Marek Jukl Inertial law of quadratic forms on modules over plural algebra

Mathematica Bohemica, Vol. 120 (1995), No. 3, 255-263

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/126009

# Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1995

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

120 (1995)

MATHEMATICA BOHEMICA

No. 3, 255-263

# INERTIAL LAW OF QUADRATIC FORMS ON MODULES OVER PLURAL ALGEBRA

MAREK JUKL, Olomouc

(Received December 28, 1993)

Summary. Quadratic forms on a free finite-dimensional module are investigated. It is shown that the inertial law can be suitably generalized provided the vector space is replaced by a free finite-dimensional module over a certain linear algebra over  $\mathbb{R}$  (*real plural algebra*) introduced in [1].

Keywords: linear algebra, free module, bilinear form, quadratic form, polar basis

AMS classification: 10C03

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Definition I.1. The real plural algebra of order m is every linear algebra A on  $\mathbb{R}$  having as a vector space over  $\mathbb{R}$  a basis

$$\{1, \eta, \eta^2, \dots, \eta^{m-1}\},$$
 with  $\eta^m = 0.$ 

**Definition I.2.** The system of projections  $\mathbf{A} \to \mathbb{R}$  is a system of mappings  $p_k$ : **A** onto  $\mathbb{R}$ , defined for k = 0, ..., m-1, as follows:

$$\forall \beta \in \mathbf{A}, \quad \beta = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} b_i \eta^i; \quad p_k(\beta) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} b_k.$$

To make the paper selfcontained we present several propositions proved in [1].

**Proposition I.3.** A is a local ring with the maximal ideal  $\eta \mathbf{A}$ . The ideals  $\eta^j \mathbf{A}$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq m$ , are all ideals of  $\mathbf{A}$ .

**Proposition I.4.** The ring A is isomorphic to the factor ring of polynomials  $\mathbb{R}[x]/(x^m)$ .

Agreement I.5. Throughout the paper we denote by  $\mathbf{A}$  the  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra introduced in this section. The capital  $\mathbf{M}$  always denotes the free finite-dimensional module over the algebra  $\mathbf{A}$ .

**Proposition I.6.** Let  $\{E_1, \ldots, E_n\}$  be a (linearly independent) system of generators of a module **M**. If  $U_1, \ldots, U_k$  are linearly independent elements from **M** then (1)  $k \leq n$ ,

(2) After a suitable renumbering of the elements  $E_1, \ldots, E_n$ ,  $\{U_1, \ldots, U_k, E_{k+1}, \ldots, E_n\}$  will be a (linearly independent) set of generators of  $\mathbf{M}$ .

**Proposition I.7.** Let  $\mathscr{E} = \{E_1, \dots, E_n\}$  be a basis of the **A**-module **M**. Let us define a system  $P_0, \dots, P_{m-1}$  of vector spaces over  $\mathbb{R}$ :

$$\mathbf{P}_j = [\eta^j E_1, \dots, \eta^j E_n], \quad 0 \leq j \leq m-1.$$

If we view  $\mathbf{M}$  as an  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space, then the following statements are valid: (1)  $\mathbf{M} = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{m-1} \mathbf{P}_j$ ,

(2)  $\forall X \in \mathbf{M} \exists ! (X_0, \dots, X_{m-1}) \in \mathbf{P}_0^m; X = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \eta^j X_j.$ 

**Theorem I.8.** If  $\varphi: \mathbf{M} \to \mathbf{A}$  is a linear form such that  $(\operatorname{Im} \varphi \setminus \eta \mathbf{A}) \neq \emptyset$  then there exists exactly one free (n-1)-dimensional submodule  $\mathscr{N}$  of  $\mathbf{M}$  such that

$$\mathcal{N} = \operatorname{Ker} \varphi.$$

### II. Bilinear forms on modules over the algebra ${f A}$

The relations between bilinear forms, their projections and bilinear forms  $\mathbf{P}_0^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ from Proposition II.5 are similar to those between analogous objects in the case of linear forms described in [1]. Thus the proofs of Propositions II.1–II.6 will be omitted.

**Proposition II.1.** Let  $\Phi: \mathbf{M}^2 \to \mathbf{A}$  be a bilinear form. Then there exists exactly one system of bilinear forms  $\Phi_0, \ldots, \Phi_{m-1}: \mathbf{M}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\Phi = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \Phi_j \eta^j.$$

**Definition II.2.** The bilinear forms  $\Phi_0, \ldots, \Phi_{m-1} \colon \mathbf{M}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$  from Proposition II.1 will be called *projections of*  $\Phi$  ( $\Phi_j$  is the *j-th projection*).

**Proposition II.3.** If  $\Phi_0, \ldots, \Phi_{m-1} \colon \mathbf{M}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$  are bilinear forms then the mapping  $\Phi = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \Phi_j \eta^j$  is a bilinear form  $\mathbf{M}^2 \to \mathbf{A}$  if and only if  $\forall X, Y \in \mathbf{M}$ :

(1)  $\Phi_0(\eta X, Y) = 0,$ (2)  $\Phi_k(\eta X, Y) = \Phi_{k-1}(X, Y), 1 \le k \le m-1,$ (3)  $\Phi_0(X, \eta Y) = 0,$ (4)  $\Phi_k(X, \eta Y) = \Phi_{k-1}(X, Y), 1 \le k \le m-1.$ 

.

**Proposition II.4.** Let  $\Phi_0, \ldots, \Phi_{m-1} \colon \mathbf{M}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$  be a system of bilinear forms such that  $\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \Phi_j \eta^j$  is a bilinear form  $\mathbf{M}^2 \to \mathbf{A}$ . Then  $\forall X, Y \in \mathbf{M}, X = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \eta^j X_j$ ,  $Y = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \eta^k Y_k, Y_k, X_j \in \mathbf{P}_0$  we have

$$\Phi_k(X,Y) = \sum_{j=0}^k \sum_{h=0}^l \Phi_{k-j}(X_h, Y_{j-h}), \quad 0 \le k \le m-1.$$

**Proposition II.5.** Let  $\Phi: \mathbf{M}^2 \to \mathbf{A}$  be a bilinear form, let  $\Phi_0, \ldots, \Phi_{m-1}$  be a system of its projections. Then there exists exactly one system of bilinear forms  $F_0, \ldots, F_{m-1}: \mathbf{P}_0^2 \to \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\forall X, Y \in \mathbf{M}, X = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \eta^j X_j, Y = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \eta^k Y_k, X_j, Y_k \in \mathbf{P}_0$  the following relation is true:

(\*) 
$$\Phi_k(X,Y) = \sum_{j=0}^k \sum_{h=0}^j F_{k-j}(X_h,Y_{j-h}), \quad 0 \le k \le m-1$$

**Proposition II.6.** Let  $\{F_j\}_{j=0}^{m-1}$  be a system of bilinear forms  $\mathbf{P}_0^2 \to \mathbb{R}$  and let  $\{\Phi_k\}_{k=0}^{m-1}$  be the system of bilinear forms  $\mathbf{M}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$  defined as follows:  $\forall X, Y \in \mathbf{M}, X = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \eta^j X_j, Y = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \eta^k Y_k;$ 

$$(**) \qquad \Phi_k(X,Y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{j=0}^k \sum_{h=0}^j F_{k-j}(X_h,Y_{j-h}), \quad 0 \leqslant k \leqslant m-1$$

Then the mapping  $\Phi = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \Phi_k \eta^k$  is a bilinear form  $\mathbf{M}^2 \to \mathbf{A}$  determined uniquely by the system  $\{F_j\}$ .

**Definition II.7.** A bilinear form  $\Phi: \mathbf{M}^2 \to \mathbf{A}$  is called a bilinear form of order  $k \ (0 \le k \le m)$  if

(1)  $\forall (X,Y) \in \mathbf{M}^2; \Phi(X,Y) \in \eta^k \mathbf{A},$ 

(2)  $\exists (U, V) \in \mathbf{M}^2$ ;  $\Phi(U, V) \notin \eta^{k+1} \mathbf{A}$ .

In the special case k = 0 the bilinear form is called the *epiform*.

**Proposition II.8.** If  $\Phi$  is a bilinear form of order k then there exists at least one epiform  $\Lambda$  such that

$$\Phi = \eta^k \Lambda.$$

**Proof**. Let  $\Phi$  be a bilinear form of order k. Hence we have

(\*) 
$$\Phi_0 \equiv \Phi_1 \equiv \ldots \equiv \Phi_{k-1} \equiv 0 \land \exists (U, V) \in \mathbf{M}^2; \quad \Phi_k(U, V) \neq 0.$$

Let us denote  $\Phi^* = \Phi_k + \ldots + \eta^{m-k-1}\Phi_{m-1}$ . Then  $\Phi = \eta^k \Phi^*$ , though generally  $\Phi^*$  is not a bilinear form  $\mathbf{M} \to \mathbf{A}$ . According to Proposition II.5 there is a uniquely determined system  $\{F_j\}$  of bilinear forms  $\mathbf{P}_0^2 \to \mathbb{R}$  fulfilling II.5.(\*) for the bilinear form  $\Phi$ . Since II.8.(\*) is true we have from II.5.(\*):

$$(**) F_0 \equiv F_1 \equiv \ldots \equiv F_{k-1} \equiv 0.$$

Let us define a system  $\{H_j\}_{i=0}^{m-1}$  of bilinear forms  $\mathbf{P}_0^2 \to \mathbb{R}$  as follows. Let

$$(***) H_0 = F_k, H_1 = F_{k+1}, \dots, H_{m-k-1} = F_{m-1}$$

and let bilinear forms  $H_{m-k}, \ldots, H_{m-1}$  be chosen arbitrarily.

With respect to Proposition II.6 for the system  $\{H_0,\ldots,H_{m-k-1},H_{m-k},\ldots,H_{m-1}\}$  we have the system  $\{\Lambda_j\}$  of bilinear forms  $\mathbf{M}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$  given by

$$\Lambda_k(X,Y) = \sum_{j=0}^k \sum_{h=0}^j H_{k-j}(X_k,Y_{j-h}), \quad 0 \le k \le m-1,$$

for which  $\Lambda$  defined by  $\Lambda = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \Lambda_j \eta^j$  is a bilinear form  $\mathbf{M}^2 \to \mathbf{A}$ . For  $r \ge k$  we get

[using (\*\*), (\*\*\*)]:  $\forall X, Y \in \mathbf{M}, X = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \eta^j X_j, Y = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \eta^k Y_k;$ 

$$\begin{split} \Phi_r(X,Y) &= \sum_{j=0}^r \sum_{h=0}^{j-1} F_{r-j}(X_h,Y_{j-h}) = \sum_{j=0}^{r-k} \sum_{h=0}^j F_{r-j}(X_h,Y_{j-h}) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{r-k} \sum_{h=0}^j H_{(r-k)-j}(X_h,Y_{j-h}) = \Lambda_{r-k}, \quad k \leq r \leq m-k-1, \end{split}$$

i.e.  $\Lambda_0 = \Phi_k, \Lambda_1 = \Phi_{k+1}, \dots, \Lambda_{m-k-1} = \Phi_{m-1}$ . Clearly  $\eta^k \Lambda = \Phi$  and since  $\exists (U, V) \in \mathbf{M}^2$ ;  $\Phi_k(U, V) = \Lambda_0(U, V) \neq 0$ ,  $\Lambda$  is an epiform.

A greement II.9. In what follows  $_{2}\Phi$  denotes the quadratic form determined by the symmetric bilinear form  $\Phi$ .

**Proposition II.10.** Let  $_{2}\Phi$  be a quadratic form on the module **M**. Then there exists a polar basis of **M** with respect to  $_{2}\Phi$ .

Proof by induction for  $n = \dim \mathbf{M}$ .

1. The proposition is clear for n = 1.

2. Let Proposition II.10 be true for all (n-1)-dimensional A-modules,  $n \ge 2$ .

(a) Let  $\Phi$  be a symmetric epiform, i.e.  $\exists (U, V) \in \mathbf{M}^2$ ;  $\Phi(U, V)$  is a unit. Then there exists  $Y \in \mathbf{M}$  such that  ${}_2\Phi(Y)$  is a unit. Indeed, in the opposite case, we should have  $\Phi(U, V) = \frac{1}{2} [{}_2\Phi(U + V) - {}_2\Phi(U) - {}_2\Phi(V)] \in \eta \mathbf{A}$  for any  $(U, V) \in \mathbf{M}^2$ , a contradiction. Thus the linear form  $\varphi(X) = \Phi(X, Y)$  is an epiform  $\mathbf{M} \to \mathbf{A}$ . According to Theorem I.8 the kernel of  $\Phi$  is the free (n-1)-dimensional module  $\mathscr{N}$ , i.e.  $\forall W \in \mathbf{M}; W \in \mathscr{N} \Leftrightarrow \Phi(W, Y) = 0$ . Due to the induction hypothesis we get that  $\mathscr{N}$  has a polar basis  $\{U_1, \ldots, U_{n-1}\}$  of the quadratic form  ${}_2\Phi/\mathscr{N}$ .

Since  $_2\Phi(Y)$  is a unit we may easily see that  $\{U_1,\ldots,U_{n-1},Y\}$  is a linearly independent system.

Putting  $U_n = Y$ , we obtain a polar basis  $\{U_1, \ldots, U_{n-1}, U_n\}$  of **M** (according to Proposition I.6).

(b) Let  $\Phi$  be a bilinear form of order  $k \ (\neq 0)$ . According to Proposition II.8 there exists a bilinear epiform  $\Psi$  with  $\Phi = \eta^k \Psi$ . By (a) we can construct a polar basis for the form  $\Psi$ , i.e.  $[U_1, \ldots, U_n] = \mathbf{M}$  and for  $i \neq j, j \leq n$  we have  $\Psi(U_i, U_j) = 0$ , hence  $\Phi(U_i, U_j) = \eta^k \Psi(U_i, U_j) = 0$ .

**Definition II.11.** A polar basis  $\{U_1, \ldots, U_n\}$  of a quadratic form on the module **M** is called the *normal polar basis* if for every  $i, 1 \le i \le n$ , there exists  $k, 0 \le k \le m$ , such that

 ${}_{2}\Phi(U_{i})=\pm\eta^{k}.$ 

**Theorem II.12.** Let a quadratic form  $_2\Phi$  on the A-module M be given. Then there exists a normal polar basis of M with respect to  $_2\Phi$ .

Proof. Let  $_{2}\Phi$  be a quadratic form  $\mathbf{M} \to \mathbf{A}$  and let  $\{U_{1}, \ldots, U_{n}\}$  be its polar basis. Putting  $\gamma_{i} = _{2}\Phi(U_{i}), 1 \leq i \leq n$ , we can write every  $\gamma_{i}$  in the form  $\gamma_{i} = _{\pm}\eta^{k(i)}\varepsilon_{i}$ , where  $\varepsilon_{i}$  is a unit for which  $p_{0}(\varepsilon_{i}) > 0$ . By Proposition 1.4 in [1] we have a unit  $\alpha_{i}$  such that  $\alpha_{i}^{2} = \varepsilon_{i}, \forall i, 1 \leq i \leq n$ . Let us put  $W_{i} = \frac{1}{\alpha_{i}}U_{i}$  for every *i*. Then we obtain  $_{2}\Phi(W_{i}) = \pm \eta^{k(i)}, \forall i, 1 \leq i \leq n$ . Evidently,  $\Phi(W_{i}, W_{j}) = 0$  for  $i \neq j$  and the system of vectors  $\{W_{i}\}$  is linearly independent (since  $\alpha_{i}$  are units).

## III. Inertial laws of quadratic forms on A-modules M

**Definition III.1.** Let  $_{2}\Phi$  be a quadratic form on **M** and let  $\mathscr{U} = \{U_{1}, \ldots, U_{n}\}$  be its normal polar basis. Putting  $\gamma_{i} = _{2}\Phi(U_{i}), 1 \leq i \leq n$ , we define a system of sets as follows:

$$\mathscr{I}_k = \{i \in \mathbb{N}(n); \gamma_i = \pm \eta^k\}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq m.$$

If we denote  $\pi_k = \operatorname{card}(\mathscr{I}_k), \ 0 \leq k \leq m$ , then

$$\mathfrak{Ch}(_{2}\Phi,\mathscr{U})=(\pi_{0},\ldots,\pi_{m})$$

is called the characteristic of the quadratic form  $_{2}\Phi$  with respect to the basis  $\mathscr{U}$ .

**Definition III.2.** For any quadratic form  $_{2}\Phi$  on  $\mathbf{M}$ , let us denote by  $\mathscr{V}_{k}^{\Phi}$  the set  $\{Y \in \mathbf{M}; \eta^{k}\Phi(X, Y) = 0, \forall X \in \mathbf{M}\}, 0 \leq k \leq m$ .

The following lemma is evident:

**Lemma III.3.** If  $\mathscr{U}$  is a basis of **M** and  $_2\Phi$  is a quadratic form, then

$$\mathscr{V}_{k}^{\Phi} = \{ Y \in \mathbf{M} ; \eta^{k} \Phi(U, Y) = 0, \forall U \in \mathscr{U} \}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq m.$$

**Proposition III.4.** Let  $_{2}\Phi$  be a quadratic form on **M** and let  $\mathscr{U}$  be its normal polar basis. Then  $\mathscr{V}_{k}^{\Phi}$  is a submodule of **M** and as an  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space it has the dimension

$$\dim_{\mathbf{R}} \mathscr{V}_{k}^{\Phi} = \sum_{j=0}^{m-k-1} (k+j)\pi_{j} + m \sum_{j=m-k}^{m} \pi_{j},$$

where  $(\pi_0, \ldots, \pi_m) = \mathfrak{Ch}(_2\Phi, \mathscr{U}).$ 

Proof.  $\mathscr{V}_k^{\Phi}$  is clearly a submodule of **M**. Let  $\mathscr{U} = \{U_1, \ldots, U_n\}$  and let us consider a  $Y \in \mathbf{M}$ ,  $Y = \sum_{i=1}^n \zeta_i U_i$ . Putting  $\gamma_i = {}_2 \Phi(U_i)$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}(n)$ , we obtain:  $Y \in \mathscr{V}_k^{\Phi} \Leftrightarrow \forall i, 1 \leq i \leq n; \eta^k \Phi(U_i, Y) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \forall i, 1 \leq i \leq n; \eta^k \gamma_i \zeta_i = 0$ . According to Definition III.1 we get that  $Y \in \mathscr{V}_k^{\Phi}$  if and only if the following conditions are valid:

(0)  $i \in \mathscr{I}_0 \Rightarrow \zeta_i = y_{i0}\eta^{m-k} + y_{i1}\eta^{m-k+1} + \dots + y_{ik-1}\eta^{m-1}$ (1)  $i \in \mathscr{I}_1 \Rightarrow \zeta_i = y_{i0}\eta^{m-k-1} + \dots + y_{ik}\eta^{m-1}$ 

(j) 
$$i \in \mathscr{I}_j \Rightarrow \zeta_i = y_{i0}\eta^{m-k-j} + \ldots + y_{ik+j-1}\eta^{m-1}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq m-k-1$$

$$(m-k-1) \qquad i \in \mathscr{I}_{m-k-1} \Rightarrow \zeta_i = y_{i0}\eta + \ldots + y_{im-2}\eta^{m-1}$$

$$(m-k)$$
  $i \in \bigcup_{s=0} \mathscr{I}_{m-s} \Rightarrow \zeta_i \in \mathbf{A}$ , where all  $y_{ih} \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Let us construct the following system of submodules in  $\mathscr{V}_k^{\Phi}$ :

$$\mathscr{V}_{kj}^{\Phi} = \Big\{ Y \in \mathbf{M} \, ; \, Y \in \mathscr{V}_{k}^{\Phi} \wedge Y = \sum_{i \in \mathscr{I}_{j}} \zeta_{i} U_{i} \Big\}, \quad 0 \leqslant j \leqslant m.$$

The condition (0) implies that  $\bigcup_{i \in \mathscr{I}_0} \{\eta^{m-k}U_i, \ldots, \eta^{m-1}U_i\}$  is an  $\mathbb{R}$ -basis of  $\mathscr{V}_{k0}^{\Phi}$ , therefore dim<sub>R</sub>  $\mathscr{V}_{k0}^{\Phi} = \pi_0 k$ . Analogously, conditions (j) imply that dim<sub>R</sub>  $\mathscr{V}_{kj}^{\Phi} = \pi_j (k+j)$ ,  $0 \leq j \leq m-k-1$ , and the condition (m-k) implies that dim<sub>R</sub>  $\mathscr{V}_{kj}^{\Phi} = \pi_j m$ ,  $m-k \leq j \leq m$ . Evidently,  $\mathscr{V}_k^{\Phi} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^m \mathscr{V}_{kj}^{\Phi}$ . Thus we have

$$\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathscr{V}_{k}^{\Phi} = \sum_{j=0}^{m} \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathscr{V}_{kj}^{\Phi} = \sum_{j=0}^{m-k-1} (k+j)\pi_{j} + m \sum_{j=m-k}^{m} \pi_{j}.$$

**Theorem III.5.** Let a quadratic form  $_2\Phi$  on M be given. If  $\mathscr{U}, \mathscr{V}$  are arbitrary normal polar bases of the form  $_2\Phi$ , then

$$\mathfrak{Ch}(_{2}\Phi,\mathscr{U})=\mathfrak{Ch}(_{2}\Phi,\mathscr{V}).$$

Proof. Let  $\mathfrak{Ch}(_2\Phi, \mathscr{U}) = (\pi_0, \ldots, \pi_m)$ . Then Proposition III.4 implies

$$\begin{split} & \dim_{\mathbf{R}} \mathscr{V}_{k}^{\Phi} = \sum_{j=0}^{m-k} \pi_{j}(k+j) + \sum_{j=m-k+1}^{m} \pi_{j}m, \\ & \dim_{\mathbf{R}} \mathscr{V}_{k-1}^{\Phi} = \sum_{j=0}^{m-k} \left( \pi_{j}(k+j) - \pi_{j} \right) + \sum_{j=m-k+1}^{m} \pi_{j}m. \end{split}$$

Consequently, we have  $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathscr{V}^{\Phi}_{k} - \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathscr{V}^{\Phi}_{k-1} = \sum_{j=0}^{m-k} \pi_{j}.$ 

Let  $\mathfrak{Ch}(_2\Phi, \mathscr{V}) = (\nu_0, \dots, \nu_m)$ . Then we obtain  $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathscr{V}_k^{\Phi} - \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathscr{V}_{k-1}^{\Phi} = \sum_{n=0}^{m-k} \nu_h$ , i.e.  $\sum_{\substack{j=0\\ j=0}}^{m-k} \pi_j = \sum_{h=0}^{m-k} \nu_h$ . Putting  $k = m, m-1, \dots, 0$ , we get

$$\pi_0 = \nu_0, \ \pi_0 + \pi_1 = \nu_0 + \nu_1, \ \dots, \ \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \pi_j + \pi_m = \sum_{h=0}^{m-1} \nu_h + \nu_m,$$

which successively yields  $\pi_0 = \nu_0, \ \pi_1 = \nu_1, \ \dots, \ \pi_m = \nu_m.$ 

**Definition III.6.** Let  $_2\Phi$  be a quadratic form on **M** and let  $\mathscr{U} = \{U_1, \ldots, U_n\}$  be its normal polar basis. Putting  $\gamma_i = _2\Phi(U_i)$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}(n)$ , we define a system of sets as follows:

$$\begin{split} P_k &= \{i \in \mathbb{N}(n); \ \gamma_i = \eta^k\},\\ N_k &= \{i \in \mathbb{N}(n); \ \gamma_i = -\eta^k\}, \quad 0 \leqslant k \leqslant m-1. \end{split}$$

If we denote  $\mathfrak{p}_k = \operatorname{card} P_k$ ,  $\mathfrak{n}_k = \operatorname{card} N_k$ ,  $0 \leq k \leq m-1$ , then

$$\mathscr{G}({}_{2}\Phi,\mathscr{U}) = (\mathfrak{p}_{0},\ldots,\mathfrak{p}_{m-1},\mathfrak{n}_{0},\ldots,\mathfrak{n}_{m-1})$$

is called the plural signature of the quadratic form  $_{2}\Phi$  with respect to the basis  $\mathscr{U}.$ 

**Theorem III.7.** Let a quadratic form  $_2\Phi$  on M be given. If  $\mathscr{U}$ ,  $\mathscr{V}$  are arbitrary normal polar bases of the form  $_2\Phi$ , then

$$\mathscr{G}(_{2}\Phi,\mathscr{U})=\mathscr{G}(_{2}\Phi,\mathscr{V}).$$

Proof. Let  $\mathscr{U} = \{U_1, \ldots, U_m\}, X \in \mathbf{M}, X = \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i U_i$ , arbitrary. Then (according to Definition III.6) we get

$${}_{2}\Phi(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_{i}\xi_{i}^{2} = \sum_{h=0}^{m-1} \left( \sum_{i \in P_{h}} \xi_{i}^{2}\eta^{h} - \sum_{i \in N_{h}} \xi_{i}^{2}\eta^{h} \right).$$

If  $\xi_i = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} x_{ij} \eta^j$ , then

$${}_{2}\Phi(X) = \sum_{h=0}^{m-1} \left( \sum_{\substack{j+k=0\\i\in P_{h}}} x_{ij}x_{ik}\eta^{j+k+h} - \sum_{\substack{j+k=0\\i\in N_{n}}} x_{ij}x_{ik}\eta^{j+k+h} \right)$$
$$= \sum_{s=0}^{m-1} \left( \sum_{\substack{j+k=s-h\\0\leqslant h\leqslant s\\i\in P_{h}}} x_{ij}x_{ik} - \sum_{\substack{j+k=s-h\\0\leqslant h\leqslant s\\i\in N_{h}}} x_{ij}x_{ik} \right) \eta^{s}.$$

Denoting

$$_{2}\Phi_{s} = \sum_{\substack{j+k=s-h\\ 0 \leq h \leq s\\ i \in P_{h}}} x_{ij}x_{ik} - \sum_{\substack{j+k=s-h\\ 0 \leq h \leq s\\ i \in N_{h}}} x_{ij}x_{ik}, \quad 0 \leqslant s \leqslant m-1$$

,

we obtain quadratic forms  $\mathbf{M} \to \mathbb{R}$  such that  $_{2}\Phi = \sum_{s=0}^{m-1} \Phi_{s}\eta^{s}$ . Let us consider  $X \in \mathscr{V}_{m-s}^{\Phi}$ . This is equivalent to the following relations for  $\{x_{ij}\}$  $[X = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_i U_i, \xi_i = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} X_{ij} \eta^j, \text{ see the proof of Proposition III.4}, \mathscr{I}_h = P_h \cup N_h]$ 

 $(0) \qquad i \in \mathscr{I}_0 \Rightarrow x_{i0} = \ldots = x_{is-1} = 0$ (1)  $i \in \mathscr{I}_1 \Rightarrow x_{i0} = \ldots = x_{is-2} = 0$ (s)  $i \in \bigcup_{-\infty}^{m} \mathscr{I}_r \Rightarrow x_{ij}$  are arbitrary.

If we put  $_2F_s = {}_2\Phi_s/\mathscr{V}^{\Phi}_{m-s}, 0 \leq s \leq m-1$ , then conditions (0), ..., (s-1) imply

$$\label{eq:rescaled_response} \begin{split} {}_2F_s &= \sum_{\substack{h=s\\i\in P_s}} x_{i0}^2 + \sum_{\substack{j+k=s-h\\0\leqslant h< s\\i\in P_h\\i\in P_h}} x_{ij} x_{ik} - \sum_{\substack{h=s\\i\in N_s\\i\in N_s}} x_{i0}^2 - \sum_{\substack{h=s\\i\in N_s\\i\in N_s}} x_{i0}^2 - \sum_{\substack{i\in N_s\\i\in N_s}} x_{i0}^2, \quad 0\leqslant s\leqslant m-1. \end{split}$$

Since every  ${}_2F_s$  is a real quadratic form on the vector space  $\mathscr{V}_{m-s}^{\Phi}$ ,  $(\mathfrak{p}_s,\mathfrak{n}_s)$  is its signature. This implies the invariance of the plural signature of the form  $\Phi$ . 

#### References

[1] M. Jukl: Linear forms on free modules over certain local ring. Acta UP Olomouc, Fac. J. S. Sant, Janesa Johns on the modules over certain local fing. Acta of Colomotic, Fac. rer. nat. 110; Matematica 32 (1993), 49-62.
M. F. Aliyah and I. G. MacDonald: Introduction to commutative algebra. Addi-

son-Wesley, Reading, Massachusetts, 1969.

[3] B. R. McDonald: Geometric algebra over local rings. Pure and applied mathematics. New York, 1976.

Author's address: Marek Jukl, Department of Algebra and Geometry, Palacký University, Tomkova 40, 771 46 Olomouc, Czech Republic, e-mail: jukl@matnw.upol.cz.