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# A two-weight inequality for the Bessel potential operator 

Y. Rakotondratsimba

Abstract. Necessary conditions and sufficient conditions are derived in order that Bessel potential operator $J_{s, \lambda}$ is bounded from the weighted Lebesgue spaces $L_{v}^{p}=$ $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, v(x) d x\right)$ into $L_{u}^{q}$ when $1<p \leq q<\infty$.

Keywords: weighted inequalities, Bessel potential operators, Riesz potential operators Classification: Primary 42B25

## §1. Introduction

The Bessel potential operator $J_{s, \lambda}$ is defined via the Fourier transform by

$$
\left(\widehat{J_{s, \lambda} f}\right)(\xi)=\left(4 \pi^{2}|\xi|^{2}+\lambda^{\frac{1}{s}}\right)^{-\frac{s}{2}} \widehat{f}(\xi)
$$

where $\lambda>0,0<s<n, n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$ and $\widehat{g}(\xi)=\int_{y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} e^{-2 i \pi y \cdot \xi} g(y) d y$. Our purpose is to characterize the weight functions $u(\cdot)$ and $v(\cdot)$ for which there is $C>0$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\int_{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(J_{s, \lambda} f\right)^{q}(x) u(x) d x\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}  \tag{1.1}\\
& \leq C\left(\int_{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} f^{p}(x) v(x) d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \text { for all } f(\cdot) \geq 0
\end{align*}
$$

and with $1<p \leq q<\infty$. A weight means a nonnegative locally integrable function. This inequality implies $J_{s, \lambda}$ is bounded from the weighted Lebesgue space $L_{v}^{p}=L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, v d x\right)$ into $L_{u}^{q}$. For the convenience (1.1) will also be denoted by $J_{s, \lambda}: L_{v}^{p} \rightarrow L_{u}^{q}$.

Inequality (1.1) plays a fundamental role in Analysis since it is closely connected with spectral properties of Schrödinger operators [Ch-Wh], [Ke-Sa] and it leads to applications in partial differential equations ([Ad-Pi], [Ma-Ve]), theory of Sobolev spaces $([\mathrm{Ma}])$, complex analysis, etc. For instance estimate like

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} & g^{p}(y) u(y) d y \\
& \leq c \int_{y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(\left(-\Delta+\lambda^{\frac{1}{s}}\right)^{\frac{s}{2}} g\right)^{p}(y) v(y) d y \text { for all smooth functions } g(\cdot)
\end{aligned}
$$

which also appears in partial differential equation related to the operators $(-\Delta+$ $\left.\lambda^{\frac{1}{s}}\right)^{\frac{s}{2}}$, can be derived from $J_{s, \lambda}: L_{u}^{p} \rightarrow L_{u}^{p}$, since $\left(\left(-\Delta+\lambda^{\frac{1}{s}}\right)^{\frac{s}{2}} J_{s, \lambda}\right) g=\left(J_{s, \lambda}(-\Delta+\right.$ $\left.\left.\lambda^{\frac{1}{s}}\right)^{\frac{s}{2}} g\right)=g$.

Compared to the Riesz potential operators $I_{s}, 0<s<n$, defined by

$$
\left(I_{s} f\right)(x)=\int_{y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}}|x-y|^{s-n} f(y) d y
$$

few works (see for instance [Ad1], [Sc]) are devoted to the study of $J_{s, \lambda}: L_{v}^{p} \rightarrow$ $L_{u}^{q}$; and people had to be content oneself on $J_{s, \lambda} \leq c I_{s}$ so that a condition for $I_{s}: L_{v}^{p} \rightarrow L_{u}^{q}$ is also right for $J_{s, \lambda}: L_{v}^{p} \rightarrow L_{u}^{q}$. The strongest up to date results are those of Kerman-Sawyer [Ke-Sa], and Maz'ya-Verbitsky [Ma-Ve]. Indeed a characterization of weights $u(\cdot)$ for which $J_{s, \lambda}: L_{1}^{p} \rightarrow L_{u}^{q}$ (i.e. $v(\cdot)=1$ ) is given in $[\mathrm{Ke}-\mathrm{Sa}]$, and investigations of weights $w(\cdot)$ which ensure $J_{s, 1}: L_{1}^{p} \rightarrow L_{\left(J_{s, 1} w\right)^{p^{\prime}}}^{q}$ are presented in $[\mathrm{Ma}-\mathrm{Ve}]$. Although a necessary and sufficient condition for $I_{s}$ : $L_{v}^{p} \rightarrow L_{u}^{q}$ is known ([Sa-Wh]), the analog condition characterizing $J_{s, \lambda}: L_{v}^{p} \rightarrow L_{u}^{q}$ is not clear in the literature. Consequently our intention is to fill this gap.

Although a result due to Sawyer and Wheeden [Sa-Wh] related to $T: L_{v}^{p} \rightarrow L_{u}^{q}$, where $T$ is a potential operator given by a positive kernel $K(x, y)$, could be applied directly to get $J_{s, \lambda}: L_{v}^{p} \rightarrow L_{u}^{q}$, the fast decrease at infinity of the kernel $K_{s, \lambda}$ of $J_{s, \lambda}$ (see $\S 3$ ) leads to conditions more refined than the standard ones used for $T$. Therefore the boundedness $J_{s, \lambda}: L_{v}^{p} \rightarrow L_{u}^{q}$ deserves its own study which is performed in this paper.

The main results are presented in the next section. And $\S 3$ is devoted to basic lemmas used for the results whose proofs are given in $\S 4$.

## §2. The main results

In this paper we always assume:

$$
\begin{gathered}
0<s<n, \quad \lambda>0, \quad 1<p \leq q<\infty, \quad p^{\prime}=\frac{p}{p-1}, \quad q^{\prime}=\frac{q}{q-1} \\
u(\cdot), v(\cdot) \text { are weight functions with } \sigma(\cdot)=v^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}(\cdot) \in L_{l o c}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, d x\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Our first main result is
Theorem 1. The boundedness $J_{s, \lambda}: L_{v}^{p} \rightarrow L_{u}^{q}$ holds if and only if there are $C, c>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{Q}\left(I_{s} g\right)^{q}(x) u(x) d x\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C\left(\int_{(3 Q)} g^{p}(x) v(x) d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$ for each $g(\cdot) \geq 0$ whose support is $3 Q$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{y \notin(3 Q)}\left|x_{Q}-y\right|^{(s-n) p^{\prime}} \exp \left\{-c \lambda^{\frac{1}{2 s}}\left|x_{Q}-y\right|\right\} \sigma(y) d y\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}} \times\left(\int_{y \in Q} u(y) d y\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$ for all cubes $Q$ centered at $x_{Q}$ and with $|Q|^{\frac{1}{n}}=\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2 s}}$.

Remind that a cube $Q$ (centered at $x_{Q}=\left(x_{i}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ ) is a product of $n$ intervals of the form $\left[x_{i}-l, x_{i}+l\right]$ where $l>0$. And for $R>0, R Q$ is the cube given by the product of $\left[x_{i}-R l, x_{i}+R l\right]$. The Lebesgue measure $\int_{y \in Q} d y$ of $Q$ is denoted by $|Q|$.

Next we give some remarks whose proofs are given in $\S 4$.

## Remarks.

(1) A necessary condition for $J_{s, \lambda}: L_{v}^{p} \rightarrow L_{u}^{q}$, which is consequently assumed is $0 \leq \frac{s}{n}+\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}$. So for $1<p<\frac{n}{s}$ this boundedness has a sense for $p \leq q \leq p^{*}$ with $\frac{1}{p^{*}}=\frac{1}{p}-\frac{s}{n}$.
(2) Theorem 1 remains true if in conditions (2.1) and (2.2) the cubes $Q$ are chosen such that $|Q|^{\frac{1}{n}} \approx \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2 s}}$. This equivalence means $c_{1} \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2 s}} \leq|Q|^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq c_{2} \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2 s}}$ for some fixed constants $c-1, c_{2}>0$.
(3) Condition (2.1) in Theorem 1 can be replaced by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{Q_{2}}\left(I_{s} h\right)^{q}(x) u(x) d x\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C\left(\int_{Q_{1}} h^{p}(x) v(x) d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each function $h(\cdot) \geq 0$ whose support is $Q_{1}$; and where $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$ are cubes with $\left|Q_{1}\right|^{\frac{1}{n}}=\left|Q_{2}\right|^{\frac{1}{n}}=$ resp. $\left.\approx\right] \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2 s}}$ and $\bar{Q}_{1} \cap \bar{Q}_{2} \neq \emptyset$.
(4) Also the condition (2.2) can be replaced by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp (-c m) m^{(s-n)}\left(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2 s}}\right)^{(s-n)}\left(\int_{y \in Q_{2}} \sigma(y) d y\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}}\left(\int_{x \in Q_{1}} u(x) d x\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all integers $m \geq 4$ and cubes $Q_{1}, Q_{2}$ with $\left|Q_{1}\right|^{\frac{1}{n}}=\left|Q_{2}\right|^{\frac{1}{n}}=\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2 s}}$ and $\operatorname{dist}\left(Q_{1}, \underline{Q_{2}}\right)=\inf \left\{|x-y| ; y \in Q_{1}, x \in Q_{2}\right\} \approx\left(m \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2 s}}\right)>0$. So here we are in the case $\bar{Q}_{1} \cap \bar{Q}_{2}=\emptyset$.
(5) The weight function $w(\cdot)$ satisfies the doubling condition if $\int_{(2 Q)} w(y) d y \leq$ $C \int_{Q} w(y) d y$ for some $C>0$ and all cubes $Q$. If one of $u(\cdot)$ and $\sigma(\cdot)=v^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}(\cdot)$ is a doubling weight then an easy condition which ensures (2.4) is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\lambda^{\left.-\frac{1}{2 s}\right)^{(s-n)}\left(\int_{y \in Q} \sigma(y) d y\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}}\left(\int_{x \in Q} u(x) d x\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C}\right.  \tag{2.5}\\
& \quad \text { for all cubes } Q \text { with }|Q|^{\frac{1}{n}}=\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2 s}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Now we can state the following

Theorem 2. Assume that one of $u(\cdot)$ and $\sigma(\cdot)=v^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}(\cdot)$ is a doubling weight function. Then $J_{s, \lambda}: L_{v}^{p} \rightarrow L_{u}^{q}$ if and only if the condition (2.3) is satisfied.

With this theorem and the well known results on the (global) boundedness $I_{s}: L_{v}^{p} \rightarrow L_{u}^{q}$, we obtain the following more useful statement.
Proposition 3. Let $u(\cdot)$ and $\sigma(\cdot)$ as in Theorem 2. Then $J_{s, \lambda}: L_{v}^{p} \rightarrow L_{u}^{q}$ whenever, for some $t>1$ with $1<t<\frac{\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}+1}{\frac{s}{n}+\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
&|Q|^{\frac{s}{n}+\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}}\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} u^{t}(y) d y\right)^{\frac{1}{t q}}\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} \sigma^{t}(y) d y\right)^{\frac{1}{t p^{\prime}}} \leq A  \tag{2.6}\\
& \text { for all cubes } Q \text { with }|Q|^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2 s}}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover for $u(\cdot)$ and $\sigma(\cdot)$ satisfying $A_{\infty}$ condition, then $J_{s, \lambda}: L_{v}^{p} \rightarrow L_{u}^{q}$ if and only if

$$
\begin{align*}
& |Q|^{\frac{s}{n}+\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} u(y) d y\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} \sigma(y) d y\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}} \leq A}  \tag{2.7}\\
& \quad \text { for all cubes } Q \text { with }|Q|^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2 s}}
\end{align*}
$$

Conditions (2.6) and (2.7) have nontrivial senses since $\frac{s}{n}+\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p} \geq 0$ is assumed (see Remark 1). Recall that $w(\cdot)$ satisfies the $A_{\infty}$ condition if, for some $r>1$ : $|Q|^{\frac{s}{n}-1}\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} w(y) d y\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} w^{1-r^{\prime}}(y) d y\right)^{\frac{1}{r^{\prime}}} \leq c$ for all cubes $Q$.

As an example, for each weight function $w(\cdot)$ then $J_{s, 1}: L_{1}^{p} \rightarrow L_{\left(J_{s, 1} w\right)^{p^{\prime}}}^{p}$ whenever for a $t>1$ : $|Q|^{\frac{s}{n}}\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q}\left(J_{s, 1} w\right)^{t p^{\prime}}(y) d y\right)^{\frac{1}{t_{p}}} \leq C$ for all cubes $Q$ with $|Q|^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq 1$. Such a result was proved by a different method in [Ma-Ve]. We will present below another application of Proposition 3.

Although this result yields sufficient condition for $J_{s, \lambda}: L_{v}^{p} \rightarrow L_{u}^{q}$, we are able to state a necessary and sufficient condition for this embedding. However, compared with (2.6), the corresponding characterizing condition is not easy to check in general.

Proposition 4. Let $u(\cdot), \sigma(\cdot)$ as in the hypotheses of Theorem 2. Then $J_{s, \lambda}$ : $L_{v}^{p} \rightarrow L_{u}^{q}$ if and only if for some $C>0$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{(3 Q)}\left(I_{s} \sigma \mathbb{I}_{Q}\right)^{q}(y) u(y) d y\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C\left(\int_{Q} \sigma(y) d y\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{(3 Q)}\left(I_{s} u \mathbb{I}_{Q}\right)^{p^{\prime}}(y) \sigma(y) d y\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}} \leq C\left(\int_{Q} u(y) d y\right)^{\frac{1}{q^{\prime}}} \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all dyadic cubes $Q$ with $|Q|^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2 s}}$.
A dyadic cube $Q$ is a product of $n$ intervals of the form $\left[2^{k}\left(x_{i}-l\right), 2^{k}\left(x_{i}+l\right)\right]$ where $l>0$, and $\mathbb{I}_{E}(\cdot)$ is the characteristic function of the measurable set $E$.

Let $w(\cdot)$ be a weight function, and $0<s<\frac{n}{p r}$ with $1<r<\infty$. By a result due to Adams [Ad2], there is $C>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(I_{s} f\right)^{p}(x) w(x) d x \leq C \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} f^{p}(x)\left(M_{s p r} w^{r}\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}(x) d x \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\text { for all } f(\cdot) \geq 0
$$

Here $M_{\beta}, 0 \leq \beta<n$, is the usual fractional maximal operator defined as $\left(M_{\beta} g\right)(x)=\sup \left\{|Q|^{\frac{\beta}{n}-1} \int_{Q}|g(y)| d y ; Q \ni x\right\}$. Since $J_{s, \lambda}$ is pointwise majorized by $I_{s}$ then inequality (2.9) remains true with $I_{s}$ replaced by $J_{s, \lambda}$, and it becomes natural to ask whether (2.9) holds with $J_{s, \lambda}$ and the weight in the second member defined by a smaller operator than $M_{\beta}$. Therefore we will be interested to get an inequality like

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\int_{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(J_{s, \lambda} f\right)^{p}(x) w(x) d x \leq C \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} f^{p}(x)\left(M_{s p r, \lambda} w^{r}\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}(x) d x  \tag{2.10}\\
\text { for all } f(\cdot) \geq 0
\end{array}
$$

where $\left(M_{\beta, \lambda} g\right)(x)=\sup \left\{|Q|^{\frac{\beta}{n}-1} \int_{Q}|g(y)| d y ; Q \ni x\right.$ and $\left.|Q|^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2 s}}\right\}$.
Unfortunately (2.10) is false in general. Indeed take $n=1, \lambda=1, w(\cdot)=$ $\mathbb{I}_{[0,1]}(\cdot)$ and $f(\cdot)=\mathbb{I}_{[3,4]}(\cdot)$. Clearly $\left(M_{\beta, 1} w^{r}\right)(x)=0$ for all $|x| \geq 3$ and $\int_{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} f^{p}(x)\left(M_{\beta, 1} w^{r}\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}(x) d x=0$. On the other hand $\left(J_{s, \lambda} f\right)(\cdot) \approx\left(I_{s} f\right)(\cdot) \approx 1$, on $[0,1]$ and $\int_{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(J_{s, \lambda} f\right)^{p}(x) w(x) d x \approx 1$.

Consequently to get (2.10), some restriction on the weight function $w(\cdot)$ is needed. Really, by Proposition 3, we have

Corollary 5. Let $r>1,0<s<\frac{n}{p r}$ and $\lambda>0$. Suppose that one of $w(\cdot)$ and $\sigma(\cdot)$ is a doubling weight function, where $\sigma(\cdot)=\left(M_{s p r, \lambda} w^{r}\right)^{\frac{1}{r}\left(1-p^{\prime}\right)}(\cdot)$. Then there is $C>0$ for which (2.10) is true. This constant $C$ depends on $n, p, s$ and the constant on the doubling condition.

## §3. Preliminaries lemmas

As we have alluded in $\S 1$, by arguments in [Ar-Sm] the kernel $K_{s, \lambda}(\cdot)$ of $J_{s, \lambda}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{s, \lambda}(R) \approx R^{s-n} \text { if } R \leq \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2 s}}, \text { else } K_{s, \lambda}(R) \approx R^{\frac{1}{2}(s-n+1)} \exp \left(-R \lambda^{\frac{1}{2 s}}\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

These equivalences lead to a better knowledge of the behaviour of $J_{s, \lambda}$.

Lemma 1. Let $0 \leq s<n, \lambda>0$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1}\left(T_{s, c^{2 s} \lambda} f\right)(\cdot) \leq\left(J_{s, \lambda} f\right)(\cdot) \leq C_{2}\left(T_{s, c^{-2 s} \lambda} f\right)(\cdot) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $C_{1}, C_{2}, c$ depend only on $n$ and $s$. And the operator $T_{s, \mu}(\mu>0)$ is defined as $\left(T_{s, \mu} f\right)(x)=\int_{y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}}|x-y|^{(s-n)} \exp \left\{-\mu^{\frac{1}{2 s}}|x-y|\right\} f(y) d y$.

Obviously $\left(J_{s, \lambda} f\right)(\cdot) \leq C\left(I_{s} f\right)(\cdot)$.
Lemma 2. Let $L>0$. One can find a family $\left(Q_{l}\right)_{l \in \mathcal{I}}$ of cubes with $\left|Q_{l}\right|^{\frac{1}{n}}=L$ and disjoint interiors such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{R}^{n}=\bigcup_{l \in \mathcal{I}} Q_{l} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and there is an integer $N>1$ (depending only on $n$ ) for which the following holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(3 Q_{l}\right)=\bigcup_{l^{\prime} \in \mathcal{I}_{l}} Q_{l^{\prime}} \text { where } l^{\prime} \in \mathcal{I}_{l} \text { if } \bar{Q}_{l} \cap \bar{Q}_{l^{\prime}} \neq \emptyset, \text { and } \operatorname{card}\left\{l ; l^{\prime} \in \mathcal{I}_{l}\right\} \leq N \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(3 Q_{l}\right)^{c}=\left\{y ; y \notin\left(3 Q_{l}\right)\right\}=\bigcup_{m=4}^{\infty}\left[(m+1) Q_{l} \backslash(m-1) Q_{l}\right]=\bigcup_{m=4}^{\infty} \bigcup_{j \in \mathcal{J}_{m, l}} Q_{j} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $j \in \mathcal{J}_{m, l}$ iff $\operatorname{dist}\left(Q_{j}, Q_{l}\right) \approx(m L)$, and $\operatorname{card}\left\{j ; j \in \mathcal{J}_{m, l}\right\} \leq N \times m^{n}$ or $\operatorname{card}\left\{l ; j \in \mathcal{J}_{m, l}\right\} \leq N \times m^{n}$;
(3.6) $|x-y| \approx\left|x_{Q_{l}}-y\right| \approx(m L)$ for all $x \in Q_{l}, y \in Q_{j}$ and $j \in \mathcal{J}_{m, l}$;

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{l \in \mathcal{I}} \mathbb{I}_{\left(3 Q_{l}\right)}(\cdot) \leq N  \tag{3.7}\\
\sum_{l \in \mathcal{I}} \mathbb{I}_{\left[(m+1) Q_{l} \backslash(m-1) Q_{l}\right]}(\cdot) \leq N m^{n} \text { for each integer } m \geq 4 \tag{3.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof of Lemma 1: Using the property of the exponential like $\lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} R^{\alpha} \exp \{-\beta R\}=0$, and estimates (3.1) for $K_{s, \lambda}$ then we can find $C_{1}, C_{2}, c>0$ depending only on $s$ and $n$ such that $C_{1} R^{s-n} \exp \left\{-c \lambda^{\frac{1}{2 s}} R\right\} \leq$ $K_{s, \lambda}(R) \leq C_{2} R^{s-n} \exp \left\{-c^{-1} \lambda^{\frac{1}{2 s}} R\right\}$ for all $R>0$. With the definition of the operator $T_{s, \mu}$, these inequalities imply (3.2).

Proof of Lemma 2: This geometrical lemma will be a consequence of the homogeneity property of the euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Thus the points (3.3) to (3.6) are standard and can be easily seen.

Inequality (3.7) is a consequence of (3.4) since

$$
\sum_{l \in \mathcal{I}} \mathbb{I}_{\left(3 Q_{l}\right)}(\cdot)=\sum_{l \in \mathcal{I}} \sum_{l^{\prime} \in \mathcal{I}_{l}} \mathbb{I}_{Q_{l^{\prime}}}(\cdot)=\sum_{l^{\prime} \in \mathcal{I}} \mathbb{I}_{Q_{l^{\prime}}}(\cdot) \sum_{l ; l^{\prime} \in \mathcal{I}_{l}} 1 \leq N \sum_{l^{\prime} \in \mathcal{I}} \mathbb{I}_{Q_{l^{\prime}}}(\cdot) \leq N
$$

Inequality (3.8) comes from the cardinality property (3.5) since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{l \in \mathcal{I}} \mathbb{I}_{\left[(m+1) Q_{l} \backslash(m-1) Q_{l}\right]}(\cdot)=\sum_{l \in \mathcal{I}} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}_{m, l}} \mathbb{I}_{Q_{j}}(\cdot)= & \sum_{j \in \mathcal{I}} \mathbb{I}_{Q_{j}}(\cdot) \sum_{l ; j \in \mathcal{J}_{m, l}} 1 \\
& \leq N m^{n} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{I}} \mathbb{I}_{Q_{j}}(\cdot) \leq N m^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

## §4. Proofs of results

Proof of Theorem 1: We begin by the sufficient part. By Lemma 1, the proof of $J_{s, \lambda}: L_{v}^{p} \rightarrow L_{u}^{q}$ is reduced to that of $T_{s, c^{-2 s_{\lambda}}}: L_{v}^{p} \rightarrow L_{u}^{q}$. Without a loss of generality it can be assumed that $c=1$. Take a family of cubes $\left(Q_{l}\right)_{l \in \mathcal{I}}$ with common size $L=\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2 s}}\left(=|Q|^{\frac{1}{n}}\right)$ as in Lemma 2. So for $f(\cdot) \geq 0$ we have

$$
\int_{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(T_{s, \lambda} f\right)^{q}(x) u(x) d x=\sum_{l \in \mathcal{I}} \int_{Q_{l}}\left(T_{s, \lambda} f\right)^{q}(x) u(x) d x \leq C\left\{\mathcal{S}_{1}+\mathcal{S}_{2}\right\}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{S}_{1}=\sum_{l \in \mathcal{I}} \int_{Q_{l}}\left(T_{s, \lambda} f \mathbb{I}_{\left(3 Q_{l}\right)}\right)^{q}(x) u(x) d x \\
& \mathcal{S}_{2}=\sum_{l \in \mathcal{I}} \int_{Q_{l}}\left(T_{s, \lambda} f \mathbb{I}_{\left.\left(3 Q_{l}\right)^{c}\right)^{q}(x) u(x) d x}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

and $C>0$ is a constant which depends on $n$ and $q$. The estimates for $\mathcal{S}_{1}$ are done as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_{1} & \leq \sum_{l \in \mathcal{I}} \int_{Q_{l}}\left(I_{s} f \mathbb{I}_{\left(3 Q_{l}\right)}\right)^{q}(x) u(x) d x \quad \text { by the definition of } T_{s, \lambda} \\
& \leq C \sum_{l \in \mathcal{I}}\left(\int_{\left(3 Q_{l}\right)} f(x)^{p} v(x) d x\right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \quad \text { by the condition (2.1) } \\
& \leq C\left(\int_{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}}\left[\sum_{l \in \mathcal{I}} \mathbb{I}_{\left(3 Q_{l}\right)}(x)\right] f(x)^{p} v(x) d x\right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \quad \text { since } \frac{q}{p} \geq 1 \\
& \leq C N^{\frac{q}{p}}\left(\int_{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} f(x)^{p} v(x) d x\right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \quad \text { by (3.7). }
\end{aligned}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{H}(c, Q) \\
= & \left(\int_{y \in(3 Q)^{c}}\left|x_{Q}-y\right|^{(s-n) p^{\prime}} \exp \left\{-c L^{-1}\left|x_{Q}-y\right|\right\} \sigma(y) d y\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}}\left(\int_{x \in Q} u(x) d x\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently

$$
\mathcal{S}_{2}=\sum_{l \in \mathcal{I}} \int_{x \in Q_{l}}\left[\int_{y \in\left(3 Q_{l}\right)^{c}}|x-y|^{s-n} \exp \left\{-L^{-1}|x-y|\right\} f(y) d y\right]^{q} u(x) d x
$$ by the definition of $T_{s, \lambda}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq C \sum_{l \in \mathcal{I}}\left[\int_{y \in\left(3 Q_{l}\right)^{c}}\left|x_{Q_{l}}-y\right|^{s-n} \exp \left\{-c L^{-1}\left|x_{Q_{l}}-y\right|\right\} f(y) d y\right]^{q} \times \\
& \quad \times\left(\int_{x \in Q_{l}} u(x) d x\right) \quad \text { by property }(3.6) \\
& \leq C \sum_{l \in \mathcal{I}}\left[\mathcal{H}\left(c, Q_{l}\right)\right]^{q}\left(\int_{y \in\left(3 Q_{l}\right)^{c}} \exp \left\{-c L^{-1}\left|x_{Q_{l}}-y\right|\right\} f(y)^{p} v(y) d y\right)^{\frac{q}{p}}
\end{aligned}
$$ by the Hölder inequality

$$
\leq C H^{q} \sum_{l \in \mathcal{I}}\left(\int_{y \in\left(3 Q_{l}\right)^{c}} \exp \left\{-c L^{-1}\left|x_{Q_{l}}-y\right|\right\} f(y)^{p} v(y) d y\right)^{\frac{q}{p}}
$$

by the condition (2.2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq C H^{q}\left(\sum_{l \in \mathcal{I}} \int_{y \in\left(3 Q_{l}\right)^{c}} \exp \left\{-c L^{-1}\left|x_{Q_{l}}-y\right|\right\} f(y)^{p} v(y) d y\right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \quad \text { since } \frac{q}{p} \geq 1 \\
& =C H^{q}\left(\sum_{l \in \mathcal{I}} \sum_{m=4}^{\infty} \int_{y \in\left[\left((m+1) Q_{l}\right) \backslash\left((m-1) Q_{l}\right)\right]} \exp \left\{-c L^{-1}\left|x_{Q_{l}}-y\right|\right\} f(y)^{p} v(y) d x\right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \\
& \leq C H^{q}\left(\sum_{m=4}^{\infty} \exp \left\{-c^{\prime} m\right\} \int_{y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}}\left[\sum_{l \in \mathcal{I}} \mathbb{I}_{\left[\left((m+1) Q_{l}\right) \backslash\left((m-1) Q_{l}\right)\right]} y\right] f(y)^{p} v(y) d x\right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \\
& \leq N C H^{q}\left(\left[\sum_{m=4}^{\infty} \exp \left\{-c^{\prime} m\right\}\right] \int_{y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} f(y)^{p} v(y) d x\right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \quad \text { by }(3.8) \\
& \leq N C^{\prime} H^{q}\left(\int_{y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} f(y)^{p} v(y) d x\right)^{\frac{q}{p}}
\end{aligned}
$$

by the fast decreasing of the exponential function.
Conversely suppose $J_{s, \lambda}: L_{v}^{p} \rightarrow L_{u}^{q}$. Then $T_{s, c^{2 s} \lambda}: L_{v}^{p} \rightarrow L_{u}^{q}$ (by Lemma 1).
Let $Q$ be a cube with $|Q|^{\frac{1}{n}}=\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2 s}}$, and $h(\cdot) \geq 0$ a function whose support is $3 Q$.

The last boundedness implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{Q}\left(T_{s, c^{2 s} \lambda} h\right)^{q}(x) u(x) d x\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C\left(\int_{(3 Q)} h(x)^{p} v(x) d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a constant $C>0$ which does not depend on $h(\cdot)$ and $Q$. Then for all $x \in Q$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(T_{s, c^{2 s} \lambda} h\right)(x) & =\int_{y \in(3 Q)}|x-y|^{s-n} \exp \left\{-\left(c^{2 s} \lambda\right)^{\frac{1}{2 s}}|x-y|\right\} h(y) d y \\
& \geq \exp \left\{-c^{\prime}\right\} \int_{y \in(3 Q)}|x-y|^{s-n} h(y) d y \quad \text { since }|x-y| \leq c^{\prime} \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2 s}} \\
& =\exp \left\{-c^{\prime}\right\}\left(I_{s} h\right)(x) \quad \text { since the support of } h(\cdot) \text { is }(3 Q) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This last inequality with (4.1) yields the point (2.1) in Theorem 1. To get (2.2) observe that, by duality, $T_{s, c^{2 s} \lambda}: L_{v}^{p} \rightarrow L_{u}^{q}$ is equivalent to

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left(\int_{y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}}\left[\int_{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}}|x-y|^{s-n} \exp \left\{-\left(c^{2 s} \lambda\right)^{\frac{1}{2 s}}|x-y|\right\} f(x) u(x) d x\right]^{p^{\prime}}(x) \sigma(y) d y\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}} \\
\leq C\left(\int_{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} f(x)^{q^{\prime}} u(x) d x\right)^{\frac{1}{q^{\prime}}}
\end{array}
$$

Now take a cube $Q$ with $|Q|^{\frac{1}{n}}=\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2 s}}$, and $f(\cdot) \geq 0$ equal to 1 in its support $Q$. Then

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left(\int_{y \in(3 Q)^{c}}\left[\int_{x \in Q}|x-y|^{s-n} \exp \left\{-\left(c^{2 s} \lambda\right)^{\frac{1}{2 s}}|x-y|\right\} u(x) d x\right]^{p^{\prime}}(x) \sigma(y) d y\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}} \\
\leq C\left(\int_{x \in Q} u(x) d x\right)^{\frac{1}{q^{\prime}}}
\end{array}
$$

Since $|x-y| \approx\left|x_{Q}-y\right|$, for all $x \in Q, y \in(3 Q)^{c}$, and $\int_{Q} u(x) d x<\infty$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(\int_{y \in(3 Q)^{c}}\left|x_{Q}-y\right|^{(s-n) p^{\prime}} \exp \left\{-c^{\prime} p^{\prime}\left(c^{2 s} \lambda\right)^{\frac{1}{2 s}}\left|x_{Q}-y\right|\right\} \sigma(y) d y\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}} \\
& \times\left(\int_{x \in Q} u(x) d x\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C
\end{aligned}
$$

which is the condition (2.2)
Proof of Remark 1: Suppose $J_{s, \lambda}: L_{v}^{p} \rightarrow L_{u}^{q}$. Then $T_{s, c^{2 s} \lambda}: L_{v}^{p} \rightarrow L_{u}^{q}$ and $I_{s}: L^{p}(Q, v d x) \rightarrow L^{q}(Q, u d x)$ for all cubes $Q$ with $|Q|^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2 s}}$. So
$|Q|^{\frac{s}{n}+\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}}\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} \sigma(y) d y\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}}\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} u(y) d y\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C$ for a constant $C>0$ not depending on $Q$. By the Lebesgue differentiation theorem, this last inequality yields $0 \leq \frac{s}{n}+\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}$ unless $u(\cdot)=0$ or $\sigma(\cdot)=0$.

Proof of Remark 3: If $J_{s, \lambda}: L_{v}^{p} \rightarrow L_{u}^{q}$ then $T_{s, c^{2 s} \lambda}: L_{v}^{p} \rightarrow L_{u}^{q}$ and the condition (2.3) is satisfied. Indeed if $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$ are cubes with $\left|Q_{1}\right|^{\frac{1}{n}}=\left|Q_{2}\right|^{\frac{1}{n}}=$ $\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2 s}}$ and $\bar{Q}_{1} \cap \bar{Q}_{2} \neq \emptyset$, then $|x-y| \leq c^{\prime} \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2 s}}$ for $x \in Q_{1}$ and $y \in Q_{2}$, and the operator $T_{s, c^{2 s} \lambda}$ can be replaced by $I_{s}$. To see that (2.3) implies (2.1), let $Q$ be a cube with $|Q|^{\frac{1}{n}}=\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2 s}}$ and $h(\cdot) \geq 0$ supported in $(3 Q)$. By $(3.4),(3 Q)=\bigcup_{l} Q_{l}$ with $\left|Q_{l}\right|^{\frac{1}{n}}=|Q|^{\frac{1}{n}}, \bar{Q}=\bar{Q}_{l} \neq \emptyset$, and so by (2.3) the condition (2.1) appears since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{Q}\left(I_{s} h\right)^{q}(x) u(x) d x & \leq C \sum_{l} \int_{Q}\left(I_{s} h \mathbb{I}_{Q_{l}}\right)^{q}(x) u(x) d x \\
& \leq C \sum_{l}\left(\int_{Q_{l}} h(x)^{p} v(x) d x\right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \\
& \leq C\left(\int_{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}}\left[\sum_{l} \mathbb{I}_{Q_{l}}(x)\right] h(x)^{p} v(x) d x\right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \\
& =C\left(\int_{(3 Q)} h(x)^{p} v(x) d x\right)^{\frac{q}{p}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof of Remark 4: Suppose (2.2) is true. To get (2.4) let $Q_{1}, Q_{2}$ be cubes with $\left|Q_{1}\right|^{\frac{1}{n}}=\left|Q_{2}\right|^{\frac{1}{n}}=\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2 s}}$ and $\operatorname{dist}\left(Q_{1}, Q_{2}\right) \approx\left(m \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2 s}}\right)$ where $m \geq 4$. Since $Q_{2} \subset\left(3 Q_{1}\right)^{c}$ then, taking $Q=Q_{1}$ in (2.2) and using $\left|x_{Q_{1}}-y\right| \approx \operatorname{dist}\left(Q_{1}, Q_{2}\right) \approx$ ( $m \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2 s}}$ ) for all $y \in Q_{2}$, we obtain (2.4). Conversely suppose this last condition is satisfied for some constant $c_{0}>0$. For a cube $Q$ with $|Q|^{\frac{1}{n}}=\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2 s}}$ and $c=c_{0} c_{1}^{-1}$, with $c_{1}$ a fixed constant depending only on $n$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\int_{(3 Q)^{c}}\left|x_{Q}-y\right|^{(s-n) p^{\prime}} \exp \left\{-(2 c) \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2 s}}\left|x_{Q}-y\right|\right\} \sigma(y) d y\right)\left(\int_{Q} u(x) d x\right)^{\frac{p^{\prime}}{q}} \\
& =\sum_{m=4}^{\infty} \sum_{l \in \mathcal{J}(m, Q)}\left(\int_{Q_{l}}\left|x_{Q}-y\right|^{(s-n) p^{\prime}} \exp \left\{-(2 c) \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2 s}}\left|x_{Q}-y\right|\right\} \sigma(y) d y\right) \\
& \quad \times\left(\int_{Q} u(x) d x\right)^{\frac{p^{\prime}}{q}} \\
& \leq C \sum_{m=4}^{\infty} \sum_{l \in \mathcal{J}(m, Q)}\left(m \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2 s}}\right)^{s-n} \exp \left\{-\left(2 c c_{1}\right) m\right\}\left(\int_{Q_{l}} \sigma(y) d y\right)\left(\int_{Q} u(x) d x\right)^{\frac{p^{\prime}}{q}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \text { here }\left|x_{Q}-y\right| \approx \operatorname{dist}\left(Q, Q_{1}\right) \approx\left(m \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2 s}}\right) \\
& \leq C \sum_{m=4}^{\infty} m^{s-n} \exp \left\{-c_{0} m\right\} \sum_{l \in \mathcal{J}(m, Q)} \exp \left\{-c_{0} m\right\}\left(m \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2 s}}\right)^{s-n}\left(\int_{Q_{l}} \sigma(y) d y\right) \\
& \quad \times\left(\int_{Q} u(x) d x\right)^{\frac{p^{\prime}}{q}} \\
& \leq C^{\prime} C \sum_{m=4}^{\infty} m^{s-n} \exp \left\{-c_{0} m\right\} \sum_{l \in \mathcal{J}(m, Q)} 1 \quad \text { by the condition }(2.4) \\
& \leq N C^{\prime} C \sum_{m=4}^{\infty} m^{s} \exp \left\{-c_{0} m\right\}=N C^{\prime} C C^{\prime \prime} \quad \text { by }(3.5) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof of Remark 5: Since the arguments are the same, we can suppose that $\sigma(\cdot)$ satisfies the doubling condition. This hypothesis implies $\int_{(t Q)} \sigma(y) d y \leq$ $C_{1} t^{n \rho} \int_{Q} \sigma(y) d y$ for all $t>1$. The constant $\rho, C_{1}>0$, depend on the doubling condition. Suppose (2.5) is satisfied. To get (2.4) let $Q_{1}, Q_{2}$ with $\left|Q_{1}\right|^{\frac{1}{n}}=$ $\left|Q_{2}\right|^{\frac{1}{n}}=\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2 s}}$ and $\operatorname{dist}\left(Q_{1}, Q_{2}\right) \approx\left(m \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2 s}}\right), m \geq 4$. Since $Q_{2} \subset\left(c_{1} m Q_{1}\right)$ for a fixed constant $c_{1}$ (depending only on $n$ ), then $\int_{Q_{2}} \sigma(y) d y \leq \int_{\left(c_{1} m Q_{1}\right)} \sigma(y) d y \leq$ $C_{1} m^{n \rho} \int_{Q_{1}} \sigma(y) d y$. With this last inequality the conclusion appears, since for all $c>0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \exp (-c m) m^{(s-n)}\left(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2 s}}\right)^{(s-n)}\left(\int_{y \in Q_{2}} \sigma(y) d y\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}}\left(\int_{x \in Q_{1}} u(x) d x\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
& \leq C_{2} \exp (-c m) m^{\left[s-n\left(1-\frac{\rho}{p^{\prime}}\right)\right]}\left(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2 s}}\right)^{(s-n)}\left(\int_{Q_{1}} \sigma(y) d y\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}}\left(\int_{Q_{1}} u(x) d x\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
& \leq C_{0} C_{3} C_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C_{0}$ is from the condition (2.5) and $C_{3}$ a constant which exists by the property of the exponential function $\left(\lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} R^{\beta} \exp \{-\gamma R\}=0, \gamma>0\right)$ and does not depend on $m$.
Proof of Theorem 2: By Theorem 1, Remarks 3 and 4 then $J_{s, \lambda}: L_{v}^{p} \rightarrow L_{u}^{q}$ iff both (2.3) and (2.4) hold. So we have just to prove that (2.3) implies (2.4). Taking $Q_{1}=Q_{2}=Q\left(\right.$ with $\left.|Q|^{\frac{1}{n}}=\lambda^{\frac{1}{2 s}}\right)$ in $(2.3)$ then $I_{s}: L^{p}(Q, v d x) \rightarrow L^{q}(Q, u d x)$, with a constant independent of $Q$. So, as in the proof of Remark 1, (2.5) is satisfied. By Remark 5, this last condition implies (2.4).
Proof of Proposition 3: By Theorem 2 and Remark 2, to get $J_{s, \lambda}: L_{v}^{p} \rightarrow L_{u}^{q}$ it is sufficient to get (2.3), which can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(I_{s} f\right)^{q}(x) \widetilde{u}(x) d x\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C\left(\int_{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} f(x)^{p} \widetilde{v}(x) d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \text { for all } f(\cdot) \geq 0 \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\widetilde{u}(\cdot)=u(\cdot) \mathbb{I}_{Q_{2}}(\cdot), \widetilde{v}(\cdot)=v(\cdot) \mathbb{I}_{Q_{1}}(\cdot)$ and $Q_{1}, Q_{2}$ are cubes with $\left|Q_{1}\right|^{\frac{1}{n}}=$ $\left|Q_{2}\right|^{\frac{1}{n}}=\frac{1}{3} \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2 s}}$ and $\bar{Q}_{1} \cap \bar{Q}_{2} \neq \emptyset$. We emphasize that $C>0$ is a constant which does not depend on $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$. Sawyer and Wheeden [Sa-Wh] proved that (4.2) holds if for some $t>1$ and $S>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
|Q|^{\frac{s}{n}+\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}}\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} \widetilde{u}(y)^{t} d y\right)^{\frac{1}{t q}}\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} \widetilde{\sigma}(y)^{t} d y\right)^{\frac{1}{t p^{\prime}}} \leq S \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any cube $Q$ of arbitrary size and where $\tilde{\sigma}(\cdot)=\sigma(\cdot) \mathbb{I}_{Q_{1}}(\cdot)$.
Precisely they found $C=c S$ where $c>0$ depends only on $s, n, p, q$. Of course the constant $S>0$ in (4.3) must depend on $\widetilde{u}(\cdot)$ and $\widetilde{\sigma}(\cdot)$. Thus to get (4.2), by using this Sawyer-Wheeden's result, we have to prove that in our context really $S$ in (4.3) depends only on $u(\cdot)$ and $v(\cdot)$ but not on the cubes $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$.

Call $\mathcal{A}(\widetilde{u}, \widetilde{\sigma}, Q)$ the left member of (4.3), and where $Q$ is an arbitrary cube. First consider the case $\left|\left(3 Q_{1}\right)\right|^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq|Q|^{\frac{1}{n}}$. Note that $\int_{Q} \widetilde{u}^{t}(y) d y \leq \int_{Q_{2}} u^{t}(y) d y \leq$ $\int_{\left(3 Q_{1}\right)} u^{t}(y) d y$ and $\int_{Q} \widetilde{\sigma}^{t}(y) d y \leq \int_{\left(3 Q_{1}\right)} \sigma^{t}(y) d y$. Using these estimates and $1<t<\frac{\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}+1}{\frac{s}{n}+\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}}$ then

$$
\mathcal{A}(\widetilde{u}, \widetilde{\sigma}, Q) \leq \mathcal{A}\left(u, \sigma,\left(3 Q_{1}\right)\right) \leq A
$$

This last inequality is true since $\left|3 Q_{1}\right|^{\frac{1}{n}}=3\left|Q_{1}\right|^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2 s}}$, and $A>0$ which depends on $u(\cdot), v(\cdot)$ comes from (2.6). Next suppose $|Q|^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq\left|3 Q_{1}\right|^{\frac{1}{n}}$. Since $\int_{Q} \widetilde{u}^{t}(y) d y \leq \int_{Q} u^{t}(y) d y$ and $\int_{Q} \widetilde{\sigma}^{t}(y) d y \leq \int_{Q} \sigma^{t}(y) d y$ then, again by (2.6),

$$
\mathcal{A}(\widetilde{u}, \widetilde{\sigma}, Q) \leq \mathcal{A}(u, \sigma, Q) \leq A \quad \text { here }|Q|^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq\left|3 Q_{1}\right|^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2 s}}
$$

Therefore $\mathcal{A}(\widetilde{u}, \widetilde{\sigma}, Q) \leq A$ for any cube of arbitrary size, and with $A>0$ independent of $Q_{1}, Q_{2}$. Then (4.2) is satisfied and so $J_{s, \lambda}: L_{v}^{p} \rightarrow L_{u}^{q}$.

If moreover both $u(\cdot)$ and $\sigma(\cdot)$ satisfy the Muckenhoupt $A_{\infty}$ condition then, as above, both $\widetilde{u}(\cdot)$ and $\widetilde{\sigma}(\cdot)$ satisfy $A_{\infty}$ with constants depending on $u(\cdot)$ and $\sigma(\cdot)$ but not on $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$. It is known from [Sa-Wh] that condition (4.3), with $t=1$, is a sufficient condition which ensures the embedding (4.2). Condition (4.3) with $t=1$ and a constant $S>0$ not depending on $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$ can be obtained from (2.7).

Proof of Proposition 4: Choose the family of dyadic cubes $\left(Q_{l}\right)_{l \in \mathcal{I}}$, in Lemma 2 , with common size equal to $2^{k}$, where $k$ is an integer such that $2^{k} \leq \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2 s}}<$ $2^{k+1}$. Again we have to get (4.2) (where $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$ are dyadic cubes with $\left|Q_{1}\right|^{\frac{1}{n}}=\left|Q_{2}\right|^{\frac{1}{n}}=2^{k}$ and $\bar{Q}_{1} \cap \bar{Q}_{2} \neq \emptyset$ ). By the Sawyer's theorem [Sa-Wh], then (4.2) holds iff for some $S>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(I_{s} \widetilde{\sigma} \mathbb{I}_{Q}\right)^{q}(y) \widetilde{u}(y) d y\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq S\left(\int_{Q} \widetilde{\sigma}(y) d y\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(I_{s} \widetilde{u} \mathbb{I}_{Q}\right)^{p^{\prime}}(y) \widetilde{\sigma}(y) d y\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}} \leq S\left(\int_{Q} \widetilde{u}(y) d y\right)^{\frac{1}{q^{\prime}}} \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each dyadic cube $Q$ with an arbitrary size. Therefore it remains to prove that the condition (2.8) (respectively $\left(2.8^{*}\right)$ ) implies (4.4) (respectively (4.4*)) and the corresponding contact $S>0$ depends only on $u(\cdot), \sigma(\cdot)$ but not on $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$. Conditions (4.4) and (4.4*) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{Q \cap Q_{2}}\left(I_{s} \sigma \mathbb{I}_{Q \cap Q_{1}}\right)^{q}(y) u(y) d y\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq S\left(\int_{Q \cap Q_{1}} \sigma(y) d y\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{Q \cap Q_{2}}\left(I_{s} u \mathbb{I}_{Q \cap Q_{2}}\right)^{p^{\prime}}(y) \sigma(y) d y\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}} \leq S\left(\int_{Q \cap Q_{2}} u(y) d y\right)^{\frac{1}{q^{\prime}}} \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

The crucial fact we use is the well-known property of dyadic cubes which asserts that: for a given closed dyadic cubes $Q, Q_{0}$ the only cases which can occur are: (a) $Q \cap Q_{0}=\emptyset$; (b) $Q \cap Q_{0}=\partial Q \cap \partial Q_{0}$; (c) $Q \subset Q_{1}$; (d) $Q_{1} \subset Q$.

First take a dyadic cube $Q$ with $|Q|^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq 2^{k}$. We can assume $\int_{Q \cap Q_{1}} \sigma(y) d y \neq 0$ (respectively $\int_{Q \cap Q_{2}} u(y) d y \neq 0$ ) else (4.5) (respectively (4.5*)) is trivially satisfied. Suppose $Q \subset Q_{1}$ (respectively $Q \subset Q_{2}$ ). For $Q_{1} \neq Q_{2}$ then (4.5) (respectively $\left(4.5^{*}\right)$ ) is trivially satisfied since necessarily $\int_{Q \cap Q_{2}} u(y) d y=0$ (respectively $\left.\int_{Q \cap Q_{1}} \sigma(y) d y=0\right)$. But for $Q_{1}=Q_{2}$ then $Q \cap Q_{1}=Q$ (respectively $Q \cap Q_{2}=Q$ ) and (4.5) (respectively $\left(4.5^{*}\right)$ ) is reduced to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{Q}\left(I_{s} \sigma \mathbb{I}_{Q}\right)^{q}(y) u(y) d y\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq S\left(\int_{Q} \sigma(y) d y\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

(respectively

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left(\int_{Q}\left(I_{s} u \mathbb{I}_{Q}\right)^{p^{\prime}}(y) \sigma(y) d y\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}} \leq S\left(\int_{Q} u(y) d y\right)^{\frac{1}{q^{\prime}}}\right) \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $|Q|^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq 2^{k} \leq \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2 s}}$, then by (2.8) (respectively (2.8*)) the condition (4.6) (respectively $\left(4.6^{*}\right)$ ) is satisfied with $S=C$, a constant which depends only on $u(\cdot)$ and $\sigma(\cdot)$.

Next consider $2^{k}<$ and assume $\int_{Q \cap Q_{1}} \sigma(y) d y \neq 0$ (respectively $\int_{Q \cap Q_{2}} u(y) d y$ $\neq 0$ ) else there is nothing to prove. If $Q_{1} \subset Q$ (respectively $Q_{2} \subset Q$ ) then (4.5) (respectively $\left(4.5^{*}\right)$ ) is reduced to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{Q \cap Q_{2}}\left(I_{s} \sigma \mathbb{I}_{Q_{1}}\right)^{q}(y) u(y) d y\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq S\left(\int_{Q_{1}} \sigma(y) d y\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

(respectively

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left(\int_{Q \cap Q_{1}}\left(I_{s} u \mathbb{I}_{Q_{2}}\right)^{p^{\prime}}(y) \sigma(y) d y\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}} \leq S\left(\int_{Q_{2}} u(y) d y\right)^{\frac{1}{q^{\prime}}}\right) \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

If moreover $\int_{Q \cap Q_{2}} u(y) d y \neq 0$ (respectively $\int_{Q \cap Q_{1}} \sigma(y) d y \neq 0$ ) then necessarily $Q_{2} \subset Q$ (respectively $Q_{1} \subset Q$ ) and (4.7) (respectively (4.7*)) is the same as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{Q_{2}}\left(I_{s} \sigma \mathbb{I}_{Q_{1}}\right)^{q}(y) u(y) d y\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq S\left(\int_{Q_{1}} \sigma(y) d y\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

(respectively

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left(\int_{Q_{1}}\left(I_{s} u \mathbb{I}_{Q_{2}}\right)^{p^{\prime}}(y) \sigma(y) d y\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}} \leq C\left(\int_{Q_{2}} u(y) d y\right)^{\frac{1}{q^{\prime}}}\right) \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left|Q_{1}\right|^{\frac{1}{n}}=\left|Q_{2}\right|^{\frac{1}{n}}=2^{k} \leq \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2 s}}$ and $Q_{2} \subset\left(3 Q_{1}\right)$ (respectively $Q_{1} \subset\left(3 Q_{2}\right)$ ) then the condition (4.8) (respectively $\left(4.8^{*}\right)$ ) is satisfied with $\mathrm{S}=\mathrm{C}$ by (2.8) (respectively $\left(2.4^{*}\right)$ ).

Proof of Corollary 5: Let $v(\cdot)=\left(M_{s p r, \lambda} w^{r}\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}(\cdot)$. It remains to prove $J_{s, \lambda}$ : $L_{v}^{p} \rightarrow L_{w}^{p}$. Since one of $w(\cdot)$ and $\sigma(\cdot)=v^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}(\cdot)$ is a doubling weight function, then by Proposition 3, (2.6) is a sufficient condition in order to get the above embedding. So we have to estimate $|Q|^{\frac{s}{n}}\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} w^{r}(y) d y\right)^{\frac{1}{r p}}\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} \sigma^{r}(y) d y\right)^{\frac{1}{r p^{r}}}=$ $\mathcal{F}_{r}(Q)$ by a constant which does nor depend on $Q$ with $|Q|^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2 s}}$. By the definition of $M_{\beta, \lambda}$ then $\sigma^{r}(x) \leq\left(|Q|^{\frac{s p r}{n}} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} w^{r}(y) d y\right)^{1-p^{\prime}}$ for each cube $Q$ with $|Q|^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2 s}}$ and for all $x \in Q$. Consequently $\mathcal{F}_{r}(Q) \leq|Q|^{\frac{s}{n}}\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} w^{r}(y) d y\right)^{\frac{1}{r p}}$ $\left(|Q|^{\frac{s p r}{n}} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} w^{r}(y) d y\right)^{-\frac{1}{r p}}=1$.
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