

Bogdan Rzepecki

Existence of solutions of the Darboux problem for partial differential equations
in Banach spaces

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 28 (1987), No. 3, 421--426

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/106554>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1987

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

EXISTENCE OF SOLUTIONS OF THE DARBOUX PROBLEM
FOR PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS IN BANACH SPACES

Bogdan RZEPECKI

Abstract: We consider the existence of solutions of the classical Darboux problem for the partial differential equation $u''_{x_1 x_2 x_3} = f(x_1, x_2, x_3, u, u'_{x_1}, u'_{x_2}, u'_{x_3}, u''_{x_1 x_2}, u''_{x_1 x_3}, u''_{x_2 x_3})$ via a fixed point theorem of Sadovskii. Here f is a continuous function with values in a Banach space satisfying some regularity condition expressed in terms of the measure of noncompactness α .

Key words: Hyperbolic partial differential equations, Darboux conditions, existence solutions in a Banach space, measure of noncompactness.

Classification: 35A05, 35L15, 34G20.

1. Introduction. In the present note we consider the following hyperbolic partial differential equation:

$$(*) \quad u''_{x_1 x_2 x_3} = f(x_1, x_2, x_3, u, u'_{x_1}, u'_{x_2}, u'_{x_3}, u''_{x_1 x_2}, u''_{x_1 x_3}, u''_{x_2 x_3})$$

with suitable initial boundary conditions of the Darboux type.

Equations of the type (*) (in Euclidean spaces) are considered in papers by Kwapisz, Palczewski and Pawelski [9], Conlan [5], Castellano [3], Palczewski [11], Frasca [8], Chu and Diaz [4], and others. Below, we prove the existence theorem for the case where f is a continuous function with values in a Banach space satisfying some regularity condition expressed in terms of the measure of noncompactness α . The proof is based on the fixed point theorem of Sadovskii ([12], Theorem 3.4.4).

2. Notations and preliminaries. Let a_i ($i=1,2,3$) be positive real numbers. We put $I_i = [0, a_i]$ and $V = I_1 \times I_2 \times I_3$. Throughout this paper E is a Banach space with norm $\| \cdot \|$, and f is an E -valued continuous function defined on the product $\Omega = V \times E \times E^3 \times E^3$. By $C(V, E)$ we represent the standard Banach space of all E -valued continuous functions on V . Moreover, let $C^*(V, E)$ denote the class of E -valued functions $(x_1, x_2, x_3) \mapsto u(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ continuous on V to

gether with their partial derivatives $u'_{x_1}, u'_{x_2}, u'_{x_3}, u''_{x_1x_2}, u''_{x_1x_3}, u''_{x_2x_3}$ and $u'''_{x_1x_2x_3}$.

The measure of noncompactness $\alpha(A)$ of a nonempty bounded subset A of E is defined as the infimum of all $\epsilon > 0$ such that there exists a finite covering of A by sets of diameter $\leq \epsilon$. For the properties of α the reader is referred to [2],[6],[7],[12].

We shall use in the sequel the following immediate adaptation of Lemma 2.2 of [1] (cf. [10]): If P is a compact subset of V , then $\alpha(\cup\{W(\xi): \xi \in P\}) = \sup\{\alpha(W(\xi)): \xi \in P\}$ for a bounded equicontinuous subset W of $C(V,E)$ (here $W(\xi)$ stands for the set of all $w(\xi)$ with $w \in W$).

We state the Sadovskii fixed point theorem as follows.

Let \mathcal{X} be a closed convex subset of $C(V,E)$. Let Φ be a function which maps each nonempty subset W of \mathcal{X} to a real nonnegative $\Phi(W)$ with (1) $\Phi(\{w\} \cup W) = \Phi(W)$ for $w \in \mathcal{X}$, (2) $\Phi(\overline{\text{conv } W}) = \Phi(W)$ ($\overline{\text{conv } W}$ is the closed convex hull of W), and (3) if $\Phi(W) = 0$ then \overline{W} (the closure of W) is compact in $C(V,E)$. Assume that F is a continuous mapping of \mathcal{X} into itself such that $\Phi(F[W]) < \Phi(W)$ whenever $\Phi(W) > 0$. Then F has a fixed point in \mathcal{X} .

3. Formulation of the problem and result. We write $J_{jk} = I_j \times I_k$ for $j, k = 1, 2, 3$ with $j < k$. Let us determine E -valued functions σ_1, σ_2 and σ_3 continuous respectively on J_{23}, J_{13} and J_{12} , including the second mixed derivatives, and fulfilling the conditions

$$\sigma_1(0, x_3) = \sigma_2(0, x_3), \quad \sigma_1(x_2, 0) = \sigma_3(0, x_2), \quad \sigma_2(x_1, 0) = \sigma_3(x_1, 0)$$

for $x_i \in I_i$ ($i=1, 2, 3$).

By (PD) we shall denote the problem of finding a function $u \in C^*(V,E)$ satisfying (+) and the initial conditions

$$u(0, x_2, x_3) = \sigma_1(x_2, x_3), \quad u(x_1, 0, x_3) = \sigma_2(x_1, x_3), \quad u(x_1, x_2, 0) = \sigma_3(x_1, x_2)$$

for all $(x_j, x_k) \in J_{jk}$.

We shall write the right side of (+) shortly as $f(\xi, u, R, Q)$, where $\xi = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$ and $R = (r_1, r_2, r_3)$, $Q = (q_{12}, q_{13}, q_{23})$ with $r_1(\xi) = u'_{x_1}(\xi)$, $q_{jk}(\xi) = u''_{x_j x_k}(\xi)$. Moreover, let $\Theta = (0, 0, 0)$ (here 0 is the zero of E).

Our result reads as follows.

Theorem. Let f be uniformly continuous on bounded subsets of Ω . Assume that the following conditions hold:

$$1^\circ \quad \|f(\xi, u, \Theta, \Theta)\| \leq c_1 + c_2 \|u\| \quad \text{for } \xi \in V \text{ and } u \in E.$$

$$2^0 \quad \|f(\xi, u, R, Q) - f(\xi, u, \bar{R}, \bar{Q})\| \leq \omega\left(\sum_i \|r_i - \bar{r}_i\| + \sum_{j < k} \|q_{jk} - \bar{q}_{jk}\|\right)$$

for $(\xi, u, R, Q) \in \Omega$ and $(\xi, u, \bar{R}, \bar{Q}) \in \Omega$, where $t \mapsto \omega(t)$ is a nonnegative continuous nondecreasing and subadditive function with $\omega(0)=0$ only for $t=0$ and

$$\int_0^\eta \frac{dt}{\omega(t)} = +\infty$$

for $\eta > 0$.

3^0 $\alpha(f[\xi, A]) \leq L \cdot \max\{\alpha(A_i) : 1 \leq i \leq 7\}$ for $\xi \in V$ and any set A which is the product of nonempty bounded subsets A_i of E .

Under these assumptions, the problem (PD) admits at least one solution on V .

Proof. Put $u_{x_1 x_2 x_3}^m = s$. For the convenience we assume that $\sigma_i \equiv 0$ for $i=1, 2, 3$. Then, (PD) is equivalent to solving the functional-integral equation

$$\begin{aligned}
 (*) \quad s(x, y, z) = & f(x, y, z, \int_0^x \int_0^y \int_0^z s(t_1, t_2, t_3) dt_1 dt_2 dt_3, \\
 & \int_0^y \int_0^z s(x, t_2, t_3) dt_2 dt_3, \int_0^x \int_0^z s(t_1, y, t_3) dt_1 dt_3, \\
 & \int_0^x \int_0^y s(t_1, t_2, z) dt_1 dt_2, \\
 & \int_0^z s(x, y, t_3) dt_3, \int_0^y s(x, t_2, z) dt_2, \int_0^x s(t_1, y, z) dt_1)
 \end{aligned}$$

in $C(V, E)$.

Let $\lambda = 1 + c_1 + c_2 + 7 \omega(1)$. Let Γ be the set of all $(\xi, u, R, Q) \in \Omega$ such that $\|u\| \leq \lambda^{-2} \exp(3\lambda)$, $\|r_i\| \leq \lambda^{-1} \exp(3\lambda)$ and $\|q_{jk}\| \leq \exp(3\lambda)$ for $i, j, k = 1, 2, 3$ with $j < k$. We set:

$$\tilde{\omega}(\eta) = \sup \{ \|f(\xi, u, R, Q) - f(\bar{\xi}, \bar{u}, \bar{R}, \bar{Q})\| : (\xi, u, R, Q), (\bar{\xi}, \bar{u}, \bar{R}, \bar{Q}) \in \Gamma \text{ with } \|u - \bar{u}\| + \sum_i |x_i - \bar{x}_i| \leq \eta \}$$

and

$$\varphi(\eta) = \tilde{\omega}((1 + \exp(3\lambda))\eta) + \omega((2 + \lambda)\exp(3\lambda)\eta)$$

for $\eta \geq 0$.

According to the lemma of [11] the equation

$$\begin{aligned}
 h(x, y; \eta) = & \varphi(\eta) + \omega\left(\int_0^x \int_0^y h(t_1, t_2; \eta) dt_1 dt_2 + \right. \\
 & \left. + \int_0^x h(t_1, y; \eta) dt_1 + \int_0^y h(x, t_2; \eta) dt_2\right)
 \end{aligned}$$

has a continuous solution h such that $h(x, y, 0) \equiv 0$. Denote by \mathfrak{X} the set of all $w \in C(V, E)$ with

$$\|w(\xi)\| \leq \lambda \cdot \exp\left(\lambda \sum_i x_i\right)$$

and

$$\|w(\xi) - w(\bar{\xi})\| \leq h(\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2; |\bar{x}_3 - x_3|) + h(\bar{x}_1, x_3; |\bar{x}_2 - x_2|) + h(x_2, x_3; |\bar{x}_1 - x_1|)$$

for $\xi = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in V$ and $\bar{\xi} = (\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \bar{x}_3) \in V$.

Let F be determined by the right side of $(*)$. It is easy to verify that \mathfrak{X} is a closed convex equicontinuous and bounded subset of $C(V, E)$, and F is a continuous mapping of \mathfrak{X} into itself.

Let $r > \max(1, L)$. Define

$$\Phi(W) = \sup \{ \exp(-r\xi) \alpha(W(\xi)) : \xi \in V \}$$

for a nonempty subset W of \mathfrak{X} . By properties of α and Ascoli theorem, our function Φ satisfies the conditions (1) - (3) listed in Section 2.

Let W be a subset of \mathfrak{X} with $\Phi(W) > 0$. To prove the theorem it remains to be shown that $\Phi(F[W]) < \Phi(W)$.

Fix (x, y, z) in V . Consider the continuous function $\psi(\xi) = \alpha(W(\xi))$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary and $\sigma = \sigma(\varepsilon)$ a positive number such that $\xi' = (t_1', t_2', t_3') \in V$ and $\xi'' = (t_1'', t_2'', t_3'') \in V$ with $|t_i' - t_i''| < \sigma$ ($i=1, 2, 3$) implies $|\psi(\xi') - \psi(\xi'')| < \varepsilon$. We divide the intervals $[0, x]$, $[0, y]$ and $[0, z]$ into m parts

$$x_0 = 0 < x_1 < \dots < x_m = x, \quad y_0 = 0 < y_1 < \dots < y_m = y, \quad z_0 = 0 < z_1 < \dots < z_m = z$$

in such a way that

$$\max \{ |x_i - x_{i-1}|, |y_i - y_{i-1}|, |z_i - z_{i-1}| : i=1, 2, \dots, m \} < \sigma.$$

Define

$$P_{ijk} = [x_{i-1}, x_i] \times [y_{j-1}, y_j] \times [z_{k-1}, z_k], \quad W_{ijk} = \cup \{ W(\xi) : \xi \in P_{ijk} \}$$

for $i, j, k=1, 2, \dots, m$. Moreover, let ξ_0 be a point in P_{ijk} such that $\psi(\xi_0) = \sup \{ \psi(\xi) : \xi \in P_{ijk} \}$.

Denote by $A_0 = \int_0^x \int_0^y \int_0^z W(t_1, t_2, t_3) dt_1 dt_2 dt_3$ the set of all

$\int_0^x \int_0^y \int_0^z w(t_1, t_2, t_3) dt_1 dt_2 dt_3$ with $w \in W$. Applying the integral mean value theorem we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(A_0) &\leq \alpha \left(\sum_{i,j,k=1}^m \text{mes}(P_{ijk}) \overline{\text{conv}}(W_{ijk}) \right) = \\ &= \sum_{i,j,k=1}^m \text{mes}(P_{ijk}) \sup \{ \psi(\xi) : \xi \in P_{ijk} \} \leq \sum_{i,j,k=1}^m \int_{P_{ijk}} (|\psi(t_1, t_2, t_3) - \psi(\xi_0)| + \\ &+ \psi(t_1, t_2, t_3)) dt_1 dt_2 dt_3 < \varepsilon xyz + \int_0^x \int_0^y \int_0^z \psi(t_1, t_2, t_3) dt_1 dt_2 dt_3 \leq \\ &\leq \varepsilon xyz + \Phi(W) \int_0^x \int_0^y \int_0^z \exp(r(t_1 + t_2 + t_3)) dt_1 dt_2 dt_3; \end{aligned}$$

therefore

$$\alpha(A_0) < r^{-3} \exp(r(x+y+z)) \cdot \Phi(W).$$

Further, by A_i ($i=1, 2, 3$) and A_{ijk} ($j, k=1, 2, 3$ with $j < k$) we represent

the sets

$$\int_0^y \int_0^z w(x, t_2, t_3) dt_2 dt_3, \int_0^x \int_0^z w(t_1, y, t_3) dt_1 dt_3, \int_0^x \int_0^y w(t_1, t_2, z) dt_1 dt_2$$

and

$$\int_0^z w(x, y, t_3) dt_3, \int_0^y w(x, t_2, z) dt_2, \int_0^x w(t_1, y, z) dt_1,$$

respectively. Arguments analogous to the above imply that

$$\alpha(A_i) < r^{-2} \cdot \exp(r(x+y+z)) \cdot \Phi(W)$$

and

$$\alpha(A_{jk}) < r^{-1} \exp(r(x+y+z)) \cdot \Phi(W).$$

Consequently,

$$\alpha(F[W](x, y, z)) \leq$$

$$\leq L \cdot \max \{ \alpha(A_0), \alpha(A_i), \alpha(A_{jk}) : i, j, k = 1, 2, 3 \text{ with } j < k \} <$$

$$< r^{-1} L \cdot \exp(r(x+y+z)) \cdot \Phi(W)$$

for all $(x, y, z) \in V$. This shows that $\Phi(F[W]) \leq r^{-1} L \cdot \Phi(W)$. Now, applying Sadovskii's theorem, we infer that F has a fixed point in \mathfrak{X} and the proof is complete.

References

- [1] A. AMBROSETTI: Un teorema di esistenza per le equazioni differenziali negli spazi di Banach, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova 39(1967), 349-360.
- [2] J. BANAŚ and K. GOEBEL: Measure of Noncompactness in Banach Spaces, Lect. Notes Pure Applied Math. 60, Marcel Dekker, New York 1980.
- [3] L. CASTELLANO: Sull' approssimazione, col metodo di Tonelli, delle soluzioni del problema di Darboux per l'equazione $u_{xyz} = f(x, y, z, u, u_x, u_y, u_z)$, Le Matematiche 23(1)(1968), 107-123.
- [4] S.C. CHU and J.B. DIAZ: The Coursat problem for the partial differential equation $u_{xyz} = f$. A mirage, J. Math. Mech. 16(1967), 709-713.
- [5] J. CONLAN: An existence theorem for the equation $u_{xyz} = f$, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 9(1962), 64-76.
- [6] J. DANEŠ: On densifying and related mappings and their application in nonlinear functional analysis, Theory of Nonlinear Operators, Akademie-Verlag, Berlin 1974, 15-46.
- [7] K. DEIMLING: Ordinary Differential Equations in Banach Spaces, Lect. Notes in Math. 596, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1977.
- [8] M. FRASCA: Su un problema ai limiti per l'equazione $u_{xyz} = f(x, y, z, u, u_x, u_y, u_z)$, Matematiche (Catania) 21(1966), 396-412.
- [9] M. KWAPISZ, B. PALCZEWSKI et W. PAWELSKI: Sur l'équations et l'unicité des solutions de certaines équations différentielles du type $u_{xyz} = f(x, y, z, u, u_x, u_y, u_z, u_{xy}, u_{xz}, u_{yz})$, Ann. Polon. Math. 11 (1961), 75-106.

[10] R.D. NUSSBAUM: The fixed point index and fixed point theorems for k -set-contraction, Ph.D. dissertation, University of Chicago, 1969.

[11] B. PALCZEWSKI: Existence and uniqueness of solutions of the Darboux problem for the equation

$$\frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2 \partial x_3} = f(x_1, x_2, x_3, u, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_2}, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_3}, \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2}, \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_1 \partial x_3}, \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_2 \partial x_3}),$$

Ann. Polon. Math. 13(1963), 267-277.

[12] B.N. SADOVSKII: Limit compact and condensing operators, Math. Surveys, 27(1972), 86-144.

Institute of Mathematics, Higher College of Engineering, Podgórna 50,
65-246 Zielona Góra, Poland.

(Oblatum 20.3. 1987)