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# Complete hypersurfaces with constant scalar curvature in a sphere 
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#### Abstract

In this paper, by using Cheng-Yau's self-adjoint operator $\square$, we study the complete hypersurfaces in a sphere with constant scalar curvature.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $S^{n+1}$ be an $(n+1)$-dimensional unit sphere with constant sectional curvature 1 , let $M^{n}$ be an $n$-dimensional hypersurface in $S^{n+1}$, and $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ a local orthonormal frame field on $M^{n}, \omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{n}$ its dual coframe field. Then the second fundamental form of $M^{n}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
h=\sum_{i, j} h_{i j} \omega_{i} \otimes \omega_{j} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, near any given point $p \in M^{n}$, we can choose a local frame field $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ so that at $p, \sum_{i, j} h_{i j} \omega_{i} \otimes \omega_{j}=\sum_{i} k_{i} \omega_{i} \otimes \omega_{j}$. Then the Gauss equation says

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{i j i j}=1+k_{i} k_{j}, \quad i \neq j \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
n(n-1)(R-1)=n^{2} H^{2}-|h|^{2} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R$ is the normalized scalar curvature, $H=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} k_{i}$ the mean curvature and $|h|^{2}=\sum_{i} k_{i}^{2}$ the norm square of the second fundamental form of $M^{n}$.

As it is well known, there are many rigidity results for minimal hypersurfaces or hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature $H$ in $S^{n+1}$ by use of J. Simons' method, for example, see [1], [3], [4], [6], [9], etc.

On the other hand, Cheng-Yau [2] introduced a new self-adjoint differential operator $\square$ to study the hypersurfaces with constant scalar curvature. Later, Li [5] obtained interesting rigidity results for hypersurfaces with constant scalar curvature in space-forms using the Cheng-Yau's self-adjoint operator $\square$

In the present paper, we use Cheng-Yau's self-adjoint operator $\square$ to study the complete hypersurfaces in a sphere with constant scalar curvature, and prove the following theorem:

Theorem. Let $M^{n}$ be an $n$-dimensional ( $n \geq 3$ ) complete hypersurface with constant normalized scalar curvature $R$ in $S^{n+1}$. If
(1) $\bar{R}=R-1 \geq 0$,
(2) the mean curvature $H$ of $M^{n}$ satisfies

$$
\bar{R} \leq \sup H^{2} \leq \frac{1}{n^{2}}\left[(n-1)^{2} \frac{n \bar{R}+2}{n-2}-2(n-1)+\frac{n-2}{n \bar{R}+2}\right]
$$

then either

$$
\sup H^{2}=\bar{R}
$$

and $M^{n}$ is a totally umbilical hypersurface; or

$$
\sup H^{2}=\frac{1}{n^{2}}\left[(n-1)^{2} \frac{n \bar{R}+2}{n-2}-2(n-1)+\frac{n-2}{n \bar{R}+2}\right]
$$

and $M^{n}=S^{1}\left(\sqrt{1-r^{2}}\right) \times S^{n-1}(r), r=\sqrt{\frac{n-2}{n(R+1)}}$.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let $M^{n}$ be an $n$-dimensional complete hypersurface in $S^{n+1}$. We choose a local orthonormal frame $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n+1}$ in $S^{n+1}$ such that at each point of $M^{n}$, $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ span the tangent space of $M^{n}$ and form an orthonormal frame there. Let $\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{n+1}$ be its dual coframe. In this paper, we use the following convention on the range of indices:

$$
1 \leq A, B, C, \ldots \leq n+1 ; \quad 1 \leq i, j, k, \ldots \leq n
$$

Then the structure equations of $S^{n+1}$ are given by

$$
\begin{gather*}
d \omega_{A}=\sum_{B} \omega_{A B} \wedge \omega_{B}, \quad \omega_{A B}+\omega_{B A}=0,  \tag{4}\\
d \omega_{A B}=\sum_{C} \omega_{A C} \wedge \omega_{C B}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{C, D} K_{A B C D} \omega_{C} \wedge \omega_{D},  \tag{5}\\
K_{A B C D}=\left(\delta_{A C} \delta_{B D}-\delta_{A D} \delta_{B C}\right) . \tag{6}
\end{gather*}
$$

Restricting these forms to $M^{n}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{n+1}=0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Cartan's lemma we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{n+1 i}=\sum_{j} h_{i j} \omega_{j}, \quad h_{i j}=h_{j i} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

From these formulas, we obtain the structure equations of $M^{n}$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
d \omega_{i}=\sum_{j} \omega_{i j} \wedge \omega_{j}, \quad \omega_{i j}+\omega_{j i}=0  \tag{9}\\
d \omega_{i j}=\sum_{k} \omega_{i k} \wedge \omega_{k j}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k, l} R_{i j k l} \omega_{k} \wedge \omega_{l}  \tag{10}\\
R_{i j k l}=\left(\delta_{i k} \delta_{j l}-\delta_{i l} \delta_{j k}\right)+\left(h_{i k} h_{j l}-h_{i l} h_{j k}\right) \tag{11}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $R_{i j k l}$ are the components of the curvature tensor of $M^{n}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
h=\sum_{i, j} h_{i j} \omega_{i} \otimes \omega_{j} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the second fundamental form of $M^{n}$. We also have

$$
\begin{gather*}
R_{i j}=(n-1) \delta_{i j}+n H h_{i j}-\sum_{k} h_{i k} h_{k j}  \tag{13}\\
n(n-1)(R-1)=n^{2} H^{2}-|h|^{2} \tag{14}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $R$ is the normalized scalar curvature, and $H$ the mean curvature.
Define the first and the second covariant derivatives of $h_{i j}$, say $h_{i j k}$ and $h_{i j k l}$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{k} h_{i j k} \omega_{k}=d h_{i j}+\sum_{k} h_{k j} \omega_{k i}+\sum_{k} h_{i k} \omega_{k j},  \tag{15}\\
& \sum_{l} h_{i j k l} \omega_{l}=d h_{i j k}+\sum_{m} h_{m j k} \omega_{m i}+\sum_{m} h_{i m k} \omega_{m j}+\sum_{m} h_{i j m} \omega_{m k} \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

Then we have the Codazzi equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{i j k}=h_{i k j}, \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the Ricci's identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{i j k l}-h_{i j l k}=\sum_{m} h_{m j} R_{m i k l}+\sum_{m} h_{i m} R_{m j k l} . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a $C^{2}$-function $f$ defined on $M^{n}$, we define its gradient and $\operatorname{Hessian}\left(f_{i j}\right)$ by the following formulas

$$
\begin{equation*}
d f=\sum_{i} f_{i} \omega_{i}, \quad \sum_{j} f_{i j} \omega_{j}=d f_{i}+\sum_{j} f_{j} \omega_{j i} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Laplacian of $f$ is defined by $\Delta f=\sum_{i} f_{i i}$.
Let $\phi=\sum_{i j} \phi_{i j} \omega_{i} \otimes \omega_{j}$ be a symmetric tensor defined on $M^{n}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{i j}=n H \delta_{i j}-h_{i j} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Following Cheng-Yau [2], we introduce the operator $\square$ associated to $\phi$ acting on any $C^{2}$-function $f$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\square f=\sum_{i, j} \phi_{i j} f_{i j}=\sum_{i, j}\left(n H \delta_{i j}-h_{i j}\right) f_{i j} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\phi_{i j}$ is divergence-free, it follows [2] that the operator $\square$ is self-adjoint relative to the $L^{2}$ inner product of $M^{n}$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M^{n}} f \square g=\int_{M^{n}} g \square f \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can choose a local frame field $e_{1}, \ldots e_{n}$ at any point $p \in M^{n}$, such that $h_{i j}=k_{i} \delta_{i j}$ at $p$, and by use of (21) and (14), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\square(n H) & =n H \Delta(n H)-\sum_{i} k_{i}(n H)_{i i} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \Delta(n H)^{2}-\sum_{i}(n H)_{i}^{2}-\sum_{i} k_{i}(n H)_{i i}  \tag{23}\\
& =\frac{1}{2} n(n-1) \Delta R+\frac{1}{2} \Delta|h|^{2}-n^{2}|\nabla H|^{2}-\sum_{i} k_{i}(n H)_{i i} .
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, through a standard calculation by use of (17) and (18), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \Delta|h|^{2}=\sum_{i, j, k} h_{i j k}^{2}+\sum_{i} k_{i}(n H)_{i i}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j} R_{i j i j}\left(k_{i}-k_{j}\right)^{2} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting (24) into (23), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\square(n H)=\frac{1}{2} n(n-1) \Delta R+|\nabla h|^{2}-n^{2}|\nabla H|^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j} R_{i j i j}\left(k_{i}-k_{j}\right)^{2} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (11), we have $R_{i j i j}=1+k_{i} k_{j}, \quad i \neq j$, and by putting this into (25), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\square(n H)=\frac{1}{2} n(n-1) \Delta R+|\nabla h|^{2}-n^{2}|\nabla H|^{2}+n|h|^{2}-n^{2} H^{2}-|h|^{4}+n H \sum_{i} k_{i}^{3} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mu_{i}=k_{i}-H$ and $|Z|^{2}=\sum_{i} \mu_{i}^{2}$. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{i} \mu_{i} & =0, \quad|Z|^{2}=|h|^{2}-n H^{2}  \tag{27}\\
\sum_{i} k_{i}^{3} & =\sum_{i} \mu_{i}^{3}+3 H|Z|^{2}+n H^{3} \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

From (26)-(28), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \square(n H)=\frac{1}{2} n(n-1) \Delta R+|\nabla h|^{2}-n^{2}|\nabla H|^{2}  \tag{29}\\
&+|Z|^{2}\left(n+n H^{2}-|Z|^{2}\right)+n H \sum_{i} \mu_{i}^{3}
\end{align*}
$$

We need the following algebraic lemma due to M. Okumura [7] (see also [1]).
Lemma 2.1. Let $\mu_{i}, i=1, \ldots, n$, be real numbers such that $\sum_{i} \mu_{i}=0$ and $\sum_{i} \mu_{i}^{2}=\beta^{2}$, where $\beta=\mathrm{constant} \geq 0$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{n-2}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} \beta^{3} \leq \sum_{i} \mu_{i}^{3} \leq \frac{n-2}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} \beta^{3}, \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the equality holds in (30) if and only if at least $(n-1)$ of the $\mu_{i}$ are equal.
By use of Lemma 2.1, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \square(n H) \geq \frac{1}{2} n(n-1) \Delta R+|\nabla h|^{2}-n^{2}|\nabla H|^{2} \\
& +\left(|h|^{2}-n H^{2}\right)\left(n+2 n H^{2}-|h|^{2}-\frac{n(n-2)}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} H \sqrt{|h|^{2}-n H^{2}}\right) \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

## 3. Proof of Theorem

The following lemma is essentially due to Cheng-Yau [2] (see also [5]).
Lemma 3.1. Let $M$ be an $n$-dimensional hypersurface in $S^{n+1}$. Suppose that the normalized scalar curvature $R=$ constant and $R \geq 1$. Then $|\nabla h|^{2} \geq n^{2}|\nabla H|^{2}$.

From the assumption of Theorem that $R$ is constant and $\bar{R}=R-1 \geq 0$ and Lemma 3.1 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\square(n H) \geq\left(|h|^{2}-n H^{2}\right)\left(n+2 n H^{2}-|h|^{2}-\frac{n(n-2)}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} H \sqrt{|h|^{2}-n H^{2}}\right) \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Gauss equation (14) we know that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|Z|^{2}=|h|^{2}-n H^{2}=\frac{n-1}{n}\left(|h|^{2}-n \bar{R}\right) . \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (32) and (33) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\square(n H) \geq \frac{n-1}{n}\left(|h|^{2}-n \bar{R}\right) \phi_{H}(|h|) \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\phi_{H}(|h|)=n+2 n H^{2}-|h|^{2}-\frac{n(n-2)}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} H \sqrt{|h|^{2}-n H^{2}} .
$$

By (33) we can write $\phi_{H}(|h|)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{\bar{R}}(|h|)=n+2(n-1) \bar{R}-\frac{n-2}{n}|h|^{2}-\frac{n-2}{n} \sqrt{\left(n(n-1) \bar{R}+|h|^{2}\right)\left(|h|^{2}-n \bar{R}\right)} . \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore (34) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\square(n H) \geq \frac{n-1}{n}\left(|h|^{2}-n \bar{R}\right) \phi_{\bar{R}}(|h|) . \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is a direct check that our assumption

$$
\sup H^{2} \leq \frac{1}{n^{2}}\left[(n-1)^{2} \frac{n \bar{R}+2}{n-2}-2(n-1)+\frac{n-2}{n \bar{R}+2}\right]
$$

is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup |h|^{2} \leq \frac{n}{(n-2)(n \bar{R}-2)}\left[n(n-1) \bar{R}^{2}+4(n-1) \bar{R}+n\right] \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e.

$$
\begin{align*}
(n+2(n-1) \bar{R}- & \left.\frac{n-2}{n} \sup |h|^{2}\right)^{2}  \tag{38}\\
& \geq \frac{(n-2)^{2}}{n^{2}}\left(n(n-1) \bar{R}+\sup |h|^{2}\right)\left(\sup |h|^{2}-n \bar{R}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

But it is clear from (37) that (38) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{align*}
n+2(n-1) \bar{R}- & \frac{n-2}{n} \sup |h|^{2}  \tag{39}\\
& \geq \frac{n-2}{n} \sqrt{\left(n(n-1) \bar{R}+\sup |h|^{2}\right)\left(\sup |h|^{2}-n \bar{R}\right)}
\end{align*}
$$

So under the hyperthesis that

$$
\sup H^{2} \leq \frac{1}{n^{2}}\left[(n-1)^{2} \frac{n \bar{R}+2}{n-2}-2(n-1)+\frac{n-2}{n \bar{R}+2}\right],
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{\bar{R}}\left(\sqrt{\sup |h|^{2}}\right) \geq 0 \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\begin{align*}
\square(n H) & =\sum_{i, j}\left(n H \delta_{i j}-n h_{i j}\right)(n H)_{i j}=\sum_{i}\left(n H-n h_{i i}\right)(n H)_{i i}  \tag{41}\\
& =n \sum_{i} H(n H)_{i i}-n \sum_{i} k_{i}(n H)_{i i} \leq\left(|H|_{\max }-C\right) \Delta(n H),
\end{align*}
$$

where $|H|_{\text {max }}$ is the maximum of the mean curvature $H$ and $C=\min k_{i}$ is the minimum of the principal curvatures of $M^{n}$.

Now we need the following maximum principle at infinity for complete manifolds due to Omori [8] and Yau [10]:
Lemma 3.2. Let $M^{n}$ be an $n$-dimensional complete Riemannian manifold whose sectional curvature is bounded from below and $f: M^{n} \rightarrow R$ a smooth function bounded from below. Then for each $\varepsilon>0$ there exists a point $p_{\varepsilon} \in M^{n}$ such that
(i) $|\nabla f|\left(p_{\varepsilon}\right)<\varepsilon$,
(ii) $\Delta f\left(p_{\varepsilon}\right)>-\varepsilon$,
(iii) $\inf f \leq f\left(p_{\varepsilon}\right) \leq \inf f+\varepsilon$.

Since the scalar curvature of $M$ is a constant, from the hypothesis that $\bar{R} \leq$ $\sup H^{2} \leq \frac{1}{n^{2}}\left[(n-1)^{2} \frac{n \bar{R}+2}{n-2}-2(n-1)+\frac{n-2}{n R+2}\right]$, and Gauss equation (14), we know the squared norm $|h|^{2}$ of the second fundamental form is bounded from above, from (11) we know that the sectional curvature is bounded from below. So we may apply Lemma 3.2 to the smooth function $f$ on $M^{n}$ defined by

$$
f=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+(n H)^{2}}}
$$

It is immediate to check that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\nabla f|^{2}=\frac{1}{4} \frac{\left|\nabla(n H)^{2}\right|^{2}}{\left(1+(n H)^{2}\right)^{3}} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta f=-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\Delta(n H)^{2}}{\left(1+(n H)^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}}+\frac{3}{4} \frac{\left|\nabla(n H)^{2}\right|^{2}}{\left(1+(n H)^{2}\right)^{5 / 2}} . \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 3.2 we can find a sequence of points $p_{k}, k \in N$ in $M^{n}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} f\left(p_{k}\right)=\inf f, \quad \Delta f\left(p_{k}\right)>-\frac{1}{k}, \quad|\nabla f|^{2}\left(p_{k}\right)<\frac{1}{k^{2}} \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (44) in equations (42) and (43) and the fact that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}(n H)\left(p_{k}\right)=\sup _{p \in M^{n}}(n H)(p) \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{1}{k} \leq-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\Delta(n H)^{2}}{\left(1+(n H)^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}}\left(p_{k}\right)+\frac{3}{k^{2}}\left(1+(n H)^{2}\left(p_{k}\right)\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\Delta(n H)^{2}}{\left(1+(n H)^{2}\right)^{2}}\left(p_{k}\right)<\frac{2}{k}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+(n H)^{2}\left(p_{k}\right)}}+\frac{3}{k}\right) \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, by (36) and (41), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{n-1}{n}\left(|h|^{2}-n \bar{R}\right) \phi_{\bar{R}}(|h|) \leq \square(n H) \leq n\left(|H|_{\max }-C\right) \Delta(n H) \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

At points $p_{k}$ of the sequence given in (44), this becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{n-1}{n}\left(|h|^{2}\left(p_{k}\right)-n \bar{R}\right) \phi_{\bar{R}}\left(|h|\left(p_{k}\right)\right) & \leq \square\left(n H\left(p_{k}\right)\right)  \tag{49}\\
& \leq n\left(|H|_{\max }-C\right) \Delta(n H)\left(p_{k}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ and using (47) we have that the right hand side of (49) goes to zero, so we have either $\frac{n-1}{n}\left(\sup |h|^{2}-n \bar{R}\right)=0$, i.e. $\sup H^{2}=\bar{R}$, or $\phi_{\bar{R}}\left(\sqrt{\sup |h|^{2}}\right)=0$.

If $\sup |h|^{2}=n \bar{R}$, by $(33)|Z|^{2}=\frac{n-1}{n}\left(|h|^{2}-n \bar{R}\right)$ we have
$\sup |Z|^{2}=\frac{n-1}{n}\left(\sup |h|^{2}-n \bar{R}\right)=0$, hence $|Z|^{2}=0$ and $M^{n}$ is totally umbilical.
If $\phi_{\bar{R}}\left(\sqrt{\sup |h|^{2}}\right)=0$, it is easy to prove that
$\sup H^{2}=\frac{1}{n^{2}}\left[(n-1)^{2} \frac{n \bar{R}+2}{n-2}-2(n-1)+\frac{n-2}{n \bar{R}+2}\right]$, hence equalities hold in (30) and Lemma 3.1, and it follows that $k_{i}=$ constant for all $i$ and $(n-1)$ of the $k_{i}$ 's are equal. After renumberation if necessary, we can assume that

$$
k_{1}=k_{2}=\cdots=k_{n-1}, \quad k_{1} \neq k_{n}
$$

Therefore, $M^{n}$ is a isoparametric hypersurface in $S^{n+1}$ with two distinct principal curvatures, hence $M^{n}=S^{1}\left(\sqrt{1-r^{2}}\right) \times S^{n-1}(r), k_{1}=\cdots=k_{n-1}=\sqrt{1-r^{2}} / r$, $k_{n}=-r / \sqrt{1-r^{2}}$. From (14), it is easy to see that $n(n-1) \bar{R}=(n-1)(n-2-$ $\left.n r^{2}\right) / r^{2}$, thus $r=\sqrt{\frac{n-2}{n(R+1)}}$. This completes the proof of Theorem.
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