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# CONTRIBUTION TO THE CHARACTERIZATION OF THE SPHERE IN $E^{3}$ 

KAREL SVOBODA, Brno<br>(Received June 15, 1978)

The present paper contains a generalization of the results due to A. Švec [1] and M. Afwat [2].

1. Let $M$ be a surface in the 3-dimensional Euclidean space $E^{3}$ and $\partial M$ its boundary. Let $\left\{M ; v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right\}$ be a field of orthonormal frames on $M, v_{1}, v_{2} \in T(M), T(M)$ being the tangent bundle of $M$. Then
(2)

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{d} M=\omega^{1} v_{1}+\omega^{2} v_{2},  \tag{1}\\
\mathrm{~d} v_{1}=\quad \omega_{1}^{2} v_{2}+\omega_{1}^{3} v_{3}, \\
\mathrm{~d} v_{2}=-\omega_{1}^{2} v_{1} \quad+\omega_{2}^{3} v_{3}, \\
\mathrm{~d} v_{3}=-\omega_{1}^{3} v_{1}-\omega_{2}^{3} v_{2} ; \\
\omega^{1} \wedge \omega_{1}^{3}+\omega^{2} \wedge \omega_{2}^{3}=0, \\
\mathrm{~d} \omega^{1}=-\omega^{2} \wedge \omega_{1}^{2}, \quad \mathrm{~d} \omega^{2}=\omega^{1} \wedge \omega_{1}^{2}, \\
\mathrm{~d} \omega_{1}^{2}=-\omega_{1}^{3} \wedge \omega_{2}^{3}, \quad \mathrm{~d} \omega_{1}^{3}=\omega_{1}^{2} \wedge \omega_{2}^{3}, \quad \mathrm{~d} \omega_{2}^{3}=-\omega_{1}^{2} \wedge \omega_{1}^{3}
\end{gather*}
$$

on $M$. Following [1] we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\omega_{1}^{3}=a \omega^{1}+b \omega^{2}, \quad \omega_{2}^{3}=b \omega^{1}+c \omega^{2}  \tag{3}\\
\mathrm{~d} a-2 b \omega_{1}^{2}=\alpha \omega^{1}+\beta \omega^{2},  \tag{4}\\
\mathrm{~d} b+(a-c) \omega_{1}^{2}=\beta \omega^{1}+\gamma \omega^{2}, \\
\mathrm{~d} c+2 b \omega_{1}^{2}=\gamma \omega^{1}+\delta \omega^{2} \\
\mathrm{~d} \alpha-3 \beta \omega_{1}^{2}=A \omega^{1}+(B-b K) \omega^{2},  \tag{5}\\
\mathrm{~d} \beta+(\alpha-2 \gamma) \omega_{1}^{2}=(B+b K) \omega^{1}+(C+a K) \omega^{2}, \\
\mathrm{~d} \gamma+(2 \beta-\delta) \omega_{1}^{2}=(C+c K) \omega^{1}+(D+b K) \omega^{2}, \\
\mathrm{~d} \delta+3 \gamma \omega_{1}^{2}=(D-b K) \omega^{1}+E \omega^{2},
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=a c-b^{2} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the Gauss curvature of $M$. Denote further

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{1}{2}(a+c) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

the mean curvature of $M$ and define

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=2\left(H^{2}-K\right)=\frac{1}{2}(a-c)^{2}+2 b^{2} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $F$ be a real-valued function on $M$. Its covariant derivatives $F_{i}, F_{i j}(i, j=1,2)$ on $M$ with respect to the given field of tangent frames are defined by

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{d} F=F_{1} \omega^{1}+F_{2} \omega^{2}  \tag{9}\\
\mathrm{~d} F_{1}-F_{2} \omega_{1}^{2}=F_{11} \omega^{1}+F_{12} \omega^{2}, \quad \mathrm{~d} F_{2}+F_{1} \omega_{1}^{2}=F_{21} \omega^{1}+F_{22} \omega^{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

Using (9), we get for the functions $K, H$, $f$ introduced by (6), (7), (8), respectively.

$$
\begin{align*}
& K_{1}=a \gamma-2 b \beta+c \alpha  \tag{10}\\
& K_{2}=a \delta-2 b \gamma+c \beta \\
& K_{11}=a C-2 b B+c A+2\left(\alpha \gamma-\beta^{2}\right)+\left(a c-2 b^{2}\right) K \\
& K_{12}=a D-2 b C+c B+\alpha \delta-\beta \gamma-b(a+c) K \\
& K_{22}=a E-2 b D+c C+2\left(\beta \delta-\gamma^{2}\right)+\left(a c-2 b^{2}\right) K \\
& 2 H_{1}=\alpha+\gamma  \tag{11}\\
& 2 H_{2}=\beta+\delta, \\
& 2 H_{11}=A+C+c K \\
& 2 H_{12}=B+D \\
& 2 H_{22}=C+E+a K
\end{align*}
$$

(12) $f_{11}=(a-c)(A-C)+4 b B+(\alpha-\gamma)^{2}+4 \beta^{2}+\left[-c(a-c)+4 b^{2}\right] K$,

$$
f_{12}=(a-c)(B-D)+4 b C+(\alpha-\gamma)(\beta-\delta)+4 \beta \gamma+2 b(a+c) K
$$

$$
f_{22}=(a-c)(C-E)+4 b D+(\beta-\delta)^{2}+4 \gamma^{2}+\left[a(a-c)+4 b^{2}\right] K
$$

To complete the preliminaries, we formulate the maximum principle in the form used in [1]:

Let $M$ be a surface in $E^{3}, F: M \rightarrow \mathscr{R}$ a function with covariant derivatives $F_{i}, F_{i j}=$ $=F_{j i}(i, j=1,2)$ given by (9). Let (a) $F \geqq 0$ on $M$; (b) $F=0$ on $\partial M$; (c) $F$ satisfy on $M$ the equation

$$
a_{11} F_{11}+2 a_{12} F_{12}+a_{22} F_{22}+a_{1} F_{1}+a_{2} F_{2}+a_{0} F=a
$$

where $a_{0} \leqq 0, a \geqq 0$ and the quadratic form $a_{i j} x^{i} x^{j}$ is positive definite. Then $F=0$ on $M$.

Note that the function $f$ introduced by (8) satisfies obviously the conditions (a) and (b) supposing that $\partial M$ consists of umbilical points ( $a=c, b=0$ ).
2. We are going to formulate the

Theorem 1. Let $M$ be a surface in $E^{3}, \partial M$ its boundary and $\lambda, \mu: M \rightarrow \mathscr{R}$ functions on $M$ satisfying

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lambda+H \mu>0  \tag{13}\\
\lambda^{2}+2 H \lambda \mu+K \mu^{2}>0 \tag{14}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let
(i) $K>0$ on $M$;
(ii) on $M$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \lambda\left[(a-c)\left(H_{11}-H_{22}\right)+4 b H_{12}\right]+\mu\left[(a-c)\left(K_{11}-K_{22}\right)+4 b K_{12}\right] \geqq 0 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{3}\left(\lambda+\mu k_{1}\right) \leqq \lambda+\mu k_{2} \leqq 3\left(\lambda+\mu k_{1}\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

$k_{1}, k_{2}$ being the principal curvatures of $M$;
(iii) $\partial M$ consist of umbilical points.

Then $M$ is a part of a sphere in $E^{3}$.
Proof. Following [1], p. 32-33, we have, according to (10), (11), (12)

$$
\begin{gather*}
f_{11}+f_{22}-4 K f=  \tag{17}\\
=2\left[(a-c)\left(H_{11}-H_{22}\right)+4 b H_{12}\right]+(\alpha-\gamma)^{2}+(\beta-\delta)^{2}+4\left(\beta^{2}+\gamma^{2}\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
c f_{11}-2 b f_{12}+a f_{22}-4 H K f= \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =(a-c)\left(K_{11}-K_{22}\right)+4 b K_{12}+ \\
+a\left(\delta^{2}+2 \gamma^{2}+3 \beta^{2}\right. & -2 \alpha \gamma)-2 b(\alpha+\gamma)(\beta+\delta)+c\left(\alpha^{2}+2 \beta^{2}+3 \gamma^{2}-2 \beta \delta\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Multiplying (17) by $\lambda$, (18) by $\mu$ and adding these equations we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\lambda+\mu c) f_{11}-2 b \mu f_{12}+(\lambda+\mu a) f_{22}-4(\lambda+H \mu) K f= \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
=2 \lambda\left[(a-c)\left(H_{11}-H_{22}\right)+4 b H_{12}\right]+\mu\left[(a-c)\left(K_{11}-K_{22}\right)+4 b K_{12}\right]+\Phi
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi=\lambda\left[(\alpha-\gamma)^{2}+(\beta-\delta)^{2}+4\left(\beta^{2}+\gamma^{2}\right)\right]+ \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
+\mu\left[a\left(\delta^{2}+2 \gamma^{2}+3 \beta^{2}-2 \alpha \gamma\right)-2 b(\alpha+\gamma)(\beta+\delta)+c\left(\alpha^{2}+2 \beta^{2}+3 \gamma^{2}-2 \beta \delta\right)\right]
$$

It is easy to see that the coefficients of $\lambda, \mu(20)$ are invariant on $M$. Therefore, it is possible to examine the expression $\Phi$ in a generic point $m \in M$ and choose the field of moving frames arround $m$ in such a way that $b=0$ at $m$. Then $a, c$ are principal
curvatures and, according to (13), (14), $\lambda+\mu a>0, \lambda+\mu c>0$ at $m$. Taking regard of these relations, we have, from (20),

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Phi=\frac{1}{\lambda+\mu c}[(\lambda+\mu c) \alpha-(\lambda+\mu a) \gamma]^{2}+\frac{1}{\lambda+\mu a}[(\lambda+\mu a) \delta-(\lambda+\mu c) \beta]^{2}+ \\
+2(\lambda+H \mu)\left\{\frac{1}{\lambda+\mu a}[3(\lambda+\mu a)-(\lambda+\mu c)] \beta^{2}+\frac{1}{\lambda+\mu c}[3(\lambda+\mu c)-(\lambda+\mu a)] \gamma^{2}\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

and thus $\Phi \geqq 0$ at $m$, according to (16). Using the inequalities mentioned in the theorem and applying the maximum principle, we obtain $f=0$ on $M$.

Remark. Taking $\lambda=0, \mu=1$, resp. $\lambda=1, \mu=0$, we get the theorem 4.2, resp. 4.3, of [1]. Further, supposing $\lambda \geqq 0, \mu \geqq 0$ on $M$, the relation (20) can be written in the form

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Phi=\lambda\left[(\alpha-\gamma)^{2}+(\beta-\delta)^{2}+4\left(\beta^{2}+\gamma^{2}\right)\right]+ \\
+\mu\left\{c^{-1}(c \alpha-a \gamma)^{2}+a^{-1}(a \delta-c \beta)^{2}+2 H\left[a^{-1}(3 a-c) \beta^{2}+c^{-1}(3 c-a) \gamma^{2}\right]\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

and thus, for $\Phi$ being non-negative, it is sufficient to consider

$$
\frac{1}{3} \leqq \frac{k_{2}}{k_{1}} \leqq 3
$$

instead of (16).
As a consequence of the preceeding result we get the
Theorem 2. Let $M$ be a surface in $E^{3}, \partial M$ its boundary and $\lambda, \mu: M \rightarrow \mathscr{R}$ functions on $M$ satisfying (13) and (14). Let
(i) $K>0$ on $M$;
(ii) there exist a net of lines of curvature on $M$ with the unit tangent vector fields $V_{1}, V_{2}$;
(iii) on $M$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \lambda\left(k_{1}-k_{2}\right)\left(V_{1} V_{1}-V_{2} V_{2}\right) H+\mu\left(k_{1}-k_{2}\right)\left(V_{1} V_{1}-V_{2} V_{2}\right) K \geqq 0 \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{4}{11}\left(\lambda+\mu k_{1}\right)^{2} \leqq\left(\lambda+\mu k_{2}\right)^{2} \leqq \frac{11}{4}\left(\lambda+\mu k_{1}\right)^{2} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

$k_{1}, k_{2}$ being the principal curvatures of $M$;
(iv) $\partial M$ consist of umbilical points.

Then $M$ is a part of a sphere in $E^{3}$.
Proof. Let us choose the tangent frames on $M$ in such a way that $v_{1}=V_{1}$, $v_{2}=V_{2}$. Then $b=0$ on $M$ and $a, c$ are the principal curvatures of $M$.

Let $\varphi$ be a real-valued function on $M$. Then, from (9),

$$
V_{1} \varphi=\varphi_{1}, \quad V_{2} \varphi=\varphi_{2}
$$

and

$$
V_{1} V_{1} \varphi=\varphi_{11}+\varphi_{2} \omega_{1}^{2}\left(V_{1}\right), \quad V_{2} V_{2} \varphi=\varphi_{22}-\varphi_{1} \omega_{1}^{2}\left(V_{2}\right)
$$

From (4),

$$
(a-c) \omega_{1}^{2}=\beta \omega^{1}+\gamma \omega^{2}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{align*}
& (a-c) V_{1} V_{1} \varphi=(a-c) \varphi_{11}+\beta \varphi_{2}  \tag{23}\\
& (a-c) V_{2} V_{2} \varphi=(a-c) \varphi_{22}-\gamma \varphi_{1} .
\end{align*}
$$

Applying (23) to the functions $H, K$, we obtain from (19) putting $b=0$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
(\lambda+\mu c) f_{11}+(\lambda+\mu a) f_{22}-4(\lambda+H \mu) K f= \\
=2 \lambda(a-c)\left(V_{1} V_{1}-V_{2} V_{2}\right) H+\mu(a-c)\left(V_{1} V_{1}-V_{2} V_{2}\right) K+\Psi,
\end{gathered}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Psi=\lambda\left[(\alpha-\gamma)^{2}+(\beta-\delta)^{2}+4\left(\beta^{2}+\gamma^{2}\right)-\gamma(\alpha+\gamma)-\beta(\beta+\delta)\right]+  \tag{24}\\
+\mu\left[a\left(\delta^{2}+\gamma^{2}+3 \beta^{2}-2 \alpha \gamma-\beta \delta\right)+c\left(\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}+3 \gamma^{2}-2 \beta \delta-\alpha \gamma\right)\right] .
\end{gather*}
$$

By an easy calculation we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi= & (\lambda+\mu a)\left[\delta-\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\lambda+\mu c}{\lambda+\mu a}\right) \beta\right]^{2}+(\lambda+\mu c)\left[\alpha-\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\lambda+\mu a}{\lambda+\mu c}\right) \gamma\right]^{2}+ \\
& +(\lambda+\mu a)\left[\frac{11}{4}-\frac{(\lambda+\mu c)^{2}}{(\lambda+\mu a)^{2}}\right] \beta^{2}+(\lambda+\mu c)\left[\frac{11}{4}-\frac{(\lambda+\mu a)^{2}}{(\lambda+\mu c)^{2}}\right] \gamma^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence $\Psi>0$ because of $\lambda+\mu a>0$ and $\lambda+\mu c>0$. Thus the inequalities (13), (14), (21), (22) ensure that, using the maximum principle, our assertion is true.

Remark. For $\lambda=1, \mu=0$ and $\lambda=0, \mu=1$ we get the results of the theorem 1 and 2 of [2], respectively. Again, considering $\lambda \geqq 0, \mu \geqq 0$ on $M$, (24) has the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi & =\lambda\left[\left(\alpha-\frac{3}{2} \gamma\right)^{2}+\left(\delta-\frac{3}{2} \beta\right)^{2}+\frac{7}{4}\left(\beta^{2}+\gamma^{2}\right)\right]+ \\
& +\mu a\left\{\left[\delta-\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{c}{a}\right) \beta\right]^{2}+\left(\frac{11}{4}-\frac{c^{2}}{a^{2}}\right) \beta^{2}\right\}+ \\
& +\mu c\left\{\left[\alpha-\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{a}{c}\right) \gamma\right]^{2}+\left(\frac{11}{4}-\frac{a^{2}}{c^{2}}\right) \gamma^{2}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and thus the inequality

$$
\frac{4}{11} \leqq \frac{k_{2}^{2}}{k_{1}^{2}} \leqq \frac{11}{4}
$$

implies that $\Psi \geqq 0$ on $M$.
3. To the end of this paper we introduce the following results concerning the generalized Weingarten surfaces.

Corollary 1. Let $M$ be a surface in $E^{3}, \partial M$ its boundary and $F(H, K)$ a function on M such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
F_{H}+2 H F_{K}>0  \tag{25}\\
F_{H}^{2}+4 H F_{H} F_{K}+4 K F_{K}^{2}>0 \tag{26}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let $M$ satisfy the conditions (i), (iii) of the theorem 1 and, on $M$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
(a-c)\left(F_{11}-F_{22}\right)+4 b F_{12}- \\
-(a-c)\left[F_{H H}\left(H_{1}^{2}-H_{2}^{2}\right)+2 F_{H K}\left(H_{1} K_{1}-H_{2} K_{2}\right)+F_{K K}\left(K_{1}^{2}-K_{2}^{2}\right)\right]- \\
-4 b\left[F_{H H} H_{1} H_{2}+2 F_{H K}\left(H_{1} K_{2}+H_{2} K_{1}\right)+F_{K K} K_{1} K_{2}\right] \geqq 0
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\frac{1}{3}\left(F_{H}+2 k_{1} F_{K}\right) \leqq F_{H}+2 k_{2} F_{K} \leqq 3\left(F_{H}+2 k_{1} F_{K}\right)
$$

$k_{1}, k_{2}$ being the principal curvatures of $M$. Then $M$ is a part of a sphere in $E^{3}$.
Proof. It is sufficient to put $\lambda=\frac{1}{2} F_{H}, \mu=F_{K}$ in the theorem 1 and take into account the relations

$$
\begin{gather*}
F_{i j}=F_{H H} H_{i} H_{j}+F_{H K}\left(H_{i} K_{j}+H_{j} K_{i}\right)+F_{K K} K_{i} K_{j}+F_{H} H_{i j}+F_{K} K_{i j}  \tag{27}\\
(i, j=1,2)
\end{gather*}
$$

for the covariant derivatives of $F$.
Corollary 2. Let $M$ be a surface in $E^{3}, \partial M$ its boundary and $F(H, K)$ a function on $M$ such that (25) and (26) are fulfilled. Let $M$ satisfy the conditions (i), (ii) and (iv) of the theorem 2 and, on $M$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(k_{1}-k_{2}\right)\left(V_{1} V_{1}-V_{2} V_{2}\right) F- \\
-\left(k_{1}-k_{2}\right)\left(V_{1}+V_{2}\right) H\left[F_{H H}\left(V_{1}-V_{2}\right) H+F_{H K}\left(V_{1}-V_{2}\right) K\right]- \\
-\left(k_{1}-k_{2}\right)\left(V_{1}+V_{2}\right) K\left[F_{H K}\left(V_{1}-V_{2}\right) H+F_{K K}\left(V_{1}-V_{2}\right) K\right] \geqq 0
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\frac{4}{11}\left(F_{H}+2 k_{1} F_{K}\right)^{2} \leqq\left(F_{H}+2 k_{2} F_{K}\right)^{2} \leqq \frac{11}{4}\left(F_{H}+2 k_{1} F_{K}\right)^{2},
$$

$k_{1}, k_{2}$ being the principal curvatures of $M$. Then $M$ is a part of a sphere in $E^{3}$.
Proof. The result follows from the theorem 2 for $\lambda=\frac{1}{2} F_{H}, \mu=F_{K}$ when using (23) and (27).

Remark. Supposing $F_{H} \geqq 0, F_{K} \geqq 0$, we get from this corollary the theorem 3 of [2].
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