

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae

Juraj Weisz

A posteriori error estimate of approximate solutions to a mildly nonlinear elliptic boundary value problem

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 31 (1990), No. 2,
315--322

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/106861>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1990

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library*
<http://project.dml.cz>

A posteriori error estimate of approximate solutions to a mildly nonlinear elliptic boundary value problem

JURAJ WEISZ

Abstract. The paper deals with a computable a posteriori error estimate of the approximate solution to a mildly nonlinear elliptic boundary value problem with Dirichlet boundary condition. The convergence of the presented error estimate to the true error is proved.

Keywords: a posteriori error estimates, nonlinear elliptic equations

Classification: 65G99, 65N15

INTRODUCTION

This paper deals with an a posteriori error estimate of the error of the approximate solution to a mildly nonlinear elliptic boundary value problem with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} -\Delta u + g(u) &= f \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

The main idea consists in the construction of convergent lower estimates for the potential of problem (1). A posteriori error estimates for linear problems (cases $g \equiv 0$ resp. $g = \lambda u, \lambda > 0$) have been studied in [HK], [HH], [K] resp. [A], [AB], [V]. A generalization of our approach for problems more general than (1) is sketched in Remark 4.

In the sequel we shall adopt the following notations: $\Omega \subset R^2$ denotes a simply connected, bounded domain with polygonal boundary $\partial\Omega$, V denotes the Sobolev space $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ endowed with the inner product

$$(2) \quad ((u, v)) = \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} dx$$

and the norm $\|u\| = ((u, u))^{1/2}$. If B is a Banach space B' denotes its dual and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_B$ denotes the duality pairing between B' and B . If $B : B \rightarrow \bar{R}$ is a functional then $B^* : B' \rightarrow \bar{R}$ denotes its conjugate functional

$$(3) \quad B^*(b') = \sup_{b \in B} \{ \langle b', b \rangle_B - B(b) \}.$$

If B and C are Banach spaces, $L(B, C)$ denotes the space of all linear bounded operators from B to C , and if $A \in L(B, C)$ then $A' \in L(C', B')$ denotes its transpose defined by $\langle A'c', b \rangle_{B'} = \langle c', Ab \rangle_C$ for $b \in B, c' \in C'$.

We suppose that $g : R \rightarrow R$ is a surjective increasing continuous function satisfying $g(0) = 0$ and that for some $c > 0, \beta > 0, d > 0$ the following inequality holds

$$(4) \quad |g(t)| \leq c + d |t|^\beta \quad t \in R.$$

Further let $f \in V'$, $f = f_0 + \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_2}$, $f_i \in L_2(\Omega)$, $i = 0, 1, 2$. ($\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_i}$ are distributive derivatives of f_i , $i = 1, 2$.)

Under conditions stated above we can consider (using Sobolev's imbedding theorem) the weak formulation of (1): Find $u \in V$, such that

$$(5) \quad \int_{\Omega} \text{grad} u \cdot \text{grad} v \, dx + \int_{\Omega} g(u) v \, dx = \langle f, v \rangle \quad v \in V$$

and define its potential $\mathcal{F} : V \rightarrow R$

$$(6) \quad \mathcal{F}(v) = \frac{1}{2} \|v\|^2 - \langle f, v \rangle_V + j(v)$$

with convex continuous G-differentiable functional $j : V \rightarrow R$

$$(7) \quad j(v) = \int_{\Omega} \int_0^{v(x)} g(t) \, dt \, dx.$$

It is well known (e.g. [KF]) that unique solution u to problem (5) exists and that problem (5) is equivalent to problem Find $u \in V$, such, that

$$(8) \quad \mathcal{F}(u) = \inf_{v \in V} \mathcal{F}(v).$$

Functional \mathcal{F} can be minimized e.g. by the Ritz method. If some lower estimate d for $\mathcal{F}(u)$ is known, then $\|u - v\|$ can be estimated for arbitrary $v \in V$ using the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(v) - d &\geq \mathcal{F}(v) - \mathcal{F}(u) = \\ \frac{1}{2} \|v\|^2 - \langle f, v \rangle_V + j(v) - \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 + \langle f, u \rangle_V - j(u) &\geq \\ \frac{1}{2} \|v\|^2 + \langle f, u - v \rangle_V - \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 + \int_{\Omega} g(u)(v - u) \, dx &= \\ \frac{1}{2} \|v\|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 + ((u, u - v)) &= \frac{1}{2} \|v - u\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

which follows from (5) and from properties of j . It is clear that if $u_n, n = 1, 2, \dots$ is a minimizing sequence for \mathcal{F} and if we can construct a sequence $d_n, n = 1, 2, \dots$ of real numbers, which satisfies $d_n \leq \mathcal{F}(u_n), n = 1, 2, \dots, d_n \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(u)$ then

$$\frac{1}{2} \|u_n - u\|^2 \leq \mathcal{F}(u_n) - d_n \rightarrow 0.$$

In what follows, such d_n 's will be constructed.

DUAL PROBLEM FOR (5)

Using duality theory [ET, Chapter III] we shall construct functional \mathcal{L} which satisfies

$$(9) \quad \sup \mathcal{L} = \inf \mathcal{F}.$$

Values of this functional can be used as lower estimates of $\mathcal{F}(u)$. Setting $F : V \rightarrow \bar{R}$, $F(v) = \frac{1}{2} \|v\|^2 - \langle f, v \rangle$, $H = L_2(\Omega)$ with usual inner product, $G : H \rightarrow \bar{R}$, $G(p) = \int_{\Omega} \int_0^{p(x)} g(t) dt dx$, $\Lambda \in L(V, H)$, $\Lambda v = v$, functional \mathcal{F} can be written in the form

$$\mathcal{F}(v) = F(v) + G(\Lambda v).$$

From [ET, Chapter III] it follows that for functional $\mathcal{L} : H' \rightarrow \bar{R}$

$$\mathcal{L}(p') = -F^*(\Lambda' p') - G^*(-p')$$

holds

$$\sup_{p' \in H'} \mathcal{L}(p') \leq \inf_{v \in V} \mathcal{F}(v).$$

Later we shall see that (9) holds. \mathcal{L} will be called dual functional to \mathcal{F} and problem

$$\mathcal{L}(q') = \sup_{p' \in H'} \mathcal{L}(p')$$

will be called dual problem to (6).

In what follows we shall identify Hilbert space H with its dual using Riesz representation. Thus \mathcal{L} will be considered as $\mathcal{L} : H \rightarrow \bar{R}$

$$\mathcal{L}(p) = -F^*(\Lambda' p) - G^*(-p).$$

Let us compute F^* , G^* . If we denote $Z : V' \rightarrow V$ the (Green's) operator defined by

$$(10) \quad ((Zv', v)) = \langle v', v \rangle_V \quad v \in V,$$

then we can compute

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} F^*(v') &= \sup_{v \in V} \{ \langle v', v \rangle_V - F(v) \} = \sup_{v \in V} \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \|v - Z(f + v')\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|Z(f + v')\|^2 \right\} \\ F^*(v') &= \frac{1}{2} \|Z(f + v')\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

From [GGZ, Theorem III.4.8] follows that conjugate function to $r : R \rightarrow \bar{R}$, $r(s) = \int_0^s g(t) dt$ is $r^*(s) = \int_0^s g^{-1}(t) dt$ and from [ET, Theorem IX.2.1] we have $G^* : L_2 \rightarrow \bar{R}$

$$G^*(p) = \int_{\Omega} \int_0^{p(x)} g^{-1}(t) dt dx.$$

Thus \mathcal{L} can be written in the form $\mathcal{L} : H \rightarrow \bar{R}$

$$\mathcal{L}(p) = -\frac{1}{2}\|Z(f + \Lambda'p)\|^2 - G^*(-p).$$

Since we are interested only in $\sup \mathcal{L}$ we shall use from now a slightly modified definition of \mathcal{L}

$$\mathcal{L}(p) = -\frac{1}{2}\|Z(f - \Lambda'p)\|^2 - G^*(p).$$

Lemma 1. For $v \in V$ it holds

$$(13) \quad G(v) + G^*(g(v)) = \int_{\Omega} vg(v)dx.$$

PROOF : From [GGZ, Theorem III.4.8] it follows

$$r(v(x)) + r^*(g(v(x))) = v(x)g(v(x)).$$

The assertion of Lemma 1 follows by integration of this equality in Ω . ■

Functional \mathcal{L} attains its supremum at point

$$(14) \quad q = g(u)$$

because using (5),(10) and Lemma 1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(g(u)) &= -\frac{1}{2}\|Z(f - \Lambda'g(u))\|^2 - G^*(g(u)) = -\frac{1}{2}\|u\|^2 - G^*(g(u)) = \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\|u\|^2 + G(u) - \int_{\Omega} ug(u)dx = -\frac{1}{2}\|u\|^2 + G(u) - \langle f, u \rangle_V + \|u\|^2 = \mathcal{F}(u). \end{aligned}$$

Thus (9) holds and the maximization of \mathcal{L} can be considered as searching for $g(u)$.

Taking into account (14) we can maximize \mathcal{L} on the set

$$\{p \mid p = g(v) \text{ for some } v \in V\}.$$

Hence instead of maximizing \mathcal{L} over H it suffices to solve the problem:

Find $h \in V$ such that

$$(15) \quad \mathcal{G}(h) = \sup_{v \in V} \mathcal{G}(v)$$

for $\mathcal{G} : V \rightarrow R$

$$\mathcal{G}(v) = -\frac{1}{2}\|Z(f - \Lambda'g(v))\|^2 - G^*(g(v)).$$

Assertion 1. Let $u_n, n = 1, 2, \dots$ be a minimizing sequence for \mathcal{F} . Then $u_n, n = 1, 2, \dots$ is a maximizing sequence for \mathcal{G} .

PROOF : $u_n \rightarrow u$ in V together with (4) implies $g(u_n) \rightarrow g(u)$ in $L_2(\Omega)$. Relation (13) implies $G^*(g(u_n)) \rightarrow G^*(g(u))$. The rest follows from the continuity of Z . ■

Remark 1. The sequence $v_n = Z(f - \Lambda'g(u_n))$ is a minimizing sequence for \mathcal{F} too.

REALIZATION

In the definition of the dual problem (12) resp. (15), there appears term of type $-\frac{1}{2}\|Zv'\|$, where Z is defined by (10). These values cannot be computed explicitly (with the exception of very special cases). Problem (15) can be transformed in a saddle point problem

$$\sup_{v \in V} \inf_{w \in V} \mathcal{S}(v, w)$$

for $\mathcal{S} : V \times V \rightarrow R$

$$\mathcal{S}(v, w) = \frac{1}{2}\|w\|^2 - \langle f - \Lambda'(g(v)), w \rangle_V - G^*(g(v)).$$

The values of \mathcal{S} can be computed explicitly. However this saddle point formulation cannot be used for our purposes, because usual saddle point methods (e.g. Uzawa type methods) do not produce lower estimates for $\inf \mathcal{F}$ in general. In what follows, the values $-\frac{1}{2}\|Zv'\|^2$ will be approximated from below using the dual formulation of problem (1) for $g \equiv 0$.

Let $\mathbf{H} = (L_2(\Omega))^2$ resp. $U = \{v \in W^{1,2}(\Omega) \mid \int_{\Omega} v dx = 0\}$ are endowed with inner products $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} dx$ resp. (2) and corresponding norms denoted by $[\cdot]$ resp. $\|\cdot\|$. \mathbf{H}' will be again identified with \mathbf{H} . Let $K \in L(V, \mathbf{H})$, $L \in L(U, \mathbf{H})$,

$$Kv = \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_2} \right), \quad Lv = \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_2}, -\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_1} \right).$$

From $[\mathbf{H}]$, $[\mathbf{HK}]$ follows that $\text{Im}L = \text{Ker}K'$. This and $[\mathbf{HH}]$, $[\mathbf{K}]$, $[\mathbf{HK}]$ implies

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{2}\|Zv'\|^2 &= \sup_{K'\mathbf{w}=v'} -\frac{1}{2}[\mathbf{z}]^2 = \sup_{K'(\mathbf{w}-\mathbf{v})=0} -\frac{1}{2}[\mathbf{z}]^2 \\ (16) \quad -\frac{1}{2}\|Zv'\|^2 &= \sup_{v \in U} -\frac{1}{2}[\mathbf{w} + Lv]^2 \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbf{H}$ satisfies $K'\mathbf{w} = v'$. Let $Z_1 \in L(U', U)$ be defined by

$$((Z_1v', v)) = -\langle v', v \rangle_U \quad v \in U$$

Then (16) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} (17) \quad -\frac{1}{2}\|Zv'\|^2 &= \frac{1}{2}(\sup_{v \in U} -\|v - Z_1L'\mathbf{w}\|^2 + \|Z_1L'\mathbf{w}\|^2 - [\mathbf{w}]^2) \\ (18) \quad -\frac{1}{2}\|Zv'\|^2 &= \frac{1}{2}(\|Z_1L'\mathbf{w}\|^2 - [\mathbf{w}]^2) \end{aligned}$$

This value can be approximated from below by maximizing the quadratic functional $\mathcal{D} : U \rightarrow R$

$$\mathcal{D}(v) = -\frac{1}{2}(\|v\|^2 + 2[\mathbf{w}, Lv] + [\mathbf{w}]^2).$$

Let U_k , $k = 1, 2, \dots$ be a sequence of (finite dimensional) subspaces of U and P_k , $k = 1, 2, \dots$ be the sequence of corresponding orthogonal projectors $P_k : U \rightarrow U_k$, satisfying

$$(19) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|v - P_k v\| = 0 \quad v \in V.$$

Then the Ritz approximation of (18) is (using (17))

$$\frac{1}{2}(-\|P_k Z_1 L' \mathbf{w} - Z_1 L' \mathbf{w}\|^2 + \|Z_1 L' \mathbf{w}\|^2 - [\mathbf{w}]^2).$$

Let us return to problem (15). Let $R : H \rightarrow H$ be the (continuous) operator

$$Rl = \left(-\int_0^{x_1} \bar{f}_0(t, x_2) dt + \int_0^{x_1} \bar{l}(t, x_2) dt - f_1, -f_2\right),$$

where $\bar{f}_0 = f_0$ in Ω , $\bar{f}_0 = 0$ in $R^2 - \Omega$. (\bar{l} is defined analogously.) It holds $K'Rl = f - \Lambda'l$. From (19) it follows that for $s : V \rightarrow R$

$$s(v) = -\frac{1}{2}\|Z(f - \Lambda'g(v))\|^2 - G^*(g(v)) = \frac{1}{2}\|Z_1 L' Rg(v)\|^2 - \frac{1}{2}[Rg(v)]^2 - G^*(g(v))$$

for its Ritz approximation

$$s_k(v) = -\frac{1}{2}\|P_k Z_1 L' Rg(v) - Z_1 L' Rg(v)\|^2 + s(v)$$

and for arbitrary minimizing sequence u_n , $n = 1, 2, \dots$ of \mathcal{F} it holds

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} s_k(u_n) = s(u).$$

Moreover it holds

Theorem 1. *Let u_n be a minimizing sequence for \mathcal{F} , and P_k, Z_1, L, R, s, s_k are as defined above. Then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n(u_n) = s(u) = \mathcal{F}(u).$$

PROOF : $u_n \rightarrow u$ in V implies $g(u_n) \rightarrow g(u)$, $Rg(u_n) \rightarrow Rg(u)$, $G^*(g(u_n)) \rightarrow G^*(g(u))$, $Z_1 L' Rg(u_n) \rightarrow Z_1 L' Rg(u)$. From (19) and from property $\|P_k\| = 1$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$ it follows

$$\begin{aligned} & \|P_n Z_1 L' Rg(u_n) - Z_1 L' Rg(u_n)\| \leq \|P_n Z_1 L'(Rg(u_n) - Rg(u))\| + \\ & \|P_n Z_1 L' Rg(u) - Z_1 L' Rg(u)\| + \|Z_1 L' Rg(u) - Z_1 L' Rg(u_n)\| \rightarrow 0. \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2. If any tool for minimization of \mathcal{F} is on hand then the Ritz solver of dual problem for (linear) Poisson equation is all what is needed for obtaining convergent a posteriori estimates of $\|u_n - u\|$.

Remark 3. In practice, the convergence can be improved via solving linear problems on finer grids (that is by computing $s_k(u_n)$ for $k > n$).

The use of the Ritz method for maximizing \mathcal{D} (i.e for approximation of $s(u_n)$ from below) is not necessary. In general, arbitrary maximizing sequence of \mathcal{D} can be used. If $r_k(u_n)$ are convergent lower approximations of $s(u_n)$ then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} r_k(u_n) = s(u)$. However $r_n(u_n) \rightarrow s(u)$ does not hold in general. Sufficient for it is the uniformity (in n) of the convergence $r_k(u_n) \rightarrow s(u_n)$. This (rather uncomfortable) condition can be avoided by the following way.

Theorem 2. Let $a_k(u_n), k = 1, 2, \dots$ be a sequence of real numbers with the property

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} a_k(u_n) = \inf_{v \in V} \mathcal{P}_n(v), a_k(u_n) \geq \inf \mathcal{P}_n, k = 1, 2, \dots$$

for the quadratic functional $\mathcal{P}_n : V \rightarrow R$

$$\mathcal{P}_n = \frac{1}{2} \|v\|^2 - \langle f - \Lambda'g(u_n), v \rangle_V - G^*(g(u_n))$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots$

Then the sequence of real numbers $d_n, n = 1, 2, \dots$ generated by the following procedure tends to $s(u)$ from below.

Step I $\epsilon = 1, n = 1$

Step II $k = 1$

Step III if $a_k(u_n) - r_k(u_n) > \epsilon$ then $k = k + 1$ goto Step III

if $a_k(u_n) - r_k(u_n) \leq \epsilon$ then $d_n = r_k(u_n), n = n + 1, \epsilon = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon$ goto Step II.

PROOF : For n fixed, Step III will be performed only finite number times, because $a_k(u_n) - r_k(u_n) \rightarrow 0$. The algorithm guarantees, that for better approximations $s(u_n)$ of $s(u)$, better approximations $r_k(u_n)$ of $s(u_n)$ will be computed. ■

Remark 4. Results analogous to those obtained in this paper can be obtained for the equation

$$-\sum_{i,j=1}^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (a_{i,j} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j}) + g(u) = f,$$

where $a_{i,j} = a_{j,i}, i, j = 1, 2$, are bounded measurable functions, the matrix $(a_{i,j})$ is uniformly elliptic in Ω .

Acknowledgements. The author thanks to Dr.R.Kodnár CSc. for interesting discussions about material concerning this paper.

REFERENCES

- [A] Aubin J.P., *Approximation of Elliptic Boundary-Value Problems*, New York, London, 1982.
 [AB] Aubin J.P., Burchard H., *Some aspects of the method of the hyper-circle applied to elliptic variational problems*, Proceedings of SYNSPADE. Academic Press, 1971.

- [ET] Ekeland I., Temam R., *Convex Analysis and Variational Problems*, North-Holland, Amsterdam 1976.
- [FK] Fučík S., Kufner A., *Nonlinear differential equations, SNTL Prague 1978 (In Czech)*.
- [GGZ] Gajewski H., Groger K., Zacharias K., *Nichtlineare Operator-Gleichungen und Operator-differentialgleichungen*, Akademie -Verlag, Berlin,1974 (Russian Mir Moskva 1978).
- [HH] Haslinger J., Hlaváček I., *Convergence of a finite element method based on the dual variational formulation*, *Apl. Mat.* **21** (1976), 43-55.
- [H] Hlaváček I., *The density of solenoidal functions and the convergence of a dual finite element method*, *Apl. Mat.* **25** (1980), 39-55.
- [HK] Hlaváček I., Křížek M., *Internal finite element approximations in the dual variational method for second order elliptic problems with curved boundaries*, *Apl. Mat.* **29** (1984), 52-69.
- [K] Kodnár R., *A posteriori estimates of approximate solutions for some types of boundary value problems*, *Proceedings of Equadiff 6*, Brno 1985.
- [KR] Křížek M., *Conforming equilibrium finite element methods for some elliptic plane problems*, *RAIRO Anal. Numer.* **17** (1983), 35-65.
- [V] Vacek J., *Dual variational principles for an elliptic partial differential equation*, *Apl.Mat.* **21** (1976), 5-27.

Institute of Applied Mathematics and Computing, Comenius University, Mlynská dolina, 842 15 Bratislava, Czechoslovakia

(Received July 24,1989)