Jurij H. Bregman Some factorization theorems for paracompact σ -spaces

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 28 (1987), No. 2, 211--216

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/106532

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1987

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

COMMENTATIONES MATHEMATICAE UNIVERSITATIS CAROLINAE 28,2(1987)

SOME FACTORIZATION THEOREMS FOR PARACOMPACT 6-SPACES Ju.H. BREGMAN

Abstract: For closed mappings between paracompact ϵ -spaces and for continuous mappings between regular spaces with countable network there are proved factorization theorems by weight and dimension.

Key words: Paracompact ${\mathfrak S}$ -space, countable network, facto-, rization.

Classification: 54F45

The method of factorization theorems plays an important role in dimension theory. Factorization theorems by weight and dimension are well-known in the classes of compacta and metric spaces (see e.g. [5, Theorems 3.3.2 and 4.2.5]), B.A. Pasynkov has proved a factorization theorem for p-paracompacta [7].

In [3] we have proposed a method of rigid systems for the study of dimensional properties of paracompact \mathfrak{G} -spaces (i.e. paracompact spaces with a \mathfrak{G} -discrete network). Here we develop this method and use it to prove some factorization theorems for paracompact \mathfrak{G} -spaces, in particular, for closed mappings betweem paracompact \mathfrak{G} -spaces (Corollary 1) and for continuous mappings between regular spaces with a countable network (Corollary 2). However, we do not know whether the general factorization theorem by weight and dimension for paracompact \mathfrak{G} -spaces is true. To be precise, is it true that for a continuous mapping f:X \longrightarrow Y where X is normal and Y is a paracompact \mathfrak{G} -space, there exists a paracompact \mathfrak{G} -space Z and continuous mappings g:X \longrightarrow Z and h:Z \longrightarrow Y such that f=h \circ g, dim Z \leq dim X and w(Z) \leq w(Y).

The starting idea for our work was the concept of a weak bijection introduced by A.V. Archangelskii [1]. A continuous bijection f:X \longrightarrow Y is called weak if X is regular, Y is paracompact and there exists a σ -discrete family \mathcal{K} in Y such that - 211 - $f^{-1}(\mathcal{K})$ is a network in X. In [1] it was proved that a space, from which there exists a weak bijection , is a paracompact \mathfrak{S} -space. Besides, every paracompact \mathfrak{S} -space can be mapped by a weak bijection onto a metric space.

The next definition generalizes the idea of a weak bijection.

<u>Definition 1</u>. A continuous mapping $f:X \longrightarrow Y$ of a regular space X onto a paracompact space Y is called \mathscr{T} -discrete if there exists a \mathscr{T} -discrete network \mathscr{K} in X such that $f(\mathscr{K})$ is a \mathscr{T} -discrete network in Y.

The next result is analogous to a theorem of A.V. Archangelskii [1].

<u>Proposition 1</u>. If there exists a 6-discrete mapping from a regular space X then X is a paracompact 6-space.

Proof. Let $f: X \to Y$ be a \mathcal{G} -discrete mapping and let \mathcal{K} be a \mathcal{G} -discrete network in X such that the network $f(\mathcal{K})$ is \mathcal{G} -discrete in Y. We shall prove that X is paracompact, i.e. that every open cover \mathcal{U} has a \mathcal{G} -discrete open refinement \mathcal{V} . Notice first that without loss of generality one can assume that for each K $\in \mathcal{K}$ there exists U(K) $\in \mathcal{U}$ such that K \subset U(K). Since Y is collectionwise normal, there exists a \mathcal{G} -discrete open family $\{0_K: K \in \mathcal{K}\}$ in Y such that $f(K) \subset 0_K$ for each $K \in \mathcal{K}$. Hence the family $\mathcal{V} = \{f^{-1}(0_K) \cap U(K): K \in \mathcal{K}\}$ is a \mathcal{G} -discrete open refinement of \mathcal{U} .

Discrete sets and paracompactness are preserved by closed (continuous) mappings. Hence, we have

Proposition 2. If X is a paracompact δ-space and a mapping f:K → Y is a closed continuous one, then f is δ-discrete. Let us note

<u>Proposition 3</u>. Every continuous mapping of a space with a countable network onto a regular space is \mathcal{C} -discrete.

<u>Definition 2</u>. By a quasi- rigid system we call an inverse system $\{\chi_{\alpha}, \mathcal{F}_{\alpha}, \mathcal{F}_{\beta}, \alpha, \beta \in A\}$ such that all the spaces χ_{α} are paracompact with a \mathfrak{S} -discrete network \mathcal{F}_{α} and \mathfrak{F}_{β} $(\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}) = \mathcal{F}_{\beta}$ for all $\beta \leq \infty$. A quasi-rigid system is rigid if all the projections $\mathfrak{F}_{\beta}^{\alpha}$ are continuous bijections.

The notion of a rigid system was introduced and considered in [2],[3]. It was proved there that the limit of a rigid system is a paracompact \mathcal{E} -space and that every paracompact \mathcal{E} -space is homeomorphic to the limit of a rigid system consisting of metric spaces. Notice that in [3] we have constructed an example of a rigid system consisting of complete metric spaces, the limit of which is not metrizable. Furthermore, in [4], we have constructed an example of such a system the limit of which is even not stratifiable.

The notion of a quasi-rigid system can be defined in categorical terms. Consider a category \mathscr{C} with objects (X, \mathscr{F}) and morphisms $f:(X, \mathscr{F}) \longrightarrow (Y, \mathscr{K})$, where X is paracompact, \mathscr{F} is a \mathscr{C} -discrete network in X, f is continuous and $f(\mathscr{F}) = \mathscr{K}$. Then quasi-rigid systems are exactly the inverse systems in the category \mathscr{C} .

It is obvious that the limit projections of a quasi-rigid system are \mathscr{C} -discrete. Hence the proposition 1 implies

<u>Proposition 4</u>. The limit space of a quasi-rigid system is a paracompact $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ -space.

In [2], [3] we have proved the next

<u>Theorem 1</u>. The following conditions for a space X are equivalent:

X is a paracompact 𝒞-space and dim X ≤ n.

2) X is a limit of a rigid system consisting of spaces of dimension dim $\leq n$.

 X is a limit of a rigid system consisting of metric spaces of dimension dim ≤ n.

The next theorem slightly strengthens the previous one:

<u>Theorem 2</u>. X is a paracompact 6'-space and dim $X \leq n$ if it is homeomorphic to a limit of a quasi-rigid system of metric spaces X_{∞} such that dim $X_{\infty} \leq n$.

Proof. Let $S = \{X_{\alpha}, \mathcal{F}_{\alpha}, \pi_{\beta}^{\alpha}, \alpha, \beta \in A\}$ be a quasi-rigid system, $X = \underbrace{\lim}_{\alpha} S, \pi_{\alpha} : X \longrightarrow X_{\alpha}$ be limit projections, X_{α} be metrizable and dim $X_{\alpha} \notin n$ for each $\infty \in A$. We shall prove that the quasi-rigid system S is cylindrical in the sense of Yajima [8] that each finite cozero cover of X has a 6-locally finite refinement -213 -

consisting of sets of the form $\pi_{\infty}^{-1}(U)$, where U is a cozero-set in X_{α} .

Let $\mathscr{F} = \pi_{\infty}^{-1}(\mathscr{F}_{\alpha})$ and ω be a finite open (cozero) cover of X. Notice that without loss of generality one can assume that \mathscr{F} is a refinement of ω . Let \mathfrak{F} be a standard base in X consisting of the sets of the form $\pi_{\infty}^{-1}(\mathbb{U})$, where U is open in X_{∞} $(\alpha \in A)$ and let \mathscr{U} be a maximal subfamily of \mathfrak{F} which refines ω . Moreover, without loss of generality one can assume that \mathscr{F} is a refinement of \mathscr{U} . Then for every $\mathsf{F} \in \mathscr{F}$ there exists an open set $\mathbb{O}(\mathsf{F}) \in \mathscr{U}$ and an element $\alpha(\mathsf{F}) \in \mathsf{A}$ such that $\mathsf{F} \subset \mathbb{O}(\mathsf{F}), \omega' =$ $= \{\mathbb{O}(\mathsf{F}):\mathsf{F} \in \mathscr{F}\}$ is a \mathscr{C} -discrete family and $\mathbb{O}(\mathsf{F}) = \pi_{\alpha(\mathsf{F})}^{-1}(\mathbb{U})$ for an open set $\mathbb{U} \subset X_{\alpha}(\mathsf{F})$. Thus the inverse system S is cylindrical. Hence by a theorem of Yajima [8] dim X \not\in \sup \{\dim X_{\infty} : \alpha \in \mathsf{A}\} \not\leq \mathsf{n} (it actually follows from a result of B.A. Pasynkov [7, Proposition 10]).

<u>Theorem 3</u>. For every **6**-discrete mapping $f:X \rightarrow Y$ there exists a paracompact **6**-space Z and **6**-discrete mappings $g:X \rightarrow Z$ and $h:Z \rightarrow Y$ such that dim $Z \leq \dim X$, $w(Z) \leq w(Y)$ and $f=h \circ g$.

Proof. Consider a \mathscr{T} -discrete network \mathscr{K} in the space X such that $\mathscr{T} = f(\mathscr{K})$ is a \mathscr{T} -discrete network in the space Y. By Definition 1 and Proposition 1 the spaces X and Y are paracompact. The space Y can be represented as a limit of a rigid system $\{Y_{\alpha}, \mathscr{T}_{\alpha}, , \pi_{\beta}^{\alpha}, \alpha, \beta \in A\}$ such that all the spaces Y_{α} are metrizable, |A| = w(Y), each element of the index set A has only a finite number of predecessors and $\mathcal{T}_{\alpha}(\mathscr{F}) = \mathscr{T}_{\alpha}$ for all $\alpha \in A$. We denote by A_k the set of all elements of A with exactly k predecessors $(k=0,1,\ldots)$ and let $B_n = \underbrace{\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\alpha}}_{\beta} \circ f_{\alpha}$ for each $\beta \neq \infty$.

By induction we shall construct a quasi-rigid system S = = { Z_{α} , \mathcal{L}_{α} , p_{β}^{α} , α , $\beta \in A$ } and systems of 6-discrete mappings { $(g_{\alpha}: X \rightarrow Z_{\alpha}): \alpha \in A$ } and { $(h_{\alpha}: Z_{\alpha} \rightarrow Y_{\alpha}): \alpha \in A$ } with the following properties for each $\alpha \in A$ and each $\beta < \alpha : 1$) Z_{α} is a metrizable space; 2) dim $Z_{\alpha} \in \dim X$; 3) w(Z_{α}) \leq w(Y); 4) f_{α} = = $h_{\alpha} \cdot g_{\alpha}$; 5) $h_{\alpha}(\mathcal{L}_{\alpha}) = \mathcal{F}_{\alpha}$; 6) $g_{\alpha}(\mathcal{K}) = \mathcal{L}_{\alpha}$; 7) $g_{\beta} = p_{\beta}^{\alpha} \cdot g_{\alpha}$; 8) $p_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \cdot h_{\beta} = \pi_{\beta}^{\alpha} \cdot h_{\alpha}$.

By Pasynkov's factorization theorem for metric spaces for each $\alpha \in A_0$ there exist a space Z_{α} and mappings g_{α} and h_{α} . Assume that a quasi-rigid system $S_m = \{Z_{\alpha}, \mathcal{L}_{\alpha}, p_{/3}, \alpha, \beta \in B_m\}$ and -214 - systems of mappings $\{(g_{\alpha}: X \longrightarrow Z_{\alpha}): \alpha \in B_{m}\}$ and $\{(h_{\alpha}: Z_{\alpha} \longrightarrow Y_{\alpha}): \alpha \in B_{m}\}$ satisfying the conditions 1) - 8) are already constructed. We shall construct a quasi-rigid system S_{m+1} and mappings g_{α} and h_{α} , $\alpha \in A_{m+1}$.

Consider $\mathcal{A} \in A_{m+1}$ and a mapping $\tilde{f}_{\alpha} = f_{\Delta}(\Delta \{g_{\beta}: \beta < \alpha, \beta \in A_{m}\})$: $\chi \longrightarrow \gamma_{\alpha} \times \Pi\{Z_{\beta}: \beta < \alpha, \beta \in A_{m}\}$. By Pasynkov factorization theorem for metric spaces there exist a metric space Z_{α} and \mathcal{C} -discrete mappings $\tilde{h}_{\alpha}: Z_{\alpha} \longrightarrow \gamma_{\alpha} \times \Pi\{Z_{\beta}: \beta < \infty, \beta \in A_{m}\}$ and $g_{\alpha}: \chi \longrightarrow Z_{\alpha}$ such that $\tilde{f}_{\alpha} = \tilde{h}_{\alpha} \circ g_{\alpha}$, dim $Z_{\alpha} \leq \dim \chi$ and $w(Z_{\alpha}) \leq w(\gamma \times \Pi\{Z_{\beta}: \beta < \alpha, \beta \in A_{m}\}) \leq$ $\leq w(\gamma)$. Define a mapping h_{α} as the composition of \tilde{h}_{α} with the projection of $\tilde{h}_{\alpha}(Z_{\alpha})$ onto γ_{α} in the product $\gamma_{\alpha} \times \Pi\{Z_{\beta}: \beta < \alpha, \beta \in A_{m}\}$. If is easy to notice that the family \mathcal{L}_{α} is a \mathcal{C} -discrete network in the space Z_{α} . For $\beta < \alpha$ and $\beta \in A_{m}$ we define p_{β}^{α} as the composition of \tilde{h}_{α} . with the projection of $\tilde{h}_{\alpha}(Z_{\alpha})$ onto Z_{β} in the product $\gamma_{\alpha} \times \Pi\{Z_{\beta}: \beta < \alpha, \beta \in A_{m}\}$. It is easy to construct other projections p_{β}^{α} and to notice that $h_{\alpha}(\mathcal{L}_{\alpha}) = \mathcal{F}_{\alpha}, g_{\alpha}(\mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{L}_{\alpha}$ and $p_{\beta}^{\alpha}(\mathcal{L}_{\alpha}) = \mathcal{L}_{\beta}$ for each $\beta \leq \alpha$. In the same manner we can verify the conditions 7) and 8).

If we make such a construction for every $\ll \epsilon A_m$, we shall get a quasi-rigid system S_{m+1} . Thus we get quasi-rigid systems $(S_m:m \epsilon N)$ satisfying the conditions 1) - 8) and such that $S_m \epsilon S_{m+1}$. It is obvious that the quasi-rigid system $S = \bigcup \{S_m:m \epsilon N\}$ satisfies the conditions 1) - 8).

Define the space Z as the inverse limit of the quasi-rigid system S and let $p_{\alpha}: Z \longrightarrow Z_{\infty}$ be the limit projections ($\alpha \in A$). By Theorem 2, Z is a paracompact \mathfrak{S} -space and dim $Z \neq \dim X$. Using the condition 3) and the inequality $|A| \neq w(Y)$ we get $w(Z) \neq |A| \cdot W(Y) \neq w(Y)$. Moreover, the system $\mathscr{L} = \mathscr{T}_{\infty}^{-1}(\mathscr{L}_{\infty})$ ($\alpha \in A$) is a \mathfrak{S} -discrete network in Z. From the condition 7) and the definition of limits of inverse systems it follows that there exists a unique mapping g:X $\longrightarrow Z$ which is defined by the system $\{(g_{\alpha}:$:X $\longrightarrow Z_{\alpha}): \alpha \in A\}$ and such that $g_{\alpha} = p_{\alpha} \circ g$ for every $\alpha \in A$. By the condition 8 the system $\{(h_{\alpha}: Z_{\alpha} \longrightarrow Y_{\alpha}): \alpha \in A\}$ is a morphism in the category of quasi-rigid systems from the system S to the system $\{Y_{\alpha}, \mathscr{F}_{\alpha}, \mathscr{T}_{\beta}, \alpha, \beta \in A\}$. Hence there exists a mapping $h: Z \longrightarrow Y$ such that $\mathscr{T}_{\alpha} \circ h = h_{\alpha} \circ p_{\alpha}$ for every $\alpha \in A$. Hence $h(\mathscr{L}) = \mathscr{F}$ and analogously $g(\mathscr{K}) = \mathscr{L}$. Thus the mappings g and h are $\, {\mathfrak G} - {\rm discrete} \, .$ To complete the proof one has only to notice that f=h \circ g.

Theorem 3 and Proposition 2 imply immediately the following

<u>Corollary 1</u>. For every closed mapping $f:X \rightarrow Y$ of a paracompact \mathfrak{G} -space X there exist a paracompact \mathfrak{G} -space Z and continuous mappings $g:X \rightarrow Z$ and $h:Z \rightarrow Y$ such that dim $Z \leq \dim X$, $w(Z) \leq w(Y)$ and $f=h \circ g$.

Theorem 3 together with Proposition 3 immediately imply the following

<u>Corollary 2</u>. For every continuous mapping $f:X \longrightarrow Y$ of a regular space X with a countable network onto a regular space Y there exist a regular space Z with a countable network and continuous mappings $g:X \longrightarrow Z$ and $h:Z \longrightarrow Y$ such that dim $Z \notin \dim X$, $w(Z) \neq w(Y)$ and $f=h \circ g$.

References

- [1] A.V. ARKHANGEL SKIJ: Klassy topologicheskikh grupp, Uspekhi matematicheskikh nauk 36,3(1981), 127-146.
- [2] Ju.H. BREGMAN: Paracompact & spaces: inverse systems and dimension, Colloquium on topology, Eger, Hungary, 1983, p. 13.
- [3] Yu.Kh. BREGMAN: Metod zhestkikh spektrov v teorii parakompaktnykh 6-prostranstv, Topologicheskie prostranstva i ikh otobrazheniya, Riga, 1985, s. 18-32.
- [4] Yu.Kh. BREGMAN, A.P. SHOSTAK, Kh. YUNNILA: Spektral naya kharakteristika kruzhevykh i M₁-prostranstv (to appear).
- [5] K. ENGELKING: Dimension theory, Warszawa, PWN, 1978.
- [6] K. KURATOWSKI: Topology, vol. 1, N.Y., Academic Press.1966.
- [7] B.A. PASYNKOV: Faktorizacionnye teoremy v teorii razmernosti, Uspekhi matematicheskikh nauk 36,3(1981), 147-175.
- [8] Y. YAJIMA: On the dimension of limits of inverse systems, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 91(1984), 416-466.

Mathematical Department, Latvian State University, Rainis bulv. 19, 226098 Riga 98, USSR

(Oblatum 17.12. 1986)

- 216 -