Eric K. Douwen Nonsupercompactness and the reduced measure algebra

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 21 (1980), No. 3, 507--512

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/106016

## Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1980

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

## COMMENTATIONES MATHEMATICAE UNIVERSITATIS CAROLINAE 21,3 (1980)

## NONSUPERCOMPACTNESS AND THE REDUCED MEASURE ALGEBRA Eric K. van DOUWEN')

<u>Abstract</u>: Easy known results easily imply that the Stone space of the reduced measure algebra is not supercompact, and in fact is not *n*-supercompact whenever  $3 < n < \omega$ .

Key words: n-supercompact, n-linked, Stone space, measure algebra, σ-n-linked, separable

<u>Math. Subj. Class</u>. 1980. Primary 51D30, 54G20; Secondary 06E15, 28A60, 54A25.

<u>What we do</u>: Supercompact spaces, defined below, (and more generally *n*-supercompact spaces,  $3 \le n < \omega$ ) are compact. Compact linearly orderable spaces are easy examples of supercompact spaces. Compact metrizable spaces are supercompact, [SS] (see  $[vD_2]$  and  $[M_1]$  for easier proofs), and so are compact groups,  $[M_2]$ .

An easy example of a nonsupercompact compact space was given by Verbeck, [V, II.2.2]; this example is  $T_1$  but not Hausdorff. The first Hausdorff examples were given by Bell,  $[B_1]$ ; other examples, or other proofs, can be found in the references.

The purpose of this note is to present an essentially trivial very natural Hausdorff example.

- 507 -

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Research supported by NSF-Grant MCS 78-09484.

EXAMPLE: The Stone space of the reduced measure algebra is not supercompact, and in fact is not n-supercompact for any n with  $3 \le n < \omega$ . For the proof we only need easy known results about the reduced measure algebra, and closed subbases (defined below), or easy modifications thereof (we include proofs for completeness sake), and unlike other examples, need only an easy fact about (n-) supercompactness.

<u>Closed subbases</u>: A family S of subsets of a space X is called a *closed* subbase for X if  $\{X - S: S \in S\}$  is a subbase for the open sets of X, i.e. if for every nonempty  $F \subset X$ , F is closed iff  $F = {}^{n}F \in \underline{C}^{\cup F}$  for some collection  $\underline{C}$  of finite subfamilies of S. We need the following elementary fact, where  ${}^{\circ}$  denotes the interior operator.

FACT 1: If X is compact Hausdorff, and S is a closed subbase for X, then for each nonempty open  $U \subseteq X$  there is a (nonempty)  $G \subseteq S$  with  $nG \subseteq U$  and  $(nG)^{\circ} \neq \emptyset$ .

Pick a nonempty open  $V \subseteq X$  with  $\overline{V} \subseteq U$ . Let  $\underline{C}$  be a (nonempty) collection of finite subfamilies of S with  $\cap_{F \in \underline{C}} \cup F = \overline{V}$ . Since X is compact there is a (nonempty) finite  $\underline{F} \subseteq \underline{C}$  with  $\cap_{F \in \underline{F}} \cup F \subseteq U$ . Clearly  $\cap_{F \in \underline{F}} \cup F = \bigcup_{G \in \underline{G}} \cap G$  for some finite collection  $\underline{G}$  of (nonempty) (finite) subfamilies of S. There is  $G \in \underline{G}$  with  $(\cap G)^\circ \neq \emptyset$  since  $(\bigcup_{G \in \underline{G}} \cap G)^\circ \supseteq V \neq \emptyset$ .

<u>*n*-Supercompactness</u>: For a cardinal  $\kappa$  call a family F of sets  $\kappa$ -*linked* if  $nG \neq \emptyset$  for every  $G \subseteq F$  with  $0 < |G| < \kappa$ , so 3-linked<sup>±</sup>linked, and  $\omega$ -linked<sup>±</sup>centered. Also, call a space  $\kappa$ -supercompact if it has a closed subbase S such that every nonempty  $\kappa$ -linked subfamily of S has nonempty intersection. Clearly  $\omega$ -supercompact<sup>±</sup>compact, by Alexanders subbase

- 508 -

Theorem, [K, p. 139], and  $\kappa$ -supercompact implies  $\lambda$ -supercompact if  $\kappa \leq \lambda$ (but not conversely if  $3 \leq \kappa < \lambda \leq \omega$ , [BvM]). So 3-supercompact is supercompact, as introduced by de Groot, [dG], and a space is *n*-supercompact if it has compactness number <*n*, as defined in [BvM].

<u>The reduced measure algebra</u>. Let I be the closed unit interval, let M be the Boolean algebra of measurable subsets of I, and let N be the ideal of null-sets. The quotient algebra M/N is called the *reduced measure algebra*. Let M denote its Stone space.

Let  $\lambda$  denote Lebesgue measure on *I*, and for *A*  $\epsilon$  *M* let [*A*] denote the *N*-equivalence class of *A*.

Call a family  $\sigma$ - $\kappa$ -*linked* if it is the union of countably many  $\kappa$ -linked families.

FACT 2: The family of nonempty clopen ( $\equiv$  closed and open) subsets of M is  $\sigma$ -n-linked for each n with 3 < n <  $\omega$ .

 $L_{B} = \{A \in M: \lambda(A \cap B) > (1 - n^{-1}) \cdot \lambda(B)\}.$ Clearly, if  $A \in L_{B}$  and 0 < |A| < n then  $\lambda(nA) \ge \lambda(nA \cap B) > n^{-1}\lambda(B) > 0$ , so  $nA \notin N$ . Next, given  $A \in M - N$  find compact  $K \subseteq A$  with  $\lambda(K) > 0$ , and then find  $B \in B$  with  $B \ge K$  and  $\lambda(K) < (1 - n^{-1})^{-1} \cdot \lambda(B)$ . Then  $K \in L_{B}$ , hence  $A \in L_{B}$ .

[This (fact and proof) is well known for n = 3 of course.] []

FACT 3: M is not separable.

Let  $\langle p_n \rangle_n$  be any sequence in M. For  $n < \omega$ , since  $p_n$  is an ultrafilter in the Boolean algebra M/N we can pick  $P_n \in M - N$  with  $[P_n] \in p_n$ and  $\lambda(P_n) < 2^{-2-n}$ . Then  $\{p \in M: [I - \bigcup_{n=1}^{n} p_n] \in p\}$  is a nonempty open set in M that contains no  $p_n$ . [This is known of course.]

<u>Separability and supercompactness</u>: The following result implies that M is not *n*-supercompact, because of Facts 2 and 3, since the family of clopen sets of M is a base.

FACT 4: Let  $3 \le \kappa \le \omega$ . Then following conditions on a  $\kappa$ -supercompact Hausdorff space x are equivalent:

- (1) x is separable;
- (2) the topology of X is  $\sigma$ -centered ( $\equiv \sigma$ -w-linked); and
- (3) X has a  $\sigma$ - $\kappa$ -linked base (or  $\pi$ -base).

We prove (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Let S be a closed subbase for X that witnesses that X is K-supercompact. Let  $B_n$  be a K-linked family of open sets of X for  $n < \omega$  such that  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{n} B_n$  is a base for X. We can assume  $\emptyset \neq X \in S$ , and  $\emptyset \notin \bigcup_{n=1}^{n} B_n$ . Then for each  $n < \omega$  the family

 $S_n = \{ S \in S : \exists B \in B_n (B \subseteq S) \}$ 

is nonempty and  $\kappa$ -linked, hence we can pick  $p_n \in nS_n$ . It now follows from Fact 1 that  $\{p_n : n < \omega\}$  is dense in X. [[For  $\kappa = \omega$  this is known,  $[vD_1]$ .]

QUESTION 1. Does there exist for each *n* with  $3 \le n < \omega$  a nonseparable (n+1)-supercompact Hausdorff space whose topology is  $\sigma$ -*n*-linked? Or at least a compact Hausdorff space whose topology is  $\sigma$ -*n*-linked but not  $\sigma$ -(n+1)-linked?

- 510 -

QUESTION 2. Is Fact 4 true if X is a Hausdorff continuous image of a  $\kappa$ -supercompact Hausdorff space?

The motivation for Question 2 is that although supercompactness is not preserved by continuous maps, [MvM], most results about supercompact Hausdorff spaces are true for Hausdorff continuous images of (closed neighborhood retracts) of supercompact Hausdorff spaces, hence a counter example to Question 2 for  $\kappa = 3$  would be a nice example that supercompactness is not preserved under continuous maps.

In this context we point out that M is in fact not a continuous image of a (closed neighborhood retract of a) Hausdorff space which is *n*-supercompact for some *n* with  $3 \le n < \omega$  (see also [BvM]). For the proof one notes that Fact 4 is true if X is a closed neighborhood retract of a  $\kappa$ -supercompact Hausdorff space [use the proof of Fact 1 rather than Fact 1 itself], and that M is extremally disconnected, so that a compact Hausdorff space has a retract homeomorphic to M iff it can be mapped onto M, [G, Thm. 2.5].

## References

- [B<sub>1</sub>] M.G. Bell, Not all compact spaces are supercompact, Gen. Top. Appl. 8 (1978), 151-155.
- [B2] , A cellular constraint in supercompact Hausdorff spaces, Can. J. Math. 30 (1978), 1144-1151.
- $[B_3] \qquad , A first countable supercompact Hausderff space with a closed <math>G_8$  non-supercompact subspace, Coll. Math. (to appear).
- [BvM] M.G. Bell and J. van Mill, The compactness number of a compact topological space, Fund. Math. (to appear).

- [vD<sub>1</sub>] E.K. van Douwen, Density of compactifications, in 'Set theoretic topology', G.M. Reed (ed.), Academic Press, New York (1977), 97-110.
- [vD<sub>2</sub>] , Special bases for compact metrizable spaces, Fund. Math. (to appear).
- [vDvM] E.K. van Douwen and J. van Mill, Supercompact spaces, Top. Appl. (to appear).
- [G] A.M. Gleason, Projective topological spaces, Ill. J. Math. 2 2 (1958), 482-489.
- [dG] J. de Groot, Supercompactness and superextensions, Contrib. to Extension Theory of Top. Struct. Symp. Berlin 1967, Deutscher Verlag Wiss., Berlin (1969), 89-90.
- [K] J.L. Kelley, Ceneral Topology, Van Nostrand Reinhold Cy., New York, 1955.
- [vMM1] J. van Mill and C.F. Mills, On the character of supercompact topological spaces, Top. Proc. 3 (1978), 227-236.
- [vMM2] , Closed G, subsets of supercompact Hausdorff spaces, Indag. Math. 41 (1979), 155-162.
- [M1] C.F. Mills, A simpler proof that compact metric spaces are supercompact, Proc. AMS 73 (1979), 388-390.
- $[M_2] , Compact topological groups are supercompact, Fund. Math. (to appear).$
- [MvM] C.F. Mills and J. van.Mill, A nonsupcreampact continuous image of a supercompact space, Houston J. Math. 5 (1979), 241-247.
- [SS] M. Strok and A. Szymański, Compact metric spaces have binary bases, Fund. Math. 89 (1975), 81-91.
- [V] A. Verbeek, Superextensions of topological spaces, Ph.D. dissertation, Univ. of Amsterdam, 1972 - Mathematical Centre Tract 41, Amsterdam, 1972.

Institute for Medicine and Mathematics,

Ohio University,

Athens, OH 45701

(Oblatum 3.3. 1980)

- 512 -