

Robert E. Atalla

Measure theoretic behavior of closed sets

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 19 (1978), No. 4, 697--703

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/105885>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1978

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

MEASURE THEORETIC BEHAVIOR OF CLOSED SETS

Robert E. ATALIA, Tempe

Abstract: In this paper we introduce the idea of a P_1 -set. A closed set P is a P_1 -set if for any positive regular Borel measure m , $F \cap \text{support}(m) \neq \emptyset$ implies $m(F) > 0$. Every P -set is a P_1 -set, but it is unknown whether every P_1 -set is a P -set.

Key words: P -set, P_1 -set, P -point, Borel measure, extremely disconnected space.

AMS: 54G05

1. P_1 -sets. Throughout, X will be a compact T^2 space. If $m \in M^+$, where M^+ is the set of positive regular Borel measures on X , then $S = S(m)$ is the closed support set of m . We recall that a closed set F is a P -set if its neighborhood system is closed under countable intersections. P -sets have the following interesting property: if $m \in M^+$, then $S \cap F \neq \emptyset$ implies $m(F) > 0$. Let us call a closed set having this property a P_1 -set. In this section we give a number of equivalent characterizations of P_1 -sets, and a result on compact spaces with the property that the closure of a cozero set is always a P_1 -set. This generalizes the corresponding result of Seever [S] for F -spaces (where the closure of a cozero-set is always a P -set).

We do not know if there exist P_1 -sets which are not

P-sets. We show in section 2 that if they exist anywhere, they can "usually" be embedded in $\beta N \setminus N$.

Theorem 1. For a closed set F , the following are equivalent: (1) F is a P_1 -set, (2) for all $m \in M^+$, $S \cap F$ is clopen in S , (3) for all $m \in M^+$, $S \cap F$ is either empty or a P'' -set, i.e., $S \cap F \subset Z$ (zero set) implies $\text{int}_S(Z \cap S) \neq \emptyset$, (4) for all $m \in M^+$, $\text{support}(m_F) = \text{support}(m) \cap F$, (5) for all $m \in M^+$ and all open V , $V \cap F \cap S \neq \emptyset$ implies $m(V \cap F) > 0$, (6) if $S = \bigcup S_n$, where the S_n are support sets, then $(\text{cl}_X S) \cap F = \text{cl}_F(S \cap F)$.

Proof. The pattern will be $1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 1$, and $6 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 6$.

(1) implies (2). Let $V = S \setminus (F \cap S)$, an open set in S . Let $n = m_V$, i.e., n is the regular Borel measure defined by $n(A) = m(A \cap V)$. Then $n(F) = 0$. Now $\text{support}(n) = \text{cl}_S V$. [For if $x \in \text{cl}_S V$ and W is an S -open neighborhood of x , then $W \cap V \neq \emptyset$, and hence $n(W) = m(W \cap V) > 0$.] By (1), $F \cap \text{cl}_S V = \emptyset$. Thus $F \cap S$ is open, as well as closed, in S .

(2) implies (3). Obvious.

(3) implies (1). Suppose $S \cap F \neq \emptyset$, while $m(F) = 0$. By regularity there exists a descending sequence of S -open sets V_n with $F \cap S \subset V_n$ and $m(V_n) \rightarrow 0$. Since F is closed we may assume $\text{cl} V_{n+1} \subset V_n$. Then $m(\bigcap V_n) = 0$, whence $\text{int}_S(\bigcap V_n) = \emptyset$, contrary to the assumption that $F \cap S$ is a P'' -set.

(6) implies (1). Suppose (1) fails, so that for some $S = S(m)$ we have $F \cap S \neq \emptyset$, but $m(F) = 0$. Let A_n be an ascending sequence of compact subsets of $S \setminus F$ such that $m(A_n) \rightarrow m(S)$. Define measures m_n by the formula $m_n(B) = m(B \cap A_n)$. Then $S_n = \text{support}(m_n) \subset A_n$. Let $T = \bigcup S_n$. Then

$\text{cl}_{\mathbb{F}}(T \cap F) = \text{cl}_{\mathbb{F}}(\emptyset) = \emptyset$. However, $\text{cl}_X T = \text{cl}_X S_n = S$, where $S = \text{support } (m)$. [For if not, then there is an open set V such that $m(V) = t > 0$, whence $m(A_n) < m(S) - t$, contrary to $m(A_n) \rightarrow m(S)$.] Thus, $(\text{cl}_X T) \cap F = S \cap F \neq \emptyset$, while $\text{cl}_{\mathbb{F}}(T \cap F) = \emptyset$. Hence (6) fails.

(1) implies (5). If (5) fails, then there is an open V such that $m(V \cap F) = 0$, while $V \cap F \cap S \neq \emptyset$. Let $n = m_V$. Then $\text{support } (n) \supset V \cap S$; so (1) fails, since $F \cap \text{support } (n) \neq \emptyset$, while $n(F) = 0$.

(5) implies (4). Clearly, the left side of the formula in (4) is contained in the right side. For the reverse inclusion, let $x \in \text{support } (m) \cap F$. If V is a neighborhood of x , then $m_{\mathbb{F}}(V) = m(V \cap F) > 0$, and hence $x \in \text{support } (m_{\mathbb{F}})$.

(4) implies (5). If $V \cap F \cap S \neq \emptyset$, let x be in this set. Then $x \in \text{support } (m_{\mathbb{F}})$, so $0 < m_{\mathbb{F}}(V) = m(V \cap F)$.

(5) implies (6). Clearly, $\text{cl}_{\mathbb{F}}(S \cap F) \subset (\text{cl}_X S) \cap F$. For the reverse inclusion, suppose $x \notin \text{cl}_{\mathbb{F}}(S \cap F)$. Then there is an open V containing x such that $V \cap (S \cap F) = \emptyset$. Then $V \cap (S_n \cap F) = \emptyset$ for all n , whence $m(V \cap F) = 0$, where

$$m = \sum 2^{-n} \|m_n\|^{-1} m_n.$$

By (5), $\emptyset = V \cap F \cap \text{support } (m) = V \cap F \cap \text{cl}_X S$. Since $x \in V$, it follows that $x \notin F \cap \text{cl}_X S$.

Remark. If in condition (6) we allow the S_n to be arbitrary compact sets, we get a characterization of P-sets. We leave details to the reader.

Theorem 2. Let X be a compact T^2 space such that the closure of a cozero set is always a P_1 -set. Then any support set $S = S(m)$ is extremally disconnected in its subspace to-

pology.

Proof. It suffices to prove S is an F -space, since an F -space with countable chain condition is extremally disconnected. Let A_0 and B_0 be disjoint cozero sets in S . As in [Sem, page 432], we may write $A_0 = \{x: f(x) > 0\}$ and $B_0 = \{x: f(x) < 0\}$ for some $f \in C(S)$. Let g be any element of $C(X)$ which extends f . If $A = \{x: g(x) > 0\}$ and $B = \{x: g(x) < 0\}$, then $A_0 = A \cap S$ and $B_0 = B \cap S$. Define a measure $\mu = \mu_A$. Then $\text{support}(\mu) = \text{cl}_S A_0$. Let $F = \text{cl}_X B$. Since $F \cap A_0 = \emptyset$, we have $\mu(F) = 0$. Since F is a P_1 -set, it follows that $\emptyset = F \cap \text{Support}(\mu) = \text{cl}_X B \cap \text{cl}_S A_0 \supseteq \text{cl}_S B_0 \cap \text{cl}_S A_0$. Thus, disjoint cozero sets in S have disjoint closures, i.e., S is an F -space.

Corollary. If X is as in the theorem, then $C(X)$ is a Grothendieck space.

Proof. The proof of theorem 2.2 of [S] shows that if every support set is extremally disconnected, then $C(X)$ is a G -space.

Remark. In [I-S] it is asked what sorts of Borel sets have the "Grothendieck property", i.e., how to characterize sets such that if μ_n and μ are Borel measures with $\mu_n \rightarrow \mu$ weak-*, then $\mu_n(F) \rightarrow \mu(F)$. P_1 -sets satisfy the somewhat stronger conclusion that $\mu_n|_F \rightarrow \mu|_F$ weak-*. In fact, in the last assertion, sequences may be replaced by countable nets. (Perhaps this is a property which characterizes P_1 -sets among the closed sets.)

2. The existence problem. We do not know whether the-

re exist P_1 -sets which are not P -sets, but theorem 3 below may be helpful in this respect. The special case of P_1 -points merits special interest. A P_1 -point is one which does not belong to the support of any element of M^* such that $m(p) = 0$. In [K] it is shown that in $\beta N \setminus N$ there exist points which are not P -points, and which are not points of accumulation of any countable subset. Let us call these P_2 -points. It is easy to see that P -points $\subset P_1$ -points $\subset P_2$ -points. Assuming CH, at least one of these inclusions is proper in the $\beta N \setminus N$ case, but it is not known which.

Lemma 1. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be continuous, where X and Y are compact T^2 . If K is a P_1 -set in Y , then $f^{-1}(K)$ is a P_1 -set in X .

Proof. Let m be a positive regular Borel measure on X , and suppose $m(f^{-1}K) = 0$. Define a positive regular Borel measure m_0 on Y by $m_0(A) = m(f^{-1}A)$. Then $m_0(K) = 0$, so $K \cap \text{support}(m_0) = \emptyset$, since K is a P_1 -set. If $V = Y \setminus \text{support}(m_0)$, then V is an open set with $K \subset V$ and $m_0(V) = 0$. Now $f^{-1}K \subset f^{-1}V$, where $f^{-1}V$ is open, and $m(f^{-1}V) = m_0(V) = 0$. Thus, $f^{-1}K \cap \text{support}(m) = \emptyset$, so $f^{-1}K$ is a P_1 -set.

Lemma 2 [V, theorem 8]. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be continuous and onto, and K be a closed subset of Y . If $f^{-1}K$ is a P -set, then K is a P -set. (The converse also holds, will not be needed here.)

The rather easy proof, which is omitted in [V], is left as an exercise.

Theorem 3. [CH] Let X be a compact T^2 space such that

the cardinality of the open sets is c . If X contains a P_1 -set which is not a P -set, then $\beta N \setminus N$ contains a P_1 -set which is not a P -set.

Proof. Let K be such a set in X . If $E(X)$ is the Gleason space of X and f the Gleason map, then $L = f^{-1}K$ is a P_1 -set in $E(X)$, by lemma 1. It is shown in [K] that under CH an extremally disconnected space with c open sets can be embedded as a P -set in $\beta N \setminus N$. By lemma 2, L is not a P -set in $E(X)$, and it is easy to check that it is not a P -set in $\beta N \setminus N$ either. To show that L is a P_1 -set in $\beta N \setminus N$, let m be a positive regular Borel measure on $\beta N \setminus N$ with support set S , and suppose $S \cap L \neq \emptyset$. Let $n = m_{E(X)}$. Since $E(X)$ is a P -set (hence also a P_1 -set) in $\beta N \setminus N$, $n > 0$ and condition (4) of theorem 1 implies that $\text{support}(n) = S \cap E(X)$. n defines a regular positive Borel measure on $E(X)$. Since $\text{support}(n) \cap L \neq \emptyset$ and L is a P_1 -set in $E(X)$, we have $m(L) = n(L) > 0$. Hence L is a P_1 -set in $\beta N \setminus N$.

R e f e r e n c e s

- [I-S] J. ISBELL and Z. SEMADENI: Projection constants and spaces of continuous functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 107(1963), 38-48.
- [S] G. SEEVER: Measures in F -spaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 133(1968), 267-280.
- [Sem] Z. SEMADENI: Banach spaces of continuous functions, vol. I, PWN, Warsaw, 1971.
- [V] A. VEKSLER and Z. DIKANOVA: P -sets in bicomacta, Geometry and Topology 2(1974), 46-58. (In Russian.)
- [K] K. KUNEN: Points in βN , Math. Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Soc. 80(1976), 385-398.

Department of Mathematics
Arizona State University
Tempe, Arizona 85281
U.S.A.

(Oblatum 9.6. 1978)