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# A STOCHASTIC APPROACH <br> TO SOME LINEAR FRACTIONAL GOAL PROGRAMMING PROBLEMS* 

I. M. STANCU-MINASIAN, STT. ŢIGAN

This paper deals with an extension of the goal programming with linear fractional criteria and linear constraints studied by Kornbluth and Steuer [9] (see also [1]). This extension covers the case in which the objective functions or target values are random variables. Three problems are considered. Using some arguments similar to those employed by Ben-Israel, Charnes and Kirby [2] and Stancu-Minasian [15] for the linear case, it is shown that the three stochastic problems can be reduced, under certain hypotheses, to deterministic linear fractional min-max problems with linear or convex constraints. The latter problems are solved by use of the parametrical method presented in [18] and [19] or the procedures considered in [5] and [10] for the linear fractional case with linear constraints.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The classical goal programming problem (Charnes and Cooper [4]) is formulated as is shown below.

Problem GP:

$$
\operatorname{Minimize} g(x, y, z)
$$

subject to

$$
\begin{gather*}
F_{i}(x)-y_{i}+z_{i}=G_{i} \text { for } i \in I=\{1,2, \ldots, r\},  \tag{1.1}\\
x \in S, \quad y=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{r}\right) \geqq 0, \quad z=\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{r}\right) \geqq 0, \tag{1.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

where:
(i) $F_{i}: S \rightarrow \mathbb{R},(i \in I)$ are the objective functions;
(ii) $G_{i} \in \mathbb{R}(i \in I)$ are the levels (goals, target values) to be reached by the objective functions;
(iii) $y$ and $z$ are unknown deviational vectors, whose components measure the deviation upwards and downwards, respectively, of $F_{i}(x)$ values from the corresponding goal values $G_{i}(i \in I)$;
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(iv) $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is the set of feasible solutions to the problem;
(v) $g: \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n+2 r} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$represents a global deviation function depending on the deviational vectors $y$ and $z$.
Ordinarily, the objective function $g$ assumes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x, y, z)=\sum_{i \in I}\left(\alpha_{i} y_{i}+\beta_{i} z_{i}\right), \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha_{i}$ and $\beta_{i}$ are intragoal positive weights and specify the relative penalties to be applied for over- or underachievements in $G_{i}$. However, in this paper we shall consider another form for $g(x, y, z)$ (i.e. (2.9)).

The goal programming problem has been investigated and generalized by several authors who gave many efficient solution methods. Thus, for instance, for the case when the objective functions $F_{i}(i \in I)$ are linear, Lee [11] suggested a modified simplex algorithm, whereas Ijiri [8] proposed a generalized inverse technique. In [1], Agrawal, Swarup and Garg considered that the objective functions $F_{i}(i \in I)$ are linear fractionai and proposed an algorithm for solving the goal programming problem. This method is based on Swarup's procedure [17] for solving linear fractional functional programming problems. Kornbluth and Steuer [9] considered the case when $F_{i}(i \in I)$ are linear fractional and either (a) each linear fractional criterion is assigned to its own priority level or (b) all the criteria are at the same level. In case (a), a sequence of linear fractional programming problems has to be solved, whereas in case (b), one has to solve only one linearly constrained programming problem with a nonlinear objective function which is the sum of the linear fractional objective functions.

Stancu-Minasian [14] considers also that all the criteria are at the same priority level and a goal objective function of Chebyshev type must be minimized (see, e.g. (2.9)).

Peteanu and Tigan [12] examined the case when all the objective functions are linear fractional and have the same priority level and, additionally, the goals $G_{i}(i \in I)$ are extended to the interval goals $\left[G_{i}^{\prime}, G_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right](i \in I)$. It is shown that the interval goal programming problem can be reduced to a min-max linear fractional problem with linear constraints. In [20], Țigan gives an extension of the interval goal programming [12] to include objective functions with inexact data. This extension is a natural link between goal programming, multiobjective programming and inexact programming with set-inclusive constraints, as introduced by Soyster [13]. Stochastic approaches to goal programming are considered by Contini [7] and Chobot [6].

This paper extends goal programming with linear fractional criteria and linear constraints to include the case when the objective functions or target values are random variables. Three stochastic problems are considered and it is shown that these problems can be reduced, under certain hypotheses, to deterministic linear fractional min-max problems with linear or convex constraints. The latter problems can be solved by use of the parametrical methods presented in [18] and [19] or the procedures given in [5] and [10] for the linear fractional case with linear constraints.

Let us first replace Problem GP by the following equivalent Problem GP1:

$$
\begin{array}{lr} 
& \text { Minimize } g(x, y, z) \\
\text { subject to } & \\
1.4) & F_{i}(x)-y_{i} \leqq G_{i}, \quad i \in I, \\
1.5) & F_{i}(x)+z_{i} \geqq G_{i}, \quad i \in I, \\
\text { 1.6) } & x \in S, \quad y_{i} \geqq 0, \quad z_{i} \geqq 0, \quad i \in I . \tag{1.6}
\end{array}
$$

This formulation of the goal programming problem will be used in the next sections for the extensions obtained in case when the functions $F_{i}$ or target values $G_{i}(i \in I)$ are assumed to be random variables. Problems amenable to this formulation are met with in many areas. For an application of a stochastic model dealing with production scheduling and investments in a firm, see [6].

It can be easily shown that problems GP and GP1 have the same optimal solution set when the function $g$ is of the form (1.3) with positive weights. Moreover, it can be proved that GP and GP1 have the same optimal solution set when $g(x, y, z)$ is a strictly increasing function with respect to $y$ and $z$.

## 2. RANDOM TARGET VALUES

In what follows, we shall assume that the objective functions $F_{i}$ in Problem GPI are well determined, and the target values $G_{i}$ are independent random variables with known distributions $T_{i}(\cdot)(i \in I)$.

In this situation, we consider that constraints (1.4)-(1.5) are satisfied in a proportion of cases or, in other words, with certain given probabilities, rather than always satisfied.

Like Ben-Israel, Charnes and Kirby [2] (see also Stancu-Minasian [15]), we consider the following stochastic goal.

Problem SGP:

$$
\text { Minimize } g(x, y, z)
$$

subject to

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{P}\left\{\omega \mid F_{i}(x) \geqq G_{i}(\omega)-z_{i}\right\} \geqq p_{i}, \quad i \in I  \tag{2.1}\\
\mathrm{P}\left\{\omega \mid F_{i}(x)<G_{i}(\omega)+y_{i}\right\} \geqq q_{i}, \quad i \in I  \tag{2.2}\\
x \in S, \quad y_{i} \geqq 0, \quad z_{i} \geqq 0, \quad i \in I \tag{2.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $p_{i}$ and $q_{i} \in[0,1]$ are lower bounds of these probabilities.
The other notation is as in the foregoing section.
Let us find the deterministic equivalent of Problem SGP.
Let
and

$$
T_{i}^{-1}(\theta)=\inf \left\{\eta: T_{i}(\eta) \geqq \theta_{;}^{\prime}, \quad 0 \leqq \theta \leqq 1, \quad i \in I\right.
$$

$$
\hat{T}_{i}^{-1}(\theta)=\sup \left\{\eta: T_{i}(\eta) \leqq \theta\right\}, \quad 0 \leqq \theta \leqq 1, \quad i \in I
$$

We rewrite (2.1) as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{P}\left\{\omega \mid F_{i}(x)\right. & \left.\geqq G_{i}(\omega)-z_{i}\right\}=\mathrm{P}\left\{\omega \mid G_{i}(\omega) \leqq F_{i}(x)+z_{i}\right\}= \\
& =T_{i}\left(F_{i}(x)+z_{i}\right) \geqq p_{i}, \quad i \in I
\end{aligned}
$$

or, equivalently,

$$
F_{i}(x)+z_{i} \geqq T_{i}^{-1}\left(p_{i}\right), \quad i \in I
$$

Similarly, (2.2) is re-written as

$$
F_{i}(x)-y_{i} \leqq \hat{T}_{i}^{-1}\left(1-q_{i}\right), \quad i \in I
$$

Thus, we have
Theorem 1. If $G_{i}(i \in I)$ are independent random variables with distributions $T_{i}(\cdot)$ ( $i \in I$ ), then Problem SGP is equivalent to the deterministic

Problem PGD:

$$
\text { Minimize } g(x, y, z)
$$

subject to

$$
\begin{gather*}
F_{i}(x)+z_{i} \geqq T_{i}^{-1}\left(p_{i}\right), \quad i \in I,  \tag{2.4}\\
F_{i}(x)-y_{i} \leqq \widehat{T}_{i}^{-1}\left(1-q_{i}\right), \quad i \in I,  \tag{2,5}\\
x \in S, \quad y_{i} \geqq 0, \quad z_{i} \geqq 0, \quad i \in I, \tag{2.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

Further on, we need supplementary conditions on $F_{i}$ and $g$ and shall show that the stochastic goal problem SGP reduces to a linear fractional min-max problem with linear constraints.

Thus, we assume that:
(HI) The objective functions are linear fractional:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{i}(x)=\frac{c_{i} x+c_{i 0}}{d_{i} x+d_{i 0}}, \quad \text { for all } \quad x \in S \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, d_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, c_{i 0}, d_{i 0} \in \mathbb{R}$.
(H2) For each $i \in I$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{i} x+d_{i 0}>0, \text { for all } x \in S \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

(H3) The global deviation function $g$ is of Chebyshev type, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x, y, z)=\max _{i \in I}\left(\alpha_{i} y_{i}+\beta_{i} z_{i}\right), \quad\left(\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}>0, \quad i \in I\right) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Such functions were considered, for example, in [12], [14] and [20].
(H4) The set $S$ is polyhedral nonempty and bounded subset of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \mid A x=b, x \geqq 0\right\}, \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ is a given $m \times n$ real matrix and $b \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$.

## Using these statements, problem PGD becomes

## Problem PGD1: Find

$$
\min \max _{i \in I}\left(\alpha_{i} y_{i}+\beta_{i} z_{i}\right)
$$

subject to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{c_{i} x+c_{i 0}}{d_{i} x+d_{i 0}}+z_{i} \geqq T_{i}^{-1}\left(p_{i}\right), \quad i \in I  \tag{2.11}\\
& \frac{c_{i} x+c_{i 0}}{d_{i} x+d_{i 0}}-y_{i} \leqq \widehat{T}_{i}^{-1}\left(1-q_{i}\right), \quad i \in I  \tag{2.12}\\
& x \in S, \quad y_{i} \geqq 0, \quad z_{i} \geqq 0, \quad i \in I \tag{2.13}
\end{align*}
$$

Using assumption (H2), we transform constraints (2.11) and (2.12) into the following constraints:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(c_{i}-T_{i}^{-1}\left(p_{i}\right) d_{i}\right) x+z_{i}\left(d_{i} x+d_{i 0}\right) \geqq-c_{i 0}+d_{i 0} T_{i}^{-1}\left(p_{i}\right) \\
i \in I
\end{gather*}
$$

(2.12') $\left(c_{i}-\hat{T}_{i}^{-1}\left(1-q_{i}\right) d_{i}\right) x-y_{i}\left(d_{i} x+d_{i 0}\right) \leqq-c_{i 0}+d_{i 0} \hat{T}_{i}^{-1}\left(1-q_{i}\right)$,

$$
i \in I
$$

Therefore, problem PGD1 becomes
Problem PGD2: Find

$$
\min \max _{i \in I}\left(\alpha_{i} y_{i}+\beta_{i} z_{i}\right)
$$

subject to constraints (2.11'), (2.12') and (2.13).
The constraints of Problem PGD2 are nonlinear, but we can linearize them by the Kornbluth-Steuer transformation [10]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{i}=z_{i}\left(d_{i} x+d_{i 0}\right) ; \quad v_{i}=y_{i}\left(d_{i} x+d_{i 0}\right), \quad i \in I \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Employing this change of variable, problem PGD2 becomes a deterministic linear fractional min-max problem with linear constraints:

Problem PM: Find

$$
\min \max _{i \in I} \frac{\alpha_{i} v_{i}+\beta_{i} u_{i}}{d_{i} x+d_{i 0}}
$$

subject to

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(c_{i}-T_{i}^{-1}\left(p_{i}\right) d_{i}\right) x+u_{i} \geqq-c_{i 0}+d_{i 0} T_{i}^{-1}\left(p_{i}\right), \quad i \in I \\
\left(c_{i}-\hat{T}_{i}^{-1}\left(1-q_{i}\right) d_{i}\right) x-v_{i} \leqq-c_{i 0}+d_{i 0} \hat{T}_{i}^{-1}\left(1-q_{i}\right), \quad i \in I \\
x \in S, \quad u=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{r}\right) \geqq 0, \quad v=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{r}\right) \geqq 0
\end{gathered}
$$

Under assumptions (H1)-(H4), the following theorem is immediate:
Theorem 2. If $G_{i}$ are independent random variables and if $\left(x^{*}, u^{*}, v^{*}\right)$ is an optimal
solution of Problem PM, then $\left(x^{*}, y^{*}, z^{*}\right)$ with

$$
y_{i}^{*}=\frac{v_{i}^{*}}{d_{i} x^{*}+d_{i 0}}, \quad z_{i}^{*}=\frac{u_{i}^{*}}{d_{i} x^{*}+d_{i 0}}, \quad i \in I
$$

is an optimal solution to Problem SGP and conversely.
Proof. The proof follows from the fact that Problem SGP is equivalent to Problem PGD (Theorem 1), Problem PGD is equivalent to Problem PGD1 or Problem PGD2 by relations (2.7) - (2.9) and Problem PGD2 reduces to Problem PM by the variable transformation (2.14).

## 3. RANDOM OBJECTIVE FUNCTIONS

In this section, we assume that the target values $G_{i}(i \in I)$ in Problem GP1 are well determined, but the objective functions $F_{i}$ are random.

We adopt the following assumption:
(H5) The objective functions $F_{i}(i \in I)$ are of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{i}(x, \omega)=\frac{c_{i}(\omega) x+c_{i 0}(\omega)}{d_{i} x+d_{i 0}} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the components of the vectors $\left(c_{i}, c_{i 0}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}(i \in I)$ are normal independdent random variables with expectations $\bar{c}_{i j}$ and variances $\sigma_{i j}^{2}(i \in I, j=0,1, \ldots$ $\ldots, n$ ).
We also suppose that assumptions (H2)-(H4) in Section 2 are true.
With the notations adopted in Section 2, the stochastic goal programming problem is

Problem GPF: Find

$$
\min \max _{i \in I}\left(\alpha_{i} y_{i}+\beta_{i} z_{i}\right)
$$

subject to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{P}\left\{\omega \mid F_{i}(x, \omega)\right.\left.\geqq G_{i}-z_{i}\right\} \geqq p_{i}, \quad i \in I  \tag{3.2}\\
& \mathrm{P}\left\{\omega \mid F_{i}(x, \omega)<G_{i}+y_{i}\right\} \geqq q_{i}, \quad i \in I  \tag{3.3}\\
& x \in S, \quad y_{i} \geqq 0, \quad z_{i} \geqq 0, \quad i \in I \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Having in view (2.8) and (3.1), constraints (3.2) and (3.3) can be written as
(3.5) $\mathrm{P}\left\{\omega \mid c_{i}(\omega) x+c_{i 0}(\omega) \geqq G_{i}\left(d_{i} x+d_{i 0}\right)-z_{i}\left(d_{i} x+d_{i 0}\right)\right\} \geqq p_{i}, \quad i \in I$,
(3.6) $\mathrm{P}\left\{\omega \mid c_{i}(\omega) x+c_{i 0}(\omega)<G_{i}\left(d_{i} x+d_{i 0}\right)+y_{i}\left(d_{i} x+d_{i 0}\right)\right\} \geqq q_{i}, \quad i \in I$,
or, equivalently, as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{G_{i}\left(d_{i} x+d_{i 0}\right)-z_{i}\left(d_{i} x+d_{i 0}\right)-\bar{c}_{i} x-\bar{c}_{i 0}}{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sigma_{i j}^{2} x_{j}^{2}+\sigma_{i 0}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}} \leqq \phi^{-1}\left(1-p_{i}\right), \quad i \in I \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{G_{i}\left(d_{i} x+d_{i 0}\right)+y_{i}\left(d_{i} x+d_{i 0}\right)-\bar{c}_{i} x-\bar{c}_{i 0}}{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sigma_{i j}^{2} x_{j}^{2}+\sigma_{i 0}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}} \geqq \phi^{-1}\left(q_{i}\right), \quad i \in I, \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi(\cdot)$ is the probability distribution function of the standard normal variable $N(0,1)$.

Thus, problem GPF is
Problem GPF1: Find

$$
\min \operatorname{nax}_{i \in I}\left(\alpha_{i} y_{i}+\beta_{i} z_{i}\right)
$$

$$
i \in I
$$

subject to constraints (3.7), (3.8) and (3.4).
Employing the change of variable (2.14), problem GPF1 becomes a deterministic linear fractional min-max problem with nonlinear constraints.

Problem GPF2: Find

$$
\min \max _{i \in I} \frac{\alpha_{i} v_{i}+\beta_{i} u_{i}}{d_{i} x+d_{i 0}}
$$

subject to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{G_{i}\left(d_{i} x+d_{i 0}\right)-u_{i}-\bar{c}_{i} x-\bar{c}_{i 0}}{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sigma_{i j}^{2} x_{j}^{2}+\sigma_{i 0}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}} \leqq \phi^{-1}\left(1-p_{i}\right), \quad i \in I  \tag{3.9}\\
& \frac{G_{i}\left(d_{i} x+d_{i 0}\right)+v_{i}-\bar{c}_{i} x-\bar{c}_{i 0}}{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sigma_{i j}^{2} x_{j}^{2}+\sigma_{i 0}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}} \geqq \phi^{-1}\left(q_{i}\right), \quad i \in I  \tag{3.10}\\
& x \in S, \quad u_{i} \geqq 0, \quad v_{i} \geqq 0, \quad i \in I \tag{3.1!}
\end{align*}
$$

It is reasonable to choose $p_{i}>0.5$ and $q_{i}>0.5$ such that the constraints of Problem GPF2 define a convex set.

Hence, we have
Theorem 3. If the objective functions $F_{i}(i \in I)$ are random of the form (3.1) and assumptions $(\mathrm{H} 2)-(\mathrm{H} 4)$ are true and if $\left(x^{*}, u^{*}, v^{*}\right)$ is an optimal solution of Problem GPF 2, then $\left(x^{*}, y^{*}, z^{*}\right)$, with

$$
y_{i}^{*}=\frac{v_{i}^{*}}{d_{i} x^{*}+d_{i 0}}, \quad z_{i}^{*}=\frac{u_{i}^{*}}{d_{i} x^{*}+d_{i 0}}, \quad i \in I
$$

is an optimal solution to Problem GPF and conversely.
The proof is similar to that of Theorem 2 and so, it will be omitted.

## 4. SIMPLE RANDOMIZATION OF OBJECTIVE FUNCTIONS

Throughout this section, we shall adopt the following assumptions for Problem GPF:
(H6) The objective functions $F_{i}(i \in I)$, given by (3.1), are simply randomized, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{i}(\omega)=c_{i}^{\prime}+t_{i}(\omega) c_{i}^{\prime \prime}, \quad c_{i 0}(\omega)=c_{i 0}^{\prime}+t_{i}(\omega) c_{i 0}^{\prime \prime}, \quad i \in I \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{i}^{\prime}, c_{i}^{\prime \prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}(i \in I)$ are constant vectors, $c_{i 0}^{\prime}, c_{i 0}^{\prime \prime} \in \mathbb{R}(i \in I)$ are scalar constants and $t_{i}(\cdot)(i \in I)$ are random variables on a probability space $(\Omega, K, P)$ with continuous and strictly increasing distribution functions $T_{i}(\cdot)(i \in I)$.

We note that the stochastic programming problems with simple randomization were examined by Bereanu [3] in the linear case and Stancu-Minasian [15], StancuMinasian and Tigan [16], [21] for some special classes of nonlinear minimum-risk problems.
(H7) The global function $g$ assumes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x, y, z)=\max _{i \in I}\left(\alpha_{i} y_{i}+\beta_{i} z_{i}\right) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(H8) $d_{i} x+d_{i 0}>0$, for all $i \in I$ and for all $x \in S$.
(H9) $c_{i}^{\prime \prime} x+c_{i 0}^{\prime \prime}>0$, for all $i \in I$ and for all $x \in S$.
Hence, problem GPF becomes
Problem GPSR: Find

$$
\min \max _{i \in I}\left(\alpha_{i} y_{i}+\beta_{i} z_{i}\right)
$$

subject to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}\left\{\omega \left\lvert\, \frac{\left(c_{i}^{\prime}+t_{i}(\omega) c_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right) x+\left(c_{i 0}^{\prime}+t_{i}(\omega) c_{i 0}^{\prime \prime}\right)}{d_{i} x+d_{i 0}} \geqq G_{i}-z_{i}\right.\right\} \geqq p_{i}, \quad i \in I \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}\left\{\omega \left\lvert\, \frac{\left(c_{i}^{\prime}+t_{i}(\omega) c_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right) x+\left(c_{i 0}^{\prime}+t_{i}(\omega) c_{i 0}^{\prime \prime}\right)}{d_{i} x+d_{i 0}}<G_{i}+y_{i}\right.\right\} \geqq q_{i}, \quad i \in I \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \in S, \quad y_{i} \geqq 0, \quad z_{i} \geqq 0, \quad i \in I \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us find the deterministic equivalent of Problem GPSR. Under assumptions (H6), (H7), (H8) and (H9), we transform constraints (4.3) and (4.4) into the following constraints:
(4.6) $\mathrm{P}\left\{\omega \left\lvert\, t_{i}(\omega) \geqq \frac{G_{i}\left(d_{i} x+d_{i 0}\right)-z_{i}\left(d_{i} x+d_{i 0}\right)-c_{i}^{\prime} x-c_{i 0}^{\prime}}{c_{i}^{\prime \prime} x+c_{i 0}^{\prime \prime}}\right.\right\} \geqq p_{i}, \quad i \in I$,
(4.7) $\mathrm{P}\left\{\omega \left\lvert\, t_{i}(\omega)<\frac{G_{i}\left(\bar{a}_{i} x+d_{i 0}\right)+y_{i}\left(d_{i} x+d_{i 0}\right)-c_{i}^{\prime} x-c_{i 0}^{\prime}}{c_{i}^{\prime \prime} x+c_{i 0}^{\prime \prime}}\right.\right\} \geqq q_{i}, \quad i \in I$,
or
(4.8) $\quad \frac{G_{i}\left(d_{i} x+d_{i 0}\right)-z_{i}\left(d_{i} x+d_{i 0}\right)-c_{i}^{\prime} x-c_{i 0}^{\prime}}{c_{i}^{\prime \prime} x+c_{i 0}^{\prime \prime}} \leqq T_{i}^{-1}\left(1-p_{i}\right), \quad i \in I$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{G_{i}\left(d_{i} x+d_{i 0}\right)+y_{i}\left(d_{i} x+d_{i 0}\right)-c_{i}^{\prime} x-c_{i 0}^{\prime}}{c_{i}^{\prime x} x+c_{i 0}^{\prime \prime}} \geqq T_{i}^{-1}\left(q_{i}\right), \quad i \in I \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to assumption (H9), constraints (4.8) and (4.9) become

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(G_{i} d_{i}-c_{i}^{\prime}-T_{i}^{-1}\left(1-p_{i}\right) c_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right) x-z_{i}\left(d_{i} x+d_{i 0}\right) \leqq  \tag{4.10}\\
\leqq c_{i 0}^{\prime}+c_{i 0}^{\prime \prime} T_{i}^{-1}\left(1-p_{i}\right)-d_{i 0} G_{i}, \quad i \in I, \\
\left(G_{i} d_{i}-c_{i}^{\prime}-T_{i}^{-1}\left(q_{i}\right) c_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right) x+y_{i}\left(d_{i} x+d_{i 0}\right) \geqq  \tag{4.11}\\
\geqq c_{i 0}^{\prime}+c_{i 0}^{\prime \prime} T_{i}^{-1}\left(q_{i}\right)-d_{i 0} G_{i}, \quad i \in I .
\end{gather*}
$$

Therefore, problem GPSR can be formulated as follows:
Problem GPSR1: Find

$$
\min \max _{i \in I}\left(\alpha_{i} y_{i}+\beta_{i} z_{i}\right)
$$

subject to constraints $(4.5),(4.10)$ and (4.11).
By the change of variable (2.14), Problem GPSRI becomes:
Problem GPSR2: Find

$$
\min \max _{i \in I} \frac{\alpha_{i} v_{i}+\beta_{i} u_{i}}{d_{i} x+d_{i 0}}
$$

subject to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(G_{i} d_{i}-c_{i}^{\prime}-T_{i}^{-1}\left(1-p_{i}\right) c_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right) x-u_{i} \leqq  \tag{4.12}\\
& \leqq c_{i 0}^{\prime}+c_{i 0}^{\prime \prime} T_{i}^{-1}\left(1-p_{i}\right)-d_{i 0} G_{i}, \quad i \in I,
\end{align*}
$$

(4.13) $\quad\left(G_{i} d_{i}-c_{i}^{\prime}-T_{i}^{-1}\left(g_{i}\right) c_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right) x+v_{i} \geqq c_{i 0}^{\prime}+c_{i 0}^{\prime \prime} T_{i}^{-1}\left(q_{i}\right)-d_{i 0} G_{i}, \quad i \in I$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \in S, \quad u_{i} \geqq 0, \quad v_{i} \geqq 0, \quad i \in I \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have
Theorem 4. If assumptions (H4)-(H9) are true, and if ( $x^{*}, u^{*}, v^{*}$ ) is an optimal solution of the min-max problem GPSR2, then $\left(x^{*}, y^{*}, z^{*}\right)$, where

$$
y_{i}^{*}=\frac{v_{i}^{*}}{d_{i} x^{*}+d_{i 0}}, \quad z_{i}^{*}=\frac{u_{i}^{*}}{d_{i} x^{*}+d_{i 0}}, \quad i \in I,
$$

is an optimal solution of the stochastic goal programming problem GPSR, and conversely.

## 5. CONCLUSIONS

Three classes of stochastic goal programming problems were considered. Deterministic linear fractional min-max problems with linear or convex constraints (as in the case of Problem GPF2) were obtained for each of these problems.

These deterministic problems can be solved by use of the parametrical method presented in [18], [19] or the procedures given in [5], [10] for the linear fractional case with linear constraints.
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