

Moharram A. Khan

Commutativity of rings with constraints involving a subset

*Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, Vol. 53 (2003), No. 3, 545–559

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/127822>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2003

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

COMMUTATIVITY OF RINGS WITH CONSTRAINTS  
INVOLVING A SUBSET

MOHARRAM A. KHAN, Jeddah

(Received July 12, 2000)

*Abstract.* Suppose that  $R$  is an associative ring with identity 1,  $J(R)$  the Jacobson radical of  $R$ , and  $N(R)$  the set of nilpotent elements of  $R$ . Let  $m \geq 1$  be a fixed positive integer and  $R$  an  $m$ -torsion-free ring with identity 1. The main result of the present paper asserts that  $R$  is commutative if  $R$  satisfies both the conditions

- (i)  $[x^m, y^m] = 0$  for all  $x, y \in R \setminus J(R)$  and  
(ii)  $[(xy)^m + y^m x^m, x] = 0 = [(yx)^m + x^m y^m, x]$ , for all  $x, y \in R \setminus J(R)$ .

This result is also valid if (i) and (ii) are replaced by (i)'  $[x^m, y^m] = 0$  for all  $x, y \in R \setminus N(R)$  and (ii)'  $[(xy)^m + y^m x^m, x] = 0 = [(yx)^m + x^m y^m, x]$  for all  $x, y \in R \setminus N(R)$ .

Other similar commutativity theorems are also discussed.

*Keywords:* commutativity theorems, Jacobson radicals, nilpotent elements, periodic rings, torsion-free rings

*MSC 2000:* 16U80, 16U99

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout,  $R$  will denote an associative ring,  $Z(R)$  the centre of  $R$ ,  $U(R)$  the unit of  $R$ ,  $J(R)$  the Jacobson radical of  $R$ ,  $N(R)$  the set of nilpotent elements of  $R$  and  $C(R)$  the commutator ideal of  $R$ . The symbol  $[x, y] = xy - yx$  stands for the commutator in  $R$  where  $x, y \in R$ . Let  $m \geq 1$  be a fixed positive integer and  $B$  a non-empty subset of  $R$ . For all  $x, y \in B$  we consider the following ring properties.

- $$C_1(m, B) \quad [x^m, y^m] = 0.$$
- $$C_2(m, B) \quad (xy)^m = x^m y^m.$$
- $$C_3(m, B) \quad (xy)^m - x^m y^m \in Z(R).$$

- $C_4(m, B) \quad (xy)^m = y^m x^m.$
- $C_5(m, B) \quad (xy)^m - y^m x^m \in Z(R).$
- $C_6(m, B) \quad [(xy)^m + y^m x^m, x] = 0 = [(yx)^m + x^m y^m, x].$
- $C_7(m, B) \quad (yx)^m x^m - x^m (xy)^m \in Z(R).$
- $Q(m) \quad \text{For all } x, y \in R, \quad m[x, y] = 0 \text{ implies that } [x, y] = 0.$

A well-known theorem of Herstein [8] asserts that a ring  $R$  which possesses the property  $C_2(m, R)$  must have a nil commutator ideal. In a recent paper [1], the author jointly with Abujabal, Bell and Khan proved that  $R$  is commutative if  $R$  satisfies  $C_5(m, R)$ . In their paper [4], Abu-Khuzam et al. established commutativity of the  $m$ -torsion-free ring  $R$  with identity 1 satisfying  $C_1(m, R)$  and  $C_3(m + 1, R)$ . Motivated by these observations, it is natural to ask a question: What can we say about the commutativity of  $R$  if the property  $C_3(m + 1, R)$  in the above result is replaced by  $C_5(m + 1, R)$ ?

The object of the present paper, in Section 2, is to establish that an  $m$ -torsion-free ring  $R$  with identity 1 satisfying  $C_1(m, R \setminus J(R))$  and  $C_6(m, R \setminus J(R))$  must be commutative. Further, it is shown that this result is also true for the case when the properties  $C_1(m, R \setminus J(R))$  and  $C_6(m, R \setminus J(R))$  are replaced by  $C_1(m, R \setminus N(R))$  and  $C_6(m, R \setminus N(R))$ . In Section 3, commutativity of rings possessing one of the properties  $C_7(m, R \setminus J(R))$  and  $C_7(m, R \setminus N(R))$  has been studied. At the end of the sections counterexamples are given which show that the hypotheses are not altogether superfluous. Our theorems generalise the results obtained in [1], [3], [4], [6], [7], [10], [14].

## 2. COMMUTATIVITY THEOREMS FOR RINGS WITH 1

We begin with

**Lemma 2.1** [12, p. 221]. *If  $[x, y]$  commutes with  $x$ , then  $[x^n, y] = nx^{n-1}[x, y]$  for all positive integers  $n \geq 1$ .*

**Lemma 2.2** [13, Theorem 1]. *Let  $f$  be a polynomial in  $n$  non-commuting indeterminates  $x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n$  with integer coefficients. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) *For any ring  $R$  satisfying the polynomial identity  $f = 0$ ,  $C(R)$  is nil.*
- (ii) *For every prime  $p$ ,  $(G(F(p)))_2$  fails to satisfy  $f = 0$ .*

**Lemma 2.3** [9, Theorem]. *Let  $R$  be a ring in which for given  $x, y \in R$  there exist integers  $m = m(x, y) \geq 1$ ,  $n = n(x, y) \geq 1$  such that  $[x^m, y^n] = 0$ . Then the commutator ideal of  $R$  is nil.*

**Lemma 2.4** [7, Lemma 4]. *Let  $R$  be an  $m$ -torsion-free ring with unity 1 satisfying  $C_1(m, R)$ . Then*

- (i)  $a \in N(R)$ ,  $x \in R$  imply  $[a, x^m] = 0$ ;
- (ii)  $a \in N(R)$ ,  $b \in N(R)$  imply  $[a, b] = 0$ .

**Lemma 2.5** [14, Lemma]. *Let  $R$  be a ring with unity 1. If  $dx^m[x, y] = 0$  and  $d(x + 1)^m[x, y] = 0$  for some integers  $m \geq 1$  and  $d \geq 1$ , then  $d[x, y] = 0$  for all  $x, y \in R$ .*

**Lemma 2.6** [11, Theorem 1]. *Let  $R$  be a ring without non-zero nil right ideal. Suppose that, given  $x, y \in R$ , there exist positive integers  $s = s(x, y) \geq 1$ ,  $m = m(x, y) \geq 1$  and  $t = t(x, y) \geq 1$  such that  $[x^s, [x^t, y^m]] = 0$ . Then  $R$  is commutative.*

Now we prove the following results which are called steps.

**Step 2.1.** *Let  $R$  be a ring with identity 1 satisfying  $C_1(m, R)$ ,  $C_7(m, R)$  and  $Q(m)$ . Then  $R$  is commutative.*

**Proof.** First, we claim that  $[a, x^m] = 0$  for all  $x \in R$  and  $a \in N(R)$ . Since  $a$  is nilpotent, there exists a minimal positive integer  $t$  such that  $[a^k, x^m] = 0$  for all integers  $k \geq t$ . Let  $m = 2$ . Then

$$0 = [(1 + a^{t-1})^m, x^m] = [1 + ma^{t-1} + \dots + a^{(t-1)m}, x^m] = m[a^{t-1}, x^m].$$

By the property  $Q(m)$ , this gives  $[a^{t-1}, x^m] = 0$ , which contradicts the minimality of  $m$ . Hence  $t = 1$ , and  $[a, x^m] = 0$ .

In view of [10, Lemma 10], there exists a positive integer  $s$  such that  $s[x^m, y] = 0$ . Since  $C(R) \subseteq N(R)$  by virtue of [9, Theorem], it follows from the above that  $[x^m, [x^m, y]] = 0$ . Thus by Lemma 2.1 we have

$$[x^{ms}, y] = sx^{m(s-1)}[x^m, y] = 0.$$

Further, let  $c, d$  be arbitrary elements of  $R$ . Then replacing  $x$  by  $c$  and  $y$  by  $c^{ms-1}d$  in  $C_5(m, R)$ , and combining it with the above result, we get

$$[(c^{ms-1}dc)^m c^m - c^m(c^{ms}d^m, c] = 0$$

or

$$[(c^{ms-1+ms(m-1)}d^m c)^m c^m - c^m(c^{m^2s}d^m, c] = 0,$$

that is

$$[(c^{m^2s-1}d^m c)c^m - c^m(c^{m^2s}d^m), c] = 0.$$

After a simplification, this gives

$$c^{ms-1}[c, [c^{m+1}, d^m]] = 0.$$

Now, using the commutator identity  $[xy, z] = x[y, z] + [x, z]y$  for all  $x, y, z \in R$  and  $C(m, R)$ , we have

$$c^{m^2s-1}[c, c^m[c, d^m]] = 0$$

or

$$c^{m^2s-1+m}[c, [c, d^m]] = 0.$$

Therefore, by Lemma 2.5,  $[c, [c, d^m]] = 0$ , and then by Lemma 2.1 we obtain  $0 = [c^m, d^m] = mc^{m-1}[c, d^m]$ . Again by Lemma 2.5,  $m[c, d^m] = 0$ . Using the property  $Q(m)$ , we conclude that  $[c, d^m] = 0$ . Hence commutativity of  $R$  follows by [9, Theorem].  $\square$

**Step 2.2.** Let  $R$  be a ring. Suppose that  $N(R)$  is commutative and assume that  $a^2 = 0$  and  $r \in R$  imply that  $ra \in N(R)$ . Then  $N(R)$  is an ideal.

**Proof.** Let  $a \in N(R)$ . Since  $N(R)$  is commutative,  $(N(R), +)$  is a subgroup of  $R$ . By induction on  $n$  we show that

$$\text{if } a^n = 0 \text{ and } r \in R, \text{ then } (ra)^n = (ar)^n = 0.$$

Let  $a^2 = 0$ . Then  $ra \in N(R)$  and in view of the hypothesis we have  $ara = ra^2 = 0$  and hence

$$(ar)^2 = (ra)^2 = 0.$$

Suppose that  $b^t = 0$ ,  $t < n$  implies that  $(rb)^t = (br)^t = 0$  for all  $r \in R$ , and let  $a^n = 0$ ,  $n \geq 3$ . Hence  $a^2, \dots, a^{n-1}$  all have powers lower than the  $n$ -th power equal to zero, thus  $ra^2, \dots, ra^{n-1}, a^2r, a^3r, \dots, a^{n-1}r \in N(R)$  for all  $r \in R$ . We have  $(ara)^{n-1} = a(ra^2)^{n-2}ra = ra^3(ra^2)^{n-3}ra = r^2a^5(ra^2)^{n-4}ra = \dots = r^{n-2}a^{2n-3}ra = r^{2n-2}a^{2n-2}r = 0$ , because  $2n-2 \geq n$ . Hence  $(ara)^{n-1} = 0$ , so  $rara \in N(R)$  by virtue of the induction hypothesis. Hence,  $ra \in N(R)$ . Since  $N(R)$  is commutative, clearly

$$(ra)^n = (ar)^n = 0.$$

This implies that  $ar = ra \in N(R)$ , that is  $N(R)$  is an ideal.  $\square$

**Step 2.3.** Let  $R$  be a ring with identity 1, and let  $m \geq 1$  be a fixed positive integer. If  $R$  satisfies  $C_1(m, R)$ ,  $C_6(m, R)$  and  $Q(m)$ , then  $R$  is commutative.

**P r o o f.** By hypothesis, we have  $[(xy)^m + y^m x^m, x] = 0$  and  $[(yx)^m + x^m y^m, x] = 0$  for all  $x, y \in R$ . The first property can be written as

$$(2.1) \quad x\{(xy)^m - (yx)^m\} = y^m x^{m+1} - xy^m x^m \text{ for all } x, y \in R,$$

while the latter gives

$$(2.2) \quad \{(xy)^m - (yx)^m\}x = x^m y^m x - x^{m+1} y^m \text{ for all } x, y \in R.$$

Multiplying (2.1) by  $x$  on the right and (2.2) by  $x$  on the left, and then subtracting we get

$$(2.3) \quad [x, [x^{m+1}, y^m]] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in R.$$

But  $[x^{m+1}, y^m] = x^m[x, y^m] + [x^m, y^m]x$  in view of the property  $C_1(m, R)$  and (2.3) yields that  $x^m[x, [x, y^m]] = 0$ . Now, replace  $x$  by  $1 + x$  and use Lemma 2.5 to get

$$(2.4) \quad [x, [x, y^m]] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in R.$$

From the hypothesis  $C_1(m, R)$  and by Lemma 2.3 the commutator ideal is nil. It follows that  $N(R)$  forms an ideal. In view of Lemma 2.4 (ii),  $N(R)$  is a commutative ideal. This implies that  $(N(R))^2 \subseteq Z(R)$ . Next, for any  $a \in N(R)$ , replace  $y$  by  $1 + a$  in (2.4) and use  $Q(m)$  to get

$$(2.5) \quad [x, [x, a]] = 0 \text{ for all } x \in R \text{ and } a \in N(R).$$

From Lemma 2.4 (i) we have

$$(2.6) \quad [a, x^m] = 0 \text{ for all } x \in R \text{ and } a \in N(R).$$

Using (2.5) and Lemma 2.1 together with (2.6), we get

$$mx^{m-1}[a, x] = 0.$$

Replacing  $x$  by  $x + 1$  and using Lemma 2.5 together with  $Q(m)$ , we get  $[a, x] = 0$  for all  $x \in R$  and  $a \in N(R)$ . But then  $C(R) \subseteq N(R)$ , and thus

$$(2.7) \quad C(R) \subseteq N(R) \subseteq Z(R).$$

Next, Lemma 2.1 and  $C_1(m, R)$  yield that  $mx^{m-1}[x, y^m] = [x^m, y^m] = 0$  for all  $x, y \in R$ . Again using Lemma 2.5 and  $Q(m)$ , we get  $[x, y^m] = 0$  for all  $x, y \in R$ . Similarly, we have  $my^{m-1}[x, y] = [x, y^m] = 0$  and also  $[x, y] = 0$  for all  $x, y \in R$ . Hence  $R$  is commutative.  $\square$

**Step 2.4.** Suppose that  $R$  is a semisimple ring in which for every  $x, y \in R$  there exists an integer  $m = m(x, y) \geq 1$  such that  $[(xy)^m + y^m x^m, x] = 0 = [(yx)^m + x^m y^m, x]$ . Then  $R$  is commutative.

*Proof.* First observe that the hypothesis is inherited by all subrings and all homomorphic images of  $R$ . Note also that no complete matrix ring  $D_t$  over a division ring  $D$  ( $t > 1$ ) satisfies our hypothesis if we take  $x = e_{22}, y = e_{22} + e_{21}$ . By these facts and the structure theory of rings we can assume that  $R$  is a division ring. The proof of (2.3) is still true in the present situation, so  $[x, [x^{m+1}, y^m]] = 0$  for all  $x, y \in R$  and for some  $m = m(x, y) \geq 1$ . By Lemma 2.6 we get the required result.  $\square$

**Step 2.5.** Suppose that  $R$  is a semisimple ring in which for every  $x, y \in R$  there exists an integer  $m = m(x, y) \geq 1$  such that  $(yx)^m x^m - x^m (xy)^m \in Z(R)$ . Then  $R$  is commutative.

*Proof.* Keeping the proof of Step 2.4 in mind, we assume that  $R$  is a division ring. Let  $x, y$  be non-zero elements in  $R$ . Then there exists an integer  $m = m(x, x^{-1}y) \geq 1$  such that  $(x^{-1}yx)^m x^m - x^m (xx^{-1}y)^m \in Z(R)$ . This implies that  $[x, [x^{m+1}, y^m]] = 0$ . By Lemma 2.6, this gives the required result.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $m \geq 1$  be a fixed positive integer, and let  $R$  be a ring with identity 1, satisfying  $Q(m)$ . Suppose, further, that  $R$  satisfies  $C_1(m, R \setminus J(R))$  and  $C_6(m, R \setminus J(R))$ . Then  $R$  is commutative.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $u, v$  are units in  $R$ . Since the proof of (2.4) in Step 2.3 holds, we get

$$(2.8) \quad [u, [u, v^m]] = 0 \text{ for all } u, v \in u(R).$$

By the property  $C_1(m, R \setminus J(R))$ , we find  $[u^m, v^m] = 0$ . In view of (2.8) and Lemma 2.1, we obtain  $mu^{m-1}[u, v^m] = 0$ . This implies that

$$(2.9) \quad [u, v^m] = 0 \text{ for all } u, v \in U(R).$$

Let  $a \in N(R)$ . Then there exists a minimal positive integer  $l$  such that

$$(2.10) \quad [u, a^n] = 0 \text{ for all } n \geq l \text{ and } u \in U(R).$$

Let  $l > 1$ . Then  $1 + a^{l-1} \in U(R)$ , and (2.9) yields that  $[u, (1 + a^{l-1})^m] = 0$ . Next, by (2.10), one gets  $m[u, a^{l-1}] = 0$ , and by the property  $Q(m)$ , we get  $[u, a^{l-1}] = 0$ ,

which contradicts the minimality of  $l$  in (2.10); thus  $l = 1$ . Therefore, in view of (2.10), we get

$$(2.11) \quad [u, a] = 0 \text{ for all } u \in U(R) \text{ and } a \in N(R).$$

Let  $j_1, j_2 \in J(R)$ . Then, by (2.9), we have

$$(2.12) \quad [1 + j_1, (1 + j_2)^m] = 0 \text{ for all } j_1, j_2 \in J(R).$$

By Step 2.4, a semisimple ring satisfying  $C_6(m, R)$  is commutative and hence by our assumption  $R/J(R)$  is commutative, so  $C(R) \subseteq J(R)$ . Further, we claim that  $C(R) \subseteq N(R)$ . Choose arbitrary elements  $x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, x_3, y_3$  of  $R$ , and let  $c_1 = [x_1, y_1]$ ,  $c_2 = [x_2, y_2]$  and  $c_3 = [x_3, y_3]$  be any commutators. In view of (2.12),  $c_1, c_2, c_3$  are all in  $J(R)$ , so  $(1 + c_1 + c_2 + c_1c_2)$  and  $(1 + c_3)$  are in  $U(R)$  and hence are not in  $J(R)$ . By hypothesis, we can write

$$(2.13) \quad [1 + c_3, (1 + c_1 + c_2 + c_1c_2)^m] = 0.$$

Observe that (2.13) is a polynomial identity which is satisfied by all elements of  $R$ . But (2.13) is not satisfied by any  $2 \times 2$  matrix ring over  $GF(p)$  with a prime  $p$ , if we take  $c_1 = [e_{11}, e_{11} + e_{12}]$ ,  $c_2 = [e_{11} + e_{12}, e_{21}]$  and  $c_3 = c_1$ . Hence by Lemma 2.2,  $C(R) \subseteq N(R)$  and by (2.11) we obtain

$$(2.14) \quad [1 + j_2, [1 + j_1, 1 + j_2]] = 0 \text{ for all } j_1, j_2 \in J(R).$$

By virtue of (2.12) and (2.14), Lemma 2.1 gives that  $m(1 + j_2)^{m-1}[1 + j_1, 1 + j_2] = 0$ . This implies that  $m[j_1, j_2] = 0$ . By the property  $Q(m)$  one gets  $[j_1, j_2] = 0$  for all  $j_1, j_2 \in J(R)$ . This implies that  $J(R)$  is commutative and  $(J(R))^2 \subseteq Z(R)$ .

Let  $m = 1$ . We have  $[x, y] = [1 + x, y] = [x, 1 + y] = [1 + x, 1 + y]$ . Here, our hypothesis  $[x^m, y^m] = 0$  implies that  $[x, y] = 0$  for all  $x, y \in R$ , since  $x \in J(R)$  implies that  $1 + x \notin J(R)$ . This gives the required result.

Let  $m > 1$ . In this case it suffices to show that  $[x^n, y^n] = 0$  and  $[(xy)^n + y^n x^n, x] = 0 = [(yx)^n + x^n y^n, x]$  for all  $n \geq 2$ , where  $x \in J(R)$  or  $y \in J(R)$ . Combining these facts together with the properties  $C_1(m, R \setminus J(R))$  and  $C_6(m, R \setminus J(R))$ , we observe that  $R$  satisfies  $C_1(m, R)$  and  $C_6(m, R)$ . By Step 2.3,  $R$  is commutative.  $\square$



**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $m \geq 1$  be a fixed positive integer, and let  $R$  be a ring with identity 1 satisfying  $Q(m)$ . Suppose, further, that  $R$  satisfies  $C_1(m, R \setminus N(R))$  and  $C_6(m, R \setminus N(R))$ . Then  $R$  is commutative.*

*Proof.* Keeping the proof of Theorem 2.1 in mind, it is enough to show that  $N(R)$  is an ideal of  $R$  and hence it is contained in  $J(R)$ . Note that the arguments used in the proof of (2.11) are still valid in the present situation, and hence the set  $N(R)$  is commutative. Now let  $a^2 = 0$ , and for  $r \in R$  let us assume that  $ra \notin N(R)$ . Replacing  $x$  by  $ra$  and  $y$  by  $1 + a$  in  $C_6(m, R \setminus N(R))$  we get

$$[(ra(1+a))^m + (1+a)^m(ra)^m, ra] = 0.$$

This implies that

$$[(ra)^m + (1+a)^m(ra)^m, ra] = a(ra)^{m+1} = 0.$$

That is,

$$(ra)^{m+2} = 0.$$

Since  $a^2 = 0$  and  $r \in R$  imply  $ra \in N(R)$  and in view of Step 2.2, one gets the required result.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $m \geq 1$  be a fixed positive integer and let  $R$  be a ring with identity 1 satisfying  $Q(m)$ . Suppose, further, that  $R$  satisfies  $C_1(m, R \setminus J(R))$  and  $C_7(m, R \setminus J(R))$ . Then  $R$  is commutative.*

*Proof.* Let  $u, v$  be units in  $R$ . Then by hypothesis  $C_7(m, R \setminus J(R))$ , we have

$$(u^{-1}vu)^m u^m - u^m (uu^{-1}v)^m \in Z(R)$$

or

$$[u, [u^{m+1}, v^m]] = 0.$$

This implies that

$$[u, [u, v^m]] = 0 \text{ for all } u, v \in U(R).$$

Here the arguments used in the proof of (2.9) and (2.11) are still valid, and hence

$$(2.15) \quad [u, v^m] = 0 \text{ for all } u, v \in U(R).$$

Also

$$(2.16) \quad [u, a] = 0 \text{ for all } u \in U(R) \text{ and } a \in N(R).$$

Let  $j_1, j_2 \in J(R)$ . Then in view of (2.15), we get

$$[1 + j_1, (1 + j_2)^m] = 0 \text{ for all } j_1, j_2 \in J(R).$$

Arguments similar to those used to obtain (2.14) from (2.12) yield that  $C(R) \subseteq N(R)$ , and by (2.16) we have

$$[1 + j_1, 1 + j_2], 1 + j_2] = 0 \text{ for all } j_1, j_2 \in J(R).$$

Now by Lemma 2.1 we get  $[j_1, j_2] = 0$  for all  $j_1, j_2 \in J(R)$ . Hence  $J(R)$  is commutative and

$$(J(R))^2 \subseteq Z(R)$$

Let  $m = 1$ . Then we use arguments similar to those used in the case of Theorem 2.1.

Let  $m > 1$ . Clearly, by the induction hypothesis, we have  $[x^n, y^n] = 0$  and  $(yx)^n(x)^n - x^n(xy)^n \in Z(R)$  for all  $n \geq 2$ , provided  $x \in J(R)$  or  $y \in J(R)$ . Hence by the properties  $C_1(m, R \setminus J(R))$  and  $C_7(m, R \setminus J(R))$  we observe that  $R$  satisfies  $C_1(m, R)$  and  $C_7(m, R)$  for  $m > 1$ . Now, by Step 2.1,  $R$  is commutative.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $m \geq 1$  be a fixed positive integer and let  $R$  be a ring with identity 1 satisfying  $Q(m)$ . Suppose, further, that  $R$  satisfies  $C_1(m, R \setminus N(R))$  and  $C_7(m, R \setminus N(R))$ . Then  $R$  is commutative.*

*Proof.* Let  $R$  be a ring with 1 satisfying  $Q(m)$ ,  $C_1(m, R \setminus N(R))$  and  $C_7(m, R \setminus N(R))$ . Then we observe that the proof of (2.16) is still valid in the present situation, and hence  $N(R)$  is commutative. Let  $a^2 = 0$  and for  $r \in R$  assume that  $ra \notin N(R)$ . Then by  $C_7(m, R \setminus N(R))$  we have

$$((1 + a)ra)^m (ra)^m - (ra)^m (ra(1 + a))^m \in Z(R).$$

This implies that

$$[[((1 + a)ra)^m (ra)^m - (ra)^m (ra(1 + a))^m, ra] = 0.$$

That is,

$$(ar)^{2m+2} = 0.$$

Hence  $a^2 = 0$  and  $r \in R$  imply  $ra \in N(R)$ , and by Step 2.2,  $N(R)$  is an ideal and hence it is contained in  $J(R)$ . Thus  $R$  is commutative by Theorem 2.3.  $\square$

Now, we provide some counterexamples which show that all the hypotheses in our theorems are individually essential.

**Remark 2.1.** The ring of  $3 \times 3$  strictly upper (or lower) triangular matrices over  $\mathbb{Z}$ , the ring of integers, shows that the existence of unity 1 in the hypotheses of Theorems 2.1–2.4 is necessary.

Next we provide an example to show that the property  $Q(m)$  in the hypotheses of Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 is not superfluous even if the properties  $[x^m, y^m] = 0$  and  $[(xy)^m + y^m x^m, x] = 0 = [(yx)^m + x^m y^m, x]$  hold for all  $x, y \in R$ .

**Example 2.1.** Let  $R = \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{ccc} \alpha & \beta & \gamma \\ 0 & \alpha & \delta \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha \end{array} \right) \middle| \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in GF(3) \right\}$ .

Clearly  $R$  satisfies  $[x^3, y^3] = 0$  and  $(xy)^3 = y^3 x^3$  for all  $x, y \in R$ . Hence  $R$  satisfies all the hypotheses except  $Q(m)$  when  $m = 3$ .

**Example 2.2.** Consider  $R$  as in Example 2.1, but with the elements in  $GF(2)$ . Obviously,  $R$  satisfies  $[x^2, y^2] = 0$  and  $(yx)^2 x^2 - x^2 (xy)^2 \in Z(R)$  for all  $x, y \in R$ . This shows that for  $m = 2$  the property  $Q(m)$  cannot be omitted from the hypotheses of Theorems 2.3 and 2.4.

**Remark 2.2.** The ring  $R$  from Example 2.1 satisfies the identity  $(xy)^2 = y^2 x^2$ . Clearly  $R$  satisfies  $C_6(2, R)$  and  $Q(2)$ . This demonstrates that the property  $C_1(m, R \setminus J(R))$  ( $C_1(m, R \setminus N(R))$ ) is essential in the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1 (Theorem 2.2).

**Remark 2.3.** Clearly the ring  $R$  from Example 2.1 satisfies  $(yx)^4 x^4 - x^4 (xy)^4 \in Z(R)$  and  $Q(4)$ . Hence  $R$  satisfies all the hypotheses of Theorem 2.3 (Theorem 2.4) except  $C_1(4, R \setminus J(R))$  ( $C_1(4, R \setminus N(R))$ ).

**Remark 2.4.** The following example demonstrates that a ring  $R$  with identity 1 satisfying  $C_1(m, R)$  and  $Q(m)$  need not be commutative.

**Example 2.3.** Let  $R = \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{ccc} \alpha & \beta & \gamma \\ 0 & \alpha^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha \end{array} \right) \middle| \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in GF(4) \right\}$ .

Clearly the non-commutative ring  $R$  satisfies  $C_1(3, R)$  and  $Q(3)$ . This shows the necessity of the property  $C_6(m, R \setminus J(R))$  ( $C_7(m, R \setminus J(R))$ ) in Theorem 2.1 (Theorem 2.3).

### 3. A COMMUTATIVITY THEOREM FOR PERIODIC RINGS

In what follows, a ring  $R$  is called periodic if for each  $x \in R$  there exist distinct positive integers  $p, q$  such that  $x^p = x^q$ . Recently Abu-Khuzam and Yaqub [3, Theorem 3] proved that a periodic ring  $R$  is commutative if  $R$  satisfies  $C_5(m, R \setminus N(R))$ . Also they established that if  $N(R)$  is commutative in a periodic ring  $R$  and  $R$  is an  $m(m+1)$ -torsion-free ring satisfying  $C_5(m, R \setminus N(R))$ , then  $R$  is commutative. It is natural to ask a question: Is the above result valid if the property  $C_5(m, R \setminus N(R))$  is replaced by  $C_7(m, R \setminus N(R))$ ? Now we provide an affirmative answer to this question:

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $m \geq 1$  be a fixed positive integer and let  $R$  be a periodic ring satisfying  $Q(m(m+1))$  and  $C_7(m, R \setminus N(R))$ . Suppose, further, that  $N(R)$  is commutative. Then  $R$  is commutative.*

**Lemma 3.1** [2]. *Let  $R$  be a periodic ring such that  $N(R)$  is commutative. If for each  $a \in N(R)$  and  $x \in R$  there exists an integer  $m = m(x, a) \geq 1$  such that  $[x^m[x^m, a]] = 0$  and  $[x^{m+1}, [x^{m+1}, a]] = 0$ , then  $R$  is commutative. In particular: if  $R$  is a periodic ring such that  $N(R)$  is commutative and  $[x, [x, a]] = 0$  for all  $a \in N(R)$ ,  $x \in R$ , then  $R$  is commutative.*

**Lemma 3.2** [5]. *Let  $R$  be a periodic ring such that  $N(R)$  is commutative. Then the commutator ideal of  $R$  is nil, and  $N(R)$  forms an ideal.*

**Lemma 3.3** [6]. *Let  $R$  be a periodic ring and let  $f: R \rightarrow S$  be a homomorphism of  $R$  onto  $S$ . Then the nilpotents of  $S$  coincide with  $f(N(R))$ , where  $N(R)$  is the set of nilpotents of  $R$ .*

**Proof of Theorem 3.1.** Since  $R$  is periodic and  $N(R)$  is commutative, Lemma 3.2 yields that the commutator ideal  $C(R)$  of  $R$  is nil; that is  $C(R) \subseteq N(R)$  and  $N(R)$  forms an ideal of  $R$ . But  $N(R)$  is commutative, and also  $(N(R))^2 \subseteq Z(R)$ .

We break the proof into two cases.

*Case 1.* Let  $R$  have identity  $1$  ( $1 \in R$ ). Suppose that  $a \in N(R)$  and  $b \in R \setminus N(R)$ . Then by hypothesis  $C_7(m, R \setminus N(R))$ , we can write

$$(3.1) \quad b^m(1+a)^m - (1+a)^{m+1}b^m(1+a)^{-1} \in Z(R) \text{ for all } a \in N(R), b \in R \setminus N(R).$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \{b^m(1+a)^m - (1+a)^{m+1}b^m(1+a)^{-1}\}(1+a) \\ &= (1+a)\{b^m(1+a)^m - (1+a)^{m+1}b^m(1+a)^{-1}\} \end{aligned}$$

or

$$b^m(1+a)^{m+1} - (1+a)^{m+1}b^m = (1+a)\{b^m(1+a)^m - (1+a)^{m+1}b^m(1+a)^{-1}\}.$$

Using the binomial expansion and the condition  $(N(R))^2 \subseteq Z(R)$ , one gets

$$(3.2) \quad (m+1)(b^m a - ab^m) = (1+a)\{b^m(1+a)^m - (1+a)^{m+1}b^m(1+a)^{-1}\}.$$

But  $N(R)$  is a commutative ideal,  $(1+a)(b^m a - ab^m) = b^m a - ab^m$ , and by (3.2) we have

$$(1+a)(m+1)(b^m a - ab^m) = (1+a)\{b^m(1+a)^m - (1+a)^{m+1}b^m(1+a)^{-1}\}.$$

Since  $a \in N(R)$ ,  $1+a \in U(R)$  and by (3.1) this gives that

$$(m+1)(b^m a - ab^m) = \{b^m(1+a)^m - (1+a)^{m+1}b^m(1+a)^{-1}\} \in Z(R).$$

This implies that  $(m+1)[b^m, a] \in Z(R)$ . Using the property  $Q(m(m+1))$  we get

$$(3.3) \quad [b^m, a] \in Z(R) \text{ for all } a \in N(R), b \in R \setminus N(R).$$

Now since  $N(R)$  is commutative, (3.3) implies that

$$(3.4) \quad [b^m, a] \in Z(R) \text{ for all } a \in N(R), b \in R.$$

Next, let  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in R$ . Then  $R \setminus C(R)$  is commutative; so, by Lemma 3.2,

$$(x_1 \dots x_n)^m - x_1^m \dots x_n^m \in C(R) \subseteq N(R).$$

Therefore  $N(R)$  is commutative, which yields that

$$(3.5) \quad [(x_1 \dots x_n)^m, a] = [x_1^m \dots x_n^m, a] \text{ for all } a \in N(R).$$

Combining (3.4) and (3.5), we get

$$(3.6) \quad [x_1^m \dots x_n^m, a] \in Z(R) \text{ for all } a \in N(R), x_1 \dots x_n \in R \text{ and } n \geq 1.$$

Let  $S$  be the subring generated by the  $m$ -th powers of the elements of  $R$ . Then by (3.6) we have

$$(3.7) \quad [x, a] \in Z(S) \text{ for all } a \in N(S), \quad x \in S,$$

where  $Z(S)$  and  $N(S)$  represent the centre of  $S$  and the set of nilpotent elements of  $S$ , respectively. Combining the facts that  $S$  is periodic,  $N(S)$  is commutative, and (3.7), Lemma 3.1 shows that  $S$  is commutative, and hence  $[x^m, y^m] = 0$  for all  $x, y \in R$ . This implies that  $R$  satisfies  $C_1(m, R)$ . But  $R$  also satisfies  $Q(m)$  and  $C_7(m, R \setminus N(R))$ , and by Theorem 2.4 one gets the required result.

*Case 2.* Let  $R$  have no identity 1;  $1 \notin R$ . First we prove two facts.

*Fact 1.* The idempotents of  $R$  are central. Let  $e^2 = e \in R$  and  $r \in R$ . Replacing  $x$  by  $e$  and  $y$  by  $e + er - ere$  in the hypothesis  $C_7(m, Z(R))$ , we get

$$((e + er - ere)e)^m e^m - e^m (e(e + er - ere))^m \in Z(R).$$

This implies that  $ere - er \in Z(R)$ . Thus

$$ere - er = e(ere - er) = (ere - er)e = 0,$$

or

$$ere = er.$$

Similarly, if  $x = e$  and  $y = e + re - ere$ , we obtain

$$ere = re.$$

Thus  $er = re$  for all  $r \in R$  and the result follows immediately.

*Fact 2.* Let  $f: R \rightarrow S$  be a homomorphism of  $R$  onto  $S$ . Then the nilpotents of  $S$  coincide with  $f(N(R))$ , where  $N(R)$  is the set of nilpotents of  $R$ . This has been stated in Lemma 3.2.

To complete the proof of Theorem 3.1, first note that  $R$  is isomorphic to a subdirect sum of subdirectly irreducible rings  $R_i$  ( $i \in \Gamma$ ). Let  $f_i: R \rightarrow R_i$  be the natural homomorphism of  $R$  onto  $R_i$ , and let  $x_i \in R_i$  and  $f_i(x) = x_i$ ,  $x \in R$ . Since  $R$  is periodic,  $x^p = x^q$  for some integers  $p > q > 0$ , and hence

$$e = x^{(p-q)q} \text{ is an idempotent.}$$

By Fact 1,  $e$  is central in  $R$  and hence  $f_i(e)$  is central idempotent of  $R_i$ . Since  $R_i$  is subdirectly irreducible, so  $f_i(e) = 0$  or  $f_i(e) = 1_i$  provided  $1_i \in R_i$ .

Next, two claims arise for  $R_i$ .

**Claim I.** Let  $R_i$  have no identity;  $1_i \notin R_i$ . Then  $f_i(e) = 0$  and by (3.7) we have  $x_i^{(p-q)q} = 0$ . Hence  $R_i$  is nil and by Fact 2,  $R_i = f_i(N(R))$ . Since by hypothesis  $N(R)$  is commutative,  $R_i$  is commutative as well.

**Claim II.** Let  $R_i$  have identity  $1_i$ . Note that  $R_i$  need not be  $Q(m(m+1))$ -torsion-free. Let  $f_i(e_1) = e_1$ ,  $e_1 \in R$ , where  $R$  is periodic, so we choose integers  $p > q > 0$  such that  $e_1^p = e_1^q$ . Suppose that  $e = e_1^{(p-q)q}$ . Then  $e$  is an idempotent and, moreover,

$$f_i(e) = 1_i^{(p-q)q} = 1_i.$$

Thus  $e$  is central by Fact 1, and hence  $e$  is a non-zero central idempotent of  $R$ . Hence  $eR$  is a ring with identity  $e$ . Obviously,  $eR$  inherits all the hypotheses of the ground ring  $R$  including the property  $Q(m(m+1))$ . It follows by the first part of the proof that  $eR$  is commutative, and hence  $[ex, ey] = 0$  for all  $x, y \in R$ . Since  $f_i(e) = 1_i$ , this implies that  $[f_i(x), f_i(y)] = 0$  for all  $x, y \in R$ , and then  $R_i = f_i(R)$  is commutative. Hence the ground ring  $R$  is also commutative.

Finally, we provide some counterexamples to show that no hypotheses in Theorem 3.1 are superfluous.

**Remark 3.1.** The following example demonstrates that one cannot drop the hypothesis that  $N(R)$  is commutative in Theorem 3.1.

**Example 3.1.** Let  $R = \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{ccc} \alpha & \beta & \gamma \\ 0 & \alpha & \delta \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha \end{array} \right) \middle| \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in GF(3) \right\}$ .

Clearly  $R$  satisfies all the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1 except the condition that  $N(R)$  is commutative when  $m = 4$ .

**Remark 3.2.** The following example strengthens the necessity of the property  $C_7(m, R \setminus N(R))$  in the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1.

**Example 3.2.** Let  $R = \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{ccc} \alpha & \beta & \gamma \\ 0 & \alpha^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha \end{array} \right) \middle| \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in GF(5) \right\}$ .

Obviously, the non-commutative ring  $R$  satisfies all the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1 except  $C_7(m, R \setminus N(R))$  when  $m = 2$ .

**Remark 3.3.** The following example shows that the hypothesis  $Q(m(m+1))$  in Theorem 3.1 is not superfluous.

**Example 3.3.** Let  $R = \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{ccc} \alpha & \beta & \gamma \\ 0 & \alpha^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha \end{array} \right) \middle| \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in GF(3) \right\}$ .

Clearly the non-commutative ring  $R$  satisfies all the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1 except  $Q(m(m+1))$ .

**Remark 3.4.** One can ask: Can the property “ $Q(m(m+1))$ ” be replaced by “ $Q(m)$ ” or “ $Q(m+1)$ ” in Theorem 3.1? Example 3.1 shows the following: For  $m = 5$ , the non-commutative ring  $R$  satisfies all the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1 and the commutators in  $R$  are 5-torsion-free; for  $m = 6$ , the non-commutative ring  $R$  satisfies all the hypotheses and the commutators are 6-torsion-free. This shows that the property “ $Q(m(m+1))$ ” cannot be replaced by “ $Q(m)$ ” or “ $Q(m+1)$ ”.

**Acknowledgement.** The author is greatly indebted to the referee for his valuable suggestions.

#### References

- [1] *H. A. S. Abu-jabal, H. E. Bell, M. S. Khan and M. A. Khan:* Commutativity of semiprime rings with power constraints. *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar.* 30 (1995), 183–187.
- [2] *H. Abu-Khuzam:* A commutativity theorem for periodic rings. *Math. Japon.* 32 (1987), 1–3.
- [3] *H. Abu-Khuzam and A. Yaqub:* Commutativity of rings satisfying some polynomial constraints. *Acta Math. Hungar.* 67 (1995), 207–217.
- [4] *H. Abu-Khuzam, H. E. Bell and A. Yaqub:* Commutativity of rings satisfying certain polynomial identities. *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* 44 (1991), 63–69.
- [5] *H. E. Bell:* Some commutativity results for periodic rings. *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.* 28 (1976), 279–283.
- [6] *H. E. Bell:* A commutativity study for periodic rings. *Pacific J. Math.* 70 (1977), 29–36.
- [7] *H. E. Bell:* On rings with commutativity powers. *Math. Japon.* 24 (1979), 473–478.
- [8] *I. N. Herstein:* Power maps in rings. *Michigan Math. J.* 8 (1961), 29–32.
- [9] *I. N. Herstein:* A commutativity theorem. *J. Algebra* 38 (1976), 112–118.
- [10] *Y. Hirano, M. Hongon and H. Tominaga:* Commutativity theorems for certain rings. *Math. J. Okayama Univ.* 22 (1980), 65–72.
- [11] *M. Hongan and H. Tominaga:* Some commutativity theorems for semiprime rings. *Hokkaido Math. J.* 10 (1981), 271–277.
- [12] *N. Jacobson:* Structure of Rings. Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., Providence, 1964.
- [13] *T. P. Kezlan:* A note on commutativity of semiprime PI-rings. *Math. Japon* 27 (1982), 267–268.
- [14] *W. K. Nicholson and A. Yaqub:* A commutativity theorem for rings and groups. *Canad. Math. Bull.* 22 (1979), 419–423.

*Author’s address:* Department of Mathematics, King Abdulaziz University, P.O. Box 30356, Jeddah-21477, Saudi Arabia, e-mail: nassb@hotmail.com.