Oldřich Kowalski The volume conjecture and four-dimensional hypersurfaces

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 23 (1982), No. 1, 81--87

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/106133

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1982

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

COMMENTATIONES MATHEMATICAE UNIVERSITATIS CAROLINAE

23,1 (1982)

THE VOLUME CONJECTURE AND FOUR-DIMENSIONAL HYPERSURFACES Oldřich KOWALSKI

<u>Abstract:</u> In this note we prove the volume conjecture by A. Gray and L. Vanhecke for the four-dimensional hypersurfaces of E with the exception of a subclass of hypersurfaces satisfying a non-trivial geometric inequality. <u>Key words</u>: Submanifolds, Riemannian manifolds.

Classification: 53C40, 53C2O

Let us consider the following "volume condition":

(V): For an analytic Riemannian manifold (M,g), suppose that any geodesic ball in (M,g) of sufficiently small radius r > 0 has the same volume as the Euclidean ball of the same dimension and radius.

The volume conjecture by A. Gray and L. Vanhecke, [2], then says that (M,g) should be locally Euclidean. The volume conjecture has been proved in many important situations, for example, for all manifolds of dimension $n \leq 3$, for manifolds with non-positive, or non-negative Ricci curvature, for the products of surfaces, for the products of classical symmetric spaces, and so on. Little is known about the 4dimensional Riemannian manifolds with the exception of the case when the metric is Ricci-parallel.

- 81 -

In all these results, what has been really used is not the strong condition (V) but only the information contained in the second order - and the fourth order term of the power-series expansion for the volume of a geodesic ball (with respect to its radius r). In other words, the following weaker condition has been used as the start point: (V'): The volume of any small geodesic ball in (M,g) coincides with the volume of the corresponding Euclidean

ball upto a remainder term of the form $O(r^5)$.

The purpose of this Note is to prove the following:

<u>Theorem</u>. Let M_4 be a four-dimensional analytic hypersurface of E^5 satisfying the weak volume condition (V'). Then either M_4 is locally Euclidean, or we have the inequality

(1)
$$-6,9456... \leq (K/h^4) \leq -3,9288...$$

where K, or h, denotes the Gauss-Kronecker curvature, or the mean curvature of M_A , respectively.

<u>Proof</u>. We shall start with some preparations. For any Riemannian manifold (M,g), let us denote by R, φ, τ the Riemannian curvature tensor, the Ricci tensor and the scalar curvature of (M,g), respectively. According to [1],[2], the condition (V') is equivalent to the following couple of conditions:

(2) b) $3||\mathbf{R}||^2 = 8 ||\mathbf{P}||^2$,

where $\|R\|$ and $\|\wp\|$ denotes the norm of R and \wp , respectively.

- 82 -

Consider a hypersurface $M \in E^{n+1}$ $(n \ge 4)$ equipped with the induced Riemannian metric. At any fixed point $p \in M$, let $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$ denote the eigenvalues of the second fundamental form, and s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_n the corresponding elementary symmetric functions.

<u>Lemma</u>. At any point $p \in M$, the conditions a),b) from (2) are equivalent to the following conditions for the elementary symmetric functions:

a') $s_2 = 0$, b') $s_1 s_3 = 7 s_4$.

<u>Proof</u> of the Lemma. Let p_k , k=1,2,..., denote the sum of the k-th powers of the eigenvalues λ_i . We shall use the following formulas by Newton (cf. [4]):

$$p_{1} = s_{1}$$

$$p_{2} = s_{1}p_{1} - 2s_{2}$$

$$p_{3} = s_{1}p_{2} - s_{2}p_{1} + 3s_{3}$$

$$p_{4} = s_{1}p_{3} - s_{2}p_{2} + s_{3}p_{1} - 4s_{4}.$$

Hence we obtain immediately

$$p_{2} = (s_{1})^{2} - 2s_{2},$$

$$p_{3} = (s_{1})^{3} - 3s_{1}s_{2} + 3s_{3},$$

$$p_{4} = (s_{1})^{4} - 4(s_{1})^{2}s_{2} + 4s_{1}s_{3} + 2(s_{2})^{2} - 4s_{4}.$$

Let us choose an orthonormal basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ of the tangent space T_pM which diagonalizes the second fundamental form (the "shape operator") S; then $S_{ij} = \sigma_{ij} \lambda_i$ for $i, j = 1, \dots, n$. We have the Gauss equations

$$R_{ijk\ell} = S_{ik}S_{j\ell} - S_{i\ell}S_{jk}, i, j, k, \ell = 1, \dots, n.$$

- 83 -

Hence $R_{ijij} = R_{jiji} = -R_{ijji} = -R_{jiij} = \lambda_i \lambda_j$ for any $i \neq j$, and $R_{ijk\ell} = 0$ whenever at least 3 indices are different. Further,

$$S_{ii}^{c} = \sum_{j=1}^{m} R_{ijij} = \sum_{\substack{j=1\\ j\neq 1}}^{m} \lambda_i \lambda_j = \lambda_i s_1 - (\lambda_i)^2, i=1,\dots,n$$

and $\mathcal{P}_{ij} = 0$ for all $i, j = 1, \dots, n$, $i \neq j$. Finally,

 $x = \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{ii} = (s_1)^2 - p_2.$

From the Newton's formulas we see that $\tau = 0$ is equivalent to $s_2 = 0$. Now, we have

$$\|R\|^{2} = 4 \sum_{1 \le i < j \le m} (R_{ijij})^{2} = 4 \sum_{1 \le i < j \le m} (\lambda_{i} \lambda_{j})^{2} = 2(p_{2}^{2} - p_{4}),$$

i.e.,
(3)

(3)
$$||R||^2 = 8s_4 + 4s_2^2 - 8s_1s_3$$

and

The

$$\begin{split} \| \mathbf{y} \|^{2} &= \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(\mathbf{y}_{ii} \right)^{2} = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(\lambda_{1}^{2} \mathbf{s}_{1}^{2} - 2\lambda_{1}^{3} \mathbf{s}_{1} + \lambda_{2}^{4} \right) = \right\} \\ &= \mathbf{s}_{1}^{2} \mathbf{p}_{2} - 2\mathbf{s}_{1} \mathbf{p}_{3} + \mathbf{p}_{4} = -2\mathbf{s}_{1} \mathbf{s}_{3} + 2\mathbf{s}_{2}^{2} - 4\mathbf{s}_{4}. \end{split}$$
relation 8 $\| \mathbf{y} \|^{2} = 3 \| \mathbf{R} \|^{2}$ then yields $\mathbf{s}_{4} = \frac{\mathbf{s}_{1} \mathbf{s}_{3}}{7} + \frac{\mathbf{s}_{2}^{2}}{14}.$

Hence the result follows.

<u>Proof of the Theorem</u>. Let us recall the definition of the Gauss-Kronecker curvature and the mean curvature for a hypersurface $M_4 \in E^5$ (cf. [31]). Here we have $K = s_4 =$ $= \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 \lambda_4$, $h = s_1/4$. From (3) we get $\|R\|^2 = -48K$, and because $K = \frac{4}{7}hs_3$, we see that any of the relations K = 0, h = 0 implies that M_4 is locally Euclidean.

Suppose now that M_A is not locally Euclidean and con-

- 84 -

sider the characteristic equation of the second fundamental form:

 $x^4 - s_1 x^3 + s_2 x^2 - s_3 x + s_4 = 0$. We shall recall in brief the theory of a biquadratic equation. Consider the equation

(4)
$$x^4 + a_1 x^3 + a_2 x^2 + a_3 x + a_4 = 0$$

and put

$$p = a_{2} - \frac{3}{8}a_{1}^{2}$$

$$q = a_{3} - \frac{1}{2}a_{1}a_{2} + \frac{1}{8}a_{1}^{3}$$

$$r = a_{4} - \frac{1}{4}a_{1}a_{3} + \frac{1}{16}a_{1}^{3}a_{2} - \frac{3}{256}a_{1}^{4}$$

The so-called <u>cubic resolvent</u> of the equation (4) is given by

 $t^{3} + 2pt^{2} + (p^{2} - 4r)t - q^{2} = 0.$

The <u>discriminant</u> D of the equation (4) can be written in the form

 $D = 16p^{4}r - 4p^{3}q^{2} - 128p^{2}r^{2} + 144prq^{2} + 256r^{3} - 27q^{4}.$

We have $D = \prod_{1 \le i < j \le 4} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^2$.

Now, the general theory (see [6]) says that the equation (4) has 4 simple real roots if and only if

$$D>0$$
, $p<0$, $p^2 - 4r > 0$,

The equality D = 0 corresponds to the case of a multiple root.

In our case we have

$$p = -\frac{3}{8}s_1^2$$
, $q = -s_3 - \frac{1}{8}s_1^3$, $r = -\frac{3}{4}s_4 - \frac{3}{256}s_1^4$.

- 85 -

Hence p < 0 iff $h \neq 0$, and $p^2 - 4r > 0$ iff $\frac{1}{16}s_1^4 + s_4 > 0$, i.e., $-16 < (K/h^4)$.

After a long but routine calculation we get

$$D = -\frac{243}{16}s_4^2s_1^4 + \frac{81}{8}s_4s_3s_1^5 - \frac{27}{16}s_3^2s_1^6 - 108s_4^3 + \frac{81}{14}s_4s_3^2s_1^2 - \frac{27}{2}s_3^3s_1^3 - 27s_3^4.$$

Substituting now $s_1 = 4h$, $s_3 = \frac{7K}{4h}$, $s_4 = K$, we get

$$D = -27K^{2}h^{4} [(7/16)^{4}(K/h^{4})^{2} + (102/(16)^{2})(K/h^{4}) + 1]$$

and hence the condition $D \ge 0$ implies

 $(7/16)^4 (K/h^4)^2 + (102/(16)^2) (K/h^4) + 1 \le 0.$

This is the case if and only if

$$-(51 + \sqrt{200})(16/49)^2 \leq K/h^4 \leq -(51 - \sqrt{200})(16/49)^2$$

which is the wanted inequality (1). The relation $-16 < K/h^4$ is a consequence of the above, thus it cannot bring in any new restrictions for our invariants. It can be also checked that in the case D = 0 our equation (4) has only real roots, too.

<u>Remark</u>. The inequality (1) in our theorem has an intrinsic meaning. In fact, because $K \neq 0$, the second fundamental form is non-degenerate and thus, following [5], it is uniquely determined by the metric of M_4 (upto a sign). Thus K/h^4 is a Riemannian invariant of M_4 . It remains an open problem whether the inequality (1) is compatible with the strong volume condition (V), or not.

- 86 -

References

- GRAY, A.: The volume of a small geodesic ball of a Riemannian manifold, Michigan Math. J. 20(1973), 329-344.
- [2] GRAY, A., VANHECKE, L.: Riemannian geometry as determined by the volume of small geodesic balls, Acta Math. 142(1979), 157-198.
- [3] KOBAYASHI,S., NOMIZU, K.: Foundations of Differential Geometry, Vol.2, New York, Interscience Publ., 1969.
- [4] RÉDEI, L.: Algebra, Erster Teil, Akademische Verlagsgesellschaft, Geest-Portig K.-G., Leipzig 1959.
- [5] THOMAS, T.Y.: Riemann spaces of class one and their characterization, Acta Math. 67(1936), 169-211.
- [6] Van der WAERDEN, B.L.: Algebra, Siebte Auflage der Modernen Algebra, Erster Teil, Springer 1966.

Matematicko-fyzikální fakulta, Universita Karlova, Sokolovská 83, 18600 Praha 8, Czechoslovakia

(Oblatum 26.6. 1981)