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# HIGHER ORDER NONLINEAR PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS IN UNBOUNDED DOMAINS OF Rn <br> Daniela GIACHETTI, Elvira MASCOLO, Rosanna SCHIANCHI 

Abstract: - The Dirichlet problem for a certain nonlinear partial differential equation on an unbounded domain is studied. The existence of a weak solution is proved by means of the theory of monotone operators.
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Introduction. Our purpose in the present short paper is to describe an application of some general techniques in nonlinear functional analysis to the study of a class of higher order nonlinear boundary value problems.

We consider the problem in $\Omega$
(1) $\left\{\begin{array}{l}A u=\sum_{|\alpha| \leq m}(-1)^{|\alpha|} \partial^{\infty} a_{\infty}\left(x, u, \ldots, \exists^{m} u\right)+f(u)=0, \\ u \quad \cup_{s}^{m, p}(\Omega),\end{array}\right.$ where:
(i) $\Omega$ is an unbounded open set in $R^{n}$ with the cone property;
(ii) for each $\alpha \in N_{0}^{n},|\alpha| \leq m$
$a_{\alpha}\left(x, u, \ldots, \partial^{m} u\right)=a_{\alpha}^{(1)}\left(x, u, \ldots, \partial^{m} u\right)+a_{\alpha}^{(2)}\left(x, u, \ldots, \partial^{m-1} u\right)$,
satisfies the Carathéodory conditions and some Nemytskii hy-
potheses on polynomial growth (assumption $K_{1}$ in Sec. 2);
(iii) $f(u)$ is a nonlinear perturbation whose behaviour is described by a suitable hypothesis (assumption $K_{5}$ in Sec.2); (iv) $\mathrm{U}_{8}^{\mathrm{m}}, \mathrm{P}(\Omega)$ are weighted Sobolev spaces defined as the completion of $D(\Omega)$ with respect to the norm

$$
\|u\|=\left(\sum_{|\alpha|^{*} m} \int_{Q} \rho \underset{(x)}{\infty p}\left|\theta^{\infty} u(x)\right|^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p}
$$

where $\rho(x)$ is a continuous function such that inf $\rho(x)>0$ and $\rho(x)-+\infty$ as $|x| \rightarrow+\infty$, satisfying assumption $H_{1}$ in Sec. 1.

Many authors (see for instance [1], [4],[7],[13]) have studied similar problems, some in bounded open subsets of $\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{n}}$, others in unbounded ones. In both cases their existence theorems have been proved either by assuming coercivity (see, for instance, $[1],[13]$ ) or by giving a coercivity condition which involves all derivatives (assumption A in [7]). In order to get free from the hypothesis on the lower order derivatives, F. Browder, for example, imposed conditions upon the boundedness of the domain and the smoothness of its boundary to make the application of the Sobolev imbedding theorems possible.

Here, by assuming a coercivity condition depending only on the highest order derivatives (assumption $K_{3}$ in Sec. 2), we prove that there exists at least one solution of the problem (I) in $\mathrm{q}_{8}^{m}, \mathrm{p}(\Omega)$ with $s<-\frac{n}{p}, p \geq 2$.

The use of these spaces allows us to apply some continuous and compact imbedding theorems for unbounded domains which are proved in $[1],[2],[15]$, and to a pecify the asymptotic behaviour of the solution.

The proof of the existence theorem is obtained by using a well-known result of H. Brezis (see [5]) in the framework of the theory of monotone operators.

In Sec. 1 we recall the spaces $\stackrel{i}{U}_{8}^{m}, p(\Omega)$ and some related results.

In Sec. 2 we formulate the hypotheses of the existence. theorem and state the theorem itself, which is proved in Sec. 3.

Finally, Sec. 4 is devoted to an application of the above theorem to a problem of the following type:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Delta^{2} u-\Delta u+f_{1}(u)+f_{2}(x, u, \text { grad } u)=0 \text { in } \Omega, \\
u \in \dot{U}_{8}^{2}, 2(\Omega) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

1. Notations and preliminaries. Let $\Omega$ be an open set in $\mathbb{R}^{n}, n \geq 2$, with boundary $\partial \Omega$ and $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)$ an ordered n-tuple of non negative integers; we set:

$$
|\alpha|=\alpha_{1}+\ldots+\alpha_{n}, \quad \partial^{\alpha}=\partial_{x_{1}}^{\alpha_{i}} \ldots \partial_{x_{n}}^{\alpha_{n}}, x^{\alpha}=x_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \ldots x_{n}^{\alpha_{n}} \text { for } x \in \Omega
$$

and, if $|\alpha|=m, \partial^{\text {II }}=\partial^{\alpha}$.
Let $\rho(x)$ be a continuous function on $\Omega$ with $\inf _{\Omega} \rho(x)>$ $>0$ and such that $\rho(x) \rightarrow+\infty$ as $|x| \rightarrow+\infty$.

Definition 1.1. Let $k \in N, p \in[1,+\infty], s \in R$. We denote by $U_{s}^{k, P}(\Omega)$ the space of distributions $u$ on $\Omega$ such that

$$
\sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \int_{Q} \rho^{s p}(x)\left|\partial^{\infty} u(x)\right|^{p} d x<+\infty
$$

normed by
(1.1) $\|u\|_{k, 3, p}=\left[\sum_{i \alpha \mid \leq 1} \int_{\Omega} \rho^{s p}(x)\left|\partial^{\alpha} u(x)\right|^{p} d x\right]^{1 / p}$.

As usual, we set $U_{s}^{0, P}(\Omega)=I_{s}^{P}(\Omega)$ and $U_{8}^{k, 2}(\Omega)=U_{s}^{k}(\Omega)$.

Definition 1.2. Let $k \in N, p \in[1,+\infty[, s \in \mathbb{R}$. We denote by ${ }_{\mathrm{U}}^{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{k}, \mathrm{P}(\Omega)$ the completion of $D(\Omega)$ with respect to the norm (1.1).

Definition 1.3. Let $k \in N, p \in] 1,+\infty[, s \in \mathbb{R}$. We denote by $U_{s}^{-k}, P(\Omega)$ the space of distributions $u$ on $\Omega$ which are equal to a finite sum of derivatives or order $\leqslant k$ of functions belonging to $U_{s}^{0}, \mathrm{P}(\Omega)$ and normed by
$\|u\|_{-k, s, p}=\inf \left(\sum_{i \alpha \mid \leqslant k}\left\|g_{\alpha}\right\| \frac{p}{0, s, p}\right)^{1 / p}$,
where the infimum is taken over all representations of $u$ of the form $u=\sum_{|\alpha| \leq \sum_{k}} \partial^{\alpha} g_{\alpha}, g_{\alpha} \in U_{s}^{0, P}(\Omega)$.

We assume that:
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right) \rho \in \mathrm{C}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and for every $r \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}$ there exists a $c \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$such that

$$
\left|\partial^{\alpha} \rho^{r}(x)\right| \leqslant c(\rho(x))^{r} \text { for every } x \in \Omega .
$$

It is not difficult to prove that the function $\rho(x)=(1+$ $\left.+|x|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$ satisfies property $H_{1}$.

Under assumption $H_{l}$ and if $\Omega$ has the cone property, continuous and compact imbedding theorems have been proved in [1],[2],[15]; it is also proved that there is a topological isomorphism of $U_{-s}^{-k, p^{\prime}}(\Omega)$ onto the topological dual $\left(U_{s}^{k}, p(\Omega)\right)^{\prime}$ of the space $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{k}}, \mathrm{p}(\Omega)$.

To write nonlinear partial differential operators in a convenient form, we introduce the vector space $\mathbb{R}^{{ }^{1} k}$ whose elements are $\xi_{k}=\left\{\xi_{\infty} /|\alpha| \leq k\right\}$ and divide such $\xi_{k}$ into two parts $\xi_{k}=(\xi, \eta)$ where $\eta=\left\{\eta_{\beta}| | \beta \mid \leqslant k-1\right\}$ is the lower order part of $\xi_{k}$ and $\}=\left\{\xi_{\alpha} /|\alpha|=k\right\}$ is the part of $\xi_{k}$ corresponding to the $k$-th derivatives.

Let us now recall some definitions which will be useful
in the sequel. Let $U$ and $V$ be two Banach spaces; a map $f:$ $: U \longrightarrow V$ is called compact if it is continuous and maps bounded sets of $U$ into relatively compact sets of $V ; f$ is called (sequentially) completely continuous if it maps weakly convergent sequences into strong convergent ones. If $f$ is line-" $a r$ and $U$ is reflexive, compactness and complete continuity are equivalent properties. If $X$ is a topological vector space, $X^{\circ}$ denotes its topological dual and $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ the canonical pairing.
2. Assumptions and main result. We consider the following problem on $\Omega$
(2.1) $\left\{\begin{array}{l}E u=\sum_{|\alpha| \leqslant m}(-1)^{|\alpha|} \partial^{\alpha} a_{\alpha}\left(x, u, \ldots, \partial^{m} u\right)+P(u)=0, \\ u \in U_{s}^{m}, p(\Omega),\end{array}\right.$
where the functions $a_{\alpha}(x, \xi)=a_{\alpha}^{(1)}(x, \xi)+a_{\alpha}^{(2)}(x, \eta)$ satisfy the Carathéodory conditions and the following properties:
$\left(K_{1}\right) \quad\left|a_{\alpha}^{(1)}\left(x, \xi_{m}\right)\right| \leqslant g_{\infty}(x)+c_{1} \sum_{|\gamma| \leqslant m}\left|\xi_{\gamma}\right| p / p^{\prime}$,

$$
\left|a_{\infty}^{(2)}(x, \eta)\right| \leqslant h_{\infty}(x)+c_{2} \sum_{\mid \beta 1} \sum_{m-1}\left|\eta_{\beta}\right| p / p^{\prime}
$$

where $g_{\alpha}, h_{\alpha} \in U_{B}^{0}, p^{\prime}(\Omega)$ and $c_{1}, c_{2} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}, p \geq 2$.
We also assume that
$\left(K_{2}\right) \quad s<-n / p ;$
$\left(K_{3}\right)$ there exists a positive constant $c_{0}>0$ and $h(x) \in$ $\in U_{3}^{0}, P(\Omega)$ such that for all $x \in \Omega$ and for $\xi_{m}=(\xi, \eta) \in$ $\in \mathbb{R}^{8}{ }^{m}$

$$
\sum_{|\alpha|=m} a_{\alpha}^{(1)}\left(x, \xi_{m}\right) \xi_{\alpha} \geq c_{o}|\xi|^{p}-h(x) ;
$$

$\left(K_{4}\right)$ for each $x$ in $\Omega$ and each pair $\left(\xi_{m}, \xi_{m}^{\prime}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{8} \times \mathbb{R}^{s_{m}}$
the following inequality holds:

$$
\sum_{|\alpha| \leq m}\left[a_{\infty}^{(1)}\left(x, \xi_{m}\right)-a_{\infty}^{(1)}\left(x, \xi_{m}^{\prime}\right)\right]\left[\xi_{\infty}-\xi_{\infty}^{\prime}\right] \geq 0 ;
$$

$\left(K_{5}\right) f$ is an increasing function and $f(0)=0$; moreover

$$
|f(\lambda t)| \leqslant \gamma(\lambda)|f(t)|, \text { for every } t, \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \text { with } \gamma \text { : }
$$

$$
: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}
$$

$|f(t)| \geq c_{3}|t|^{p-1+\mu}, \mu>0$.
Definition 2.1. We say that $u$ is a solution of the pro-


We are now able to state the following theorem:
Theorem 2.1. Under assumptions $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{~K}_{1}-\mathrm{K}_{5}$, the problem (2.1) has at least one solution.
3. Proof of Theorem 2.1. The problem (2.1) is equivalent to the following one:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
E_{s} u=\rho^{s p}(x) E u=0  \tag{3.1}\\
u \in \dot{U}_{s}^{o m}, p(\Omega)
\end{array}\right.
$$

It is not difficult to prove that

$$
E_{s} u=A_{s}^{(1)}+B_{s} u+A_{s}^{(2)} u+\rho^{s p_{f}}(u),
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{s}^{(1)} u=\sum_{|\alpha| \leq m}(-1)^{|\alpha|} \partial^{\alpha}\left[\rho^{s p_{a}^{(\alpha)}}\left(x, \ldots, \partial^{m} u\right)\right] \\
& B_{s} u=-\sum_{|\alpha|=m}(-1)^{|\alpha|} \sum_{\beta<\alpha} c_{\alpha \beta^{\prime}} \partial^{\alpha-\beta} \rho^{s p} \partial^{\beta} a_{\alpha}^{(1)}\left(x, \ldots, \partial^{m} u\right) \\
& A_{a}^{(2)} u=\sum_{|\alpha|=m}(-1)^{|\alpha|} \rho^{s p} \partial^{\alpha} a^{(2)}\left(x, \ldots, \partial^{m-1} u\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 3.1. Under assumptions $K_{1}, K_{2}, K_{4}$ and $H_{1}$, the operator

$$
u \in \stackrel{\circ}{U}_{8}^{m}, p(\Omega) \longrightarrow A_{s}^{(1)} u+B_{s} u+A_{s}^{(2)} u \in U_{-B}^{-m}, p^{\prime}(\Omega)
$$

## is pseudomonotone.

Proof. We first prove that the operator $u \in \dot{U}_{s}^{0 m}, P(\Omega) \rightarrow$ $\rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{s}^{(1)}{ }_{u \in U_{-8}^{-m}, p^{\prime}}(\Omega)$ is continuous and monotone. Assumption $K_{4}$ implies the monotonicity property. Notice that, for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}$ such that $|\infty| \leq m$, we have

 $\xrightarrow{\partial^{\infty}} \partial^{\alpha}\left[\rho^{s p}(x) a_{\infty}^{(1)}\left(x, \ldots, \partial^{m} u\right)\right] \in U_{-\infty}^{-m, p^{\prime}}(0)$, where $d, f, i$ and $\partial^{\infty}$ are continuous by some of the imbedding theorems proved in [1],[10] and[14]. The continuity and the boundedness of $A_{\alpha}^{(1)}$, under assumption $K_{1}$, follow from the standard theorems on Nemytskii operators (see [1], [15]). Now the operator $u \in \dot{U}_{s}^{m}, p(\Omega) \longrightarrow B_{s} u \in U_{B}^{-m}, p^{\prime}(\Omega)$ is compact; indeed we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u \in \stackrel{\circ}{U}_{\mathrm{m}}^{s}, p(\Omega) \xrightarrow{d}\left(u, \ldots, \partial^{m} u\right) \in \pi U_{s}^{0}, p^{m}(\Omega) \xrightarrow{A_{\infty}^{(1)}} a_{\alpha}^{(1)}\left(x, \ldots, \partial^{m} u\right) \in \\
& \in \mathbb{U}_{s}^{0}, p^{\prime}(\Omega) \xrightarrow{\partial \beta} \partial^{\beta} a_{\alpha}^{(1)}\left(x, \ldots, \partial^{m} u\right) \in U_{-s+s p}^{-m, p^{\prime}}(\Omega) \\
& \xrightarrow{c} \partial^{\alpha-\beta} \rho^{s p}(x) \partial^{\beta} a_{\alpha}^{(1)}\left(x, \ldots, \partial^{m} u\right) \in U_{-s}^{-m, p^{\prime}}(\Omega),
\end{aligned}
$$

where, since $|\beta| \leqslant m-1, \partial^{\beta}$ is compact (see Theorem 5.2 in [1]); $d$ and $A_{\infty}^{(1)}$ are continuous as before, while $c$ is continuous as it is the conjugate of the mapping

$$
\left.\nabla \in \dot{U}_{s}^{m}, p(\Omega) \rightarrow\left(\partial^{\alpha-\beta} \rho^{s p}\right) v \in \dot{U}_{s-s p}^{m}, p\right),
$$

which turns out to be continuous (see imbedding theorems in [1] and [14]). Finally the operator $u \in \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{B}}^{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{P}}(\Omega) \rightarrow A^{(2)} \mathbf{u \in}$ $\in U_{-s}^{-m, P^{\prime}}(\Omega)$ is completely continuous aince for every $|\alpha| \leqslant m$
we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u \in \dot{U}_{s}^{o m}, p(\Omega) \xrightarrow{d}\left(x, \ldots, \partial^{m-1} u\right) \in \pi U_{s p-s}^{0, p}(\Omega) \xrightarrow{A_{\alpha}^{(2)}} a_{\infty}^{(2)}(x, \ldots \\
& \left.\ldots, \partial^{m-1} u\right) \in U_{s p-s}^{0, p^{\prime}}(\Omega) \xrightarrow{\partial^{\alpha}} \partial^{\infty} a_{\infty}^{(2)}\left(x, \ldots, \partial^{m-1} u\right) \in U_{s p-s}^{-m, p^{\prime}}(\Omega) \\
& \xrightarrow{f} \rho^{s p}(x) \partial^{\infty} a_{\alpha}\left(x, \ldots, \partial^{m-1} u\right) \in U_{-s}^{-m, p^{\prime}}(\Omega)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $d$ is a compact mapping, $A_{\infty}^{(2)}, \partial^{\infty}, f$ are continuous as before.

Now the theorem follows directly by the definition of the pseudomonotone operator (see [5]).

Now let us set
$F(t)=\int_{0}^{t} f_{1}(\tau) d \tau$ and $D(\varphi)=\left\{u \in \dot{U}_{8}^{m}, P(\Omega): \int_{\Omega} F(u(x)) d x<+\infty\right\}$.
By means of $\mathrm{K}_{5}$ it is easy to show that the functional

$$
\varphi(u)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
+\infty \text { if } u \in \text { or }_{s}^{m} p(\Omega) \backslash D(\varphi), \\
\int_{\Omega} F(u(x)) d x, f u \in D(\varphi),
\end{array}\right.
$$

is convex and $D(\varphi)$ is a linear subspace of ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{P}(\Omega)$.

## Theorem 3.2. Under assumptions $H_{1}, K_{1}, K_{2}, K_{3}$ and $K_{5}$ we

 have$$
\frac{\left\langle A_{s}^{(1)} u+B_{s} u+A_{s}^{(2)} u, u\right\rangle+\varphi(u)}{\|u\|_{m, s, p}} \longrightarrow+\infty
$$

as $\|u\|_{m, s, p} \rightarrow+\infty$.
Proof: For each $u \in \dot{U}_{s}^{\circ}, \mathrm{p}(\Omega)$, from the hypothesis $K_{3}$ and the Ehrling inequality (see [15]) we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
\text { (3.3) }\left\langle A_{s}^{(1)} u, u\right\rangle=\sum_{|\alpha| \leqslant m} \int_{\Omega} \rho^{s p}(x) a_{\infty}^{(1)}\left(x, u, \ldots, \partial^{m} u\right) \partial^{\alpha} u d x  \tag{3.3}\\
\geq c_{0}\|u\|_{m, s, p}^{p}-c_{0} \sum_{|\alpha|<m}\left\|\partial^{\alpha} u\right\|\left\|_{0, s, p}^{p}-\right\| h \|_{0, s, p} \\
-590
\end{gather*}
$$

$\geq c_{0}\|u\|_{m, s, p}^{p}-\varepsilon\|u\|_{m, s, p}^{p}-c(\varepsilon)\|u\|_{o, s, p}^{p}-\|h\|_{o, s, p}$ $=\left(c_{0}-\varepsilon\right)\|u\|_{m, s, p}^{p}-c(\varepsilon)\|u\|_{o, s, p}^{p}-o_{4}$.
Furthermore, assumptions $\mathrm{H}_{1}, \mathrm{~K}_{1}$ and the Schwarz-H8lder and Ehrling inequalities imply that
(3.4) $\left|\left\langle B_{s} u, u\right\rangle\right| \leq \sum_{b}\left|\leq m \sum_{\beta<\alpha} \sum_{\delta^{\prime} \leqslant \beta} c_{\alpha \beta \delta^{\prime}} \int_{\Omega} \rho^{s p}(x)\right|_{a_{\alpha}^{(1)}}^{(x,}$ $\left.u, \ldots, \partial^{m} u\right)\left|\left|\partial^{\sigma_{u}^{\prime}}\right| d x \leq \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \sum_{\beta<\infty} \sum_{\delta^{\prime} \leq \beta} c_{\alpha \beta \sigma} \int_{\Omega} \rho^{s p}(x)\right.$
$\left[\left|g_{\alpha}(x)\right|+\sum_{|\gamma|} \sum_{m}\left|\partial^{\gamma_{u}}\right|^{\frac{1 n}{n^{2}}}\right]\left|\partial^{\sigma} u\right| d x$
$\leq \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \sum_{\beta<\alpha} \sum_{\delta^{\delta} \leq \beta} c_{\alpha \beta o^{\prime}}\left[\left\|g_{\alpha}\right\|_{0, s, p^{\prime}}\left\|\partial^{\delta^{\gamma}} u\right\|_{0, s, p}+\right.$
$\left.+\sum_{|\gamma| \leq m}\left\|\partial^{\gamma} u\right\|_{0, s, p}^{\frac{\rho^{2}}{2}}\left\|\partial^{\delta^{\alpha}} u\right\|_{0, s, p}\right] \leqslant c_{5}\|u\|_{m, s, p}+$ $+c_{6}\|u\|_{m, s, p}^{p-1}\left[\varepsilon\|u\|_{m, s, p}+c(\varepsilon)\|u\|_{0, s, p}\right]$.

## Likewise it can be proved that

(3.5) $\quad\left|\left\langle A_{s}^{(2)} u, u\right\rangle\right| \leqslant c_{7}\left\|_{u}\right\|_{m, s, p^{+c}}\|u\|_{m, s, p}\left[\varepsilon\|u\|_{m, s, p}^{p-1}+\right.$ $\left.+c(\varepsilon)\|u\|_{0, s, p}^{p-1}\right\rfloor$,
where $c_{4}, \ldots, c_{8}$ are positive constants independent of $u$. Finally, by virtue of the Schwarz-H8lder inequality and assumption $K_{2}$ we have
(3.6) $\quad \varphi(u)=\int_{\Omega} \rho^{s p}(x)\left(\int_{0}^{\mu(x)} f(t) d t\right) d x \geq c_{3} \int_{\Omega} \varrho^{s p}(x)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\int_{0}^{\mu(x)}|t|^{p-1+\mu} d t\right] d x=\frac{c_{3}}{p+\mu} \int_{\Omega} \rho^{s p}(x)|u(x)|^{p+\mu} d x } \\
\geq & c_{9}\left(\int_{\Omega} \rho(x)^{\frac{p \mu s}{\hbar+\mu} \cdot \alpha^{\prime}} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha^{\prime}} \frac{n+\mu}{\tau}}\left(\int_{\Omega} \rho^{s p}(x)|u(x)|^{p}\right)^{\frac{\mu+\mu}{\tau}}
\end{aligned}
$$


where $\alpha=(p+\mu) / p, \alpha^{\prime}=\alpha /(\alpha-1)$ and $c_{9}, c_{10}$ are positive constants. Now (3.2) is an easy consequence of (3.3),(3.4), (3.5) and (3.6).

Proof of Theorem 2.1: in virtue of Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 we may apply Corollary 30 of [5] and state that there existe $u \in D(\varphi)$ such that $\left\langle\Lambda^{(1)}{ }_{u+B_{s}} u+\Lambda_{s}^{(2)} u, \quad v-u\right\rangle \geq \varphi(u)-\varphi(v), \quad \forall v \in \dot{U}_{s}^{m}, p(\Omega)$. The proof is completed by means of known procedures (see e.g. Theorem 3.1 of [3]) which allow to show that $u$ is also a solution of the problem (3.1).

Remark. Let us observe that a weaker Nemytekii condition, such as in [7] and in [13], allows us to prove Theorem 3.1 but it fails in the proof of coercivity.
4. Example. We consider the problem on $\Omega$
(4.1) $\left\{\begin{array}{l}E u=\Delta^{2} u-\Delta u+f(x, u, \operatorname{grad} u)=0, \\ u \in \dot{U}_{s}^{2}(\Omega) .\end{array}\right.$

It is equivalent to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
E_{\mathrm{a}} u=\rho^{2 s}(x)\left[\Delta^{2} u-\Delta u+\rho(x, u, \operatorname{grad} u)\right]=0, \\
u \in Q_{B}^{2}(\Omega) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

We set $f(x, u, g r a d u)=f_{1}(u)+f_{2}(x, u, \operatorname{grad} u)$.
Now $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{s}}$ may be written in the form
with

$$
E_{s} u=A_{0}^{(1)} u_{u+B_{g} u+A_{e}^{(2)} u+\rho^{2 s} f_{1}(u), ~}^{\text {, }}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{s}^{(1)} u=\Delta\left(\rho^{2 s} \Delta u\right)-\sum_{i=1} \partial_{x_{i}}\left(\rho^{2 s} \partial_{x_{i}} u\right), \\
& B_{8} u=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\partial_{x_{i}} \rho^{2 s}\right)\left(\partial_{x_{i}} u\right)-2\left(g r a d \rho^{2 s}, \operatorname{grad} \Delta u\right)- \\
& -\left(\Delta \rho^{2 s}\right)(\Delta u),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
A_{a}^{2} u=\varsigma^{2 s} f_{2}(x, u, g r a d u)
$$

By supposing that P is a real function defined in $\Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times$ $\times \mathbb{R}^{n}$, we shall also as sume that the functions $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ satisfy the properties

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(\alpha_{1}\right) \quad f_{1} \text { is increasing, } f_{1}(0)=0, \\
&\left|f_{1}(\lambda t)\right| \leq \gamma(\lambda)\left|f_{1}(t)\right| \text { for every } \quad \lambda, t \in \mathbb{R}, \\
& \text { with } \gamma: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}, \\
&\left|f_{1}(t)\right| \geq c|t|^{1+\mu}, \mu>0 ; \\
&\left(\alpha_{2}\right) \quad f_{2} \text { satisfies the Caratheodory conditions and } \\
&\left|f_{2}^{\prime}(x, t, \xi)\right| \leq h(x)+b_{1}|t|+b_{2}|\xi| ;
\end{aligned}
$$

with $h \in \mathbb{U}_{s}^{0,2}$ and $b_{1}, b_{2} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$.
It is easy to verify that the operator E satisfies assumptions $K_{1}, K_{3}, K_{4}$ and $K_{5}$; then Theorem 2.1 implies that the problem (4.1) has at least one solution.
We shall conclude this section by giving some examples of $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ : The functions $t^{2 n+1}, n \in N$ and $t|t|^{\infty}, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$, satisfy condition $\alpha_{1}$. The functions $g(x)+\sqrt{|t|^{\alpha}|\xi|^{\beta}}, 0<\infty$, $\beta<1$ and $g(x)+\operatorname{arctg} t+\operatorname{arctg}|\xi|$ where $g \in \dot{U}_{8}^{0}, 2(\Omega)$, satisfy condition $\alpha_{2}$.
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