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# FREDHOLM ALTERNATIVE FOR NONLINEAR OPERATORS AND APPLICATIONS TO PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL <br> EQUATIONS AND INTEGRAL EQUATIONS 

(Received April 15, 1970)

1. Introduction. The problem of solving a nonlinear boundary value problem or an integral equation can be reduced often to the following abstract one: find a solution $u$ of $T u=f$, where $T$ is a mapping from a real, reflexive Banach space $B$ to its dual $B^{*}$.

Example 1 . Let $\Omega$ be a bounded domain with Lipschitz boundary $\partial \Omega$ and let $a_{i}\left(x, \xi_{0}, \xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}\right), i=0,1, \ldots, n$, be continuous functions in $\bar{\Omega} \times R_{n+1}$, satisfying growth conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{i}(x, \xi)\right| \leqq c(1+|\xi|)^{m-1}, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $1<m<\infty$. Let $f_{i} \in L_{m^{\prime}}(\Omega), 1 / m^{\prime}+1 / m=1, i=0, \ldots, n$. By $W_{m}^{(1)}(\Omega)$ we denote the well-known Sobolev space of real $L_{m}$ functions whose first derivatives are also $L_{m}$ functions. $W_{m}^{(1)}(\Omega)$ is a Banach space with the norm $\|u\|_{W_{m}(1)}=\left(\int_{\Omega}\left(|u|^{m}+\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\partial u / \partial x_{i}\right|^{m}\right) \mathrm{d} x\right)^{1 / m}$ and is separable. $W_{m}^{(1)}(\Omega)$ is also reflexive as the closed subspace of $\left[L_{m}\right]^{n+1}$. Let $\dot{W}_{m}^{(1)}(\Omega)$ be the closure of $D(\Omega)$, the space of infinitely differentiable functions with compact support, in the space $W_{m}^{(1)}(\Omega)$. We have to find $u \in$ $\in \dot{W}_{m}^{(1)}(\Omega)$ such that for any $v \in \dot{W}_{m}^{(1)}(\Omega)$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{\Omega}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}} a_{i}\left(x, u, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{n}}\right)+v a_{0}\left(x, u, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{n}}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} x=  \tag{1.2}\\
=\int_{\Omega} v f_{0} \mathrm{~d} x-\int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}} f_{i} \mathrm{~d} x
\end{gather*}
$$

[^0]The function $u$ is called weak solution of the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left(-_{i}\left(x, u, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{n}}\right)\right)+a\left(x, u, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{n}}\right)=f+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial x_{i}} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $\Omega$, satisfying on the boundary the condition $u=0$.
Denoting by $\left(w^{*}, u\right)$ the pairing between $B^{*}$ and $B$, we can define an operator $T$ : $: B \rightarrow B^{*}$, putting

$$
(T u, v) \stackrel{\mathrm{df}}{=} \int_{\Omega}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}\left(x, u, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{n}}\right) \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}}+a_{0}\left(x, u, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{n}}\right) v\right) \mathrm{d} x
$$

Because $\int_{\Omega} f_{0} v \mathrm{~d} x-\int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}\left(\partial v / \partial x_{i}\right) \mathrm{d} x=(f, v)$, the equation (1.2) is reduced to the problem of solving the equation $T u=f$.

Example 2. Let us consider the Hammerstein's integral equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x)-\lambda \int_{M} K(x, y) f(y, u(y)) \mathrm{d} y=w(x) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the solution is supposed in $L_{2}(M), M$ being a compact subset of $R_{n}, w \in L_{2}(M)$, $f(y, u)$ is a continuous function on $M \times R_{1}$, satisfying the growth condition $|f(y, u)| \leqq c(1+|u|)$. We suppose $\int_{M} \int_{M} K^{2}(x, y) \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} y<\infty$. If $(T u)(x) \stackrel{\text { df }}{=} u(x)-$ $-\lambda \int_{M} K(x, y) f(y, u(y)) \mathrm{d} y$, then $T: L_{2}(M) \rightarrow L_{2}(M)$ and the problem is reduced to the solution of $T u=w$.
2. Borsuk type theorem. A mapping $T$ is said to be bounded if the image of bounded set is bounded and it is said to be demicontinuous, if from $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ (strong convergence) follows $T u_{n} \rightarrow T u$ (weak convergence).

Theorem 1. Let $T: B \rightarrow B^{*}$, where $B$ is a reflexive space, be a bounded, demicontinuous mapping. Let $T_{t}(u)=T(u)-t T(-u)$ for $0 \leqq t \leqq 1$. Let for $0 \leqq t \leqq 1$, the condition (S) be satisfied:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { if } u_{n} \rightarrow u \text { and }\left(T_{t}\left(u_{n}\right)-T_{t}(u), u_{n}-u\right) \rightarrow 0 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $u_{n} \rightarrow u$, and for $f \in B^{*}$ the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{t} u-(1-t) f \neq 0 \quad \text { for } \quad\|u\|=R>0, \quad 0 \leqq t \leqq 1 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then there exists a solution of $T u=f$.
Let us remark first that the above solution is unique if, for example, the operator $T$ is strictly monotone: $u \neq v \Rightarrow(T u-T v, u-v)>0$.

Theorems as above are based on the concept of monotone operators, and there is a large amount of literature on this subject, compare, for example, M. I. Višik [11],
F. E. Browder [1], J. Leray, J. L. Lions [6], G. J. Minty [7]. The concept using Borsuk's theorem was recently used in the paper of D. G. de Figueiredo, Ch. P. Gupta [3] and elsewhere.
The main ideas of the proof of Theorem 1: First, if $B=R_{n}$, then the degree ( $\left.T_{1}(u), B(0, R), 0\right)$ is an odd integer by Borsuk's theorem, hence by homotopy, this is true for $T(u)-f$, hence, there exists $\|u\|<R$ such that $T u=f$. If $F \subset B$ is a finite dimensional subspace of $B$ and $\psi_{F}$ is the injection of $T \rightarrow B, \psi_{F}^{*}$ being its dual mapping, then for $T_{F} \stackrel{\mathrm{df}}{=} \psi_{F}^{*} T \psi_{F}$, it can be proved by contradiction existence of a $F$ such that if $F^{\prime} \supset F$, then $T_{F^{\prime}}(u)-t T_{F^{\prime}}(-u)-(1-t) \psi_{F^{\prime}}^{*} f \neq 0$ for $\|u\|=R$, $u \in F^{\prime}, 0 \leqq t \leqq 1$. Hence for every $F^{\prime} \supset F$, there exists $u_{F^{\prime}} \in F^{\prime}$ such that $T_{F^{\prime}} u_{F}=$ $=\psi_{F}^{*}, f$. Let us put $M_{F^{\prime}}=\left\{u_{F^{\prime \prime}} \mid F^{\prime \prime} \supset F^{\prime}\right\}$. The set of $M_{F^{\prime}}$ has finite intersection property. If $\bar{M}_{F}$, is the closure in the weak topology, then $\bigcap_{F^{\prime}} \bar{M}_{F^{\prime}} \ni u$. If $w, u \in F^{\prime}$ for $F^{\prime}$ such chosen, then there exists $u_{n} \in M_{F^{\prime}}, u_{n} \rightarrow u$ and because of $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(T u_{n} \sim T u\right.$, $\left.u_{n}-u\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(T u_{n}, u_{n}-u\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(f, u_{n}-u\right)=0,\left(\left(T u_{n}, u_{n}-u\right)=\left(f, u_{n}-u\right)\right.$ follows from the definition of $T_{F}$ ) the condition (2.1) implies $u_{n} \rightarrow u$, what, in virtue of the demicontinuity of $T$, gives the result. We have clearly:

Consequence 1. If the operator $T$ is coercive:

$$
\lim _{\|u\| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(T u, u)}{\|u\|}=\infty, \text { then } T(B)=B^{*}
$$

This is because $\left(T_{t} u, u\right) \geqq c(\|u\|)\|u\|$, with $c(s) \rightarrow \infty$ for $s \rightarrow \infty$.
Consequence 2. If the conditions of theorem 1 are satisfied and Tis odd: $T(-u)=$ $=-T(u)$ and if $T$ is weakly coercive: $\lim _{\|u\| \rightarrow \infty}\|T u\|=\infty$, then $T(B)=B^{*}$.
Let us consider the following class of operators: first if for $\chi>0$ and every $t>0$ : $A(t u)=t^{x} A(u)$, then $A$ is called $x$-homogeneous.

An operator $S$ is asymptotically zero if for $x>0 \lim _{\| u \rightarrow \infty}\|S u\| /\|u\|^{x}=0$.
We have the following Fredholm alternative:
Theorem 2. Let $T=A+S$, where $A$ is demicontinuous, $x$-homogeneous, satisfies the condition (S) (i.e. if $u_{n} \rightarrow$ and $\left(A\left(u_{n}\right)-A(u), u_{n}-u\right) \rightarrow 0$, then $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ ), $S$ is demicontinuous, asymptotically zero (with the same $x$ as for $A$ ) and Tis bounded odd and satisfies the condition (S). Then the range of $T$ is all of $B^{*}$ if $A u=0 \Rightarrow$ $\Rightarrow u=0$. In this case, for every solution,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\| \leqq c\left(1+\|f\|^{1 / x}\right) . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If (2.3) is true for every solution, then $A u=0 \Rightarrow u=0$.

Theorems of this type are recent. It seems the first paper is due to S. I. Pochožajev [10] and to the author [8]. For further results, compare F. E. Browder [2] and the forthcoming papér of J. Ně̌as [9]; compare also M. Kučera [5].

Proof of Theorem 2:
(i) If (2.3) is true and there exists $u_{0} \neq 0$ such that $A u_{0}=0$, then for $u=t u_{0}$ :

$$
\left\|u_{0}\right\| \leqq c\left(\frac{1}{t}+\frac{\left\|S\left(u_{0} t\right)\right\|^{1 / x}}{t\left\|u_{0}\right\|}\right)\left\|u_{0}\right\| \rightarrow 0
$$

which is a contradiction.
(ii) Let $A u=0 \Rightarrow u=0$. Then (2.3) is true: if not, there exists a sequence $\left\|u_{n}\right\| \rightarrow$ $\rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{x}>n\left(1+\left\|T u_{n}\right\|\right) \text { and putting } \quad v_{n}=\frac{u_{n}}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

we can suppose $v_{n} \rightarrow v$ and we obtain from (2.4) $A v_{n} \rightarrow 0$ and using (S) condition: $v_{n} \rightarrow v$, hence $\|v\|=1$ and $A v=0$ which is a contradiction.
(iii) (2.3) implies (2.2), and (2.1) is satisfied because $T_{t}(u)=(1+t) T(u)$.
3. Back to the applications. Let us remark first that it is only a question of introducing enough of indices to treat general systems instead of one partial differential equation as we will do; there is no essential difference.
I) We consider first the problem:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left(a_{i j} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{j}}\right)-\lambda a_{0}\left(x, u, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{n}}\right)=f_{0}(x)+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial x_{i}} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $a_{i j} \in L_{\infty}(\Omega), \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} a_{i j} \xi_{i} \xi_{j} \geqq c|\xi|^{2}$. Let us suppose

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{1}{t} a_{0}(x, t \xi)-\sum_{i=0}^{n} b_{i}(x) \xi_{i}\right| \leqq c(t)\left[\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n} \xi_{i}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}+1\right] \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $c(t) \rightarrow 0$ for $t \rightarrow \infty, b_{i} \in L_{\infty}(\Omega)$. The condition (3.2) implies immediately that $R u \stackrel{\mathrm{~d} f}{=} a_{0}\left(x, u, \partial u / \partial x_{1}, \ldots, \partial u / \partial x_{n}\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}(x) \partial u / \partial x_{i}-b_{0}(x) u$ satisfies the condition

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{\|u\| \rightarrow \infty}\|R u\|_{L_{2}}\|u\|_{W_{2}(1)}=0 . \text { Supposing } a_{0}(x,-\xi)=-a_{0}(x, \xi) \text { and defining } \\
& (A u, v) \stackrel{\mathrm{df}}{=} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} a_{i j} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{j}} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}} \mathrm{~d} x, \quad(S u, v) \stackrel{\mathrm{d} f}{=}-\dot{\lambda} \int_{\Omega} a_{0}\left(x, u, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{n}}\right) v \mathrm{~d} x,
\end{aligned}
$$

we obtain in virtue of the fact the imbedding $W_{2}^{(1)}(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{2}(\Omega)$ is completely continuous, that $S u$ is a completely continuous operator from $\dot{W}_{2}^{(1)} \rightarrow\left(\dot{W}_{2}^{(1)}\right)^{*}$. Because $A$
and $S$ above defined satisfy with $\varkappa=1$ the conditions of the theorem 2 , this altogether gives by theorem 2 this result:

For every $f_{i} \in L_{2}(\Omega), i=0, \ldots, n$, there exists a solution of (3.1) with $u=0$ on $\partial \Omega$ and for every solution, we have $\|u\|_{W_{2}(1)} \leqq c\left(1+\left\|f_{0}\right\|_{L_{2}}+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|f_{i}\right\|_{L_{2}}\right)$ if and only if $\lambda$ is not an eigenvalue for the linear problem

$$
-\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left(a_{i j} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{j}}\right)-\lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(b_{i}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}+b_{0}(x) u\right)=0, \quad u \in \stackrel{\circ}{W}_{2}^{(1)}(\Omega) .
$$

II) If we consider a nonlinear problem with $m \neq 2$, then we suppose:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{a_{i}(x, t \xi)}{t^{m-1}}-A_{i}(x, \xi)\right| \leqq c_{i}(t)\left(1+|\xi|^{m-1}\right), \quad i=0, \ldots, n, \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{i}(x, \xi)$ satisfy the conditions (1.1) and $c_{i}(t) \rightarrow 0$ for $t \rightarrow \infty$. Let $A_{i}(x, \xi)$ and $a_{i}(x, \xi)$ be odd in $\xi$ and $A_{i}(x, t \xi)=t^{m-1} A_{i}(x, \xi), t>0$. We shall suppose for $a_{i}(x, \xi)$ and $A_{i}(x, \xi)$ the conditions (we write them only for $A_{i}$ ): if $\left[\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}\right] \neq$ $\neq\left[\xi_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \xi_{n}^{\prime}\right]$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(A_{i}\left(x, \xi_{0}, \xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}\right)-A_{i}\left(x, \xi_{0}, \xi_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \xi_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right)\left(\xi_{i}-\xi_{i}^{\prime}\right)>0 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{i}\left(x, \xi_{0}, \xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}\right) \xi_{i} \geqq c_{1} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\xi_{i}\right|^{m}-c_{2}\left|\xi_{0}\right|^{m} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

For to apply theorem 2, we can easily verify (for details compare J. Leray, J. L. Lions [6]) the hypothesis eventually with the exception of the condition (S): for to see this, let $u_{k} \rightarrow u$ in $\dot{W}_{m}^{(1)}(\Omega)$. We have first by the complete continuity of the imbedding $W_{m}^{(1)}(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{m}(\Omega): u_{k} \rightarrow u$ in $L_{m}(\Omega)$. Choosing a subsequence, if necessary, still noted $u_{k}$, we have $u_{k}(x) \rightarrow u(x)$ almost everywhere. By hypothesis,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(a_{i}\left(x, u_{k}, \frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial x_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial x_{n}}\right)-a_{i}\left(x, u, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{n}}\right)\right) \\
\left(\frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial x_{i}}-\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right) \mathrm{d} x+\int_{\Omega}\left(a_{0}\left(x, u_{k}, \frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial x_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial x_{n}}\right)-a_{0}\left(x, u, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{n}}\right)\right) \\
\left(u_{k}-u\right) \mathrm{d} x=0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

The second member tends to zero, hence also the first, but in virtue of (3.4) putting

$$
f_{k}(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(a_{i}\left(x, u_{k}, \frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial x_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial x_{n}}\right)-a_{i}\left(x, u_{k}, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{n}}\right)\left(\frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial x_{i}}-\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right)\right)
$$

we have $f_{k}(x) \geqq 0$ and $\int_{\Omega} f_{k}(x) \mathrm{d} x \rightarrow 0$. If necessary, for a subsequence, still noted $f_{k}$, $f_{k}(x) \rightarrow 0$ almost everywhere. This implies $\left(\partial u_{k} / \partial x_{i}\right)(x) \rightarrow\left(\partial u / \partial x_{i}\right)(x)$ almost everywhere. But (3.5) gives uniform continuity of the integrals $\left.\int_{M} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\partial u_{k}\right| \partial x_{i}\right|^{m} \mathrm{~d} x$ with respect to $k$. This implies $\partial u_{k} / \partial x_{i} \rightarrow \partial u / \partial x_{i}$ in $L_{m}(\Omega)$ for the original sequence.

Hence we obtain: the conditions (1.1), (3.3)-(3.5) being satisfied, there exists a solution $u \in W_{m}(\Omega)$ of (1.2), and every solution is such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\|u\|_{W_{m^{(1)}}} \leqq c\left(1+\sum_{i=0}^{n}\left\|f_{i}\right\|_{L_{m}^{\prime}}^{1 /(m-1)}\right)  \tag{3.6}\\
\text { if and only if } \quad u=0
\end{gather*}
$$

is the only solution of (1.2) for $f_{i}=0$ and the coefficients $A_{i}$.
III) As far as the integral equation (1.4), although there is a lot of possible generalizations, we shall consider the condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{1}{t} f(y, t u)-a(y) u\right| \leqq c(t)(1+|u|) \quad \text { with } \quad c(t) \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t \rightarrow \infty$ and $a \in L_{\infty}(M)$. The operators from $L_{2}(M) \rightarrow L_{2}(M)$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M} K(x, y) f(y, u(y)) \mathrm{d} y, \int_{M} K(x, y) a(y) u(y) \mathrm{d} y \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

are completely continuous. If we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(y,-u)=-f(y, u), \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

we can immediately apply Theorem 2. But it is easy to see that we can immediately apply Theorem 1 in virtue of the complete continuity of (3.8) without (3.9). We obtain:

The equation (1.4) provided (3.7) has a solution for every $w \in L_{2}(M)$ and for every solution holds $\|u\|_{L_{2}} \leqq c\left(1+\|w\|_{L_{2}}\right)$ if and only if $\lambda$ is not an eigenvalue for the linear equation

$$
u(x)-\lambda \int_{M} K(x, y) a(y) u(y) \mathrm{d} y=0
$$

This result is very close to the corresponding result of M. A. Krasnoselskij [4].
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[^0]:    *) Lecture held on the Chicago area applied mathematics seminar.

