Takashi Noiri On  $\alpha$ -continuous functions

Časopis pro pěstování matematiky, Vol. 109 (1984), No. 2, 118--126

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/108508

# Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1984

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

## ON α-CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS

TAKASHI NOIRI, Yatsushiro (Received October 11, 1982)

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In 1965, O. Njåstad [12] introduced a weak form of open sets called  $\alpha$ -sets. The present author [16] defined a function  $f: X \to Y$  to be strongly semi-continuous if  $f^{-1}(V)$  is an  $\alpha$ -set of X for each open set V of Y and showed that the images of open connected sets are connected under strongly semi-continuous functions. Recently, A. S. Mashhour et. al. [10] have called strongly semi-continuous functions  $\alpha$ -continuous and obtained several properties of such functions. In [10], they stated without proofs that  $\alpha$ -continuity implies  $\theta$ -continuity and is independent of almost-continuity in the sense of Singal [19]. On the other hand, in 1980 S. N. Maheshwari and S. S. Thakur [8] defined a function  $f: X \to Y$  to be  $\alpha$ -irresolute if  $f^{-1}(V)$  is an  $\alpha$ -set of X for each  $\alpha$ -set V of Y and obtained several properties of  $\alpha$ -irresolute functions.

The purpose of the present paper is to continue the investigation of  $\alpha$ -continuous functions. In Section 3, we shall investigate the relationships between  $\alpha$ -continuous functions and several known functions, for example, almost-continuous,  $\eta$ -continuous,  $\delta$ -continuous or irresolute functions. In the last section, we shall obtain some improvements of the results established in [8] and show that every  $\alpha$ -continuous function is  $\alpha$ -irresolute if it is either semi-open due to N. Biswas [1] or almost-open due to M. K. Singal and A. R. Singal [19].

#### 2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout the present paper,  $(X, \tau)$  and  $(Y, \sigma)$  (or simply X and Y) denote topological spaces on which no separation axioms are assumed unless explicitly stated. Let S be a subset of  $(X, \tau)$ . The closure of S and the interior of S are denoted by Cl(S) and Int(S), respectively. The subset S is said to be *regular open* (resp. *regular closed*) if Int(Cl(S)) = S (resp. Cl(Int(S)) = S). The subset S is said to be  $\alpha$ -open [12] (resp. *semi-open* [7], *pre-open* [9]) if  $S \subset Int(Cl(Int(S)))$  (resp.  $S \subset Cl(Int(S))$ ,  $S \subset Int(Cl(S))$ ). The complement of an  $\alpha$ -open (resp. semi-open) set is called  $\alpha$ -closed (resp. *semi-closed*). The family of all  $\alpha$ -open (resp. semi-open, pre-open) sets of  $(X, \tau)$  is denoted by  $\tau^{\alpha}$  (resp. SO(X,  $\tau$ ), PO(X,  $\tau$ )). It is known in [12] that  $\tau^{\alpha}$  is a topology for X and  $\tau^{\alpha} \subset SO(X, \tau)$ .

**Definition 2.1.** A function  $f: (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$  is said to be  $\alpha$ -continuous [10] (resp. semi-continuous [7]) if  $f^{-1}(V) \in \tau^{\alpha}$  (resp.  $f^{-1}(V) \in SO(X, \tau)$ ) for every  $V \in \sigma$ .

In [16], the present author called  $\alpha$ -continuous functions strongly semi-continuous. However, in this paper we use the term " $\alpha$ -continuous" following A. S. Mashhour et. al. [10].

**Definition 2.2.** A function  $f: X \to Y$  is said to be almost-continuous (briefly, *a.c.H.*) [5] if for each  $x \in X$  and each neighborhood V of f(x),  $Cl(f^{-1}(V))$  is a neighborhood of x.

It is obvious that a function  $f:(X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$  is a.c.H. if and only if  $f^{-1}(V) \in e$  PO $(X, \tau)$  for each  $V \in \sigma$ . It is reasonable that A. S. Mashhour et. al. [9] called a.c.H. functions pre-continuous. Example 3.1 and 3.2 of [11] show that the concepts of "a.c.H." and "semi-continuous" are independent of each other.

**Definition 2.3.** A function  $f:(X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$  is said to be *almost-continuous* (briefly, *a.c.S.*) [19] (resp.  $\theta$ -continuous [4], weakly-continuous [6]) if for each  $x \in X$  and each  $V \in \sigma$  containing f(x), there exists  $U \in \tau$  containing x such that  $f(U) \subset \text{Int}(\text{Cl}(V))$  (resp.  $f(\text{Cl}(U)) \subset \text{Cl}(V), f(U) \subset \text{Cl}(V)$ ).

**Definition 2.4.** A function  $f: X \to Y$  is said to be  $\eta$ -continuous [3] if for every regular open sets U, V of Y,

(1)  $f^{-1}(V) \subset \text{Int}(\text{Cl}(f^{-1}(V)))$  and

(2)  $\operatorname{Int}(\operatorname{Cl}(f^{-1}(U \cap V))) = \operatorname{Int}(\operatorname{Cl}(f^{-1}(U))) \cap \operatorname{Int}(\operatorname{Cl}(f^{-1}(V))).$ 

**Remark 2.5.** For a function  $f : X \to Y$ , the following implications are known ([3], [19]):

continuous  $\Rightarrow$  a.c.S.  $\Rightarrow \eta$ -continuous  $\Rightarrow \theta$ -continuous  $\Rightarrow$  weakly-continuous.

## 3. α-CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS

**Lemma 3.1.** Let A be a subset of a space  $(X, \tau)$ . Then A is  $\alpha$ -open in  $(X, \tau)$  if and only if A is semi-open and pre-open in  $(X, \tau)$ .

Proof. Necessity. Let  $A \in \tau^{\alpha}$ . By the definition of  $\alpha$ -open sets, we have  $A \subset$  $\subset Int(Cl(A))$  and  $A \subset Cl(Int(A))$ . Therefore, we obtain  $A \in SO(X, \tau) \cap PO(X, \tau)$ .

Sufficiency. Let  $A \in SO(X, \tau) \cap PO(X, \tau)$ . Since  $A \in SO(X, \tau)$ ,  $A \subset C!(Int(A))$ and hence it follows from  $A \in PO(X, \tau)$  that

$$A \subset \operatorname{Int}(\operatorname{Cl}(A)) \subset \operatorname{Int}(\operatorname{Cl}(\operatorname{Int}(A)))) = \operatorname{Int}(\operatorname{Cl}(\operatorname{Int}(A))).$$

Therefore, we have  $A \in \tau^{\alpha}$ .

In [17, Theorem 1], V. Popa showed that every a.c.H. and semi-continuous function is weakly-continuous. Furthermore, in [10, Theorem 3.2] A. S. Mashhour et. al. obtained the result that every a.c.H. and semi-continuous function is  $\alpha$ -continuous. As an improvement of these results, we have

**Theorem 3.2.** A function  $f: X \to Y$  is  $\alpha$ -continuous if and only if f is a.c.H. and semi-continuous.

Proof. This is an immedaite consequence of Lemma 3.1.

**Definition 3.3.** A function  $f:(X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$  is said to be strongly  $\eta$ -continuous if f is a.c.H. and for every  $U, V \in \sigma$ ,

$$\operatorname{Int}(\operatorname{Cl}(f^{-1}(U))) \cap \operatorname{Int}(\operatorname{Cl}(f^{-1}(V))) \subset \operatorname{Int}(\operatorname{Cl}(f^{-1}(U \cap V))).$$

**Lemma 3.4.** A function  $f:(X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$  is strongly  $\eta$ -continuous if and only if for every  $U, V \in \sigma$ ,

(1) 
$$f^{-1}(V) \subset \operatorname{Int}(\operatorname{Cl}(f^{-1}(V)))$$
 and

(2)  $\operatorname{Int}(\operatorname{Cl}(f^{-1}(U \cap V))) = \operatorname{Int}(\operatorname{Cl}(f^{-1}(U))) \cap \operatorname{Int}(\operatorname{Cl}(f^{-1}(V))).$ 

**Proof.** It is obvious that f is a.c.H. if and only if f satisfies (1). We assume that f is strongly  $\eta$ -continuous, and show equality (2). For any  $U, V \in \sigma$ , it follows from (1) that

$$f^{-1}(U \cap V) \subset \operatorname{Int}(\operatorname{Cl}(f^{-1}(U))) \cap \operatorname{Int}(\operatorname{Cl}(f^{-1}(V))).$$

Since the intersection of two regular open sets is regular open, we obtain

$$\operatorname{Int}(\operatorname{Cl}(f^{-1}(U \cap V))) \subset \operatorname{Int}(\operatorname{Cl}(f^{-1}(V))) \cap \operatorname{Int}(\operatorname{Cl}(f^{-1}(V)))$$

Hence, equality (2) holds.

**Lemma 3.5.** Let A and B be subsets of  $(X, \tau)$ . If either  $A \in SO(X, \tau)$  or  $B \in SO(X, \tau)$ , then

$$\operatorname{Int}(\operatorname{Cl}(A \cap B)) = \operatorname{Int}(\operatorname{Cl}(A)) \cap \operatorname{Int}(\operatorname{Cl}(B))$$

**Proof.** For any subsets  $A, B \subset X$ , we generally have

$$\operatorname{Int}(\operatorname{Cl}(A \cap B)) \subset \operatorname{Int}(\operatorname{Cl}(A)) \cap \operatorname{Int}(\operatorname{Cl}(B)).$$

Assume that  $A \in SO(X, \tau)$ . Then we have Cl(A) = Cl(Int(A)). Therefore,

$$Int(Cl(A)) \cap Int(Cl(B)) = Int(Cl(Int(Cl(A)) \cap Int(Cl(B)))) \subset$$
  

$$\subset Int(Cl(Cl(A) \cap Int(Cl(B)))) = Int(Cl(Cl(Int(A)) \cap Int(Cl(B)))) \subset$$
  

$$\subset Int(Cl(Int(A) \cap Cl(B))) \subset Int(Cl(Int(A) \cap B)) \subset Int(Cl(A \cap B))$$

This completes the proof.

**Theorem 3.6.** If a function  $f:(X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$  is  $\alpha$ -continuous, then f is strongly  $\eta$ -continuous.

Proof. Since f is  $\alpha$ -continuous, by Lemma 3.1  $f^{-1}(V) \subset \tau^{\alpha} \in PO(X, \tau)$  for any  $V \in \sigma$  and hence  $f^{-1}(V) \subset Int(Cl(f^{-1}(V)))$ . Furthermore,  $f^{-1}(U)$ ,  $f^{-1}(V) \in \tau^{\alpha} \subset CO(X, \tau)$  for any  $U, V \in \sigma$ , and hence by Lemma 3.5 we have

$$\operatorname{Int}(\operatorname{Cl}(f^{-1}(U \cap V))) = \operatorname{Int}(\operatorname{Cl}(f^{-1}(U))) \cap \operatorname{Int}(\operatorname{Cl}(f^{-1}(V))).$$

It follows from Lemma 3.4 that f is strongly  $\eta$ -continuous.

A strongly  $\eta$ -continuous function need not be  $\alpha$ -continuous as the following example shows.

**Example 3.7.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$  and  $\tau = \{\emptyset, \{d\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, c, d\}, X\}$ . Let  $Y = \{x, y, z\}$  and  $\sigma = \{\emptyset, \{x\}, \{z\}, \{x, z\}, Y\}$ . Define a function  $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  as follows: f(a) = x, f(b) = f(c) = y and f(d) = z. Then f is strongly  $\eta$ -continuous but is neither  $\alpha$ -continuous nor a.c.S.

**Theorem 3.8.** Every strongly  $\eta$ -continuous function is  $\eta$ -continuous.

Proof. Since every regular open set is open, this follows immediately from Lemma 3.4.

Since every a.c.S. function is  $\eta$ -continuous [3, Proposition 3.3], the following example shows that the converse to Theorem 3.8 is not true in general.

**Example 3.9** (Singal and Singal [19]). Let X be the set of real numbers and  $\tau$  the co-countable topology for X. Let  $Y = \{a, b\}$  and  $\sigma = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, Y\}$ . Define a function  $f: (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$  as follows: f(x) = a if x is rational and f(x) = b if x is irrational. Then, f is a.c.S. [19, Example 2.1]. However, since f is not a.c.H., it is neither strongly  $\eta$ -continuous nor  $\alpha$ -continuous.

Examples 3.7 and 3.9 show that "strongly  $\eta$ -continuous" and "a.c.S." are independent of each other. Futhermore, the following example and Example 3.9 show that " $\alpha$ -continuous" and "a.c.S." are independent of each other.

**Example 3.10.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$  and

 $\tau = \{\emptyset, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{a, c\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, X\}.$ 

Let  $Y = \{x, y, z\}$  and  $\sigma = \{\emptyset, \{x\}, \{y\}, \{x, y\}, Y\}$ . Define a function  $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  as follows: f(a) = z and f(b) = f(c) = f(d) = y. Then f is  $\alpha$ -continuous but it is not a.c.S.

A function  $f: (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$  is said to be *irresolute* [2] if  $f^{-1}(V) \in SO(X, \tau)$  for every  $V \in SO(Y, \sigma)$ . We shall show that " $\alpha$ -continuous" and "irresolute" are independent of each other.

**Example 3.11.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$ ,  $\tau = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, X\}$  and  $\sigma = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b, c\}, X\}$ . Let  $f : (X, \tau) \to (X, \sigma)$  be the identity function. Then f is irresolute but it is not  $\alpha$ -continuous.

**Theorem 3.12.** Not every  $\alpha$ -continuous function is irresolute.

**Proof.** Assume that every  $\alpha$ -continuous function is necessarily irresolute. Let  $f: X \to Y$  be  $\alpha$ -continuous. Let  $x \in X$  and let V be any open set of Y containing f(x). Since f is irresolute and Int(Cl(V)) is semi-closed in Y,  $f^{-1}(Int(Cl(V)))$  is semi-closed and hence

$$\operatorname{Int}(\operatorname{Cl}(f^{-1}(\operatorname{Int}(\operatorname{Cl}(V))))) \subset f^{-1}(\operatorname{Int}(\operatorname{Cl}(V))).$$

By Theorem 3.2, f is a.c.H. and hence

$$x \in f^{-1}(V) \subset f^{-1}(\operatorname{Int}(\operatorname{Cl}(V))) \subset \operatorname{Int}(\operatorname{Cl}(f^{-1}(\operatorname{Int}(\operatorname{Cl}(V)))))$$
.

Put  $U = \text{Int}(\text{Cl}(f^{-1}(\text{Int}(\text{Cl}(V)))))$ , then U is an open set of X containing x and  $f(U) \subset \text{Int}(\text{Cl}(V))$ . This shows that every  $\alpha$ -continuous function is a.c.S. This contradicts Example 3.10.

A function  $f: X \to Y$  is said to be  $\delta$ -continuous [14] if for each  $x \in X$  and each open neighborhood V of f(x), there exists an open neighborhood U of x such that  $f(\operatorname{Int}(\operatorname{Cl}(U))) \subset \operatorname{Int}(\operatorname{Cl}(V))$ . In [14], it is shown that every  $\delta$ -continuous function is a.c.S. and  $\delta$ -continuity and continuity are independent of each other. Example 4.4 of [14] shows that there exists a  $\delta$ -continuous function without being  $\alpha$ -continuous. Furthermore, Example 4.5 of [14] shows that a continuous (hence  $\alpha$ -continuous) function is not necessarily  $\delta$ -continuous. Therefore, we see that the concepts of  $\alpha$ -continuity and  $\delta$ -continuity are independent of each other.

### 4. α-IRRESOLUTE FUNCTIONS

**Definition 4.1.** A function  $f: (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$  is said to be  $\alpha$ -irresolute [8] if  $f^{-1}(V) \in \tau^{\alpha}$  for every  $V \in \sigma^{\alpha}$ .

Every  $\alpha$ -irresolute function is  $\alpha$ -continuous but a continuous function is not necessarily  $\alpha$ -irresolute [8, Example 1]. Therefore, the concept of  $\alpha$ -continuous functions is strictly weaker than that of  $\alpha$ -irresolute functions.

In [16, Theorem 3.6], the present author showed that the images of open connected sets are connected under  $\alpha$ -continuous (strongly semi-continuous) functions. In [8, Theorem 2], it is shown that if a function  $f: X \to Y$  is  $\alpha$ -irresolute and A is  $\alpha$ -open and closed in X then the restriction  $f \mid A : A \to Y$  is  $\alpha$ -irresolute. We shall obtain the improvements of these results. For this purpose, the following lemma is very useful.

**Lemma 4.2.** (Mashhour et. al. [10]). Let A and V be subsets of  $(X, \tau)$ . If  $A \in PO(X, \tau)$ and  $V \in \tau^{\alpha}$ , then  $A \cap V \in (\tau/A)^{\alpha}$ , where  $(\tau/A)^{\alpha}$  denotes the family of all  $\alpha$ -open sets in the subspace  $(A, \tau/A)$ . **Theorem 4.3.** If  $f: (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$  is  $\alpha$ -continuous and A is a pre-open and connected set of  $(X, \tau)$ , then f(A) is connected.

Proof. Let  $f_A: (A, \tau/A) \to (f(A), \sigma/f(A))$  be a function defined by  $f_A(x) = f(x)$ for every  $x \in A$ . We show that  $f_A$  is  $\alpha$ -continuous. For any  $V_A \in \sigma/f(A)$ , there exists  $V \in \sigma$  such that  $V_A = V \cap f(A)$ . Since f is  $\alpha$ -continuous,  $f^{-1}(V) \in \tau^{\alpha}$  and hence by Lemma 4.2,  $(f_A)^{-1}(V_A) = f^{-1}(V) \cap A \in (\tau/A)^{\alpha}$ . Therefore,  $f_A$  is  $\alpha$ -continuous and hence  $f_A(A) = f(A)$  is connected [16, Theorem 3.1].

**Theorem 4.4.** If  $f:(X,\tau) \to (Y,\sigma)$  is  $\alpha$ -irresolute and  $A \in PO(X,\tau)$ , then the restriction  $f \mid A: (A,\tau \mid A) \to (Y,\sigma)$  is  $\alpha$ -irresolute.

Proof. Let  $V \in \sigma^{\alpha}$ . Since f is  $\alpha$ -irresolute,  $f^{-1}(V) \in \tau^{\alpha}$ . By Lemma 4.2,  $(f \mid A)^{-1}(V) = f^{-1}(V) \cap A \in (\tau/A)^{\alpha}$  because  $A \in PO(X, \tau)$ . This shows that  $f \mid A$  is  $\alpha$ -irresolute.

A point  $x \in X$  is said to be a  $\delta$ -cluster point of a subset  $S \subset X$  [20] if  $S \cap V \neq \emptyset$ for every regular open set V containing x. A subset S is called  $\delta$ -closed if all  $\delta$ -cluster points of S are contained in S. The graph G(f) of a function  $f: X \to Y$  is said to be  $\delta$ -closed if G(f) is  $\delta$ -closed in the product space  $X \times Y$ . It is known that if  $f: X \to Y$ is  $\delta$ -continuous and Y is Hausdorff then G(f) is  $\delta$ -closed [14, Theorem 5.2]. As an improvement of this result, we have

**Theorem 4.5.** If a function  $f: X \to Y$  is  $\theta$ -continuous and Y is Hausdorff, then G(f) is  $\delta$ -closed.

Proof. Let  $(x, y) \notin G(f)$ . Then  $y \neq f(x)$  and there exist disjoint open sets V, W of Y such that  $f(x) \in V$  and  $y \in W$ . Since V and W are disjoint open, we have  $Cl(V) \cap \cap Int(Cl(W)) = \emptyset$ . Since f is  $\theta$ -continuous, there exists an open set U containing x such that  $f(Cl(U)) \subset Cl(V)$ . Therefore, we obtain  $f(Int(Cl(U))) \cap Int(Cl(W)) = \emptyset$ . It follows from [14, Theorem 5.2] that G(f) is  $\delta$ -closed.

**Corollary 4.6.** If  $f: X \to Y$  is  $\alpha$ -continuous and Y is Hausdorff, then G(f) is  $\delta$ -closed.

Proof. Every  $\alpha$ -continuous function is  $\eta$ -continuous by Theorems 3.6 and 3.8 and every  $\eta$ -continuous function is  $\theta$ -continuous [3, Proposition 3.3]. Thus, this immediately follows from Theorem 4.5.

**Corollary 4.7.** (Maheshwari and Thakur [8]). If  $f:(X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$  is  $\alpha$ -irresolute and  $(Y, \sigma^{\alpha})$  is Hausdorff, then G(f) is  $\alpha$ -closed.

Proof. We show that if  $(Y, \sigma^{\alpha})$  is Hausdorff then so is  $(Y, \sigma)$ . Since  $(Y, \sigma^{\alpha})$  is Hausdorff, for distinct points  $x, y \in Y$  there exist  $U, V \in \sigma^{\alpha}$  such that  $x \in U, y \in V$  and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ . Then we have  $Cl(Int(U)) \cap Int(V) = \emptyset$  and hence

$$\operatorname{Int}(\operatorname{Cl}(\operatorname{Int}(U))) \cap \operatorname{Int}(\operatorname{Cl}(\operatorname{Int}(V))) = \emptyset$$
.

Moreover,  $x \in U \subset Int(Cl(Int(U)))$  and  $y \in V \subset Int(Cl(Int(V)))$ . This shows that  $(Y, \sigma)$  is Hausdorff. It is obvious that  $\delta$ -closedness implies closedness and closedness implies  $\alpha$ -closedness.

**Remark 4.8.** In [18], I. L. Reilly and M. K. Vamanamurthy showed that if  $(Y, \sigma^2)$  is Hausdorff then so is  $(Y, \sigma)$ . However, as their proof is complicated, we gave a simple one.

**Theorem 4.9.** If  $f, g: (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$  are  $\alpha$ -continuous and  $(Y, \sigma)$  is Hausdorff, then the set  $\{x \in X \mid f(x) = g(x)\}$  is  $\alpha$ -closed.

Proof. A function  $f:(X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$  is  $\alpha$ -continuous if and only if  $f_{\alpha}:(X, \tau^{\alpha}) \to (Y, \sigma)$  is continuous, where  $f_{\alpha}$  is the function defined by  $f_{\alpha}(x) = f(x)$  for every  $x \in X$ . Since  $(Y, \sigma)$  is Hasudorff, the set  $\{x \in X \mid f_{\alpha}(x) = g_{\alpha}(x)\}$  is closed in  $(X, \tau^{\alpha})$ . Therefore,  $\{x \in X \mid f(x) = g(x)\}$  is  $\alpha$ -closed in  $(X, \tau)$ .

**Corollary 4.10.** (Maheshwari and Thakur [8]). If  $f, g: (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$  are  $\alpha$ -irresolute and  $(Y, \sigma^{\alpha})$  is Hausdorff, then the set  $\{x \in X \mid f(x) = g(x)\}$  is  $\alpha$ -closed in  $(X, \tau)$ .

**Proof.** Since  $(Y, \sigma^{\alpha})$  is Hausdorff,  $(Y, \sigma)$  is Hausdorff. Thus, this is an immediate consequence of Theorem 4.9.

We shall conclude the section by giving two sufficient conditions for an  $\alpha$ -continuous function to be  $\alpha$ -irresolute. A function  $f: X \to Y$  is said to be *almost-open* [19] if f(U) is open in Y for every regular open set U of X. A function  $f: (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$  is said to be *semi-open* [1] (resp. *pre-open* [9]) if  $f(U) \in SO(Y, \sigma)$  (resp.  $f(U) \in PO(Y, \sigma)$ ) for every  $U \in \tau$ . In [9], it is noted that pre-openness is equivalent to almost-openness in the sense of Wilansky [21]. It is known that every  $\alpha$ -continuous pre-open function is  $\alpha$ -irresolute [10, Theorem 3.3]. We shall show that an  $\alpha$ -continuous function is  $\alpha$ -irresolute if it is either almost-open or semi-open. For the relationship between "almost-open", "semi-open" and "pre-open" we have

**Remark 4.11.** In [15], it is shown that for a function  $f: X \to Y$  the concepts of almost-openness, semi-openness and pre-openness are independent of each other.

**Lemma 4.12.** Let A and B be subsets of  $(X, \tau)$ . Then

(1)  $A \in \tau^{\alpha}$  if and only if there exists  $V \in \tau$  such that  $V \subset A \subset Int(Cl(V))$ .

(2) If  $A \in \tau^{\alpha}$  and  $A \subset B \subset Int(Cl(A))$ , then  $B \in \tau^{\alpha}$ .

Proof. Since (1) is obvious, we prove (2). Since  $A \in \tau^{\alpha}$ ,

 $B \subset \operatorname{Int}(\operatorname{Cl}(A)) \subset \operatorname{Int}(\operatorname{Cl}(\operatorname{Int}(A)))) =$ = Int(Cl(Int(A))) \cap Int(Cl(Int(B))).

This shows that  $B \in \tau^{\alpha}$ .

**Theorem 4.13.** If  $f:(X,\tau) \to (Y,\sigma)$  is almost-open and  $\alpha$ -continuous, then f is  $\alpha$ -irresolute.

Proof. Let B be any  $\alpha$ -open set of  $(Y, \sigma)$ . By Lemma 4.12, there exists  $V \in \sigma$  such that  $V \subset B \subset \text{Int}(\text{Cl}(V))$ . Since f is  $\alpha$ -continuous,  $f^{-1}(V) \in \tau^{\alpha} \subset \text{SO}(X, \tau)$  and hence  $f^{-1}(V) \subset \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(f^{-1}(V)))$ . Put

$$F = Y - f(X - Cl(Int(f^{-1}(V)))).$$

Then F is closed in Y because f is almost-open and  $Cl(Int(f^{-1}(V)))$  is regular closed. Furthermore, we obtain  $V \subset F$  and  $f^{-1}(F) \subset Cl(Int(f^{-1}(V)))$ . Thus,  $f^{-1}(Cl(V)) \subset Cl(Int(f^{-1}(V)))$  which implies

$$f^{-1}(V) \subset f^{-1}(B) \subset f^{-1}(\operatorname{Int}(\operatorname{Cl}(V))) \subset$$
$$\subseteq \operatorname{Int}(\operatorname{Cl}(\operatorname{Int}(f^{-1}(\operatorname{Int}(\operatorname{Cl}(V)))))) \subset \operatorname{Int}(\operatorname{Cl}(f^{-1}(V)))$$

It follows from Lemma 4.12 that  $f^{-1}(B) \in \tau^{\alpha}$ . This shows that f is  $\alpha$ -irresolute.

Let S be a subset of X. The intersection of all semi-closed sets containing S is called the *semi-closure* of S and denoted by sCl(S).

**Lemma 4.14.** If S is a subset of X, then  $Int(Cl(S)) \subset sCl(S)$ .

Proof. Let  $x \in Int(Cl(S))$  and let G be any semi-open set of X containing x. There exists an open set U of X such that  $U \subset G \subset Cl(U)$ . Since  $x \in G \subset Cl(U)$  and  $x \in Int(Cl(S))$ ,

$$\emptyset \neq \operatorname{Int}(\operatorname{Cl}(S)) \cap U \subset \operatorname{Cl}(S) \cap U \subset \operatorname{Cl}(S \cap U)$$
.

Therefore, we have  $S \cap U \neq \emptyset$  and hence  $S \cap G \neq \emptyset$ . This shows that  $x \in sCl(S)$ 

**Lemma 4.15.** (Noiri [13]). A function  $f: X \to Y$  is semi-open if and only if  $f^{-1}(sCl(B)) \subset Cl(f^{-1}(B))$  for every subset B of Y.

**Theorem 4.16.** If  $f:(X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$  is semi-open and  $\alpha$ -continuous, then f is  $\alpha$ -irresolute.

Proof. Let B be any  $\alpha$ -open set of  $(Y, \sigma)$ . By Lemma 4.12, there exists  $V \in \sigma$  such that  $V \subset B \subset \text{Int}(Cl(V))$ . Since f is  $\alpha$ -continuous,  $f^{-1}(\text{Int}(Cl(V))) \in \tau^{\alpha}$ . It follows from Lemmas 4.14 and 4.15 that

$$f^{-1}(\operatorname{Int}(\operatorname{Cl}(V))) \subset \operatorname{Int}(\operatorname{Cl}(\operatorname{Int}(f^{-1}(\operatorname{Int}(\operatorname{Cl}(V)))))) \subset$$
  
$$\subset \operatorname{Int}(\operatorname{Cl}(\operatorname{Int}(f^{-1}(\operatorname{sCl}(V))))) \subset \operatorname{Int}(\operatorname{Cl}(f^{-1}(V))).$$

Therefore, we obtain  $f^{-1}(V) \subset f^{-1}(B) \subset \operatorname{Int}(\operatorname{Cl}(f^{-1}(V)))$  and  $f^{-1}(V) \in \tau^{\alpha}$ . By Lemma 4.12,  $f^{-1}(B) \in \tau^{\alpha}$ . This shows that f is  $\alpha$ -irresolute.

The author is grateful to Dr. V. Popa for sending him the English version of [17].

#### References

- N. Biswas: On some mappings in topological spaces. Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc. 61 (1969), 127-135.
- [2] S. Gene Crossley, S. K. Hildebrand: Semi-topological properties. Fund. Math. 74 (1972), 233-254.
- [3] R. F. Dickman, Jr., J. R. Porter, L. R. Rubin: Completely regular absolutes and projective objects. Pacific J. Math. 94 (1981), 277-295.
- [4] S. Fomin: Extensions of topological spaces. Ann. of Math. 44 (1943), 471-480.
- [5] T. Husain: Almost continuous mappings. Prace Mat. 10 (1966), 1-7.
- [6] N. Levine: A decomposition of continuity in topological spaces. Amer. Math. Monthly 68 (1961), 44-46.
- [7] N. Levine: Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces. Amer. Math. Monthly 70 (1963), 36-41.
- [8] S. N. Maheshwari, S. S. Thakur: On  $\alpha$ -irresolute mappings. Tamkang J. Math. 11 (1980), 209-214.
- [9] A. S. Mashhour, M. E. Abd El-Monsef, S. N. El-Deeb: On precontinuous and weak precontinuous mappings. Proc. Math. Phys. Soc. Egypt (to appear).
- [10] A. S. Mashhour, I. A. Hasanein, S. N. El-Deeb: α-continuous and α-open mappings. Acta Math. Hung. 41 (1983), 213-218.
- [11] A. Neubrunnová: On certain generalizations of the notion of continuity. Mat. Časopis 23 (1973), 374-380.
- [12] O. Njåstad: On some classes of nearly open sets. Pacific J. Math. 15 (1965), 961-970.
- [13] T. Noiri: Remarks on semi-open mappings. Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc. 65 (1973), 197-201.
- [14] T. Noiri: On  $\delta$ -continuous functions. J. Korean Math. Soc. 16 (1980), 161–166.
- [15] T. Noiri: Semi-continuity and weak-continuity. Czech. Math. J. 31 (106) (1981), 314-321.
- [16] T. Noiri: A function which preserves connected spaces. Casopis Pest. Mat. (to appear).
- [17] V. Popa: On some weaken forms of continuity (in Rouman). Studi. Cerc. Mat. 33 (1981), 543-546.
- [18] I. L. Reilly, M. K. Vamanamurthy: On  $\alpha$ -sets in topological spaces. Tamkang J. Math. (to appear).
- [19] M. K. Singal, A. R. Singal: Almost-continuous mappings. Yokohama Math. J. 16 (1968), 63-73.
- [20] N. V. Veličko: H-closed topological spaces. Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (2) 78 (1968), 103-118.
- [21] A. Wilansky: Topics in Functional Analysis. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 45, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1967.

Author's address: Department of Mathematics, Yatsushiro College of Technology, Yatsushiro, Kumamoto, 866 Japan.