

# Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae

---

Bruno Bassan; Elisabetta Bona

Moments of stochastic processes governed by Poisson random measures

*Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae*, Vol. 31 (1990), No. 2,  
337--343

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/106863>

## Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1990

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library*  
<http://project.dml.cz>

## Moments of stochastic processes governed by Poisson random measures

BRUNO BASSAN, ELISABETTA BONA

*Abstract.* We provide a general formula to evaluate the moments of those processes which can be written as integrals with respect to a Poisson random measure. This result applies, for example, to discontinuous Lévy processes and shot noise.

*Keywords:* Poisson random measures, Stochastic processes, Moments

*Classification:* Primary: 60G57. Secondary: 60J75, 60J30

### 1) Introduction.

A wide class of stochastic processes including Poisson, compound Poisson, discontinuous Lévy processes, shot noise and others can be represented through integrals with respect to a Poisson random measure. This representation permits us a unified approach to a variety of problems and allows many a computational simplification. For a general outline of Poisson random measures see, for example, Ikeda and Watanabe (1981), where their central role in the theory of stochastic differential equations with jumps is carefully explained; see also Itô (1951). Çinlar and Jacod (1981) show how Poisson random measures, together with Brownian motion, underlie all semimartingale Hunt processes.

We recall that a Poisson random measure  $N$  on a measurable space  $(\mathbb{E}, \mathcal{E})$ , defined on a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$  and with  $\sigma$ -finite mean measure  $\nu$ , is a mapping  $N : \Omega \times \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  such that:

- (i)  $\omega \mapsto N(\omega, B)$  is a Poisson distributed random variable with parameter  $\nu(B)$ , for every  $B \in \mathcal{E}$ ;
- (ii)  $B \mapsto N(\omega, B)$  is a measure on  $\mathbb{E}$ , for every  $\omega \in \Omega$ ;
- (iii) if  $B_1, \dots, B_n$  are disjointed sets of  $\mathcal{E}$ , then  $N(B_1), \dots, N(B_n)$  are independent random variables.

As an example of how certain stochastic processes can be represented through integrals with respect to a Poisson random measure, consider a homogeneous Poisson process  $\{X(t) \mid t \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$  with parameter  $\lambda$ ; let  $\mathbb{E} = \mathbb{R}_+$  and let  $N$  be a Poisson random measure on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  with mean measure  $\nu(ds) = \lambda ds$ . Then

$$X(\omega, t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \mathbf{1}_{(0,t)}(s) N(\omega, ds)$$

where  $\mathbf{1}_A(\cdot)$  denotes the indicator function of the set  $A$ .

A further example is given by shot noise random fields in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (see, for example, Daley (1971), Orsingher and Battaglia (1982) or Bassan and Bona (1988)). These fields are defined by the relation

$$(1.1) \quad W(P) = \sum_{i \in I} Z_i \gamma(P - Q_i) \quad P, Q_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

where  $\{Q_i \mid i \in I\}$ ,  $I \subset \mathbb{N}$ , are the random points of a Poisson field with parameter  $\lambda$ ,  $\{Z_i \mid i \in I\}$  is a family of i.i.d. random variables ("impulses") and  $\gamma: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is the so-called response function. The field (1.1) can be written as

$$W(x^1, \dots, x^n) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_+} z \gamma(x^1 - y^1, \dots, x^n - y^n) N(dy^1, \dots, dy^n, dz).$$

where  $N$  is a Poisson random measure with mean measure  $\nu(dy^1, \dots, dy^n, dz) = \lambda dy^1 \dots dy^n dF(z)$  ( $F$  is the common distribution function of the random variables  $Z_i$ ).

Formulas for mean and variance of processes which can be written as integrals with respect to Poisson random measures are well known; bounds for higher order moments, in settings slightly different from ours, can be found, for example, in Schmidt (1985). In this note we provide a general formula to evaluate the moments, if existing, of those processes which admit the representation described above.

## 2) Main result.

Let  $(\mathbb{E}, \mathcal{E})$  be a measurable space and let  $N$  be a Poisson random measure on  $\mathbb{E}$  with  $\sigma$ -finite mean measure  $\nu$ . If  $f: \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is a measurable function, we write

$$Nf(\omega) = \int_{\mathbb{E}} f(x) N(\omega, dx)$$

and

$$\nu f = \int_{\mathbb{E}} f(x) \nu(dx).$$

For the moments of a stochastic process which can be represented through integrals with respect to a Poisson random measure, the following result holds.

**Theorem.** *Let  $\{X_t \mid t \in T\}$ ,  $(T \subset \mathbb{R}^d, d \geq 1)$  be a stochastic process with values in  $\mathbb{R}_+$ , and let  $\{f_t \mid t \in T\}$  be a family of positive measurable functions defined on  $\mathbb{E}$  such that, for every  $t \in T$ , we can write*

$$X_t = Nf_t.$$

*Then, the  $n$ -th moment of  $X_t$ , if existing, is given by*

$$(2.1) \quad E(X_t)^n = \sum_{(r_1, \dots, r_n) \in I(n)} K_n(r_1, \dots, r_n) \cdot (\nu f_t)^{r_1} \cdot (\nu f_t^2)^{r_2} \cdot \dots \cdot (\nu f_t^n)^{r_n}$$

where

$$I(n) = \left\{ (r_1, \dots, r_n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \dots \times \mathbb{N} \mid \sum_{i=1}^n ir_i = n \right\}$$

and

$$K_n(r_1, \dots, r_n) = \frac{n!}{1!^{r_1} \cdot 2!^{r_2} \cdot \dots \cdot [(n-1)!]^{r_{n-1}} \cdot [n!]^{r_n} \cdot r_1! \cdot \dots \cdot r_n!}.$$

PROOF : It is known that the Laplace functional  $\phi_{X_t}$  of the process  $X_t = Nf_t$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{X_t}(\alpha) &= E[\exp(-\alpha Nf_t)] \\ &= \exp\{\nu(e^{-\alpha f_t} - 1)\} = \exp\left\{\int_{\mathbb{E}} [e^{-\alpha f_t(z)} - 1] \nu(dx)\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

For fixed  $t$ , we write, for brevity,  $\phi(\alpha)$  instead of  $\phi_{X_t}(\alpha)$ . Let also  $\gamma(\alpha) = \log \phi(\alpha)$ . We shall use induction to prove that, for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the general form of the  $n$ -th derivative of  $\phi(\alpha)$  is:

$$(2.2) \quad \phi^{(n)}(\alpha) = \phi(\alpha) \sum_{(r_1, \dots, r_n) \in I(n)} K_n(r_1, \dots, r_n) [\gamma'(\alpha)]^{r_1} [\gamma''(\alpha)]^{r_2} \dots [\gamma^{(n)}(\alpha)]^{r_n}.$$

It is obvious that (2.2) holds for  $n = 1$ , since  $I(1) = \{1\}$ ,  $K(1) = 1$  and, clearly,  $\phi'(\alpha) = \phi(\alpha)\gamma'(\alpha)$ .

Suppose now that (2.2) holds for a given  $n > 1$ ; we want to show that

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi^{(n+1)}(\alpha) &= \phi(\alpha) \sum_{(p_1, \dots, p_n, p_{n+1}) \in I(n+1)} K_{(n+1)}(p_1, \dots, p_n, p_{n+1}) \cdot \\ &\quad \cdot [\gamma'(\alpha)]^{p_1} \dots [\gamma^{(n)}(\alpha)]^{p_n} [\gamma^{(n+1)}(\alpha)]^{p_{n+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\hat{I}(n) = I(n) \setminus \{(0, \dots, 0, 1)\}$ . Differentiating (2.2), we have:

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi^{(n+1)}(\alpha) &= \gamma'(\alpha)\phi^{(n)}(\alpha) + \phi(\alpha) \left\{ \gamma^{(n+1)}(\alpha) + \sum_{(r_1, \dots, r_n) \in \hat{I}(n)} K_n(r_1, \dots, r_n) \cdot \right. \\ &\quad \cdot \left. \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} r_j [\gamma'(\alpha)]^{r_1} \dots [\gamma^{(j)}]^{r_j-1} [\gamma^{(j+1)}]^{r_{j+1}+1} \dots [\gamma^{(n)}]^{r_n} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

This can be rewritten, substituting (2.2) for  $\phi^{(n)}(\alpha)$  as:

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\phi^{(n+1)}(\alpha)}{\phi(\alpha)} &= \gamma^{(n+1)}(\alpha) + \gamma^{(n)}(\alpha)\gamma'(\alpha) \\ &+ \sum_{(r_1, \dots, r_n) \in \hat{I}(n)} K_n(r_1, \dots, r_n) \left\{ [\gamma'(\alpha)]^{r_1+1} [\gamma''(\alpha)]^{r_2} \dots [\gamma^{(n)}]^{r_n} \right. \\ &+ \left. \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} r_j [\gamma'(\alpha)]^{r_1} \dots [\gamma^{(j)}]^{r_j-1} [\gamma^{(j+1)}]^{r_{j+1}+1} \dots [\gamma^{(n)}]^{r_n} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we can observe that, if  $(r_1, \dots, r_n) \in \widehat{I}(n)$ , then the  $n$ -tuples  $(r_1 + 1, r_2, \dots, 0)$  and  $(r_1, \dots, r_j - 1, r_{j+1} + 1, \dots, r_n)$ ,  $\forall j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ , are in the set  $\{(p_1, \dots, p_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n \mid \sum_{i=1}^n ip_i = n+1\}$ . Hence, we can rearrange (2.5) as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\phi^{(n+1)}(\alpha)}{\phi(\alpha)} &= \gamma^{(n+1)}(\alpha) + \gamma^{(n)}(\alpha)\gamma'(\alpha) \\ &+ \sum_{\{(p_1, \dots, p_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n \mid \sum_{i=1}^n ip_i = n+1\}} \left\{ K_n(p_1 - 1, p_2, \dots, 0) \right. \\ &+ \left. \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (p_j + 1) K_n(p_1, \dots, p_j + 1, p_{j+1} - 1, \dots, p_n) \right\} \\ &\cdot [\gamma'(\alpha)]^{p_1} \dots [\gamma^{(n)}]^{p_n} \end{aligned}$$

where the value of  $K_n$  is taken to be zero if any of its arguments is negative.

Let us consider now the  $n$ -tuple  $(p_1, \dots, p_n) = (1, 0, \dots, 0, 1)$ , which is the only one with  $p_n \neq 0$ . The corresponding term is:

$$(p_{n-1} + 1) K_n(1, 0, \dots, 0, 1, 0) \gamma'(\alpha) \gamma^{(n)}(\alpha) = n \gamma'(\alpha) \gamma^{(n)}(\alpha).$$

since all the terms with  $j \neq n-1$  in the sum between braces vanish. Thus, we can write:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\phi^{(n+1)}(\alpha)}{\phi(\alpha)} &= \gamma^{(n+1)}(\alpha) + (n+1) \gamma^{(n)}(\alpha) \gamma'(\alpha) \\ (2.6) \quad &+ \sum_{\{(p_1, \dots, p_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n \mid \sum_{i=1}^n ip_i = n+1, p_n = 0\}} \left\{ K_n(p_1 - 1, p_2, \dots, p_n) \right. \\ &+ \left. \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} (p_j + 1) K_n(p_1, \dots, p_j + 1, p_{j+1} - 1, \dots, p_n) \right\} \\ &\cdot [\gamma'(\alpha)]^{p_1} \dots [\gamma^{(n)}]^{p_n}. \end{aligned}$$

In order to express the coefficients between braces in the relation above in terms of  $K_{n+1}$ , we shall make use of the following relations, which are easy to check:

$$(2.7) \quad K_n(p_1, \dots, p_n) = \frac{1}{n+1} K_{n+1}(p_1, \dots, p_n, 0)$$

$$(2.8) \quad p_1 K_{n+1}(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n, 0) = K_{n+1}(p_1 - 1, p_2, \dots, p_n, 0)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (2.9) \quad K_{n+1}(p_1, \dots, p_j + 1, p_{j+1} - 1, \dots, p_n, 0) \\ = \frac{(j+1)p_{j+1}}{p_j + 1} K_{n+1}(p_1, \dots, p_j, p_{j+1}, \dots, p_n, 0). \end{aligned}$$

In view of (2.7), the quantity between braces in (2.6) becomes:

$$\frac{1}{n+1} \left\{ K_{n+1}(p_1-1, p_2, \dots, p_n, 0) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} (p_j+1) K_{n+1}(p_1, \dots, p_j+1, p_{j+1}-1, \dots, p_n, 0) \right\}$$

which in turn can be written, using (2.8) and (2.9), as:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n+1} \left\{ K_{n+1}(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n, 0) \left[ p_1 + \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} (j+1)p_{j+1} \right] \right\} \\ = \frac{1}{n+1} \left\{ K_{n+1}(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n, 0) \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} i p_i \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, (2.6) becomes

$$(2.10) \quad \frac{\phi^{(n+1)}(\alpha)}{\phi(\alpha)} = \gamma^{(n+1)}(\alpha) + (n+1)\gamma^{(n)}(\alpha)\gamma'(\alpha) + \sum_{\{(p_1, \dots, p_n, p_{n+1}) \in I(n+1) \mid p_n = p_{n+1} = 0\}} K_{n+1}(p_1, \dots, p_n, p_{n+1}) \cdot [\gamma'(\alpha)]^{p_1} \dots [\gamma^{(n+1)}]^{p_{n+1}}$$

The relation (2.3) can be derived immediately from (2.10) simply observing that  $K_{n+1}(0, \dots, 0, 1) = 1$  and  $K_{n+1}(1, 0, \dots, 0, 1, 0) = n+1$ ; (obviously, these two  $(n+1)$ -tuples are the only ones in  $I(n+1)$  with  $p_n > 0$  or  $p_{n+1} > 0$ ).

Thus, it has been proved that (2.2) holds for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Now, the theorem follows easily; in fact, observing that

$$\gamma^{(j)}(0) = (-1)^j \nu f^j = (-1)^j \int_{\mathbb{E}} f^j(x) \nu(dx)$$

and recalling that

$$E(X_t)^n = (-1)^n \phi_{X_t}^{(n)}(0),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} E(X_t)^n &= (-1)^n \phi_{X_t}(0) \sum_{(r_1, \dots, r_n) \in I(n)} [-\nu f_t]^{r_1} [(-1)^2 \nu f_t^2]^{r_2} \dots [(-1)^n \nu f_t^n]^{r_n} \\ &= (-1)^{n+\sum_{i=1}^n i r_i} \sum_{(r_1, \dots, r_n) \in I(n)} [\nu f_t]^{r_1} [\nu f_t^2]^{r_2} \dots [\nu f_t^n]^{r_n}. \end{aligned}$$

**Remark.** It is immediate to derive from (2.1) the well known formulas  $E(Nf) = \nu f$  and  $E(Nf)^2 = \nu f^2 + (\nu f)^2$ .

**Example.** We shall compute, using (2.1), the third moment of a shot noise process on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . The reader can check that this procedure is computationally much easier than the one based on differentiating the Laplace functional.

Let the "impulses"  $\{Z_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be exponentially distributed with parameter  $\mu$ , and let the (radially symmetric) response function  $\gamma$  be given by

$$\gamma(x^1 - y^1, x^2 - y^2) = \exp\left\{-\frac{\rho^2}{2}\right\} \mathbf{1}_{\{\rho \leq R\}}$$

where  $\rho = \sqrt{(x^1 - y^1)^2 + (x^2 - y^2)^2}$  and  $R > 0$ . The corresponding shot noise field, evaluated in  $(0, 0)$ , can be written as:

$$W(0, 0) = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^R \int_0^\infty z \exp\left\{-\frac{\rho^2}{2}\right\} \lambda \rho \mu \exp\{-\mu z\} dz d\rho d\phi.$$

Notice that the distribution of the field does not depend on the point where it is evaluated.

We can write  $W(0, 0) = Nf$  with  $f(z, \rho, \phi) = z \exp\left\{-\frac{\rho^2}{2}\right\}$ . Some calculations yield:

$$\begin{aligned} \nu f &= \frac{2\pi\lambda}{\mu} \left(1 - \exp\left\{-\frac{R^2}{2}\right\}\right) \\ \nu f^2 &= \frac{2\pi\lambda}{\mu^2} \left[2\pi\lambda \left(1 - \exp\left\{-\frac{R^2}{2}\right\}\right) + 1 - \exp\{-R^2\}\right] \\ \nu f^3 &= \frac{4\pi\lambda}{\mu^3} \left(1 - \exp\left\{-\frac{3R^2}{2}\right\}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Since  $I(3) = \{(0, 0, 1), (1, 1, 0), (3, 0, 0)\}$ , formula (2.1) gives

$$\begin{aligned} E[W(0, 0)]^3 &= \nu f^3 + 3\nu f \nu f^2 + (\nu f)^3 \\ &= \frac{4\pi\lambda}{\mu^3} \left(1 - \exp\left\{-\frac{3R^2}{2}\right\}\right) + \frac{8\pi^3\lambda^3}{\mu^3} \left(1 - \exp\left\{-\frac{R^2}{2}\right\}\right)^3 \\ &\quad + \frac{12\pi^2\lambda^2}{\mu^3} \left(1 - \exp\left\{-\frac{R^2}{2}\right\}\right) \left(1 - \exp\{-R^2\}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Notice that the evaluation of the Laplace functional of the field permits us to write:

$$(2.11) \quad E[\exp\{-\alpha W(0, 0)\}] = \left[ \frac{\mu + \alpha \exp\left\{-\frac{R^2}{2}\right\}}{\mu + \alpha} \right]^{2\pi\lambda}.$$

Notice, incidentally, that  $W(0, 0)$  is asymptotically distributed as a  $\Gamma(2\pi\lambda, \mu)$  when  $R \rightarrow \infty$ . The reader may verify directly that the calculations needed to differentiate (2.11) three times are very lengthy. Furthermore, it must be pointed out that the Laplace functional of a process is, in general, hard if not impossible to compute explicitly.

## REFERENCES

- Bassan B. and Bona E. (1988), *Special distribution results concerning shot noise fields in  $\mathbb{R}^n$* , Publications de l'Institut de Statistique de l'Université de Paris.
- Çinlar E. and Jacod J. (1981), *Representation of semimartingale Markov processes in terms of Wiener processes and Poisson random measures*, in: Seminar on Stochastic Processes 159-242, Birkhäuser, Boston 1981.
- Daley D.J. (1971), *The definition of a multidimensional generalization of shot noise*, Journal of Applied Probability **8**, 128-135.
- Itô K. (1951), *On stochastic differential equations*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **4**, 1-51.
- Ikeda N. and Watanabe S. (1981), *Stochastic Differential Equations and Diffusion Processes*, North-Holland, Amsterdam.
- Orsingher E. and Battaglia F. (1982), *Probability distributions and level crossings of shot noise models*, Stochastics **8**, 45-61.
- Schmidt V. (1985), *Poisson bounds for moments of shot noise processes*, Statistics **16**, 253-262.

Dipartimento di Statistica, Probabilità e Statistiche Applicate, Università di Roma "La Sapienza",  
P. le Aldo Moro 5, 00185 Roma, Italy

(Received November 9, 1989)