Moses A. Boudourides On bounded solutions of nonlinear ordinary differential equations

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 22 (1981), No. 1, 15--26

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/106050

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1981

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

COMMENTATIONES MATHEMATICAE UNIVERSITATIS CAROLINAE

22,1 (1981)

ON BOUNDED SOLUTIONS OF NONLINEAR ORDINARY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS Moses A. BOUDOURIDES

Abstract: We prove the existence and an asymptotic property of bounded solutions of the nonlinear differential equation (in a Banach space E and with the independent variable te $[0, \infty)$) $\mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{A}(t)\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{f}(t, \mathbf{x})$ under the assumption that the non-homogeneous linear equation $\mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{A}(t)\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}(t)$ has at least one bounded solution for each b belonging to a function Banach space B. <u>Key words</u>: Ordinary differential equations in Banach spaces, function spaces, admissibility, successive approximations.

Classification: 34A34, 34G20, 34C11

1. <u>Introduction</u>. The object of the present article is the study of the relations between the solutions of the following equations

- (1) x' = A(t)x
- (2) $\mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{t})\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{t})$
- (3) x' = A(t)x + f(t,x)

where $t \in J = [0, \infty)$; x, b, $f \in E$, a real Banach space; A(t), for every fixed t, is a continuous linear operator (endomorphism) of E into itself; A(t), b(t) are locally integrable (in the Bochner sense).

- 15 -

In the years 1930-1935, O. Perron, K.P. Persidskii and I.G. Malkin (cf. [4] for references) established (among other results) the equivalence of the following properties (in the case dim $E < \infty$, A(t) continuous)

(P1) for each bounded continuous b all the solutionsof (2) are bounded;

(P2) for each f continuous, $||f(t,x)|| \leq \beta$, $||f(t,x) - f(t,y)|| \leq \gamma ||x-y||$, with sufficiently small β , γ , all the solutions of (3) with sufficiently small ||x(0)|| are bounded;

(P3) there exist positive constants N, γ such that for any solution x of (1) and for any $t \ge t_0 \ge 0$ we have

$$\| \mathbf{x}(t) \| \leq Ne^{-\nu(t-t_0)} \| \mathbf{x}(t_0) \|.$$

In the years 1958-1959, J.L. Massera and J.J. Schaffer (cf. [3],[4]) generalized these properties (in the case of dim $E = \infty$ and of Carathéodory type conditions), considering a general category of function spaces.

The purpose of this article is to establish the equivalence of (Pl) and (P2) in the frame of the general function spaces of [4] and in the case when f is such that $|| f(t,x) - f(t,y) || \le \omega (t, || x-y ||)$, where $\omega(t, \cdot)$ is an appropriate non-decreasing function. To this end, we first extend Coppel's equivalent criterion to (Pl). Finally, we obtain sufficient conditions such that for every bounded solution x of (3) $\lim_{t \to \infty} || x(t) || = 0.$

2. Notation and preliminaries. Let X be a generic Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|_{Y}$. We denote by X^* its dual and by (\cdot, \cdot)

- 16 -

the duality pairing of X and X*; the norm of X* is denoted again by $\|\cdot\|_{X*}$. We denote by \widetilde{X} the space of continuous endomorphisms of X and again by $\|\cdot\|_{\widetilde{X}}$ the norm of \widetilde{X} . If A ϵ $\epsilon \widetilde{X}$, we denote by A* $\epsilon \widetilde{X}$ * its adjoint operator.

For the Banach space **E** we write $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{E}} = \|\cdot\|$. For any $\mathbf{a} > 0$, we write $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{a}} = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{E}; \|\mathbf{x}\| < \mathbf{a}\}$.

By C = C(E) we denote the Banach space of bounded continuous functions $u:J \rightarrow E$ with the norm $||u||_C = \sup \{||u(s)||: s \in J\}$. For any a > 0, we write $\sum_{a} = \{u \in C: ||u||_C < a\}$.

By $L^p = L^p(E)$, $1 \le p < \infty$, we denote the Banach space of strongly measurable functions $u: J \longrightarrow E$ such that $\int_J \| u(s) \|^p ds < \infty$ with the norm $\| u \|_{L^p} = \{ \int_J \| u(s) \|^p ds \}^{1/p}$. By $L^\infty = L^\infty(E)$ we denote the Banach space of strongly measurable functions $u: J \longrightarrow E$ such that ess sup $\{ \| u(s) \| : s \in J \} < \infty$ with the norm $\| u \|_{T^\infty} = ess \sup \{ \| u(s) \| : s \in J \}.$

By L = L(E) we denote the space of strongly measurable functions $u:J \rightarrow E$, Bochner integrable in every finite subinterval I of J, with the topology of the convergence in the mean on every such I.

Let B(R) be a Banach space of measurable functions $u\colon\!J\!\to\!$ \longrightarrow R such that

(i) B(R) is stronger than L(R) (cf. [41, p.35);

(ii) if $u \in L^{\infty}(R)$ with compact support, then $u \in B(R)$;

(iii) if $u \in B(R)$ and $v: J \longrightarrow R$ measurable and such that $|v| \leq |u|$, then $v \in B(R)$ and $||v||_{B(R)} \leq ||u||_{B(R)}$.

By the associate space $B^*(R)$ we denote the Banach space of all measurable functions $v:J \longrightarrow R$ such that

 $\sup \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{T}} |u(s)v(s)| ds: u \in B(R), ||u||_{B(R)} \leq 1 \right\} < \infty$

- 17 -

with norm $\|\mathbf{v}\|_{B^*(\mathbf{R})} = \sup \{\int_J |u(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{s})| d\mathbf{s}: u \in B(\mathbf{R}), \|u\|_{B(\mathbf{R})} \leq \leq 1\}$. According to Theorem 22.M of [4], the following "Hölder's Inequality" holds: if $u \in B(\mathbf{R})$ and $\mathbf{v} \in B^*(\mathbf{R})$, then $|u\mathbf{v}| \in L^1(\mathbf{R})$ and

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} |u(s)v(s)| ds \leq ||u||_{B(R)} ||v||_{B^{*}(R)}.$$

We denote by B = B(E) ($B^* = B^*(E)$) the Banach space of all strongly measurable functions $u: J \rightarrow E$ such that $||u|| \in \in B(R)$ ($||u|| \in B^*(R)$) provided with the norm $||u||_B =$ = $||||u|| ||_{B(R)}$ ($||u||_{B^*} = ||||u|| ||_{B^*(R)}$).

Let $A \in L(\widetilde{E})$ and let E_0 be the set of all points of E which are values for t = 0 of bounded solutions of (1).

We assume that E_0 is closed. Then according to Theorem 4.1 of [3], there exists S>0 such that every bounded solution x of (1) satisfies the estimate

$$\|\mathbf{x}\|_{c} \leq S \|\mathbf{x}(0)\|$$
.

Moreover, we assume that E_0 has a closed complement E_1 . Let P be the projection of E onto E_0 . Furthermore, let U(t) be the fundamental solution of (1) such that U(0) = I. For any $t \in J$ we define a function $G(t, \cdot) \in L(\widetilde{E})$ by

$$G(t,s) = \begin{cases} U(t)PU^{-1}(s) & \text{for } 0 \leq s \leq t \\ \\ -U(t)(I-P)U^{-1}(s) & \text{for } s \geq t. \end{cases}$$

3. <u>The Non-homogeneous Linear Equation</u>. The pair of Banach spaces (B,C) is called admissible (cf. [4], p. 127), if for every $b \in B$ there exists at least one bounded solution of (2). Then by Theorem 51.E of [4] there exists a constant K > 0

- 18 -

such that for every $b \in B$ the equation (2) has a unique bounded solution x with $x(0) \in E_1$ and $||x||_C \leq K ||b||_B$. Moreover, by Theorem 52.J of [4] for every $b \in B$ with compact support the unique bounded solution x of (2) with $x(0) \in E_1$ and $||x||_C \leq K ||b||_B$ is represented by $x(t) = \int_1^{\infty} G(t,s)b(s)ds$.

<u>Theorem 1</u>. Let (B,C) be admissible. Then there exists a constant K>O such that, for any $t \in J$, $G(t, \cdot) \in B^*(E)$ and $\|G(t, \cdot)\|_{B^*(\widetilde{E})} \leq K$.

Proof. Let $b \in B$ with compact support. Suppose that b vanishes for t > T, where T is arbitrarily fixed. By the remarks preceding the theorem, there exists a constant K > 0such that for any $t \in J$

$$\|\int_0^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{t},\mathbf{s})\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{s}) d\mathbf{s} \| \leq K \|\mathbf{b}\|_{\mathsf{B}}.$$

However, for any $x^* \in E^*$, $||x^*|| \leq 1$, and any $t \in J$

$$\begin{aligned} |\int_0^T (\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{s}), \mathbf{G}^*(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{s}) \mathbf{x}^*) d\mathbf{s}| &\leq | (\int_0^T \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{s}) \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{s}) d\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{x}^*) | \\ &\leq ||\mathbf{x}^*|| || \int_0^T \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{s}) \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{s}) d\mathbf{s} || \\ &\leq K ||\mathbf{b}||_B \end{aligned}$$

and as $G^*(t, \cdot) \mathbf{x}^* \in L(\mathbf{E}^*)$ for $t \in J$, Theorem 22.U of [4] implies that $G^*(t, \cdot) \mathbf{x}^* \in B^*(\mathbf{E}^*)$ for $t \in J$ and

 $\| \mathbf{G}^*(\mathbf{t}, \cdot) \mathbf{x}^* \|_{\mathbf{B}^*(\mathbf{E}^*)} \leq \mathbf{K} \text{ for } \mathbf{t} \in \mathbf{J}.$

Therefore, we obtain, for any $t \in J$,

$$\|G(t, \cdot)\|_{B^{*}(\widetilde{E})} = \|G^{*}(t, \cdot)\|_{B^{*}(\widetilde{E}^{*})}$$

= sup { $\|G^{*}(t, \cdot)x^{*}\|_{B^{*}(E^{*})}$: $x^{*} \in E^{*}$, $\|x^{*}\| \leq 1$ }
 $\leq K$.

Remark 1. Theorem 1 generalizes the results of Coppel

[2] for $B = C(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $B = L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, Conti [1] for $B = L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, and Szufla [5] for $B = L_{\infty}(E)$ (Orlicz spaces).

In particular, the above theorem implies that if (B,C) is admissible, then the (Bochner) integral $\int_{\mathcal{J}} G(t,s)b(s)ds$ exists for any $b \in B$.

<u>Theorem 2</u>. Let (B,C) be admissible. If $b \in B$, then a function $x: J \longrightarrow E$ is a bounded solution of (2) if and only if

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = \mathbf{U}(t)\mathbf{P}\mathbf{x}(0) + \int_{\mathcal{J}} \mathbf{G}(t,s)\mathbf{b}(s)ds.$$

<u>Proof</u>. Since the sufficiency is easily seen to hold, we will only prove the necessity. So, let x a bounded solution of (2) and let $b \in B$. Writing

 $y(t) = x(t) - U(t)Px(0) - \int_{J} G(t,s)b(s)ds$, it is clear that y is a bounded solution of (1) with

 $y(0) = x(0) - Px(0) + (I-P) \int_{J} U^{-1}(s)b(s)ds$, i.e. $y(0) \in E_{1}$. Therefore, y = 0.

4. <u>The Nonlinear Equation</u>. Consider the nonlinear equation (3), where we assume that $f:J \times S_a \longrightarrow E$, $0 < a \leq \infty$, is such that

(fl) f(t,x) is strongly measurable in t for all $x \in S_{a}$ and continuous in x for $t \in J$;

(f2) $f(\cdot, 0) \in B$. Let $\omega: J \times [0, 2a) \longrightarrow R$ be such that $(\omega 1) \quad \omega(\cdot, r) \in B(R)$ for all $r \in [0, 2a)$; $(\omega 2) \quad \omega(t, r)$ is continuous nondecreasing in r for $t \in J$;

- 20 -

and defining $\Omega: [0,2a) \rightarrow R$ by $\Omega(r) = K \| \omega(\cdot,r) \|_{B(R)}$ (where K as in Theorem 1) we assume

(ω 3) r = 0 is the only fixed point of Ω in [0,2a);

(ω 4) for each r \in [0,2a), $\Omega(r) \leq r$.

<u>Theorem 3</u>. Let (B,C) be admissible. Suppose that f satisfies (f1) and (f2) and that there exists a function ω satisfying (ω 1)-(ω 4) such that for any t \in J and x,y \in S_a

(4)
$$|| f(t,x) - f(t,y) || \le \omega(t, ||x - y||).$$

Then, if

(5)
$$\|f(\cdot, 0)\|_{\mathbf{B}} < K^{-1}(\mathbf{a} - \Omega(\mathbf{a})),$$

there exists, for any $\xi \in \mathbf{E}_{o}$ such that

$$\| \xi \| < b = S^{-1}(a - \Omega(a) - K \| f(\cdot, 0) \|_{B}),$$

a unique bounded solution $x(\cdot; \xi)$ of (3) such that $x(\cdot; \xi) \in \Sigma_a$ and $Px(0; \xi) = \xi$. Moreover, the mapping $\xi \mapsto x(0; \xi)$ is continuous in $F_0 = \{\xi \in E_0 : \|\xi\| < b\}$ and it can be extended to a homeomorphism H of $F_0 + E_1$ onto itself which leaves the affine subspaces $\xi + E_1$, $\xi \in F_0$, invariant.

<u>Proof</u>. First we remark that if $z \in \ge_a$, then $f(\cdot, z) \in B$ and

$$\|f(\cdot,z)\|_{B} < \|\omega(\cdot,a)\|_{B(R)} + \|f(\cdot,0)\|_{B}.$$

Let $\varsigma = a^{-1}(\Omega(a) + K \| f(\cdot, 0) \|_{B}) < 1$. Let $\xi \in F_{o}$ be given arbitrarily. Clearly we have

 $\|U(\cdot)\xi\|_{C} \leq S \|\xi\| < Sb = (1 - \rho)a.$

Consider the following sequence of successive approximations in C

$$z_{1}(t) = \int_{J} G(t,s)f(s,U(s)\xi) ds$$
$$z_{n+1}(t) = \int_{J} G(t,s)f(s,z_{n}(s) + U(s)\xi) ds, \quad n = 1,2,...$$

Note that the above integrals exist (since (B,C) is admissible, according to Theorem 1), provided that they are all well defined. Indeed, it can be shown (inductively) that

 $\|z_n\|_C < oa, n = 1, 2, \dots$

Now we define a sequence $\{r_n\}$ in [0,2a) as it follows

$$r_1 = 2_0 a$$

 $r_{n+1} = \Omega(r_n), n = 1, 2,$

It is easily seen, using $(\omega 2), (\omega 3)$ and $(\omega 4)$ that $\lim_{m \to \infty} r_n = 0$. Moreover, once again by induction it can be shown that

 $\| \mathbf{z}_{n+1} - \mathbf{z}_n \|_C \leq \mathbf{r}_n, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$

Therefore, $\{z_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in C and there exists $z \in C$, $z = \lim_{n \to \infty} z_n$. Clearly $||z||_C \leq c$ a. Consequently, the function $x(t; \xi) = U(t)\xi + z(t)$ would be bounded, since

 $\|\mathbf{x}(\cdot; \xi)\|_{C} < (1 - \varphi)\mathbf{a} + \varphi \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a}$

and would solve the integral equation

$$\mathbf{x}(t; \xi) = \mathbf{U}(t) \xi + \int_{J} \mathbf{G}(t,s) \mathbf{f}(s, \mathbf{x}(s; \xi)) ds.$$

By a simple differentiation it results that $x(\cdot; \xi)$ is a bounded solution of (3) and

$$Px(0; \xi) = P(\xi - (I - P) \int_{J} U^{-1}(s)f(s, x(s; \xi))ds)$$

= Pf = f.

Furthermore, $\mathbf{x}(\cdot; \boldsymbol{\xi})$ is the unique bounded solution of (3) with these properties. Indeed, let $\overline{\mathbf{x}}(\cdot; \boldsymbol{\xi})$ be another bounded solution of (3) such that $\| \overline{\mathbf{x}}(\cdot; \boldsymbol{\xi}) \|_{\mathbb{C}} < a$ and $P\overline{\mathbf{x}}(0; \boldsymbol{\xi}) = \boldsymbol{\xi}$

- 22 -

and let $u(t) = \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{x}(t; \xi) - \overline{\mathbf{x}}(t; \xi))$ for some fixed $\mathcal{D} \in (0, 1)$. Clearly u would solve the following integral equation

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{t}) &= \vartheta \int_{\mathcal{J}} \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{t},\mathbf{s}) \{ \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{s};\boldsymbol{\xi})) - \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{s},\vartheta\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{s};\boldsymbol{\xi}) - \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{s})) \} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{s}. \\ \text{We define a sequence } \{ \overline{\mathbf{r}}_n \} \text{ in } [0,2\mathbf{a}) \text{ by } \overline{\mathbf{r}}_1 &= 2 \vartheta \mathbf{a}, \ \overline{\mathbf{r}}_{n+1} = \\ &= \vartheta \Omega \left(\overline{\mathbf{r}}_n \right), \ \mathbf{n} = 1,2,\ldots \text{ Clearly } \lim_{\substack{m \to \infty}} \overline{\mathbf{r}}_n = 0. \text{ It is easily} \\ \text{seen by induction that } \| \mathbf{u} \|_{\mathbf{C}} \leq \overline{\mathbf{r}}_n, \ \mathbf{n} = 1,2,\ldots, \text{ which implies} \\ \mathbf{u} &= 0. \end{split}$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrarily fixed ($\varepsilon < a$). If $f(\cdot, 0) = 0$, we remark that what we have already shown implies that, for any $\xi \in \mathbf{E}_0, \|\xi\| < S^{-1}(\varepsilon - \Omega(\varepsilon))$, there exists a unique bounded solution $\mathbf{x}(\cdot; \xi)$ of (3) such that $\|\mathbf{x}(\cdot; \xi)\|_C < \varepsilon$ and $P\mathbf{x}(0; \xi) = \xi$.

Thus for any $\varepsilon > 0$ we put $\sigma' = S^{-1}(\varepsilon - \Omega(\varepsilon))$. Then for any ξ , $\eta \in E_0$ such that $\|\xi - \eta\| \leq \sigma'$ the function $u(t) = x(t; \xi) - x(t; \eta)$ is a bounded solution of

$$u' = A(t)u + g(t,u),$$

where $g(t,u) = f(t,x(t; \xi)) - f(t,x(t; \xi) - u)$ satisfies (4) and $g(\cdot,0) = 0$. Since $||Pu(0)|| < \sigma'$ the above remark implies that $||u||_C < \varepsilon$, i.e.

 $\|\mathbf{x}(0;\xi) - \mathbf{x}(0;\eta)\| \leq \|\mathbf{x}(\cdot,\xi) - \mathbf{x}(\cdot;\eta)\|_{\mathbb{C}} < \varepsilon ,$ which shows the continuity of the mapping $\xi \mapsto \mathbf{x}(0;\xi)$ of $\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{0}}$ into itself.

Finally, the mapping H defined by

$$H(\xi) = x(0; P\xi) + (I-P)\xi$$

with the inverse

 $H^{-1}(\xi) = \xi - (I-P)x(0;P\xi),$

- 23 -

extends the mapping $\xi \mapsto x(0; \xi)$ to a 1-1 mapping of $F_0 + E_1$ onto itself which leaves the affine subspace $\xi + E_1$ invariant and both it and its inverse are continuous. Therefore, it is a homeomorphism.

<u>Remark 2</u>. Theorem 3 is a generalization of the results of Massera-Schäffer [3] for $\omega(t,r) = \gamma(t)r$, $\gamma \in B(R)$, $K \|\gamma\|_{B(R)} < 1$, and of Szufla [6] for $\omega(t,r) = \gamma(t)\phi(r)$, $\phi(r)$ nondecreasing, $\phi(r) < r$, $\gamma \in B(R)$, $K \|\gamma\|_{B(R)} < 1$.

Given any subinterval I of J, we denote by χ_I the characteristic function of I, i.e. $\chi_I(t) = 1$ for teI and $\chi_I(t) = 0$ for teJI. A function Banach space B is called <u>lean</u> (cf. [4], p. 48) if for any $b \in P$

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \|\chi_{[t,\infty)}\mathbf{b}\|_{\mathbf{B}} = 0.$$

<u>Theorem 4</u>. Let (B,C) be admissible and f, ω satisfy (f1),(f2),(ω 1)-(ω 4),(4) and (5). If B is lean and B is not stronger than L¹, then for every bounded solution x of (3)

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \|\mathbf{x}(t)\| = 0.$$

<u>Proof</u>. Theorem 3 guarantees the existence of bounded solutions of (3). We claim that if x is any bounded solution of (3), then x should solve the integral equation

(6) $\mathbf{x}(t) = U(t)P\mathbf{x}(0) + \int_{T} G(t,s)f(s,\mathbf{x}(s))ds.$

Indeed, writing $y(t) = x(t) - U(t)Px(0) - \int_{J} G(t,s)f(s, x(s))ds$, it is easy to see that y is a bounded solution of (1) such that

 $y(0) = x(0) - Px(0) + (I-P) \int_J U^{-1}(s)f(s,x(s))ds,$ i.e. $y(0) \in E_1$. Therefore, y = 0, which proves our claim.

- 24 -

Since B is lean and $f(\cdot, \mathbf{x}) \in B$ (as it has been shown in the proof of Theorem 3), there exists a $\tau_0 \in J$, so that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ arbitrarily fixed

 $\|\chi_{[t,\infty)}f(\cdot,\mathbf{x})\|_{B} < \varepsilon/2K, \text{ for } t \geq \tau_{0}.$

On the other hand, the assumption that B is not stronger than L^1 implies according to Theorem 62.D of [4] that there exist a positive valued function N defined on J and a positive constant γ such that every solution y of (1) with $y(0) \in E_0$ satisfies, for all $t \ge t_0 \ge 0$,

$$\| \mathbf{y}(t) \| \leq \mathbf{N}(t_0) \mathbf{e}^{-\nu(t-t_0)} \| \mathbf{y}(t_0) \|$$

and the fundamental solution U of (1) satisfies

 $||U(t)P|| \leq N(0)e^{-\gamma t}$, for all $t \in J$,

i.e.

$$\begin{split} \lim_{t \to \infty} \| U(t) P \| &= 0. \end{split}$$
 Therefore, there exists a $\tau_1 \in J$ so that
$$\| U(t) P \| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \{ \| \mathbf{x}(0) \| + \int_0^{\tau_0} \| \mathbf{U}^{-1}(\mathbf{s}) \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{s})) \| d\mathbf{s} \}^{-1} \text{ for } t \ge \tau_1. \end{split}$$
 Consequently, (6) implies, for all $t \ge \max \{ \tau_0, \tau_1 \}$,
$$\| \mathbf{x}(t) \| \le \| U(t) P \| \| \mathbf{x}(0) \| + \| \int_{\mathcal{J}} G(t, \mathbf{s}) \chi_{[0, \tau_0]} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{s})) d\mathbf{s} + \int_{\mathcal{J}} G(t, \mathbf{s}) \chi_{[\tau_0, \infty)} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{s})) d\mathbf{s} \| \\ \le \| U(t) P \| \| \mathbf{x}(0) \| + \| U(t) P \| \int_{0}^{\tau_0} \| U^{-1}(\mathbf{s}) \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{s})) d\mathbf{s} \| \\ \le \| U(t) P \| \| \mathbf{x}(0) \| + \| U(t) P \| \int_{0}^{\tau_0} \| U^{-1}(\mathbf{s}) \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{s})) \| d\mathbf{s} + K \| \chi_{[\tau_0, \infty)} \mathbf{f}(\cdot, \mathbf{x}) \|_{\mathbf{B}} \\ < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon , \end{split}$$

- 25 -

i.e. $\lim_{t \to \infty} ||x(t)|| = 0.$

<u>Remark 3</u>. If B is stronger than L^1 , the above theorem holds if it is in addition assumed that $\lim_{t \to 0} || U(t)P || = 0$.

<u>Remark 4</u>. Theorem 4 is a generalization of an analogous result of Coppel [2] for $B = L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$, $\omega(t,r) = \gamma r$, $K\gamma < 1$.

References

- [1] R. CONTI: On the boundedness of solutions of ordinary differential equations, Funkcial. Ekvac. 9(1966), 23-26.
- [2] W.A. COPPEL: Stability and Asymptotic Behavior of Differential Equations, Heath Math. Monographs, Boston (1965).
- [3] J.L. MASSERA and J.J. SCHÄFFER: Linear differential equations and functional analysis, Ann. Math. 67(1958), 517-573.
- [4] J.L. MASSERA and J.J. SCHÄFFER: Linear differential equations and function spaces, Academic, New York and London (1966).
- [5] S. SZUFLA: On the boundedness of solutions of ordinary differential equations in Banach spaces, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astr. Phys. 17 (1969), 745-750.
- S. SZUFLA: On the boundedness of solutions of non-linear differential equations in Banach spaces, Ann. Soc. Math. Polon. Sér. I Comment. Math. XXI(1979), 381-387.

Department of Mathematics Democritus University of Thrace Xanthi, GREECE

(Oblatum 1.9. 1980)

- 26 -