Ján Jakubík Subdirect product decompositions of MV-algebras

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 49 (1999), No. 1, 163-173

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/127476

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1999

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

SUBDIRECT PRODUCT DECOMPOSITIONS OF MV-ALGEBRAS

JÁN JAKUBÍK, Košice

(Received September 9, 1996)

Each MV-algebra \mathcal{A} can be represented by means of an appropriate abelian lattice ordered group G with a strong unit u. (Cf. [4], [5], [7].)

We denote by $\operatorname{Con} \mathcal{A}$ and $\operatorname{Con} G$ the system of all congruence relations of \mathcal{A} or of G, respectively. Both $\operatorname{Con} \mathcal{A}$ and $\operatorname{Con} G$ are partially ordered in the usual way. In the present paper it will be shown that there exists an isomorphism of $\operatorname{Con} \mathcal{A}$ onto $\operatorname{Con} G$.

This result will be applied for characterizing the relations between subdirect product decompositions of \mathcal{A} and those of G.

To each direct product decomposition of G there corresponds a direct product decomposition of \mathcal{A} (cf. [5]). Let us remark that each direct product decomposition of G has only a finite number of nonzero direct factors; on the other hand, \mathcal{A} can have direct product decompositions with an infinite number of nonzero direct factors.

The mentioned result from [5] concerning direct product decompositions will be sharpened.

Some notions making possible to clasify subdirect product decompositions of lattice ordered groups are contained in [9]. We show that these notions can be adapted for the case of MV-algebras.

In [3], congruence relations on and subdirect product decompositions of MValgebras have been applied in the context of Priestley duality. In [8], congruence relations on MV-algebras were dealt with by using the results of the theory of $DR\ell$ semigroups.

For the terminology and undefined notions concerning MV-algebras cf. [2], [4], [5].

Supported by grant GA SAV 95/5305/471

1. Congruence relations

Let \mathcal{A} and G be as in the introduction above. For $\rho \in \text{Con } G$ we denote by $\psi(\rho)$ the equivalence on A (= the underlying set of \mathcal{A}) defined by $a_1\psi(\rho)a_2$ iff $a_1\rho a_2$. Since the operations of \mathcal{A} are defined by means of the operations $+, -, \wedge$ and \vee of G (cf., e.g., [5], Propos. 13) we infer

1.1. Lemma. For each $\rho \in \operatorname{Con} G$, $\psi(\rho)$ belongs to $\operatorname{Con} A$.

Let $\varrho_1 \in \text{Con } \mathcal{A}$. For $a \in A$ we denote $a(\varrho_1) = \{a' \in A : a\varrho_1 a'\}$. The convex ℓ subgroup of G generated by the set $0(\varrho_1)$ will be denoted by X_0 . Since G is abelian, X_0 is an ℓ -ideal of G; let ϱ' be the congruence relation on G whose kernel is X_0 . For $g \in G$ let $g(\varrho')$ be the class in ϱ' containing g.

1.2. Lemma. Let $0 < g \in G$. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) $g \in X_0$;
- (ii) there are elements $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \in O(\varrho_1)$ such that $g \leq a_1 + a_2 + \ldots + a_n$.

The proof is simple, it will be omitted.

1.3. Lemma. ϱ_1 is a congruence relation with respect to the operations \vee and \wedge on A. In particular, $a(\varrho_1)$ is a convex sublattice of A for each $a \in A$.

Proof. This is a consequence of the fact that the operations \lor and \land on A are defined by means of the basic operations of \mathcal{A} (cf., e.g. [5], Lemma 1.2).

1.4. Lemma. $0(\varrho_1) = A \cap X_0$.

Proof. The relation $0(\varrho_1) \subseteq A \cap X_0$ is obvious. Let $g \in A \cap X_0$. Thus the condition (ii) from 1.2 is valid. This yields that there are elements $a'_i \in [0, a_i]$ (i = 1, 2, ..., n) such that $g = a'_1 + a'_2 + ... + a'_n$. Then $g = a'_1 \oplus a'_2 \oplus ... \oplus a'_n$ and according to 1.3 we have $a'_i \in 0(\varrho_1)$ for i = 1, 2, ..., n. Therefore $g \in 0(\varrho_1)$.

1.5. Lemma. For each $a \in A$, $a(\varrho_1) = A \cap a(\varrho')$.

Proof. a) Let $a_1 \in a(\varrho_1)$. Put $a_2 = a \wedge a_1, a_3 = a \vee a_1$. According to 1.3, both a_2 and a_3 belong to $a(\varrho_1)$. There is $t \in G$ such that $a_2 + t = a_3$. By a simple calculation we obtain (cf. also [6], Lemma 1.10)

$$t = \neg (a_2 \oplus \neg a_3).$$

Hence $t \in A$ and

$$t\varrho_1 \neg (a_2 \oplus \neg a_2),$$

thus $t \in O(\varrho_1)$. By applying 1.4 we infer that $a_1 \in a + X_0 = a(\varrho')$. Hence $a(\varrho_1) \subseteq A \cap a(\varrho')$.

b) Let $a_1 \in A \cap a(\varrho')$ and let a_2, a_3, t be as above. Then $a_2, a_3 \in a(\varrho')$, whence in view of $a_2 + t = a_3$ we obtain that $t \in X_0$. Moreover, $t \in A$. Thus 1.4 yields that $t \in 0(\varrho_1)$. There are $t_2, t_3 \in G$ such that $a_2 + t_2 = a$ and $a + t_3 = a_3$. Then $0 \leq t_2 \leq t, 0 \leq t_3 \leq t$, hence $t_2, t_3 \in A$. According to 1.3, both t_2 and t_3 belong to $0(\varrho_1)$. Moreover, $a_2 \oplus t_2 = a$ and $a \oplus t_3 = a_3$. Thus $a_2\varrho_1 a$ and $a\varrho_1 a_3$. By the convexity of $a(\varrho_1)$ we get $a_1 \in a(\varrho_1)$.

1.6. Corollary. $\psi(\varrho') = \varrho_1$ and ψ is an epimorphism.

Under the notation as above we put $\varphi(\varrho_1) = \varrho'$ for each $\varrho_1 \in \operatorname{Con} \mathcal{A}$.

1.7. Lemma. Let $\varrho \in \text{Con } G$ and let X_0 be the ℓ -ideal of G generated by the set $0(\varrho) \cap A$. Then $X_0 = 0(\varrho)$.

Proof. The relation $0(\varrho) \cap A \subseteq 0(\varrho)$ yields that $X_0 \subseteq 0(\varrho)$. Let $g \in 0(\varrho)$. There exists a positive integer n such that $|g| \leq nu$. Hence there are a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n in G such that $0 \leq a_i \leq u$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ and $|g| = a_1 + a_2 + \ldots + a_n$. Thus all a_i belong to $0(\varrho) \cap A$ and hence $|g| \in X_0$. Therefore $g \in X_0$ and so $0(\varrho) \subseteq X_0$. \Box

1.8. Lemma. Let $\varrho \in \text{Con } G$ and put $\psi(\varrho) = \varrho_1$. Let ϱ' be as above. Then $\varrho' = \varrho$.

Proof. This is a consequence of 1.7 and of the fact that each congruence relation on G is determined by the corresponding kernel.

Now, 1.6 and 1.8 yield

1.9. Lemma. φ is an epimorphism and $\psi = \varphi^{-1}$.

1.10. Theorem. φ is an isomorphism of the lattice Conv \mathcal{A} onto the lattice Con G.

Proof. It is obvious that both the mappings φ and ψ are monotone. Hence the assertion follows from 1.9.

1.11. Proposition. Let $\varrho_1, \varrho_2 \in \text{Con } \mathcal{A}, a \in \mathcal{A}, a(\varrho_1) = a(\varrho_2)$. Then $\varrho_1 = \varrho_2$.

Proof. By way of contradiction, suppose that $\varrho_1 \neq \varrho_2$. There are $\varrho^1, \varrho^2 \in$ Con G such that $\psi(\varrho^i) = \varrho_i$ for i = 1, 2. In view of 1.10, $\varrho^1 \neq \varrho^2$. Next, according to 1.7, $0(\varrho_1) \neq 0(\varrho_2)$. Thus without loss of generality we can suppose that there is $a_1 \in 0(\varrho_1) \setminus 0(\varrho_2)$. Put $a_1 \lor a = a_2$, $a_1 \land a = a_3$. Then $0 \leq a_1 - a_3 \leq a_1$, whence $a_1 - a_3 \in O(\varrho_1)$. We have

$$a_2 - a = a_1 - a_3,$$

hence $a_2 - a \in 0(\rho_1)$ and thus $a_2 - a \in 0(\rho^1)$ yielding $a_2\rho^1 a$. Therefore $a_2\rho_1 a$ and so, by the assumption, $a_2\rho_2 a$. Thus $(a_2 - a)\rho^2 0$.

If $a_3 \in O(\rho_2)$, then

$$a_1 = a_3 + (a_1 - a_3) = (a_3 + (a_2 - a))\varrho^2 0,$$

whence $a_1 \rho_2 0$, which is a contradiction. Hence a_3 does not belong to $0(\rho_2)$.

Clearly $a_3 \in O(\rho_1)$ and $0 < a_3 \leq a$. We have

$$a - a_3 \in A$$
, $(a - a_3)\varrho^1 a_1$

thus $(a - a_3)\varrho_1 a$. Since $a(\varrho_1) = a(\varrho_2)$ we get $(a - a_3)\varrho_2 a$. Hence $(a - a_3)\varrho^2 a$ giving $-a_3\varrho^2 0$ and thus $a_3\varrho^2 0$. Therefore $a_3\varrho_2 0$, which is a contradiction.

1.12. Proposition. Let $\varrho_1, \varrho_2 \in \text{Con } \mathcal{A}$. Then ϱ_1 and ϱ_2 are permutable.

Proof. Let ϱ^1 and ϱ^2 be as in the proof of 1.11. It is well-known that ϱ^1 and ϱ^2 are permutable. Let $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in A$ and suppose that $a_1 \varrho_1 a_2 \varrho_2 a_3$. Hence $a_1 \varrho^1 a_2 \varrho^2 a_3$. Thus there is $g \in G$ with $a_1 \varrho^2 g \varrho^1 a_3$. This yields that

$$a_1 = (a_1 \wedge u)\varrho^2 (g \wedge u)\varrho^1 (a_2 \wedge u) = a_2.$$

Since $g \wedge u \in A$ we obtain

$$a_1 \varrho_2 (g \wedge u) \varrho_1 a_2.$$

2. Subdirect product decompositions

For fixing the notation concerning subdirect product decompositions we recall some basic facts.

Let \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{A}_i $(i \in I)$ be algebras of the same type. If

$$\varphi_1\colon \mathfrak{A} \longrightarrow \prod_{i \in I} \mathfrak{A}_i$$

is an isomorphism of \mathfrak{A} into the direct product of algebras \mathfrak{A}_i such that, for each $i \in I$ and each $a^i \in \mathfrak{A}_i$ there is $a \in \mathfrak{A}$ with $(\varphi_1(a))_i = a^i$, then φ_1 is said to be a subdirect product decomposition of \mathfrak{A} .

In such a case we define, for each $i \in I$, a binary relation $\varrho_i(\varphi_1)$ on \mathfrak{A} as follows: for a and a' in \mathfrak{A} we put $a\varrho_i(\varphi_1)a'$ if

$$(\varphi_1(a))_i = (\varphi_1(a'))_i.$$

We obtain a set $\{\varrho_i(\varphi_1)\}_{i\in I}$ of congruence relations on \mathfrak{A} which will be denoted by $\chi(\varphi_1)$. Obviously, $\bigwedge_{i \in I} \varrho_i(\varphi_1) = Id$, where Id is the identity relation on \mathfrak{A} .

If φ_1 and φ_2 are subdirect product decompositions of \mathfrak{A} such that $\chi(\varphi_1) = \chi(\varphi_2)$, then φ_1 is said to be equivalent with φ_2 .

We say that a subdirect product decomposition

$$\sigma\colon \mathfrak{A} \longrightarrow \prod_{i \in I} \mathfrak{A}'_i$$

is determined by a system $\{\varrho^i\}_{i\in I}$ of congruence relations on \mathfrak{A} if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $\bigwedge_{i \in I} \varrho^i$ is the identity relation on \mathfrak{A} ; (ii) $\mathfrak{A}'_i = \mathfrak{A}/\varrho^i$ for each $i \in I$;
- (iii) for each $a \in A$ and each $i \in I$, $\sigma(a)_i = a(\rho^i)$.

In view of the well-known Birkhoff's theorem (cf., e.g., [1], Chap. VI) each system $\{\varrho^i\}_{i\in I}\subseteq \operatorname{Con}\mathfrak{A}$ satisfying the condition (i) determines a subdirect product decomposition of \mathfrak{A} , and each subdirect product decomposition φ_1 of \mathfrak{A} is equivalent to some subdirect product decomposition σ of \mathfrak{A} which is determined by a system of congruence relations on \mathfrak{A} .

We denote by $S(\mathfrak{A})$ the set of all subdirect product decompositions σ of \mathfrak{A} such that σ is determined by a system of congruence relations of \mathfrak{A} .

As above, let $\rho \in \operatorname{Con} G$ and $\rho_1 \in \operatorname{Con} \mathcal{A}$. Consider the corresponding factor structures, i.e., the lattice ordered group G/ρ , and the MV-algebra \mathcal{A}/ρ_1 . It is easy to verify that $u(\varrho)$ is a strong unit of G/ϱ , hence we can construct the MV-algebra $\mathcal{A}_{\rho} = \mathcal{A}_0(G/\varrho, u(\varrho)).$

Suppose that $\varrho_1 = \psi(\varrho)$. We define a mapping $\psi_{\varrho} \colon \mathcal{A}_{\varrho} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}/\varrho_1$ as follows. For each $g(\varrho) \in \mathcal{A}_{\varrho}$ we put

$$\psi_{\varrho}(g(\varrho)) = g(\varrho) \cap A$$

Then we obviously have

2.1. Lemma. ψ_{ρ} is a one-to-one mapping of \mathcal{A}_{ρ} onto \mathcal{A}/ϱ_1 .

2.2. Lemma. ψ_{ϱ} is a homomorphism with respect to the operations \wedge and \vee .

Proof. Let $g_1(\varrho)$ and $g_2(\varrho)$ be elements of \mathcal{A}_{ϱ} . We have

$$g_1(\varrho) \wedge g_2(\varrho) = (g_1 \wedge g_2)(\varrho).$$

There exist $g'_1 \in g_1(\varrho) \cap A$ and $g'_2 \in g_2(\varrho) \cap A$. Then

$$(g_1(\varrho) \cap A) \land (g_2(\varrho) \cap A) = (g'_1(\varrho_1) \land g'_2(\varrho_1))$$
$$= (g'_1 \land g'_2)(\varrho_1) = (g_1 \land g_2)(\varrho) \cap A.$$

Hence ψ_{ϱ} is a homomorphism with respect to the operation \wedge . The case of the operation \vee is analogous.

2.3. Lemma. ψ_{ϱ} is a homomorphism with respect to the operations \oplus and \neg . Proof. Let $g_1(\varrho), g_2(\varrho), g'_1$ and g'_2 be as in the proof of 2.2. Then

$$g_{1}(\varrho) \oplus g_{2}(\varrho) = (g_{1}(\varrho) + g_{2}(\varrho)) \wedge u(\varrho) = (g'_{1}(\varrho) + g'_{2}(\varrho)) \wedge u(\varrho)$$

= $((g'_{1} + g'_{2}) \wedge u)(\varrho);$
 $(g_{1}(\varrho) \cap A) \oplus (g_{2}(\varrho) \cap A) = (g'_{1}(\varrho) \cap A) \oplus (g'_{2}(\varrho) \cap A)$
= $g'_{1}(\varrho_{1}) \oplus g'_{2}(\varrho_{1}) = (g'_{1}(\varrho_{1}) + g'_{2}(\varrho_{1})) \wedge u(\varrho_{1})$
= $((g'_{1} + g'_{2}) \wedge u)(\varrho_{1}) = ((g'_{1} + g'_{2}) \wedge u)(\varrho) \cap A,$

which proves the assertion concerning the operation \oplus . Next we have

$$\neg g_1(\varrho) = \neg g'_1(\varrho) = u(\varrho) - g'_1(\varrho) = (u - g'_1)(\varrho),$$

$$\neg (g_1(\varrho) \cap A) = \neg g'_1(\varrho_1) = u(\varrho_1) - g'_1(\varrho_1) = (u - g'_1)(\varrho_1) = (u - g'_1)(\varrho) \cap A,$$

which completes the proof.

2.4. Proposition. Let $\rho \in \text{Con } G$ and $\rho_1 = \psi(\rho)$. Then ψ_{ρ} is an isomorphism of \mathcal{A}_{ρ} onto \mathcal{A}/ρ_1 .

Proof. This is a consequence of 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3.

2.5. Theorem. Let G be a lattice ordered group with a strong unit u and let $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_0(G, u)$.

If σ is a subdirect product decomposition of G which is determined by a system $\{\varrho^i\}_{i\in I}\subseteq \operatorname{Con} G$, then

- (i) there exists a subdirect product decomposition σ₁ = ψ^{*}(σ) of A which is determined by the system {ψ(ρⁱ)}_{i∈I};
- (ii) for each i ∈ I, the factor algebra A/ψ(ρⁱ) is isomorphic to the MV-algebra A₀(G/ρⁱ, u(ρⁱ)).

If $\sigma'_1 \in S(\mathcal{A})$, then there exists $\sigma' \in S(G)$ such that $\psi^*(\sigma') = \sigma'_1$.

Proof. This follows from 1.10 and 2.4.

3. On some types of subdirect product decompositions

In this section we deal with certain conditions concerning subdirect product decompositions of lattice ordered groups which have been introduced in [9], and we investigate analogous conditions for MV-algebras.

Let \mathcal{A} and G be as above. A subdirect product decomposition

$$\varphi_1\colon G\longrightarrow \prod_{i\in I}G_i$$

of G is said to be completely subdirect (cf. [9]) if for each $i \in I$ and each $g^i \in G_i$ there exists $g \in G$ such that

- (i) $\varphi_1(g)_i = g^i$,
- (ii) $\varphi_1(g)_{i(1)} = 0$ for each $i(1) \in I \setminus \{i\}$.

By analogous conditions we define a completely subdirect product decomposition for MV-algebras.

It is obvious that if φ_1 is a completely subdirect product decomposition and if I is finite, then φ_1 is a direct product decomposition. A similar result is valid for MV-algebras. Next, each direct product decomposition (of G or of \mathcal{A}) is a completely subdirect product decomposition.

In view of the results of Section 2 we can suppose, without loss of generality, that the subdirect product decompositions φ_1 and φ'_1 belong to S(G) or to $S(\mathcal{A})$, respectively.

Thus, for $g \in G$, φ_1 is the mapping (under the notation as above)

$$\varphi_1(g) = (g(\varrho_i))_{i \in I}$$
 for each $g \in G$;

similarly, φ'_1 is the mapping

$$\varphi'_1(a) = (a(\varrho^i))_{i \in I}$$
 for each $a \in A$.

In view of 2.4 we have also a subdirect product decomposition

$$\varphi_1''\colon \mathcal{A}\longrightarrow \prod_{i\in I}\mathcal{A}_{\varrho_i},$$

169

 \Box

where

$$\varphi_1''(a) = (a(\varrho_i))_{i \in I}$$
 for each $a \in A$.

It is obvious that φ_1'' does not essentially differ from φ_1' . Clearly $\prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{A}_{\varrho_i} \subset \prod_{i \in I} G_i$. If $i \in I$ and $g^i \in G_i$, then g^i will be identified with the element $g \in G$ such that $\varphi_1(g)_i = g^i$ and $\varphi_1(g)_j = 0$ for each $j \in I \setminus \{i\}$.

3.1. Lemma. Let $\varphi_1 \in S(G)$. Then φ_1 is a completely subdirect product decomposition if and only if φ_1'' is a completely subdirect product decomposition.

Proof. a) Assume that φ_1 is a completely subdirect product decomposition of G. Let $i \in I$ and $a^i \in \mathcal{A}_{\varrho_i}$. Hence $a^i \in G_i$. Thus there exists $g \in G$ such that $\varphi_1(g)_i = a^i$ and $\varphi_1(g)_{i(1)} = 0$ whenever $i(1) \in I \setminus \{i\}$. This yields that $g \leq u$, hence $g \in A$; moreover, $\varphi'_1(g)_i = a^i$ and $\varphi'_1(g)_{i(1)} = 0$ for each $i(1) \in I \setminus \{i\}$.

b) Let φ_1'' be a completely subdirect product decomposition of \mathcal{A} . Let $i \in I$ and $g^i \in G_i$. Put $g^0 = g^i \vee 0$, $u_i = (\varphi_1''(u))_i$. We have $u_i = (\varphi_1(u))_i$, hence u_i is a strong unit of G_i . Thus there is a positive integer n such that $g^0 \leq nu_i$. This yields that there are elements x_1, \ldots, x_n in G_i with $g^0 = x_1 + x_2 + \ldots + x_n$, $0 \leq x_j \leq u_i$ for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. Therefore all x_j belong to \mathcal{A}_{ϱ_i} . Thus there are $a_j \in A$ such that $\varphi_1''(a_j)_i = x_j$ and $\varphi_1''(a_j)_{i(1)} = 0$ whenever $i(1) \in I \setminus \{i\}$. In both these relations φ_1'' can be replaced by φ_1 .

Put $a_1 + a_2 + \ldots + a_n = g$. Then $\varphi_1(g)_i = g^0$ and $(\varphi_1(g))_{i(1)} = 0$ for each $i(1) \in I \setminus \{i\}$.

Analogously we can verify that there exists $g' \in G$ such that $\varphi_1(g')_i = -(g^i \wedge 0)$ and $\varphi_1(g')_{i(1)} = 0$ for each $i(1) \in I \setminus \{i\}$. Put g'' = g - g'. Then $(\varphi_1(g''))_i = g^i$ and $\varphi_1(g'')_{i(1)} = 0$ for each $i(1) \in I \setminus \{i\}$.

Therefore φ_1 is a completely subdirect product decomposition.

The previous lemma immediately yields:

3.2. Proposition. Let $\varphi_1 \in S(G)$ and let φ'_1 be the corresponding element of $S(\mathcal{A})$. Then the following conditions are equivalent.

- (i) φ_1 is a completely subdirect product decomposition.
- (ii) φ'_1 is a completely subdirect product decomposition.

3.3. Corollary. Let φ_1 and φ'_1 be as in 3.2. Assume that I is finite. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) φ_1 is a direct product decomposition of G.
- (ii) φ'_1 is a direct product decomposition of \mathcal{A} .

Proof. Let (ii) be valid. Hence φ'_1 is a completely subdirect product decomposition of \mathcal{A} . In view of 3.1, φ_1 is a completely subdirect product decomposition of G. Hence, because I is finite, φ_1 is a direct product decomposition of G. The proof of the implication (i) \Rightarrow (ii) is analogous.

Let us remark that the implication $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$ can be obtained also as a consequence of results of [5].

Again, let us consider the subdirect product decomposition φ_1 and let $i \in I$. The element *i* will be said to be of type α if there exists $g^i \in G_i$ and $g \in G$ such that

$$g^i \neq 0, \ \varphi_1(g)_i = g^i, \ \varphi(g)_{i(1)} = 0 \quad \text{for each} \quad i(1) \in I \setminus \{i\}.$$

If all elements $i \in I$ are of type α , then φ_1 is called an α -subdirect product decomposition. If $i \in I$ and if it is not of type α , then it is said to be of type β ; if all $i \in I$ are of type β , then φ_1 is called a β -subdirect product decomposition.

These notions have been introduced and studied in [9] for the particular case when all G_i were assumed to be linearly ordered.

If φ'_1 is as above, then in the same way we can define the indices of type α or β with respect to φ'_1 ; similarly as in the case of φ_1 we say that φ'_1 is an α - or β -subdirect product decompositions if all $i \in I$ are of type α or of type β , respectively.

3.4. Proposition. Let φ_1 and φ'_1 be as in 3.1. Let $i \in I$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) *i* is of type α with respect to φ_1 ;
- (b) *i* is of type α with respect to φ'_1 .

Proof. Analogously as in 3.1 we can consider φ_1'' instead of φ_1' ; in this case it suffices to apply similar steps as in the proof of 3.1.

3.5. Corollary. Let φ_1 and φ'_1 be as in 3.1. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) φ_1 is of type α ;
- (b) φ'_1 is of type α .

Also, type α in (a) and (b) can be replaced by type β .

The subdirect product decomposition φ_1 of G is called reduced if, whenever i(1)and i(2) are distinct elements of I, then there exists $g \in G$ such that $\varphi_1(g)_{i(1)} < 0$, $0 < \varphi_1(g)_{i(2)}$. (Cf. [9].)

3.6. Lemma. Let φ_1 be a subdirect product decomposition of G. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(i) φ_1 is reduced.

(ii) Whenever i(1) and i(2) are distinct elements of G, then there are g₁, g₂ ∈ G such that

$$\varphi_1(g_1)_{i(1)} > 0, \quad \varphi_1(g_1)_{i(2)} = 0, \quad \varphi_1(g_2)_{i(2)} > 0, \quad \varphi_1(g_2)_{i(1)} = 0$$

Proof. Let i(1) and i(2) be distinct elements of I. Assume that φ_1 is reduced and let g be as above. Put $g_1 = g \vee 0$ and $g_2 = -(g \wedge 0)$. Then the conditions from (ii) are satisfied for these g_1 and g_2 .

Conversely, suppose that (ii) holds. Let g_1 and g_2 be as in (ii); we put $g = g_2 - g_1$. Then $\varphi_1(g)_{i(1)} < 0$ and $0 < \varphi_1(g)_{i(2)}$.

Now let φ_2 be a subdirect product decomposition of \mathcal{A} . If φ_2 satisfies the condition (ii) from 3.6, then it is said to be reduced.

3.7. Proposition. Let φ_1 and φ'_1 be as above. Then φ_1 is reduced if and only if φ'_1 is reduced.

Proof. It suffices to prove the assertion for the case when φ'_1 is replaced by φ''_1 . Suppose that φ_1 is reduced. Hence the condition (ii) from 3.6 is satisfied; consider the corresponding elements g_1 and g_2 . Since u is a strong unit in G there are a positive integer n and elements a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n in A such that $g_1 = a_1 + a_2 + \ldots + a_n$. Without loss of generality we can suppose that $\varphi_1(a_1)_{i(1)} > 0$. We have $\varphi''_1(a_1)_{i(1)} =$ $\varphi_1(a_1)_{i(1)}$. Clearly $\varphi''_1(a_1)_{i(2)} = \varphi_1(a_1)_{i(2)} = 0$. Similarly we can verify that there is $a'_1 \in A$ such that $\varphi''_1(a'_1)_{i(2)} > 0$ and $\varphi''_1(a'_1)_{i(1)} = 0$. Thus φ''_1 is reduced.

Conversely, suppose that φ_1'' is reduced. Hence there are $a_1, a_2 \in A$ satisfying analogous conditions as in 3.6 (ii) with φ_1 replaced by φ_1'' . Now it suffices to put $g_1 = a_1, g_2 = a_2$.

In [2] it has been proved that every MV-algebra can be expressed subdirectly by means of linearly ordered MV-algebras. The following proposition contains a stronger result.

3.8. Proposition. Let \mathcal{A} be an MV-algebra, $A \neq \{0\}$. Then \mathcal{A} possesses a reduced subdirect product decomposition all subdirect factors of which are linearly ordered.

Proof. Let G be as above. Then $G \neq \{0\}$. It is well-known that each abelian lattice ordered group has a subdirect product decomposition all subdirect factors of which are linearly ordered. Hence according to [9] there exists a subdirect product decomposition φ_1 of G such that φ_1 is reduced and (under the notation as above) all G_i are linearly ordered. Let φ'_1 be as in 3.1. According to 3.7, φ'_1 is reduced. In view of 2.5, all subdirect factors in φ'_1 are linearly ordered.

References

- [1] G. Birkhoff: Lattice Theory. Providence, 1967.
- [2] C. C. Chang: A new proof of the completeness of the Lukasiewicz axioms. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 89 (1959), 74–80.
- [3] R. Cignoli, A. Di Nola, A. Lettieri: Priestley duality and quotient lattices of many-valued algebras. Rendinconti Circ. Matem. Palermo, Serie II 40 (1991), 371–384.
- [4] D. Gluschankof: Cyclic ordered groups and MV-algebras. Czechoslovak Math. J. 43 (1993), 249–263.
- [5] J. Jakubik: Direct product decompositions of MV-algebras. Czechoslovak Math. J. 44 (1994), 725–739.
- [6] J. Jakubik: Sequential convergences on MV-algebras. Czechoslovak Math. J. 45 (1995), 709–726.
- [7] D. Mundici: Interpretation of AFC*-algebras in Lukasiewicz sentential calculus. Journ. Functional. Anal. 65 (1986), 15–63.
- [8] J Rachůnek: DRl-semigroups and MV-algebras. Czechoslovak Math. J. 48(123) (1998), 341–372.
- [9] F. Šik: Über subdirekte Summen geordneter Gruppen. Czechoslovak Math. J. 10(85) (1960), 400–424.

Author's address: Matematický ústav SAV, Grešákova 6, 04001 Košice, Slovakia, e-mail musavke@linux1.saske.sk.