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# CONJUGACY CRITERIA FOR HALF-LINEAR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS 

## Simón Peña

Abstract. Sufficient conditions on the function $c(t)$ ensuring that the half-linear second order differential equation

$$
\left(\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+c(t)|u(t)|^{p-2} u(t)=0, \quad p>1
$$

possesses a nontrivial solution having at least two zeros in a given interval are obtained. These conditions extend some recently proved conjugacy criteria for linear equations which correspond to the case $p=2$.

## 1. Introduction

In this paper we investigate oscillatory behaviour of the solutions of half-linear second order differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\phi\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right]^{\prime}+c(t) \phi(u)=0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the scalar $p$-Laplacian defined by $\phi(s):=|s|^{p-2} s, p>1$, and $c$ is a continuous real valued function in an interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$. If $p=2$, then (1.1) reduces to the linear equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime}+c(t) u=0 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The terminology half-linear equation for (1.1) is justified by the fact that if $u(t)$ is a solution of (1.1) and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ then $\alpha u(t)$ also solves this equation. Here we look for conditions on the function $c$ which guarantee that (1.1) has a solution having at least two zero points in a given interval. Conjugacy of linear equation (1.2) was investigated in severals papers. Tipler [6] proved that (1.2) is conjugate in $\mathbb{R}$ (i.e., there exists a nontrivial solution with at least zeros in $\mathbb{R}$ ) provided $\quad c(t) d t>0$. This conjugacy criterion was extended by Müller-Pfeiffer [5] to the more general equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r(t) u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+c(t) u=0 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]where $r(t)>0$, by showing that this equation is conjugate in an interval $(a, b) \subset \mathbb{R}$ if
$$
{ }_{a}^{-1}(t) d t=\infty=\quad{ }^{b} r^{-1}(t) d t \quad \text { and } \quad{ }_{a}^{b} c(t) d t>0 .
$$

The result of Tipler is proved using the Riccati technique consisting in the fact that if $u$ is a nonzero solutions of (1.2) then $v=\frac{u^{\prime}}{u}$ solves the so-called Riccati equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
v^{\prime}+v^{2}+c(t)=0 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and Müller-Pfeiffer's criterion is proved via the variational principle. This principle states that (1.2) is conjugate in ( $a, b$ ) if and only if there exists a nontrivial function $y$ which is piecevise of the class $C^{1}$, has compact support in $(a, b)$, and

$$
{ }_{a}^{b}\left[r(t)\left(y^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2}-c(t) y^{2}\right] d t \leq 0 .
$$

The above mentioned criteria were further generalized and extended in [1] using the combination of the transformation method and the Riccati technique.

Concerning a possible extension of these linear methods to half-linear equation, after some computations one can find that neither variational principle, nor transformation method extended directly to (1.1). On the other hand, the Riccati technique can be modified in a suitable way to apply to (1.1). Indeed, if $u$ is a nonzero solution of (1.1) then $v(t)=\frac{\phi\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)}{\phi(u(t))}$ solves the generalized Riccati equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
v^{\prime}+c(t)+(p-1)|v|^{q}=0 \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q$ is the conjugate number of $p$, i.e. $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$, see e.g. [4].
In this paper we use this idea to prove conjugacy criteria for (1.1) and to derive an estimate for distance of consecutive zeros of a solution of (1.1). If $p=2$, our results reduce to those of [3] and [6].

## 2. Conjugacy criteria

In this section we prove conjugacy criteria for (1.1). The first one concerns conjugacy on a half-bounded interval.

Theorem 1. Let $t_{0} \in \mathbb{R}, c(t) \geq 0$ in $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$ and suppose that there exist $t_{1}, t_{2}$ such that $t_{0}<t_{1}<t_{2}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\left(t_{1}-t_{0}\right)^{p-1}}<{ }_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} c(t) d t \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the solution $u$ of (1.1) given by the initial condition $u\left(t_{0}\right)=0, u^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=1$ has at least one zero in $\left(t_{0}, \infty\right)$.

Proof. First of all note that the solution $u$ is by the initial condition determined uniquely and exists up to $\infty$, see [2]. Suppose, by contradiction, that $u(t)>0$ on
$\left(t_{0}, \infty\right)$. Then we have also $u^{\prime}(t) \geq 0$ on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$. Indeed, if $u^{\prime}(T)<0$ for some $T \in\left(t_{0}, \infty\right)$, then $\alpha:=\phi\left(u^{\prime}(T)\right)<\overline{0}$ and for $t>T$

$$
{ }_{T}^{t}\left[\phi\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)\right]^{\prime} d t=\phi\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)-\alpha=-{ }_{T}^{t} c(t) u^{p-1}(t) d t \leq 0,
$$

hence $\phi\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right) \leq \alpha<0$ and thus $u^{\prime}(t) \leq-|\alpha|^{\frac{1}{p-1}}$, which means

$$
u(t) \leq u(T)-|\alpha|^{\frac{1}{p-1}}(t-T) \rightarrow-\infty \quad \text { as } \quad t \rightarrow \infty
$$

a contradiction, consequently $u^{\prime}(t) \geq 0, t \in\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$.
This implies that $u^{\prime}$ is nonincreasing for $t=t_{0}$, since from (1.1)

$$
0 \geq\left[\phi\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right]^{\prime}=\left(\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)^{p-1}\right)^{\prime}=(p-1)\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)^{p-2} u^{\prime \prime}(t)
$$

i.e. $u^{\prime \prime}(t) \leq 0$. Using this fact and the mean value theorem, there exists $\xi \in\left(t_{0}, t_{1}\right)$ such that

$$
\frac{u\left(t_{1}\right)-u\left(t_{0}\right)}{t_{1}-t_{0}}=\frac{u\left(t_{1}\right)}{t_{1}-t_{0}}=u^{\prime}(\xi) \geq u^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right), \quad \phi\left(u^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)\right)>0
$$

hence $u\left(t_{1}\right) \geq u^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)\left(t_{1}-t_{0}\right)$. Using this inequality and the fact that $\phi\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right) \geq 0$, $t \geq t_{0}$, we have

$$
\phi\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right){ }_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}=\phi\left(u^{\prime}\left(t_{2}\right)\right)-\phi\left(u^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)\right)=-{ }_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} c(t) u^{p-1}(t) d t
$$

hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi\left(u^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)\right)=\left(u^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)\right)^{p-1} & \geq{ }_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} c(t) u^{p-1}(t) d t \geq \\
& \geq u^{p-1}\left(t_{1}\right) \quad{ }_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} c(t) d t \geq\left(u^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)\right)^{p-1}\left(t_{1}-t_{0}\right)^{p-1}{ }_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} c(t) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

and thus

$$
\left(u^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)\right)^{p-1} 1-\left(t_{1}-t_{0}\right)^{p-1} \quad{ }_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} c(t) d t \geq 0
$$

which contradicts to (2.1), i.e. $u(t)$ has a zero in $\left(t_{0}, \infty\right)$.
The next statement gives sufficient condition for conjugacy of (1.1) on the whole real line.

Theorem 2. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{-\infty}^{\infty} c(t) d t>0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then there exists a nontrivial solution of (1.1) having at least two zeros in $\mathbb{R}$.
Proof. Condition (2.2) implies the existence of $t_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{t_{0}}^{\infty} c(t) d t>0, \quad{ }_{-\infty}^{t_{0}} c(t) d t>0, \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

see [6]. Let $u$ be the solution of (1.1) given by the initial condition $u\left(t_{0}\right)=1$, $u^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=0$. We will show that $u$ has at least one zero point both in $\left(-\infty, t_{0}\right)$ and $\left(t_{0}, \infty\right)$. Suppose, by contradiction, that $u(t)>0$ for $t>t_{0}$ (for $t<t_{0}$ we proceed in the same way) and set

$$
v(t)=\frac{\phi\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)}{\phi(u(t))}
$$

Then $v$ satisfies generalized Riccati equation (1.5) and integrating this equation from $t_{0}$ to $t$ we get

$$
v(t)=-(p-1){ }_{t_{0}}^{t}|v(s)|^{q} d s-{ }_{t_{0}}^{t} c(s) d s
$$

By (2.3) there exist $\xi>0$ and $T>t_{0}$ such that ${ }_{t_{0}}{ }^{t} c(s) d s>\xi$ whenever $t>T$, hence for $t>T$, we have

$$
v(t) \leq-(p-1) \quad{ }_{t_{0}}^{t}|v(s)|^{q} d s-\xi
$$

Denote $R(t):=-(p-1)_{t_{0}}^{t}|v(s)|^{q} d s-\xi$. Then for $t>T v(t) \leq R(t) \leq-\xi$ and hence

$$
R^{\prime}(t)=-(p-1)|v(t)|^{q} \leq-(p-1)|R(t)|^{q} .
$$

This implies

$$
\frac{R^{\prime}(t)}{(p-1)|R(t)|^{q}} \leq-1
$$

and integrating this inequality from $T$ to $t$ we obtain

$$
\frac{1}{(p-1)(q-1)|R(t)|^{q-1}} \leq-t+T+\frac{1}{(p-1)(q-1)|R(T)|^{q-1}}
$$

which leads to a contradiction if we let $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 3. Suppose that $c(t)>0$ on $[0, \infty)$. Then the solution of (1.1) given by the initial condition $u(0)=1, u^{\prime}(0)=0$ has a zero point in the interval $I:=$ $\left[0, a+b^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}\right]$ provided that

$$
{ }_{0}^{a} c(t) d t \geq b .
$$

Proof. Again, we proceed by contradiction, i.e., suppose that $u(t)>0$ in $I$. Then we have

$$
\phi\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)-\phi\left(u^{\prime}(0)\right)=-{ }_{0}^{t} c(r)|u(r)|^{p-1} d r \leq 0, \quad u^{\prime}(t) \leq 0, t \in I
$$

This inequality implies that (1.1) takes the form

$$
-\left[\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p-1}\right]^{\prime}+c(t) u^{p-1}(t)=0
$$

and integrating this equation from $t=0$ to $t=a$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p-1} \begin{array}{r}
t=a \\
t=0
\end{array}=\left|u^{\prime}(a)\right|^{p-1}= \\
& ={ }_{0}^{a} c(t) u^{p-1}(t) d t \geq(u(a))^{p-1}{ }_{0}^{a} c(t) d t \geq(u(a))^{p-1} b .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $u^{\prime}(a) \leq-u(a) b^{\frac{1}{p-1}}$. Since $u^{\prime}(t)$ is decreasing, the graph of $u$ lies below the line $y=u(a) 1-b^{\frac{1}{p-1}}(t-a)$ which crosses the $t$-axis at $t=a+b^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}$, consequently $u$ must have also a zero point in this interval, a contradiction.

Theorem 4. Suppose $c(t)$ is continuous and non-negative on the finite interval $I=[a, b)$. If (1.1) is disconjugate on this interval and for all solutions of (1.1) we have $\lim _{t \rightarrow b^{-}} u(t)=0$, then ${ }_{a}^{b} c(t) d t=\infty$.

Proof. Suppose, by contradiction, that the statement does not hold. Then since $c(t) \geq 0$, the integral ${ }^{t} c(r) d r$ is monotonically increasing. This means that it must converge to some positive number as $t \rightarrow b^{-}$.

Let $t_{0} \in[a, b)$. If we choose the solution $u$ given by the initial condition $u\left(t_{0}\right)=0$, $u^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)>0$, then $u(t)>0$ for $t \in\left(t_{0}, b\right)$ and

$$
0 \geq\left[\phi\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)\right]^{\prime}=(p-1)\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p-2} u^{\prime \prime}(t), \quad t \in\left[t_{0}, b\right)
$$

hence $u^{\prime \prime}(t) \leq 0$ for $t \in\left[t_{0}, b\right)$. This implies

$$
u(t) \leq u^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)\left(t-t_{0}\right) \leq u^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)\left(b-t_{0}\right) \quad \text { for } \quad t \in\left[t_{0}, b\right)
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)=\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p-1} \operatorname{sgn} u^{\prime}(t)=\phi\left(u^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)\right)-{ }_{t_{0}}^{t} c(r) u(r)^{p-1} d r \\
& \geq\left(u^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)\right)^{p-1} \\
& 1-\left(b-t_{0}\right)^{p-1} \quad{ }_{t_{0}} \quad c(r) d r
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\lim _{t \rightarrow b^{-}} u(t)=0, u^{\prime}(t)$ and hence also $\phi\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)$ must vanish for some $t \in\left[t_{0}, b\right)$. However, by choosing $t_{0}$ to be sufficiently close to $b$ we can prevent this if the integral converges. Thus $\lim _{t \rightarrow b^{-}}{ }_{a} c(r) d r$ must diverge.

Theorem 5. Let $c(t)$ be continuous and $c(t) \geq 0$ on the finite interval $I=[a, b)$ and suppose

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow b^{-}} \quad{ }_{a}^{t} \quad{ }_{a}^{s} c(r) d r r^{\frac{1}{p-1}} d s=+\infty .
$$

Then either (1.1) is oscillatory on $[a, b)$ or else all solutions $u(t)$ satisfy $\lim _{t \rightarrow b^{-}} u(t)=0$ or both.

Proof. From hypothesis we have

$$
\lim _{s \rightarrow b^{-}}{ }_{a}^{s} c(t) d t=+\infty
$$

Suppose, by contradiction, that there exists a solution $u(t)$ such that $u(t)>0$ in [ $m, b$ ) for some $m, a \leq m<b$, and $\lim _{t \rightarrow b^{-}} u(t) \geq d>0$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Let } M=\min \left[\inf _{m \leq t<b} u(t), d\right]>0 \text {. If } u^{\prime} \geq 0 \text { in }[m, b) \text {, from (1.1) we obtain: } \\
& \qquad\left[u^{\prime}(t)\right]^{p-1} '+c(t) u^{p-1}(t)=0, \quad t \in[m, b), \\
& u^{\prime}(s)^{p-1}-u^{\prime}(m)^{p-1}=-{ }_{m} c(t) u^{p-1} d t, \quad m \leq s<b, \\
& u^{\prime}(s)^{p-1}=-{ }_{m}^{s} c(t) u^{p-1}(t) d t+u^{\prime}(m)^{p-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

and the above equality will become negative as $s \rightarrow b^{-}$. This implies that $u^{\prime}\left(s_{0}\right)<0$ for some $s_{0}$ in $[m, b)$ and from (1.1) we obtain:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p-1}\right)^{\prime}-c(t) u^{p-1}(t)=0, \quad s_{0} \leq t<s_{0}+\varepsilon, \varepsilon>0 \\
\left|u^{\prime}(s)\right|^{p-1}-\left|u^{\prime}\left(s_{0}\right)\right|^{p-1}-{ }_{s_{0}} c(t) u^{p-1}(t) d t, \quad s_{0} \leq s<b \\
\left|u^{\prime}(s)\right|^{p-1} \geq M^{p-1}{ }_{s_{0}}^{s} c(t) d t
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence

$$
\left|u^{\prime}(s)\right| \geq M \quad{ }_{s_{0}}^{s} c(r) d r r^{\frac{1}{p-1}}
$$

and thus

$$
u(t) \leq u\left(s_{0}\right)-{ }_{s_{0}}^{t} M{ }_{s_{0}}^{s} c(r) d r r^{\frac{1}{p-1}} d s
$$

This inequality together with hypothesis implies that $u(t)$ has a zero in $\left[s_{0}, b\right)$, contrary to the assumption.

## Remarks.

(i) Consider a more general half-linear equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[r(t) \phi\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right]^{\prime}+c(t) \phi(u)=0 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r$ is a positive function. By a direct computation one can verify that the transformation of the independent variable

$$
\begin{equation*}
s=\quad{ }^{t}[r(s)]^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} d s \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

transforms (2.4) into the equation

$$
\frac{d}{d s} \phi \quad \frac{d}{d s} u \quad+[r(t(s))]^{\frac{1}{p-1}} c(t(s)) \phi(u)=0
$$

where $t=t(s)$ is the inverse function of $s=s(t)$ given by (2.5). Consequently, using this transformation we have the following statement.

Theorem 6. Suppose that $r(t)>0$ for $t \in(a, b) \subset \mathbb{R}$ and

$$
{ }_{a}[r(s)]^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} d s=\infty=\quad{ }^{b}[r(s)]^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} d s
$$

If ${ }_{a}^{b} c(t) d t>0$ then (2.4) possesses a nontrivial solution with at least two zeros in ( $a, b$ ).
(ii) A closer examination of the proof of Theorem 2 reveals the fact that this statement remains valid if we replace (2.2) by a weaker requirement

$$
\liminf _{t_{1} \rightarrow \infty, t_{2} \rightarrow \infty}{ }_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} c(t) d t>0
$$

(iii) Observe that conjugacy criterion from Theorem 2 is really a focal point criterion. Indeed, the proof of this theorem establishes that there is a right focal point of $t_{0}$ in $\left(t_{0}, \infty\right)$ and similarly may be proved that $c(t) d t>0$ implies the existence of a left focal point in $\left(-\infty, t_{0}\right)$.

Recall that a point $t_{2}>t_{1}$ is said to be the (right) focal point $t_{1}$ if there exists a solution $u$ of (1.1) such that $u^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)=0, u\left(t_{2}\right)=0$. If an interval $\left[t_{1}, b\right)$ contains no focal point of $t_{1}$, then (1.1) is said to be disfocal in this interval.

## 3. Distance between consecutive zeros

In this section we extend the result of B.J.Harris and Q. Kong [3].

Theorem 7. If $u$ is a solution of (1.1) satisfying $u^{\prime}(d)=0, u(b)=0$ with $u(t)>0$ and $u^{\prime}(t) \leq 0$ for $t \in(d, b)$, then

$$
\sup _{d \leq t \leq b} \quad{ }^{t} c(s) d s>0
$$

Proof. Suppose the contrary. Let $Q(t):={ }_{d}^{t} c(s) d s \leq 0, t \in[d, b]$ and define the Riccati variable

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(t):=-\frac{\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p-2} u^{\prime}(t)}{|u(t)|^{p-2} u(t)} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

we thus have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{\prime}(t)=c(t)+(p-1)|r(t)|^{q}, \quad t \in[d, b) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
r(d)=0, \quad \lim _{t \rightarrow b^{-}} r(t)=\infty, \quad r(t)=(p-1) \quad{ }_{d}^{t}|r(s)|^{q} d s+Q(t)  \tag{3.3}\\
t \in[d, b), \quad r(t) \geq 0 .
\end{gather*}
$$

Since $Q(t) \leq 0$ for $t \in[d, b]$ and $r(t) \geq 0$ for $t \in[d, b]$, we have $r(t) \leq$ $(p-1){ }_{d}(r(s))^{q} d s$, and so $r(t)=0, t \in[d, b)$ as a simple consequence of the general theory of integral inequalities (we recall that $q>1$ ), contrary to $\lim _{t \rightarrow b^{-}} r(t)=\infty$. The proof is now complete.

Theorem 7a. If $u$ is a solution of (1.1) satisfying $u(a)=0, u^{\prime}(b)=0$ with $u(t)>0$ and $u^{\prime}(t) \geq 0$ for $t \in(a, b)$, then

$$
\sup _{a \leq t \leq b}{ }^{b} c(s) d s>0
$$

The proof is omitted.
Theorem 8. Let $d<b$ and let $u$ be a non-trivial solution of (1.1) satisfying $u^{\prime}(d)=0, u(b)=0$, and suppose that $u(t) \neq 0$ for $t \in[d, b)$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(b-d)(q-1)(p-1) \sup _{d \leq t \leq b}{ }_{d}^{t} c(s) d s{ }^{q-1}>1 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, if there are no extreme values of $u$ in $(d, b)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
(b-d)(q-1)(p-1) \sup _{d \leq t \leq b}{ }_{d}^{t} c(s) d s^{q-1}>1 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We assume, without loss of generality, that $u(t)>0$ for $t \in[d, b)$. Let $r$ be defined by

$$
r(t):=-\frac{\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p-2} u^{\prime}(t)}{|u(t)|^{p-2} u(t)}, \quad t \in[d, b)
$$

and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(t):=(p-1) \quad{ }_{d}^{t}|r(s)|^{q} d s, \quad t \in[d, b) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $r(t)$ satisfying

$$
r^{\prime}(t)-c(t)-(p-1)|r(t)|^{q}=0, \quad t \in[d, b),
$$

or equivalently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(t)=(p-1) \quad{ }_{d}^{t}|r(\alpha)|^{q} d \alpha+{ }_{d}^{t} c(\alpha) d \alpha . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, $r(d)=0, w(d)=0, \lim _{t \rightarrow b^{-}} r(t)=\infty, \lim _{t \rightarrow b^{-}} w(t)=\infty$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(t)=w(t)+{ }_{d}^{t} c(s) d s \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We set $Q^{*}:=\sup _{d \leq t \leq b}{ }_{d} c(s) d s$ and observe that $|r(t)| \leq Q^{*}+w(t)$ and

$$
w^{\prime}(t)=(p-1)|r(t)|^{q} \leq(p-1)\left(Q^{*}+w(t)\right)^{q}
$$

hence

$$
\frac{w^{\prime}(t)}{(p-1)\left(Q^{*}+w(t)\right)^{q}} \leq 1
$$

thus

$$
\lim _{s \rightarrow b^{-}} \frac{1}{-(q-1)(p-1)\left[Q^{*}+w(t)\right]^{q-1}}{ }_{t=d}^{s} \leq(s-d)
$$

and

$$
\frac{1}{(q-1)(p-1)\left[Q^{*}\right]^{q-1}} \leq b-d
$$

We remark that equality cannot hold, for otherwise

$$
|Q(t)|:={ }_{d}^{t} c(s) d s=Q^{*}, \quad t \in[d, b)
$$

which contradicts the fact that $Q$ is continuous and $Q(d)=0$.
If $d$ is the largest extreme point of $u$ in $[d, b)$, then $u^{\prime}(t) \leq 0$ and thus $r(t) \geq 0$ for $t \in[d, b)$. We set $Q_{*}:=\sup _{d \leq t \leq b d}{ }^{t} c(s) d s$. By Theorem $7, Q_{*}>0$; and from (3.8)

$$
0 \leq r(t) \leq Q_{*}+w(t)
$$

The proof of the second part of the theorem now follows in a way similar to that of the first one.

Theorem 8a. Let $u$ denote a non-trivial solution of (1.1) satisfying $u(a)=0$, $u^{\prime}(c)=0$, and $u(t) \neq 0$ for $t \in(a, c]$. Then

$$
(c-a)(p-1)(q-1) \sup _{a \leq t \leq c}{ }_{t}^{c} c(s) d s^{q-1}>1
$$

Moreover, if there are no extreme values of $u$ in $(a, c)$, then

$$
(c-a)(p-1)(q-1) \sup _{a \leq t \leq c}{ }^{c} c(s) d s s^{q-1}>1
$$

The proof of this result is similar to the proof of Theorem 8 and is omitted.
Theorem 9. Let $a$ and $b$ denote two consecutive zeros of a non-trivial solution $u$ of (1.1) and $q \geq 2$. Then there exist two disjoint subintervals of $[a, b], I_{1}$ and $I_{2}$, satisfying both

$$
\begin{gather*}
(b-a)(p-1)(q-1) \quad c(s) d s^{q-1}>4,  \tag{3.9}\\
{[a, b] \backslash\left(I_{1} \cup I_{2}\right)}  \tag{3.10}\\
c(s) d s \leq 0 .
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. Let $c$ and $d$ denote the least and greatest extreme points of $u$ on $[a, b]$, respectively. If there is only one zero of $u^{\prime}$ in $(a, b)$, then $c$ and $d$ coincide. Then $u^{\prime}(d)=0, u(b)=0$, and $u(t) \neq 0$ for $t \in[d, b)$. By Theorem 8 the inequality (3.5) holds. There thus exists $b_{1} \in(d, b]$ such that

$$
(p-1)(q-1) \quad{ }_{d}^{b_{1}} c(s) d s{ }^{q-1}>\frac{1}{b-d} \quad \text { and } \quad{ }_{d}^{b_{1}} c(s) d s \geq{ }_{d} c(s) d s
$$

Similarly, by Theorem 8a we can choose $a_{1} \in[a, c)$ such that

$$
(p-1)(q-1) \quad{ }_{a_{1}}^{c} c(s) d s s^{q-1}>\frac{1}{c-a} \quad \text { and } \quad{ }_{a_{1}}^{c} c(s) d s \geq{ }_{a}^{c} c(s) d s
$$

Let $I_{1}:=\left[d, b_{1}\right], I_{2}:=\left[a_{1}, c\right]$, and $q \geq 2$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (p-1)(q-1)(b-a) \quad c(s) d s s_{I_{1} \cup I_{2}}^{q-1} \\
& =(p-1)(q-1)(b-a) \quad c(s) d s+{ }_{I_{1}} c(s) d s s^{q-1} \\
& \geq(p-1)(q-1)(b-a) \quad c(s) d s^{q-1}+\quad{ }_{I_{2}} c(s) d s^{q-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
>(p-1)(q-1)(b-a) \frac{1}{(c-a)(p-1)(q-1)}+\frac{1}{(b-d)(p-1)(q-1)} \\
\geq \frac{b-a}{b-d}+\frac{b-a}{c-a} \\
\geq[(b-d)+(c-a)] \frac{1}{b-d}+\frac{1}{c-a} \\
\geq 2+\frac{c-a}{b-d}+\frac{b-d}{c-a} \geq 4
\end{gathered}
$$

and (3.9) is verified. It is also easy to see that ${ }_{{ }_{b_{1}}} \quad c(s) d s \leq 0$ and ${ }_{a}{ }_{a} c(s) d s \leq 0$.
To verify (3.10) it is sufficient to show that $c(s) d s \leq 0$. Let $r(t)$ be defined as in Theorem 8. Since $u^{\prime}(c)=u_{d}^{\prime}(d)=0$, we have $r(c)=r(d)=0$ and $0=$ $r(d)-r(c)={ }_{c}^{d} c(s) d s+(p-1){ }_{c}^{d}|r(s)|^{q} d s$. This means that ${ }_{c}^{d} c(s) d s \leq 0$ and hence that (3.10) holds.
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