Miroslav Doupovec; Alexandr Vondra Invariant subspaces in higher order jet prolongations of a fibred manifold

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 50 (2000), No. 1, 209-220

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/127562

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2000

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

INVARIANT SUBSPACES IN HIGHER ORDER JET PROLONGATIONS OF A FIBRED MANIFOLD

MIROSLAV DOUPOVEC and ALEXANDR VONDRA, Brno

(Received December 29, 1997)

Abstract. We present a generalization of the concept of semiholonomic jets within the framework of higher order prolongations of a fibred manifold. In this respect, a compilation of our 2-fibred manifold approach with the methods of natural operators theory is used.

Keywords: 2-fibred manifold, jet prolongation, semiholonomic jets, natural transformation, connection

MSC 2000: 58A20, 53A55, 53C05

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\pi: Y \to X$ be a fibred manifold and $\pi_1: J^1\pi \to X$ its first prolongation. The concept of *semiholonomic jets* creating an invariant subspace (in fact, an affine subbundle) $\hat{J}^2\pi$ in the space $J^1\pi_1$ of *repeated jets* is well-known and widely used (e.g. [5], [8] and [1], [2]). The higher-order generalization of this concept was studied e.g. in [7] and recently also by the second author in [10]. It appears that it represents an important background for understanding both the internal structure of jet prolongations and the higher-order connections as differential equations.

It was the research on relations between various types of connections which motivated the development of a new approach using the framework of 2-fibred manifolds in [3]. This method was essentially applied also in [10], resulting among other in a definition of $\pi_{k+r,k}$ -semiholonomic jets useful in the theory of prolongations of higher-order equations represented by connections.

Supported by the GA ČR grant No. 201/96/0079.

In this paper, we prove that our approach can stand for a powerful tool in the study of invariant subspaces in the most general higher-order situation and that it is *natural* in the sense of [5] and [6]. In Section 2 we recall the crucial tool we work with—a 2-fibred manifold—and the role of a specific morphism Φ within the first prolongations; for more details we refer to [3]. Section 3 describes the mechanism of our approach just for the most known situation of semiholonomic jets. Moreover, the direction for futher generalization is indicated. Section 4 deals with the situation already described in [10]; in adition, the naturality of the results is discussed. The top of our story is presented in Section 5, where we study invariant subspaces in $J^s \pi_{k+r}$. For this purpose, we generalize our approach by prolonging the underlying 2-fibred manifold. As a result, we obtain a family of invariant subspaces generalizing the spaces of $\pi_{k+r,k}$ -semiholonomic jets from the previous discussion. Again, their naturality is mentioned.

2. 2-FIBRED MANIFOLD

A 2-fibred manifold is by [4] a quintuple $Z \xrightarrow{\varrho} Y \xrightarrow{\pi} X$, where $\pi \colon Y \to X$ and $\varrho \colon Z \to Y$ (and thus also $\pi \circ \varrho \colon Z \to X$) are fibred manifolds. Following the standard notation of jet prolongations of fibred manifolds and fibred morphisms [9], the first prolongation together with the crucial underlying structures can be described by the following diagram:

(1)

$$X \xleftarrow{J^{1}(\pi, \mathrm{id}_{X}) \equiv \pi_{1}} J^{1}\pi \xleftarrow{J^{1}(\varrho, \mathrm{id}_{X})} J^{1}(\pi \circ \varrho)$$

$$\downarrow \mathrm{id}_{X} \qquad \pi_{1,0} \downarrow \qquad (\pi \circ \varrho)_{1,0} \downarrow$$

$$X \xleftarrow{\pi} \qquad Y \xleftarrow{\varrho} \qquad Z \xleftarrow{\varrho_{1,0}} J^{1}\varrho$$

$$\downarrow \mathrm{id}_{X} \qquad \pi \downarrow \qquad \pi \circ \varrho \downarrow$$

$$X \xleftarrow{\mathrm{id}_{X}} \qquad X \xleftarrow{\mathrm{id}_{X}} \qquad X.$$

In [3], we introduced the idea of a fibred morphism

(2)
$$\Phi \colon Z \to J^1 \pi$$

between ρ and $\pi_{1,0}$ over Y and we studied its role in geometrical relations between connections. Namely, there is a canonical fibred morphism

$$k \colon J^1 \pi \times_Y J^1 \varrho \to J^1(\pi \circ \varrho)$$

210

between $\pi_{1,0} \times_Y \varrho_1$ ($\varrho_1 \colon J^1 \varrho \to Y$) and $\varrho \circ (\pi \circ \varrho)_{1,0}$ over Y, defined in terms of the corresponding sections by

$$k(j_x^1\gamma, j_{\gamma(x)}^1\psi) = j_x^1(\psi \circ \gamma)$$

Then an arbitrary fibred morphism Φ (2) induces the affine bundle morphism

$$k_{\Phi} \colon J^1 \varrho \to J^1(\pi \circ \varrho)$$

between $\rho_{1,0}$ and $(\pi \circ \rho)_{1,0}$ over Z by the composition

$$J^1\varrho \xrightarrow{\varrho_{1,0} \times \operatorname{id}} Z \times_Y J^1\varrho \xrightarrow{\Phi \times \operatorname{id}} J^1\pi \times_Y J^1\varrho \xrightarrow{k} J^1(\pi \circ \varrho).$$

This k_{Φ} can be then composed with a connection on ρ (section of $\rho_{1,0}$) to get a connection on $\pi \circ \rho$ (section of $(\pi \circ \rho)_{1,0}$). For more details and various examples we refer to [3].

Here, we will be interested in another object related to a morphism Φ . Put

$$A_{\Phi} = \{j_x^1 \xi \in J^1(\pi \circ \varrho); \ \Phi \circ (\pi \circ \varrho)_{1,0}(j_x^1 \xi) = J^1(\varrho, \mathrm{id}_X)(j_x^1 \xi)\}$$

It is easy to see that A_{Φ} is an affine subbundle in $J^1(\pi \circ \varrho)$ such that $\operatorname{Im} k_{\Phi} \subset A_{\Phi} \subset J^1(\pi \circ \varrho)$. In fact, $A_{\Phi} := \ker \operatorname{Sp}_{\Phi}$, where

$$\operatorname{Sp}_{\Phi} \colon J^1(\pi \circ \varrho) \to V_{\pi}Y \otimes \pi^*(T^*X)$$

can be on the lines of the Spencer operator (see e.g. [9]) defined in such a way that $\operatorname{Sp}_{\Phi}(j_x^1\xi)$ is a vector such that

$$J^1(\varrho, \mathrm{id}_X)(j_x^1\xi) + \mathrm{Sp}_{\Phi}(j_x^1\xi) = \Phi \circ (\pi \circ \varrho)_{1,0}(j_x^1\xi).$$

The vector bundle \overline{A}_{Φ} associated to A_{Φ} is (for each Φ)

$$\overline{A}_{\Phi} = V_{\varrho} Z \otimes (\pi \circ \varrho)^* (T^* X) \subset V_{(\pi \circ \varrho)} Z \otimes (\pi \circ \varrho)^* (T^* X),$$

which in general does not split except for ρ being an affine or vector bundle.

3. Semiholonomic jets

Consider first a 2-fibred manifold $J^1\pi \xrightarrow{\pi_{1,0}} Y \xrightarrow{\pi} X$ with the corresponding diagram

(3)

$$X \xleftarrow{\pi_{1}} J^{1}\pi \xleftarrow{J^{1}(\pi_{1,0}, \operatorname{id}_{X})} J^{1}\pi_{1}$$

$$\downarrow^{\operatorname{id}_{X}} \pi_{1,0} \downarrow \qquad (\pi_{1})_{1,0} \downarrow$$

$$X \xleftarrow{\pi} Y \xleftarrow{\pi_{1,0}} J^{1}\pi \xleftarrow{(\pi_{1,0})_{1,0}} J^{1}\pi_{1,0}$$

$$\downarrow^{\operatorname{id}_{X}} \pi \downarrow \qquad \pi_{1} \downarrow$$

$$X \xleftarrow{\operatorname{id}_{X}} X \xleftarrow{\operatorname{id}_{X}} X$$

and let $\Phi: J^1\pi \to J^1\pi$ be a fibred morphism over Y. Denoting by (x^i, y^{σ}) the canonical coordinates on Y, the induced coordinates on $J^1\pi$ or on $J^1\pi_1$ are $(x^i, y^{\sigma}, y^{\sigma}_i)$ or $(x^i, y^{\sigma}, y^{\sigma}_i, y^{\sigma}_{ii}, y^{\sigma}_{iij})$, respectively. The morphism Φ is then locally expressed by

$$(x^i, y^{\sigma}, y^{\sigma}_i) \stackrel{\Phi}{\mapsto} (x^i, y^{\sigma}, \Phi^{\sigma}_i(x^j, y^{\lambda}, y^{\lambda}_k))$$

and the corresponding invariant subspace A_{Φ} can be locally characterized by

(4)
$$y_{;i}^{\sigma} = \Phi_i^{\sigma}(x^j, y^{\lambda}, y_k^{\lambda}).$$

The associated vector subbundle is in this case

$$\overline{A}_{\Phi} = V_{\pi_{1,0}}J^1\pi \otimes \pi_1^*(T^*X) \subset V_{\pi_1}J^1\pi \otimes \pi_1^*(T^*X).$$

In particular, if $\Phi = \mathrm{id}_{J^1\pi}$, then A_{Φ} coincides with the subbundle $\widehat{J}^2\pi$ of semiholonomic jets, the equations of which locally read

$$y_{:i}^{\sigma} = y_i^{\sigma}$$
.

Recall here that there is a splitting

$$\widehat{J}^2 \pi \cong J^2 \pi \times_{J^{1_{\pi}}} \pi^*_{1,0}(V_{\pi}Y \otimes \pi^*(\Lambda^2 T^*X))$$

—we refer to [3] for more details.

This construction of semiholonomic jets leads to the first task: to determine all canonical morphisms Φ and consequently to classify all the corresponding invariant subspaces of $J^1\pi_1$. The result is as follows.

Proposition 1. The morphism $\Phi = \mathrm{id}_{J^1\pi}$ is the only natural transformation $J^1\pi \to J^1\pi$ over the identity of Y.

Proof. Denote by $G_{n,m}^r$ the group of all *r*-jets at the origin of the diffeomorphisms $\overline{x}^i = \overline{x}^i(x), \ \overline{y}^{\sigma} = \overline{y}^{\sigma}(x,y)$ of \mathbb{R}^{n+m} preserving the origin and the canonical fibration $\mathbb{R}^{n+m} \to \mathbb{R}^n$. The canonical coordinates in $G_{n,m}^1$ will be denoted by $(a_j^i, a_\lambda^\sigma, a_i^\sigma)$, while the coordinates of the inverse element will be denoted by a tilde. By the general theory [5], natural transformations $\Phi: J^1\pi \to J^1\pi$ over id_{π} correspond to the $G_{n,m}^1$ -equivariant maps

$$r_i^{\sigma} = r_i^{\sigma}(x^i, y^{\sigma}, y_i^{\sigma})$$

of standard fibres, which express the coordinate form of Φ . The following transformation laws, which describe the action of $G_{n,m}^1$ on standard fibres, can be easily computed by direct calculations:

$$\overline{r}_i^{\sigma} = a_{\lambda}^{\sigma} r_j^{\lambda} \tilde{a}_i^j + a_j^{\sigma} \tilde{a}_i^j, \overline{y}_i^{\sigma} = a_{\lambda}^{\sigma} y_j^{\lambda} \tilde{a}_i^j + a_j^{\sigma} \tilde{a}_i^j.$$

Using homotheties we have $r_i^{\sigma} = k y_i^{\sigma}, k \in \mathbb{R}$. Then the equivariance yields

$$ky_i^{\sigma} + a_i^{\sigma} = k(y_i^{\sigma} + a_i^{\sigma}),$$

which implies k = 1. Hence $r_i^{\sigma} = y_i^{\sigma}$, so that the only natural transformation in question is the identity of $J^1 \pi$.

By Proposition 1, if we identify the invariant subspaces A_{Φ} with canonical morphisms Φ , then the semiholonomic jets $\hat{J}^2\pi$ form the only canonical subspace of $J^1\pi_1$.

The goals for further investigation are straightforward:

- To define certain analogues of semiholonomic jets in the case of higher order prolongations of a fibred manifold π: Y → X by means of an appropriate morphism Φ.
- (2) To classify all invariant subspaces from item (1).

We remark that the concept of a geometrical (or a canonical) construction has been reflected as a natural differential operator or a natural transformation, see [5].

4. $\pi_{k+r,k}$ -SEMIHOLONOMIC JETS

In this section we show that there is an analogue of Proposition 1 for 2-fibred manifolds $J^{k+r}\pi \xrightarrow{\pi_{k+r,k}} J^k\pi \xrightarrow{\pi_k} X, r \ge 1$. We separate the cases of r = 1 and $r \ge 2$. The reason is that $\pi_{k+1,k} \colon J^{k+1}\pi \to J^k\pi$ is an affine bundle, which is not the case of a general $\pi_{k+r,k} \colon J^{k+r}\pi \to J^k\pi$ with $r \ge 2$.

The first situation has the corresponding diagram

(5)
$$X \xleftarrow{(\pi_{k})_{1}} J^{1}\pi_{k} \xleftarrow{J^{1}(\pi_{k+1,k}, \mathrm{id}_{X})} J^{1}\pi_{k+1}$$

$$\downarrow_{\mathrm{id}_{X}} (\pi_{k})_{1,0} \downarrow (\pi_{k+1})_{1,0} \downarrow$$

$$X \xleftarrow{\pi_{k}} J^{k}\pi \xleftarrow{\pi_{k+1,k}} J^{k+1}\pi \xleftarrow{(\pi_{k+1,k})_{1,0}} J^{1}\pi_{k+1,k}$$

$$\downarrow_{\mathrm{id}_{X}} \pi_{k} \downarrow \pi_{k+1} \downarrow$$

$$X \xleftarrow{\mathrm{id}_{X}} X \xleftarrow{\mathrm{id}_{X}} X.$$

For an arbitrary fibred morphism $\Phi: J^{k+1}\pi \to J^1\pi_k$ define

$$A_{\Phi} = \{ z \in J^1 \pi_{k+1}; \ J^1(\pi_{k+1,k}, \operatorname{id}_X)(z) = \Phi \circ (\pi_{k+1})_{1,0}(z) \}.$$

By the general theory, A_{Φ} is an affine subbundle of $J^1 \pi_{k+1}$ (with respect to the fibration $(\pi_{k+1})_{1,0}$).

Here, there is a canonical embedding

$$\iota_{1,k}\colon J^{k+1}\pi \hookrightarrow J^1\pi_k$$

defined by

$$\iota_{1,k}(j_x^{k+1}\gamma) = j_x^1(j^k\gamma).$$

The coordinate expression of this canonical morphism is

(6)
$$y_{;i}^{\sigma} = y_i^{\sigma}, \dots, y_{j_1\dots j_k;i}^{\sigma} = y_{j_1\dots j_k}^{\sigma}.$$

This canonical embedding $\iota_{1,k}$ induces an invariant subspace $A_{\iota_{1,k}}$. It is easy to see that

$$A_{\iota_{1,k}} \equiv \widehat{J}^{k+2}\pi \subset J^1\pi_{k+1},$$

where the elements of $\widehat{J}^{k+2}\pi$ are called (k+2)-semiholonomic jets. The local equations for them are just (6), expressing the fact that while for (k+2)-holonomic jets from $J^{k+2}\pi$ all derivative coordinates are totally symmetric, those on $\widehat{J}^{k+2}\pi$ are totally symmetric except for the highest-order ones. Obviously,

$$\iota_{1,k+1}(J^{k+2}\pi) \subset \widehat{J}^{k+2}\pi \subset J^1\pi_{k+1}.$$

214

By the following assertion, this subspace is the only canonical one, if we again identify invariant subspaces $A_{\Phi} \subset J^1 \pi_{k+1}$ with natural transformations

$$\Phi\colon J^{k+1}\pi \to J^1\pi_k.$$

Proposition 2. The morphism $\iota_{1,k}$ is the only natural transformation $J^{k+1}\pi \to J^1\pi_k$ over the identity of $J^k\pi$.

Proof. The proof is quite similar to that of Proposition 1, so that we sketch the basic steps only. In general, the whole proof reduces to determining all $G_{n,m}^{k+1}$ equivariant maps of the form

$$r_{ji}^{\sigma} = r_{ji}^{\sigma}(y_j^{\sigma}, \dots, y_{j_1\dots j_k}^{\sigma}, y_{j_1\dots j_k i}^{\sigma}),$$
$$\dots$$
$$r_{j_1\dots j_k;i}^{\sigma} = r_{j_1\dots j_k;i}^{\sigma}(y_j^{\sigma}, \dots, y_{j_1\dots j_k}^{\sigma}, y_{j_1\dots j_k i}^{\sigma})$$

Using homotheties we find $r_{;i}^{\sigma} = a_0 y_i^{\sigma}$, $r_{j;i}^{\sigma} = a_1 y_{ji}^{\sigma}$, $\ldots, r_{j_1 \ldots j_k;i}^{\sigma} = a_k y_{j_1 \ldots j_k i}^{\sigma}$ with arbitrary $a_0, \ldots, a_k \in \mathbb{R}$. Using equivariances we directly prove that $a_0 = a_1 = \ldots = 1$, which is the coordinate form of $\iota_{1,k}$.

In accordance with the affine structure of $\pi_{k+1,k}$, there is a possibility of deeper analysis of higher-order semiholonomic jets, reflecting the classical situation of $\hat{J}^2\pi$. It can be shown that $\hat{J}^{k+1}\pi$ is a submanifold of $J^1\pi_k$ which can be defined as the kernel of the *k-jet Spencer operator*

$$\operatorname{Sp}_k: J^1\pi_k \to V_{\pi_{k-1}}J^{k-1}\pi \otimes \pi_{k-1}^*(T^*X)$$

This is defined by the requirement on $\text{Sp}_k(j_x^1\psi)$ to be just the element (of the total space of the vector bundle associated to $(\pi_{k-1})_{1,0}$) such that

$$J^{1}(\pi_{k,k-1}, \mathrm{id}_{X})(j_{x}^{1}\psi) + \mathrm{Sp}_{k}(j_{x}^{1}\psi) = \iota_{1,k-1} \circ (\pi_{k})_{1,0}(j_{x}^{1}\psi)$$

with respect to the affine structure. In addition,

$$\widehat{\pi}_{k+1,k} := (\pi_k)_{1,0} \colon J^1 \pi_k \supset \widehat{J}^{k+1} \pi \to J^k \pi$$

is an affine subbundle of $(\pi_k)_{1,0}$ with the associated vector bundle (over $J^k \pi$) whose total space is

$$\pi_{k,0}^*(V_{\pi}Y) \otimes \pi_k^*\left(S^kT^*X \otimes T^*X\right) \cong V_{\pi_{k,k-1}}J^k\pi \otimes \pi_k^*(T^*X) \subset V_{\pi_k}J^k\pi \otimes \pi_k^*(T^*X).$$
215

Moreover, one gets a canonical splitting of the affine bundle $\hat{\pi}_{k+1,k}$, expressed in terms of the total spaces by

$$\widehat{J}^{k+1}\pi \cong J^{k+1}\pi \times_{J^k\pi} \pi^*_{k,0}(V_\pi Y \otimes \pi^*(\Diamond_{k-1}^2 T^*X)),$$

which gives rise to natural projections

$$s_k: \ \widehat{J}^{k+1}\pi \to J^{k+1}\pi,$$

$$r_k: \ \widehat{J}^{k+1} \to \pi^*_{k,0}(V_\pi Y \otimes \pi^*(\Diamond_{k-1}^2 T^*X)),$$

expressing the totally symmetric or asymmetric part of every highest-order derivative coordinate $y_{j_1...j_k;i}^{\sigma}$, respectively. Namely, $\diamondsuit_{k=1}^2 T^*X$ is in accordance with [7] defined by

$$\Diamond_{k-1}^2 T^* X = A(T^* X \otimes S^k T^* X),$$

where A := id - s with $s: \otimes^k T^*X \to S^k T^*X$ is the symmetrization linear projector.

Remark 1. This decomposition can be used for a construction generalizing the idea of the formal curvature map R, introduced in [1]. Here,

$$R\colon J^1\pi_{k+1,k} \to \pi^*_{k+1,k} \left(V_{\pi_k} J^k \pi \otimes \pi^*_k \left(\Lambda^2 T^* X \right) \right)$$

is defined for each $j_{j_{x}\gamma}^{1}\chi \in J^{1}\pi_{k+1,k}$ by

$$R(j_{j_x^k\gamma}^1\chi) = r_{k+1} \circ J^1(\chi, \mathrm{id}_X) \circ \iota_{1,k} \circ \chi(j_x^k\gamma).$$

This concept naturally leads to a transparent description of the curvature of a higher order connection on π . Namely, for any $\Gamma^{(k+1)}: J^k \pi \to J^{k+1}\pi$, one can easily see that

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\Gamma^{(k+1)}} &= -\mathrm{pr}_2 \circ R \circ j^1 \Gamma^{(k+1)} \\ &= -\mathrm{pr}_2 \circ r_{k+1} \circ J^1(\Gamma^{(k+1)}, \mathrm{id}_X) \circ \iota_{1,k} \circ \Gamma^{(k+1)} \colon J^k \pi \to V_{\pi_{k,k-1}} J^k \pi \otimes \pi_k^*(\Lambda^2 T^* X). \end{aligned}$$

We refer to [10] for a discussion on $\Diamond_{k-1}^2 T^*X$ and other details.

Consider finally the 2-fibred manifold $J^{k+r}\pi \xrightarrow{\pi_{k+r,k}} J^k\pi \xrightarrow{\pi_k} X, r \ge 2$. The corresponding diagram now is

(7)

$$X \xleftarrow{(\pi_{k})_{1}} J^{1}\pi_{k} \xleftarrow{J^{1}(\pi_{k+r,k}, \mathrm{id}_{X})} J^{1}\pi_{k+r}$$

$$\downarrow_{\mathrm{id}_{X}} (\pi_{k})_{1,0} \downarrow (\pi_{k+r})_{1,0} \downarrow$$

$$X \xleftarrow{\pi_{k}} J^{k}\pi \xleftarrow{\pi_{k+r,k}} J^{k+r}\pi \xleftarrow{(\pi_{k+r,k})_{1,0}} J^{1}\pi_{k+r,k}$$

$$\downarrow_{\mathrm{id}_{X}} \pi_{k} \downarrow \pi_{k+r} \downarrow$$

$$X \xleftarrow{\mathrm{id}_{X}} X \xleftarrow{\mathrm{id}_{X}} X.$$

216

As before, for an arbitrary fibred morphism $\Phi: J^{k+r}\pi \to J^1\pi_k$ over the identity of $J^k\pi$,

$$A_{\Phi} = \{ z \in J^1 \pi_{k+r}; \ J^1(\pi_{k+r,k}, \operatorname{id}_X)(z) = \Phi \circ (\pi_{k+r})_{1,0}(z) \}$$

is an affine subbundle with respect to $(\pi_{k+r})_{1,0}$. Denote by

(8)
$$\Phi_0 = \iota_{1,k} \circ \pi_{k+r,k+1} \colon J^{k+r} \pi \to J^1 \pi_k$$

the composition whose coordinate expression coincides with (6). Quite analogously to Proposition 2 we can prove the following assertion.

Proposition 3. The morphism Φ_0 defined by (8) is the only natural transformation $J^{k+r}\pi \to J^1\pi_k$ over the identity of $J^k\pi$.

Denote $A_{\pi_{k+r,k}} = A_{\Phi_0}$. This space consists of the points $z \in J^1 \pi_{k+r}$ satisfying

(9)
$$\iota_{1,k} \circ \pi_{k+r,k+1} \circ (\pi_{k+r})_{1,0}(z) = J^1(\pi_{k+r,k}, \operatorname{id}_X)(z).$$

Following the above terminology, such elements can be called $\pi_{k+r,k}$ -semiholonomic *jets*; the local expression of (8) is again just (6). Consequently, there is a canonical inclusion

$$J^{k+r+1}\pi \subset \widehat{J}^{k+r+1}\pi \subset A_{\pi_{k+r,k}},$$

which corresponds to the associated vector bundle

$$\overline{A}_{\pi_{k+r,k}} = V_{\pi_{k+r,k}} J^{k+r} \pi \otimes \pi_{k+r}^* (T^*X) \subset V_{\pi_{k+r}} J^{k+r} \pi \otimes \pi_{k+r}^* (T^*X).$$

Remark 2. Here there is no an equivalent of the constructions mentioned in Remark 1. Nevertheless, certain ideas related to general jet fields can be studied, as shown in [10].

5. Invariant subspaces in higher order jet prolongations of a fibred manifold

Let $s \ge 1$ be fixed. This section is devoted to the study of invariant subspaces in $J^s \pi_{k+r}$ with $1 \le s \le r$ and k+r = const. Roughly speaking, we will define invariant subspaces of $J^s \pi_{k+r}$ which can be considered generalizations of $\pi_{k+r,k-}$ semiholonomic jets in the case s = 1. Here, we show that there is a family of such spaces according to the "degree of freedom" available in the "parameters" k and r. A general framework for this situation is the 2-fibred manifold

$$J^{k+r}\pi \xrightarrow{\pi_{k+r,k}} J^k\pi \xrightarrow{\pi_k} X,$$

which will be now prolonged to the s-th order, as described in the following diagram:

As usual, we start with a general fibred morphism

$$\Phi: J^{k+r}\pi \to J^s\pi_k$$

between $\pi_{k+r,k}$ and $(\pi_k)_{s,0}$ over the identity of $J^k\pi$. Define

$$A_{\Phi} = \{ z \in J^s \pi_{k+r}; \ J^s(\pi_{k+r,k}, \operatorname{id}_X)(z) = \Phi \circ (\pi_{k+r})_{s,0}(z) \}.$$

According to the geometric nature of the definition, A_{Φ} is an invariant subspace of $J^s \pi_{k+r}$. Since $(\pi_{k+r})_{s,0}$ is not an affine bundle for s > 1, the set A_{Φ} cannot be defined as the kernel of any affine bundle morphism. Nevertheless, analogously to the canonical affine morphism Φ_0 (8), the composition of $\iota_{s,k}$: $J^{k+1}\pi \to J^s\pi_k$ defined by

$$\iota_{s,k}(j_x^{k+1}\gamma) = j_x^s(j^k\gamma)$$

with the jet projection $\pi_{k+r,k+s}$: $J^{k+r}\pi \to J^{k+s}\pi$ defines a canonical map

(10)
$$\Phi_{k,r}^s = \iota_{s,k} \circ \pi_{k+r,k+1} \colon J^{k+r} \pi \to J^s \pi_k.$$

Consequently, $\Phi_{k,r}^s(j_x^{k+r}\gamma) = j_x^s(j^k\gamma)$. Then it is easy to see that

$$A_{\Phi^s_{m,n}} \subset A_{\Phi^s_{m-1,n+1}}$$

In fact, for any $z \in A_{\Phi_{m,n}^s}$ we have $J^s(\pi_{m+n,m-1}, \mathrm{id}_X)(z) = J^s(\pi_{m,m-1}, \mathrm{id}_X) \circ J^s(\pi_{m+n,m}, \mathrm{id}_X)(z) = J^s(\pi_{m,m-1}, \mathrm{id}_X) \circ \iota_{s,m} \circ \pi_{m+n,m+1} \circ (\pi_{m+n})_{s,0}(z) = \iota_{s,m-1} \circ \pi_{m+1,m} \circ \pi_{m+n,m+1} \circ (\pi_{m+n})_{s,0}(z) = \iota_{s,m-1} \circ \pi_{m+n,m} \circ (\pi_{m+n})_{s,0}(z).$ Consequently,

$$J^{k+r+s}\pi \subset A_{\Phi^s_{m,n}} \subset A_{\Phi^s_{m-1,n+1}} \subset A_{\Phi^s_{m-2,n+2}} \subset \ldots \subset A_{\Phi^s_{0,k+r}} \subset J^s \pi_{k+r}.$$

Hence there is a family of invariant subspaces in $J^s \pi_{k+r}$ given by all combinations of k and r such that $k + r = \text{const}, \ 1 \leq s \leq r$. **Example 1.** The space $J^1\pi_3$ has the invariant subspaces

$$J^{4}\pi \subset A_{\Phi^{1}_{2,1}} \subset A_{\Phi^{1}_{1,2}} \subset A_{\Phi^{1}_{0,3}}.$$

The coordinate description of these invariant subspaces is given by the following table:

$$\begin{split} A_{\Phi_{0,3}^{1}} : & y_{;i}^{\sigma} &= y_{i}^{\sigma}, \\ A_{\Phi_{1,2}^{1}} : & y_{;i}^{\sigma} = y_{i}^{\sigma}, \quad y_{j;i}^{\sigma} = y_{ji}^{\sigma}, \\ \widehat{J}^{4}\pi &\equiv A_{\Phi_{2,1}^{1}} : & y_{;i}^{\sigma} = y_{i}^{\sigma}, \quad y_{j;i}^{\sigma} = y_{ji}^{\sigma}, \quad y_{j_{1}j_{2};i}^{\sigma} = y_{j_{1}j_{2}i}^{\sigma}, \\ J^{4}\pi : & y_{;i}^{\sigma} = y_{i}^{\sigma}, \quad y_{j;i}^{\sigma} = y_{ji}^{\sigma}, \quad y_{j_{1}j_{2};i}^{\sigma} = y_{j_{1}j_{2}i}^{\sigma}, \quad y_{j_{1}j_{2}j_{3};i}^{\sigma} = y_{j_{1}j_{2}j_{3}i}^{\sigma}, \end{split}$$

Example 2. There are three invariant subspaces in the space $J^2\pi_3$:

$$J^{5}\pi \subset A_{\Phi^{2}_{1,2}} \subset A_{\Phi^{2}_{0,3}}.$$

In coordinates,

$$\begin{array}{ll} A_{\Phi_{0,3}^{2}}: & y_{;i}^{\sigma}=y_{i}^{\sigma}, \quad y_{;i_{1}i_{2}}^{\sigma}=y_{i_{1}i_{2}}^{\sigma}, \\ A_{\Phi_{1,2}^{2}}: & y_{;i}^{\sigma}=y_{i}^{\sigma}, \quad y_{;i_{1}i_{2}}^{\sigma}=y_{i_{1}i_{2}}^{\sigma}, \quad y_{j;i}^{\sigma}=y_{ji}^{\sigma}, \quad y_{j;i_{1}i_{2}}^{\sigma}=y_{ji_{1}i_{2}}^{\sigma}. \end{array}$$

Example 3. The last example is $J^3\pi_3$ with two invariant subspaces

$$J^6\pi \subset A_{\Phi^3_{0,3}}$$

where $A_{\Phi_{0,3}^3}$ is locally given by

$$y_{;i}^{\sigma} = y_{i}^{\sigma}, \quad y_{;i_{1}i_{2}}^{\sigma} = y_{i_{1}i_{2}}^{\sigma}, \quad y_{;i_{1}i_{2}i_{3}}^{\sigma} = y_{i_{1}i_{2}i_{3}}^{\sigma}.$$

There is a natural question of the full classification of all invariant subspaces in $J^s \pi_{k+r}$. Taking into account the identification of A_{Φ} with $\Phi: J^{k+r}\pi \to J^s\pi_k$, we can reduce this question to determining all canonical morphisms Φ . We have

Proposition 4. The canonical morphism $\Phi_{k,r}^s$ defined by (10) is the only natural transformation $J^{k+r}\pi \to J^s\pi_k$ over the identity of $J^k\pi$.

Proof. Denote by $(x^i, y^{\sigma}, y^{\sigma}_{j_1}, \dots, y^{\sigma}_{j_1\dots j_k}, y^{\sigma}_{j_1\dots j_k \ell_1}, \dots, y^{\sigma}_{j_1\dots j_k \ell_1\dots \ell_r})$ the local coordinates on $J^{k+r}\pi$ and by $(x^i, y^{\sigma}, y^{\sigma}_{j_1,\dots j_k}, y^{\sigma}_{j_1\dots j_k j_1,\dots j_k}, y^{\sigma}_{j_1\dots j_k j_1,\dots j_k}, y^{\sigma}_{j_1\dots j_k j_1,\dots j_k}, y^{\sigma}_{j_1\dots j_k j_1,\dots j_k})$ the local coordinates on $J^s\pi_k$. Analogously to the proof of Propositions 1 and 2, we have to determine certain $G^{k+s}_{n,m}$ equivariant maps which express the coordinate form of natural transformations in question. Using homotheties and equivariances we prove that $y^{\sigma}_{j_1} = y^{\sigma}_{j_1}, y^{\sigma}_{j_1\dots j_k; i_1} = y^{\sigma}_{j_1\dots j_k; i_1}$ and $y^{\sigma}_{j_1 i_2} = y^{\sigma}_{i_1 i_2}, \dots, y^{\sigma}_{j_1\dots j_k} = y^{\sigma}_{i_1\dots i_s}, \dots, y^{\sigma}_{j_1\dots j_k; i_1\dots i_s} = y^{\sigma}_{j_1\dots j_k; i_1\dots i_s}$.

References

- M. Doupovec and A. Vondra: On certain natural transformations between connections. Proc. Conf. Diff. Geom. and Its Appl., Opava, 1992. Silesian University, Opava, 1993, pp. 273–279.
- [2] M. Doupovec and A. Vondra: Some natural operations between connections on fibered manifolds. Supplemento ai Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo, Serie II 39 (1996), 73–84.
- [3] M. Doupovec and A. Vondra: Natural relations between connections in 2-fibred manifolds. New Developments in Differential Geometry, Proceedings. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1996, pp. 113–130.
- [4] I. Kolář: Connections in 2-fibred manifolds. Arch. Math. (Brno) 17 (1981), 23-30.
- [5] I. Kolář, P. W. Michor and J. Slovák: Natural Operations in Differential Geometry. Springer Verlag, 1993.
- [6] D. Krupka and J. Janyška: Lectures on differential invariants. Folia Fac. Sci. Natur. Univ. Purk. Brun. Phys., Brno, 1990.
- [7] L. Mangiarotti and M. Modugno: Fibred spaces, jet spaces and connections for field theories. Proceedings of International Meeting "Geometry and Physics", Florence, 1982. Pitagora Editrice, Bologna, 1983, pp. 135–165.
- [8] L. Mangiarotti and M. Modugno: Connections and differential calculus on fibred manifolds. Aplications to field theory. Istituto di Matematica Applicata "G. Sansone", Firense, 1989.
- [9] D. J. Saunders: The Geometry of Jet Bundles. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series 142, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989.
- [10] A. Vondra: Towards a geometry of higher-order partial differential equations represented by connections on fibered manifolds. Brno, 1995.

Authors' addresses: M. Doupovec, Department of Mathematics, FSI VUT Brno, Technická 2, 616 69 Brno, Czech Republic, e-mail: doupovec@mat.fme.vutbr.cz; A. Vondra, Klímova 15, 616 00 Brno, Czech Republic, e-mail: vondra@scova.vabo.cz.