 + c_2)S_{11} - c_2\lambda, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (a_1 + c_1)x_{12} &= (a_1 + c_1)S_{12} + b_1\lambda, & (a_2 + c_2)x_{12} &= \\ & & &= (a_2 + c_2)S_{12} + b_2\lambda, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (a_1 + c_1)x_{22} &= (a_1 + c_1)S_{22} - a_1\lambda, & (a_2 + c_2)x_{22} &= \\ & & &= (a_2 + c_2)S_{22} - a_2\lambda, \end{aligned}$$

the function  $\lambda$  satisfying the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} (a_1c_2 - c_1a_2)\lambda &= 0, \\ [(a_1b_2 - b_1a_2) - (b_1c_2 - c_1b_2)]\lambda &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

From the last two equations it follows that these two cases are possible:

1.  $\lambda \neq 0$ . Then  $a_1c_2 - c_1a_2 = 0$ ,  $(a_1b_2 - b_1a_2) - (b_1c_2 - c_1b_2) = 0$

which means that  $M \in E^3$ , see [2]. This case is not considered in this contribution.

2.  $\lambda = 0$ . In this case

$$x_{11} = S_{11}, \quad x_{12} = S_{12}, \quad x_{22} = S_{22},$$

$$y_{11} = -2S_{11}, \quad y_{12} = -2S_{12}, \quad y_{22} = -2S_{22}$$



and, according to (8),  $\Phi = 0$ . This yields our assertion.

#### R e f e r e n c e s

- [1] A. ŠVEC: Contributions to the global differential geometry of surfaces, Rozpravy ČSAV 1, 87(1977), 1 - 94.
- [2] J. BUREŠ: Some remarks on surfaces in the 4-dimensional Euclidean space, Czech. Math. Journal 25 (100)(1975), 480-490.
- [3] K. SVOBODA: Several new characterizations of the 2-dimensional sphere in  $E^4$ , Czech. Math. Journal - to appear.

Katedra matematiky FS VUT

Gorkého 13

602 00 Brno

Československo

(Oblatum 10.2. 1978)