U-Hang Ki; Makoto Kimura; Sadahiro Maeda Geometry of holomorphic distributions of real hypersurfaces in a complex projective space

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 51 (2001), No. 1, 197-204

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/127639

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2001

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

GEOMETRY OF HOLOMORPHIC DISTRIBUTIONS OF REAL HYPERSURFACES IN A COMPLEX PROJECTIVE SPACE

U-HANG KI*, Taegu, MAKOTO KIMURA**, Ibaraki, and SADAHIRO MAEDA,* Matsue

(Received May 15, 1998)

Abstract. We characterize homogeneous real hypersurfaces M's of type (A_1) , (A_2) and (B) of a complex projective space in the class of real hypersurfaces by studying the holomorphic distribution T^0M of M.

Keywords: complex projective space, real hypersurfaces, holomorphic distribution *MSC 2000*: 53B25, 53C40

0. INTRODUCTION

Let $P_n(\mathbb{C})$ be an *n*-dimensional complex projective space with Fubini-Study metric *G* of constant holomorphic sectional curvature 4, and let M^{2n-1} be a real hypersurface of $P_n(\mathbb{C})$. Then *M* has an almost contact metric structure (φ, ξ, η, g) induced by the complex structure *J* of $P_n(\mathbb{C})$. This structure is a useful tool in the study of real hypersurfaces *M*'s in $P_n(\mathbb{C})$ (for examples, see [1], [4], [7]). In this paper we study the holomorphic distribution T^0M which is defined by $(T^0M)_p = \{X \in T_p(M) \mid X \perp \xi\}$ for $p \in M$.

It is known that if the structure vector ξ of a real hypersurface M is a principal curvature vector, the holomorphic distribution T^0M is not integrable (see [3]). This implies that the holomorphic distribution of any homogeneous real hypersurfaces in

^{*} Supported by TGRC-KOSEF

^{**} Supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No.09740050), Ministry of Education, Science and Culture

 $P_n(\mathbb{C})$, that is any of the real hypersurfaces given as orbits under subgroups of the projective unitary group PU(n+1), is not integrable.

Takagi ([7]) classified homogeneous real hypersurfaces in $P_n(\mathbb{C})$. By virtue of his work, we find that a homogeneous real hypersurface in $P_n(\mathbb{C})$ is locally congruent to one of the six model spaces of type A_1, A_2, B, C, D and E. They are realized as tubes of constant radii over compact Hermitian symmetric spaces of rank 1 or rank 2 (see Theorem A). A homogeneous real hypersurface of type A_1 is usually called a geodesic hypersphere. In the study of real hypersurfaces in $P_n(\mathbb{C})$, many differential geometers have considered the following two problems:

- (I) Give a characterization of homogeneous real hypersurfaces in $P_n(\mathbb{C})$.
- (II) Construct non-homogeneous *nice* real hypersurfaces in $P_n(\mathbb{C})$ and characterize such examples.

We first investigate in detail the distribution T^0M of any homogeneous real hypersurface M in $P_n(\mathbb{C})$. From the viewpoint of Problem (I) we establish the following two theorems.

Theorem 1. Let M be a real hypersurface of $P_n(\mathbb{C})$. Then M is locally congruent to a homogeneous real hypersurface of type A_1 or type A_2 if and only if the holomorphic distribution T^0M satisfies the following two conditions:

- (1) T^0M is decomposed as the direct sum of principal foliations V_{λ_i} 's of M in $P_n(\mathbb{C})$.
- (2) For each principal foliation V_{λ_i} in condition (1), the distribution $V_{\lambda_i} \oplus \{\xi\}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is integrable.

Theorem 2. Let M be a real hypersurface of $P_n(\mathbb{C})$, $n \ge 3$. Then M is locally congruent to a homogeneous real hypersurface of type B if and only if the holomorphic distribution T^0M satisfies the following three conditions:

- (1) T^0M is decomposed as the direct sum of principal foliations V_{λ_i} 's of M in $P_n(\mathbb{C})$ with dim $V_{\lambda_i} \ge 2$.
- (2) Every principal foliation V_{λ_i} in condition (1) is integrable.
- (3) Every leaf of any principal foliation V_{λ_i} in condition (1) is a totally geodesic submanifold of the real hypersurface M.

We remark that if we omit the condition (3), Theorem 2 is not true. We will construct a certain class of non-homogeneous real hypersurfaces M's (in $P_n(\mathbb{C})$) satisfying the conditions (1), (2) in Theorem 2. In this paper, a real hypersurface satisfying the conditions (1), (2) in Theorem 2 is called a *real hypersurface of Dupin type*. Needless to say, the characteristic vector ξ of any real hypersurface M of Dupin type is a principal curvature vector of M in $P_n(\mathbb{C})$.

From the viewpoint of Problem (II) it is interesting to study non-homogeneous real hypersurfaces of Dupin type in $P_n(\mathbb{C})$. We here review the definition of a Dupin hypersurface M^n of a real space form $\widetilde{M}^{n+1}(c)$ of constant curvature c (that is, $\widetilde{M}^{n+1}(c) = \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, $S^{n+1}(c)$ or $H^{n+1}(c)$ as the curvature c is zero, positive or negative). A hypersurface M^n in $\widetilde{M}^{n+1}(c)$ is called a *Dupin hypersurface* if each of its principal curvatures has constant multiplicity and is constant along the leaves of its principal foliation. So every leaf of its principal foliation is totally umbilic in $\widetilde{M}^{n+1}(c)$, but generally it is not totally geodesic in the hypersurface M^n .

Finally, we will construct non-homogeneous real hypersurfaces of Dupin type in $P_n(\mathbb{C})$.

1. Preliminaries

Let M be a real hypersurface of $P_n(\mathbb{C})$ and let N be a unit normal local vector field on M. The Riemannian connections $\widetilde{\nabla}$ of $P_n(\mathbb{C})$ and ∇ of M are related by

(1.1)
$$\widetilde{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + g(AX, Y)N$$

and

(1.2)
$$\widetilde{\nabla}_X N = -AX,$$

where g denotes the Riemannian metric of M induced by the Fubini-Study metric Gof $P_n(\mathbb{C})$ and A is the shape operator of M in $P_n(\mathbb{C})$. Eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the shape operator A are called *principal curvatures* and *principal curvature vectors*, respectively. In what follows, we denote by V_{λ} the eigenspace of A associated with the eigenvalue λ . It is known that M admits an almost contact metric structure (φ, ξ, η, g) induced by the complex structure of $P_n(\mathbb{C})$, which satisfies

$$\varphi^2 = -I + \eta \otimes \xi, \qquad \eta(\xi) = 1$$

and

$$g(\varphi X, \varphi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y).$$

It follows from (1.1) and (1.2) that

(1.3)
$$(\nabla_X \varphi) Y = \eta(Y) A X - g(A X, Y) \xi$$

and

(1.4)
$$\nabla_X \xi = \varphi A X$$

199

Let \tilde{R} and R be the curvature tensors of $P_n(\mathbb{C})$ and M, respectively. Since the curvature tensor \tilde{R} has a nice form, we have the following Gauss and Codazzi equations:

$$(1.5) g(R(X,Y)Z,W) = g(Y,Z)g(X,W) - g(X,Z)g(Y,W) + g(\varphi Y,Z)g(\varphi X,W) - g(\varphi X,Z)g(\varphi Y,W) - 2g(\varphi X,Y)g(\varphi Z,W) + g(AY,Z)g(AX,W) - g(AX,Z)g(AY,W), (1.6) (\nabla_X A)Y - (\nabla_Y A)X = \eta(X)\varphi Y - \eta(Y)\varphi X - 2g(\varphi X,Y)\xi.$$

In the following, we use the same terminology and notation as above unless otherwise stated. Now we present without proof the following results in order to prove our theorems:

Theorem A ([7]). Let M be a homogeneous real hypersurface of $P_n(\mathbb{C})$. Then M is a tube of radius r over one of the following Kaehler submanifolds:

- (A₁) hyperplane $P_{n-1}(\mathbb{C})$, where $0 < r < \frac{\pi}{2}$,
- (A₂) totally geodesic $P_k(\mathbb{C})$ $(1 \leq k \leq n-2)$, where $0 < r < \frac{\pi}{2}$,
- (B) complex quadric Q_{n-1} , where $0 < r < \frac{\pi}{4}$,
- (C) $P_1(\mathbb{C}) \times P_{\frac{n-1}{2}}(\mathbb{C})$, where $0 < r < \frac{\pi}{4}$ and $n \ (\geq 5)$ is odd,
- (D) complex Grassmann $G_{2,5}(\mathbb{C})$, where $0 < r < \frac{\pi}{4}$ and n = 9,
- (E) Hermitian symmetric space SO(10)/U(5), where $0 < r < \frac{\pi}{4}$ and n = 15.

The number of distinct principal curvatures of these homogeneous real hypersurfaces is 2, 3, 3, 5, 5, 5, respectively.

Theorem B ([2]). Let M be a real hypersurface of $P_n(\mathbb{C})$. Then M has constant principal curvatures and ξ is a principal curvature vector if and only if M is locally congruent to a homogeneous real hypersurface.

Proposition A ([5]). Assume that ξ is a principal curvature vector and the corresponding principal curvature is α . Then α is locally constant. In addition, $A\varphi X = \frac{\alpha\lambda+2}{2\lambda-\alpha}\varphi X$ holds for any $X(\bot\xi) \in V_{\lambda}$.

2. Proof of Theorems

Proof of Theorem 1. Let M be a real hypersurface satisfying the conditions (1), (2) in Theorem 1. We shall show that our manifold is of type A_1 or type A_2 . T^0M is decomposed as $T^0M = V_{\lambda_1} \oplus V_{\lambda_2} \oplus \ldots \oplus V_{\lambda_d}$, where d is the number of distinct principal curvatures λ_i corresponding to the principal curvature vectors $v_{\lambda_i}(\bot\xi)$. Then for any $X(=\sum_{i=1}^d X^i v_{\lambda_i}) \in T^0M$, $g(A\xi, X) = g(\xi, AX) = \sum_{i=1}^d g(\xi, X^i \lambda_i v_{\lambda_i}) = 0$, so that ξ is principal. By hypothesis, for any $X(\in V_{\lambda_i})$ we have $\nabla_X \xi - \nabla_\xi X \in V_{\lambda_i} \oplus \{\xi\}_{\mathbb{R}}$ $(i = 1, \ldots, d)$. We note that $\nabla_X \xi - \nabla_\xi X$ is perpendicular to ξ for any $X(\in V_{\lambda_i})$, because ξ is a principal curvature (unit) vector, so that

$$A(\nabla_X \xi - \nabla_\xi X) = \lambda_i (\nabla_X \xi - \nabla_\xi X) \quad \text{for any } X \in V_{\lambda_i}.$$

This, together with (1.4) and Proposition A, shows

(2.1)
$$(A - \lambda_i I) \nabla_{\xi} X = \lambda_i \left(\frac{\alpha \lambda_i + 2}{2\lambda_i - \alpha} - \lambda_i \right) \varphi X.$$

It follows from (1.4), (2.1) and Proposition A that

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_X A)\xi - (\nabla_\xi A)X &= \nabla_X (\alpha\xi) - A\nabla_X \xi - \nabla_\xi (AX) + A\nabla_\xi X \\ &= \alpha \varphi AX - A\varphi AX - (\xi\lambda_i)X + (A - \lambda_i I)\nabla_\xi X \\ &= \lambda_i \left(\alpha - \frac{\alpha\lambda_i + 2}{2\lambda_i - \alpha}\right)\varphi X - (\xi\lambda_i)X + \lambda_i \left(\frac{\alpha\lambda_i + 2}{2\lambda_i - \alpha} - \lambda_i\right)\varphi X \\ &= \lambda_i (\alpha - \lambda_i)\varphi X - (\xi\lambda_i)X. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the Codazzi equation (1.6) implies

$$(\nabla_X A)\xi - (\nabla_\xi A)X = -\varphi X.$$

Hence, the principal curvature λ_i is a solution of the quadratic equation

(2.2)
$$\lambda_i^2 - \alpha \lambda_i - 1 = 0.$$

Then our manifold M is locally congruent to one of the homogeneous real hypersurfaces in $P_n(\mathbb{C})$ (see Theorem B). Moreover, again by using (2.2) we find that $\varphi V_{\lambda_i} = V_{\lambda_i}$ (i = 1, ..., d), which yields that M is of type A_1 or type A_2 (cf. [5]).

Our theorem is obvious for type A_1 . So, let M be of type A_2 (which is a tube of radius r). Let $x = \cot r$ ($0 < r < \frac{\pi}{2}$). Then at any point p of M, $T_p(M)$ is decomposed as $T_p(M) = \{\xi\}_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus V_{\lambda_1} \oplus V_{\lambda_2}$, where $\lambda_1 = x$, $\lambda_2 = -\frac{1}{x}$, $\alpha = x - \frac{1}{x}$. Note that $\varphi V_{\lambda_i} = V_{\lambda_i}$ (i = 1, 2) (for details, see [8]). We remark that neither V_{λ_1} nor V_{λ_2} is integrable. Our aim here is to prove that $V_{\lambda_1} \oplus \{\xi\}_{\mathbb{R}}$ $(V_{\lambda_2} \oplus \{\xi\}_{\mathbb{R}})$ is integrable and moreover, that any leaf of the distribution $V_{\lambda_1} \oplus \{\xi\}_{\mathbb{R}}$ (respectively, $V_{\lambda_2} \oplus \{\xi\}_{\mathbb{R}}$) is a totally geodesic submanifold of M. Let $\mathcal{T} = V_{\lambda_1} \oplus \{\xi\}_{\mathbb{R}}$. Then we can show the following:

$$\nabla_{\xi}\xi\in\mathcal{T},\ \nabla_{X}\xi\in\mathcal{T},\ \nabla_{\xi}X\in\mathcal{T}\quad\text{and}\quad\nabla_{X}Y\in\mathcal{T}\quad\text{for any }X,Y\in V_{\lambda_{1}}$$

In fact, (1.4) yields $\nabla_{\xi}\xi = 0 \in \mathcal{T}$ and $\nabla_{X}\xi = \varphi AX = \lambda_{1}\varphi X \in V_{\lambda_{1}} \subset \mathcal{T}$. Next,

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_{\xi}A)X - (\nabla_{X}A)\xi &= \nabla_{\xi}(AX) - A\nabla_{\xi}X - \nabla_{X}(A\xi) + A\nabla_{X}\xi \\ &= (\lambda_{1}I - A)\nabla_{\xi}X - \alpha\varphi AX + A\varphi AX \\ &= (\lambda_{1}I - A)\nabla_{\xi}X + \lambda_{1}(\lambda_{1} - \alpha)\varphi X. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, it follows from (1.6) that

$$(\nabla_{\xi}A)X - (\nabla_XA)\xi = \varphi X \in V_{\lambda_1}.$$

Thus for any $Z \in V_{\lambda}$ $(\lambda = \lambda_2, \alpha)$ we find $g((\lambda_1 I - A)\nabla_{\xi}X, Z) = 0$, so that $\nabla_{\xi}X \in V_{\lambda_1} \subset \mathcal{T}$. Finally, for any $X, Y \in V_{\lambda_1}$ and for any $Z \in V_{\lambda_2}$ we get

$$g((\nabla_X A)Y, Z) = g(\nabla_X (AY) - A\nabla_X Y, Z)$$
$$= g((\lambda_1 I - A)\nabla_X Y, Z)$$
$$= (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)g(\nabla_X Y, Z).$$

On the other hand, it follows from (1.6) that

$$g((\nabla_X A)Y, Z) = g((\nabla_X A)Z, Y)$$

= $g((\nabla_Z A)X, Y)$
= $g(\nabla_Z (AX) - A\nabla_Z X, Y)$
= $g((\lambda_1 I - A)\nabla_Z X, Y) = 0$

Hence, $\nabla_X Y \in \mathcal{T}$. Thus we can see that every leaf L of the distribution \mathcal{T} is a totally geodesic submanifold of M. The manifold L is locally congruent to a homogeneous real hypersurface (with the unit vector -N) of type A_1 of radius $(\frac{\pi}{2} - r)$ in $P_{m+1}(\mathbb{C})$ which is a holomorphic totally geodesic submanifold of $P_n(\mathbb{C})$, where $2m = \dim V_{\lambda_1}$ (see Theorem 1 in [1]). The same discussion as above yields that the distribution $\mathcal{S} = V_{\lambda_2} \oplus \{\xi\}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is integrable and moreover, that every leaf K of the distribution \mathcal{S} is a totally geodesic submanifold of M. The manifold K is locally congruent to a homogeneous real hypersurface (with the unit normal vector N) of type A_1 of radius r in $P_{k+1}(\mathbb{C})$ which is a holomorphic totally geodesic submanifold of $P_n(\mathbb{C})$, where $2k = \dim V_{\lambda_2}$. Proof of Theorem 2. Let M be a real hypersurface satisfying the conditions (1), (2), (3) in Theorem 2. We shall show that the manifold M is of type B. From the condition (1) we can set $T^0M = V_{\lambda_1} \oplus V_{\lambda_2} \oplus \ldots \oplus V_{\lambda_d}$. It follows from the conditions (2), (3) that $A\nabla_X Y = \lambda_i \nabla_X Y$ for any $X, Y \in V_{\lambda_i}$ $(i = 1, 2, \ldots, d)$. Hence, for any $X, Y \in V_{\lambda_i}$ we get $(\nabla_X A)Y = (X\lambda_i)Y$. On the other hand, it follows from the condition (3) and (1.1) that every leaf of V_{λ_i} is a totally umbilic submanifold of $P_n(\mathbb{C})$. Needless to say, the mean curvature of any totally umbilic submanifold whose dimension is greater than 1 in $P_n(\mathbb{C})$ is constant, which implies that $X\lambda_i = 0$ for any $X \in V_{\lambda_i}$. Hence,

(2.3)
$$(\nabla_X A)Y = 0 \quad \text{for any } X, Y \in V_{\lambda_i}.$$

Thus, for each unit $X \in V_{\lambda_i}$ and for any $Z \in TM$

$$D = g((\nabla_X A)X, Z) \quad (\text{from } (2.3))$$

$$= g((\nabla_X A)Z, X)$$

$$= g((\nabla_Z A)X + \eta(X)\varphi Z - \eta(Z)\varphi X - 2 \cdot g(\varphi X, Z)\xi, X) \quad (\text{from } (1.6))$$

$$= g((\nabla_Z A)X, X) = g(\nabla_Z (AX) - A\nabla_Z X, X)$$

$$= g((Z\lambda_i)X + (\lambda_i I - A)\nabla_Z X, X)$$

$$= Z\lambda_i.$$

Then Theorem B tells us that the manifold M is homogeneous in $P_n(\mathbb{C})$. However, the principal foliation V_{λ} is not integrable in the case that $\varphi V_{\lambda} = V_{\lambda}$ (see (1.6)). Thus we can see that M is of type B (for details, see [8]).

Conversely, let M be of type B. Then at any point p of M, $T_p(M)$ is decomposed as $T_p(M) = V_{\lambda_1} \oplus V_{\lambda_2} \oplus \{\xi\}_{\mathbb{R}}$, where $\lambda_1 = \frac{1+x}{1-x}$, $\lambda_2 = \frac{x-1}{x+1}$, $\alpha = x - \frac{1}{x}$ and $x = \cot r$ ($0 < r < \frac{\pi}{4}$) (cf. [8]). We note that $\varphi V_{\lambda_1} = V_{\lambda_2}$ (see Proposition A). We shall prove that the principal foliation V_{λ_1} (resp. V_{λ_2}) on M is integrable, and moreover that every leaf of the distribution V_{λ_1} (resp. V_{λ_2}) is a totally geodesic submanifold of M. It suffices to verify that $\nabla_X Y \in V_{\lambda_1}$ for any $X, Y \in V_{\lambda_1}$. We first have

$$A\nabla_X Y = \nabla_X (AY) - (\nabla_X A)Y$$
$$= \lambda_1 \nabla_X Y - (\nabla_X A)Y.$$

For any $Z \in TM$, since A is symmetric, from (1.6) we find

$$g((\nabla_X A)Y, Z) = g((\nabla_X A)Z, Y)$$

= $g((\nabla_Z A)X + \eta(X)\varphi Z - \eta(Z)\varphi X - 2 \cdot g(\varphi X, Z)\xi, Y)$
= $g((\nabla_Z A)X, Y) = g(\nabla_Z (AX) - A\nabla_Z X, Y)$
= $g((Z\lambda_1)X + (\lambda_1 I - A)\nabla_Z X, Y) = 0,$

203

so that $(\nabla_X A)Y = 0$ for any $X, Y \in V_{\lambda_1}$. This implies that every leaf L_{λ_1} of the principal foliation V_{λ_1} is a totally geodesic submanifold of the real hypersurface M. L_{λ_1} is locally congruent to a totally umbilic hypersurface of constant curvature c(with $\sqrt{c-1} = |\lambda_1|$) in $P^n(\mathbb{R})$ which is a totally real totally geodesic submanifold of $P_n(\mathbb{C})$.

Example. Let V_{n-1} be a complex hypersurface of $P_n(\mathbb{C})$, $n \ge 3$ such that

- (1) any principal curvature with respect to the shape operator A_{ξ} for any unit normal vector ξ of V_{n-1} is non zero, and
- (2) multiplicity of each principal curvature with respect to A_{ξ} for any unit normal vector ξ of V_{n-1} is constant.

Then by [1, Proposition 3.1, p. 487] we can see that a real hypersurface M which lies on the tube of radius r > 0 over V_{n-1} satisfies the conditions (1), (2) in Theorem 2. We remark that there exists such complex hypersurfaces V_{n-1} .

References

- T. Cecil and P. Ryan: Focal sets and real hypersurfaces in complex projective space. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 269 (1982), 481–499.
- M. Kimura: Real hypersurfaces and complex submanifolds in complex projective space. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 296 (1986), 137–149.
- [3] M. Kimura and S. Maeda: On real hypersurfaces of a complex projective space. Math. Z. 202 (1989), 299–311.
- [4] M. Kimura and S. Maeda: Lie derivatives on real hypersurfaces in a complex projective space. Czechoslovak Math. J. 45 (1995), 135–148.
- [5] Y. Maeda: On real hypersurfaces of a complex projective space. J. Math. Soc. Japan 28 (1976), 529–540.
- [6] K. Ogiue: Differential geometry of Kaehler submanifolds. Adv. Math. 13 (1974), 73–114.
- [7] R. Takagi: On homogeneous real hypersurfaces of a complex projective space. Osaka J. Math. 10 (1973), 495–506.
- [8] R. Takagi: Real hypersurfaces in a complex projective space with constant principal curvatures I, II. J. Math. Soc. Japan 27 (1975), 43–53, 507–516.

Authors' addresses: U-H. Ki, Department of Mathematics, Kyungpook University, Taegu 702-701, Korea, e-mail: uhang@bh.kyungpook.ac.kr; M. Kimura, Department of Mathematics, Ibaraki University, Mito, Ibaraki 310-0056, Japan, e-mail: mkimura@mito.ipc.ibaraki.ac.jp; (M. Kimura's current address: Dept. of Mathematics, Shimane University, Matsue 690-8504, Japan, e-mail: mkimura@math.shimane-u. ac.jp); S. Maeda, Department of Mathematics, Shimane University, Matsue 690-8504, Japan, e-mail: smaeda@math.shimane-u.ac.jp.