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# EXTENSIONAL SUBOBJECTS IN CATEGORIES OF $\Omega$-FUZZY SETS 
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Abstract. Two categories $\operatorname{Set}(\Omega)$ and $\operatorname{SetF}(\Omega)$ of fuzzy sets over an $M V$-algebra $\Omega$ are investigated. Full subcategories of these categories are introduced consisting of objects $(\operatorname{sub}(A, \delta), \sigma)$, where $\operatorname{sub}(A, \delta)$ is a subset of all extensional subobjects of an object $(A, \delta)$. It is proved that all these subcategories are quasi-reflective subcategories in the corresponding categories.
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## Introduction

In a fuzzy set theory there are several categories which play an important role in fuzzy logic interpretation. Two of these categories of fuzzy sets over an $M V$-algebra $\Omega=(L, \otimes, \rightarrow)$ will be investigated in the paper. The first one is the category $\operatorname{Set}(\Omega)$ with objects $(A, \delta)$ where $A$ is a set and $\delta: A \times A \rightarrow \Omega$ is a similarity relation such that
(i) $(\forall x \in A) \quad \delta(x, x)=1$,
(ii) $(\forall x, y \in A) \quad \delta(x, y)=\delta(y, x)$,
(iii) $(\forall x, y, z \in A) \quad \delta(x, y) \otimes \delta(y, z) \leqslant \delta(x, z)$.

A morphism $f:(A, \delta) \rightarrow(B, \gamma)$ in $\operatorname{Set}(\Omega)$ is a map $f: A \times B \rightarrow \Omega$ satisfying the following conditions.
(1) $(\forall x, z \in A)(\forall y \in B) \quad \delta(x, z) \otimes f(x, y) \leqslant f(z, y)$,
(2) $(\forall x \in A)(\forall y, z \in B) \quad \gamma(y, z) \otimes f(x, y) \leqslant f(x, z)$,
(3) $(\forall x \in A)(\forall y, z \in B) \quad f(x, y) \otimes f(x, z) \leqslant \gamma(y, z)$,
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(4) $(\forall x \in A) \quad 1=\bigvee\{f(x, y): y \in B\}$.

If $f: \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ and $g: \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ are two morphisms then their composition is the function $g \circ f: A \times C \rightarrow \Omega$ such that

$$
g \circ f(x, z)=\bigvee_{y \in B}(f(x, y) \otimes g(y, z))
$$

The other category $\operatorname{Set} \mathbf{F}(\Omega)$ will have the same objects as the category $\operatorname{Set}(\Omega)$. A morphism $f:(A, \delta) \rightarrow(B, \gamma) \operatorname{in} \operatorname{SetF}(\Omega)$ is a map $f: A \rightarrow B$ such that $(\forall x, y \in$ A) $\gamma(f(x), f(y)) \geqslant \delta(x, y)$.

In [9] we investigated some principal properties of the category $\operatorname{SetF}(\Omega)$ and we proved that the extensional subobjects of objects $(A, \delta)$ in this category $\operatorname{SetF}(\Omega)$ can be identified with some characteristic morphism $(A, \delta) \rightarrow\left(\Omega^{*}, \mu\right)$. Namely we proved that if $S: \operatorname{Set} \mathbf{F}(\Omega) \rightarrow$ Set is a functor such that $S(A, \delta)=\{s$ : $s$ is an extensional subobject of $(A, \delta)\}$ then there exists a natural isomorphism

$$
\zeta: S(-) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{SetF}(\Omega)}\left(-, \Omega^{*}\right)
$$

This classification property, which is one of the most important properties of a topos category, is frequently used for interpretation of formulas of fuzzy logic in the category $\operatorname{Set} \mathbf{F}(\Omega)$ in such a way that interpretation of a fuzzy logic formula is defined as a special extensional subobject of some object $(A, \delta)$. Hence, it seems natural that extensional subobjects of objects in the category $\operatorname{Set} \mathbf{F}(\Omega)$ play an important role for further investigation of that category.

In this paper we are interested in the following problem related to extensional subobjects. For any object $(A, \delta)$ of the category $\operatorname{SetF}(\Omega)$ we can define a set $\Omega^{(A, \delta)}$ of all (or some special, respectively) extensional subobjects of $(A, \delta)$. This set can be transformed (in several different ways) into an object of the category $\operatorname{SetF}(\Omega)$. In that way we obtain a full subcategory $\Omega^{\operatorname{SetF}(\Omega)}$ of the category $\operatorname{SetF}(\Omega)$ consisting of these special objects. We will be interested in conditions under which that subcategory $\Omega^{\operatorname{SetF}(\Omega)}$ is a quasi-reflective subcategory in $\operatorname{SetF}(\Omega)$. Recall that a subcategory $\mathbf{L}$ of a category $\mathbf{K}$ is a quasi-reflective subcategory in $\mathbf{K}$ if there exists a functor $G: \mathbf{K} \rightarrow \mathbf{L}$ such that for any object $a \in \mathbf{K}$ there is a morphism $a \xrightarrow{u_{a}} G(a)$ such that for any object $b \in \mathbf{L}$ and any morphism $f: a \rightarrow b$ (in $\mathbf{K}$ ) there exists a morphism (in general non unique) $\hat{f}: G(a) \rightarrow b$ such that the following diagram commutes:


A functor $G$ is then called a quasi-reflector. We will be interested in several subcategories of the category $\operatorname{SetF}(\Omega)$ consisting of various objects $(\operatorname{sub}(A, \delta), \sigma)$, where $\operatorname{sub}(A, \delta)$ will be a subset of the set of all extensional subobjects of $(A, \delta)$ and $\sigma$ will be a similarity relation defined on that subset. We prove that all these subcategories are quasi-reflective subcategories in the category $\operatorname{SetF}(\Omega)$. We also introduce the notion of a weak singleton extensional subobject of $(A, \delta)$ in the category $\operatorname{Set}(\Omega)$ and we prove that a subcategory consisting of these subobjects is also a quasi-reflective subcategory of the category $\operatorname{Set}(\Omega)$.

## SUBCATEGORIES OF EXTENSIONAL SUBOBJECTS

We show firstly a simple result which states the existence of a functor between the categories $\operatorname{SetF}(\Omega)$ and $\operatorname{Set}(\Omega)$.

Lemma 1. There exists a functor $F: \operatorname{Set} F(\Omega) \rightarrow \operatorname{Set}(\Omega)$.
Proof. For $(A, \delta) \in \operatorname{Set} \mathbf{F}(\Omega)$ we set $F(A, \delta)=(A, \delta)$ and for a morphism $f:(A, \delta) \rightarrow(B, \gamma)$ in $\operatorname{SetF}(\Omega)$ we define a map $F(f): A \times B \rightarrow \Omega$ such that $F(f)(a, b)=\gamma(f(a), b)$ for any $a \in A, b \in B$. Then $F(f)$ is a morphism in $\operatorname{Set}(\Omega)$. In fact, we have for example

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(f)(a, b) \otimes \delta\left(a, a^{\prime}\right) & =\gamma(f(a), b) \otimes \delta\left(a, a^{\prime}\right) \\
& \leqslant \gamma(f(a), b) \otimes \gamma\left(f(a), f\left(a^{\prime}\right)\right) \leqslant \gamma\left(f\left(a^{\prime}\right), b\right)=F(f)\left(a^{\prime}, b\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Recall that an extensional subobject of $(A, \delta)$ in the category $\operatorname{SetF}(\Omega)$ is a map $s: A \rightarrow \Omega$ such that

$$
s(x) \otimes \delta(x, y) \leqslant s(y)
$$

An extensional subobject can be defined in the category $\operatorname{Set}(\Omega)$ as well. In fact, it is clear that $(\Omega, \leftrightarrow)$ is an object in $\operatorname{Set} \mathbf{F}(\Omega)$, where $\alpha \leftrightarrow \beta=(\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \wedge(\beta \rightarrow \alpha)$. Then $s$ is an extensional subobject of $(A, \delta)$ in the category $\operatorname{SetF}(\Omega)$ if $s:(A, \delta) \rightarrow$ $(\Omega, \leftrightarrow)$ is a morphism in $\operatorname{Set} \mathbf{F}(\Omega)$. Analogously $s$ will be called an extensional subobject of $(A, \delta)$ in $\operatorname{Set}(\Omega)$ if $s:(A, \delta) \rightarrow(\Omega, \leftrightarrow)$ is a morphism in $\operatorname{Set}(\Omega)$, i.e.
(1) $\left(\forall a, a^{\prime} \in A\right)(\alpha \in \Omega) \quad s(a, \alpha) \otimes \delta\left(a, a^{\prime}\right) \leqslant s\left(a^{\prime}, \alpha\right)$,
(2) $(\forall a \in A)(\forall \beta, \alpha \in \Omega) \quad s(a, \alpha) \otimes(\alpha \leftrightarrow \beta) \leqslant s(a, \beta)$,
(3) $(\forall a \in A)(\alpha, \beta \in \Omega) \quad s(a, \alpha) \otimes s(a, \beta) \leqslant \alpha \leftrightarrow \beta$,
(4) $(\forall x \in A) \quad 1=\bigvee_{\alpha \in \Omega} s(x, \alpha)$.

An extensional subobject $s$ of $(A, \delta)$ in $\operatorname{SetF}(\Omega)$ is called normal if $\bigvee_{a \in A} s(a)=1$. Then an extensional subobject $t$ of $(A, \delta)$ in $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { S e t }}(\Omega)$ is called normal if $\bigvee_{a \in A} t(a, 1)$ $=1$. Moreover, we say that $t$ is a weak singleton of $(A, \delta)$ in $\operatorname{Set}(\Omega)$ if $t$ is a normal extensional subobject of $(A, \delta)$ in $\operatorname{Set}(\Omega)$ and $t(a, 1) \otimes t(b, 1) \leqslant \delta(a, b)$ for all $a, b \in A$. A special normal extensional subobject in $\operatorname{SetF}(\Omega)$ is called a singleton. Recall that an extensional subobject $s: A \rightarrow \Omega$ of $(A, \delta)$ (in the category $\operatorname{Set}(\Omega)$ ) is a singleton if it satisfies the condition

$$
(\forall x, y \in A) \quad s(x) \otimes s(y) \leqslant \delta(x, y)
$$

It is clear that the map $\{a\}=\delta(a,-): A \rightarrow \Omega$ is an example of a singleton for any $a \in A$. On the other hand an object $(A, \delta)$ is called complete if for any singleton $s$ of $(A, \delta)$ there exists $a \in A$ such that $s=\delta(a,-)$.

Let $(A, \delta)$ be an object of $\operatorname{Set}(\Omega)$ (or $\operatorname{SetF}(\Omega)$ ). We introduce the following notation.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Omega^{(A, \delta)} & =\{s: s \text { is an extensional subobject of }(A, \delta) \text { in } \operatorname{Set} \mathbf{F}(\Omega)\}, \\
\Omega_{1}^{(A, \delta)} & =\{s: s \text { is an extensional and normal subobject of }(A, \delta) \text { in } \operatorname{SetF}(\Omega)\}, \\
\mathrm{w}-\operatorname{singl}(A, \delta) & =\{s: s \text { is a weak singleton of }(A, \delta) \text { in } \operatorname{Set}(\Omega)\}, \\
\operatorname{singl}(A, \delta) & =\left\{s \in \Omega_{1}^{(A, \delta)}: s \text { is singleton of }(A, \delta) \text { in } \operatorname{Set} \mathbf{F}(\Omega)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

All the previous sets can be transformed into objects of categories $\operatorname{Set}(\Omega)$ and $\operatorname{SetF}(\Omega)$, respectively. In fact, for any object $(A, \delta)$ and for any $s, t \in \Omega^{(A, \delta)}, p, q \in$ $\mathrm{w}-\operatorname{singl}(A, \delta)$ we set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma(s, t)=\sigma_{(A, \delta)}(s, t)=\bigwedge_{x \in A} s(x) \leftrightarrow t(x), \\
& \tau(s, t)=\tau_{(A, \delta)}(s, t)= \begin{cases}\bigvee_{x \in A} s(x) \otimes t(x), & \text { if } s \neq t \\
1, & \text { if } s=t\end{cases} \\
& \varrho(p, q)=\varrho_{(A, \delta)}(p, q)=\bigwedge_{x \in A} p(x, 1) \leftrightarrow q(x, 1)
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 2. For any object $(A, \delta)$ there exists a morphism $\left.{ }^{\wedge}: \operatorname{singl}(A, \delta), \sigma_{(A, \delta)}\right)$ $\rightarrow\left(\mathrm{w}-\operatorname{singl}(A, \delta), \varrho_{(A, \delta)}\right)$.

Proof. For $s \in \operatorname{singl}(A, \delta)$ we set $\hat{s}(a, \alpha)=s(a) \leftrightarrow \alpha$. It is then clear that $\hat{s}=F(s)$ (see Lemma 1 ), since $s:(A, \delta) \rightarrow(\Omega, \leftrightarrow)$ is a morphism in $\operatorname{Set} \mathbf{F}(\Omega)$. It follows that $\hat{s}$ is an extensional (and clearly normal) subobject in $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { S e t }}(\Omega)$. Since $s$ is a
singleton, $\hat{s}$ is a weak singleton. Moreover according to Lemma 5 for any $s, t \in \Omega_{1}^{(A, \delta)}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varrho_{A, \delta)}(\hat{s}, \hat{t}) & =\bigwedge_{a \in A}(s(a) \leftrightarrow 1) \leftrightarrow(t(a) \leftrightarrow 1) \\
& =\bigwedge_{a \in A} s(a) \leftrightarrow t(a)=\sigma_{(A, \delta)}(s, t) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 3. For any object $(A, \delta)$ the pairs $\left(\Omega^{(A, \delta)}, \sigma_{(A, \delta)}\right)$, $\left(\Omega^{(A, \delta)}, \tau_{(A, \delta)}\right)$, $\left(\mathrm{w}-\operatorname{singl}(A, \delta), \varrho_{(A, \delta)}\right)$ and $\left(\operatorname{singl}(A, \delta), \tau_{(A, \delta)}\right)$, respectively are objects of the category $\operatorname{Set}(\Omega)$ (and $\operatorname{SetF}(\Omega)$, simultaneously).

The proof of this lemma can be done by a simple computation.
Lemma 4. Let $\Omega$ be an $M V$-algebra. Let $\left\{a_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ and $\left\{b_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ be two sets of elements of $\Omega$ and let $p: I \rightarrow I$ be a bijection map.
(1) $\bigvee_{i \in I} a_{i} \leftrightarrow \bigvee_{i \in I} b_{i \in I} \geqslant \bigwedge_{j \in I}\left(a_{j} \leftrightarrow b_{p(j)}\right)$,
(2) $\bigwedge_{i \in I} a_{i} \leftrightarrow \bigwedge_{i \in I} b_{i} \geqslant \bigwedge_{j \in I}\left(a_{j} \leftrightarrow b_{p(j)}\right)$.

The proof can be done by a simple computation and will be omitted.
Lemma 5. Let $\Omega$ be an $M V$-algebra.
(1) $(\forall a, b, x \in \Omega) \quad(x \leftrightarrow a) \leftrightarrow(x \leftrightarrow b) \geqslant a \leftrightarrow b$.
(2) $(\forall a, b, c, d \in \Omega) \quad(a \leftrightarrow c) \leftrightarrow(b \leftrightarrow d) \geqslant(a \leftrightarrow b) \otimes(c \leftrightarrow d)$.

Proof. (1) We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (x \rightarrow a) \leftrightarrow(x \rightarrow b) \geqslant(x \leftrightarrow x) \otimes(a \leftrightarrow b)=a \leftrightarrow b, \\
& (a \rightarrow x) \leftrightarrow(b \rightarrow x) \geqslant(a \leftrightarrow b) \otimes(x \leftrightarrow x)=a \leftrightarrow b,
\end{aligned}
$$

and it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
(x \leftrightarrow a) \leftrightarrow(x \leftrightarrow b) & =((x \rightarrow a) \wedge(a \rightarrow x)) \leftrightarrow((x \rightarrow b) \wedge(b \rightarrow x)) \\
& \geqslant((x \rightarrow a) \leftrightarrow(x \rightarrow b)) \wedge((a \rightarrow x) \leftrightarrow(b \rightarrow x)) \geqslant a \leftrightarrow b .
\end{aligned}
$$

(2) According to Lemma 4 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(a \leftrightarrow c) \leftrightarrow(b \leftrightarrow d) & =((a \rightarrow c) \wedge(c \rightarrow a)) \leftrightarrow((b \rightarrow d) \wedge(d \rightarrow b)) \\
& \geqslant((a \rightarrow c) \leftrightarrow(b \rightarrow d)) \wedge((c \rightarrow a) \leftrightarrow(d \rightarrow b)) \\
& \geqslant((a \leftrightarrow b) \otimes(c \leftrightarrow d)) \wedge((c \leftrightarrow d) \otimes(a \leftrightarrow b)=(a \leftrightarrow b) \otimes(c \leftrightarrow d)
\end{aligned}
$$

We consider the following subcategories of the categories $\operatorname{Set}(\Omega)$ and $\operatorname{SetF}(\Omega)$, respectively.
(1) A full subcategory $\operatorname{SetF}(\Omega)_{\text {comp }} \hookrightarrow \operatorname{SetF}(\Omega)$ consisting of complete objects of the category $\operatorname{Set} \mathbf{F}(\Omega)$,
(2) A full subcategory $\Omega_{\leftrightarrow}^{\operatorname{Set} \mathbf{F}(\Omega)} \hookrightarrow \operatorname{SetF}(\Omega)$ with objects $\left(\Omega^{(A, \delta)}, \sigma_{(A, \delta)}\right)$ for any object $(A, \delta)$,
(3) A full subcategory $\Omega_{\otimes}^{\operatorname{SetF}(\Omega)} \hookrightarrow \operatorname{SetF}(\Omega)$ with objects $\left(\Omega^{(A, \delta)}, \tau_{(A, \delta)}\right)$ for any object $(A, \delta)$,
(4) A full subcategory $\Omega_{1, \otimes}^{\operatorname{Set}(\Omega)} \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Set}(\Omega)$ with objects $\left(\Omega_{1}^{(A, \delta)}, \tau_{(A, \delta)}\right)$ for any object $(A, \delta)$,
(5) A full subcategory $\Omega^{\operatorname{Set}(\Omega)} \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Set}(\Omega)$ with objects $\left(\mathrm{w}-\operatorname{singl}(A, \delta), \varrho_{(A, \delta)}\right)$ for any object $(A, \delta)$.

Theorem 1. There is a functor $C: \operatorname{Set} \mathbf{F}(\Omega) \rightarrow \operatorname{SetF}(\Omega)_{\text {comp }}$ which is a quasireflector.

Proof. Let $(A, \delta)$ be an object in $\operatorname{Set} \mathbf{F}(\Omega)$. We show first that $(\operatorname{singl}(A, \delta), \tau)$ is a complete object. Let $S$ be a singleton in $\left(\operatorname{singl}(A, \delta), \tau_{(A, \delta)}\right)$. Then we define a $\operatorname{map} e_{S}: A \rightarrow \Omega$ such that

$$
e_{S}(x)=\bigvee_{t \in \operatorname{singl}(A, \delta)} t(x) \otimes S(t)
$$

We show that $e_{S} \in \operatorname{singl}(A, \delta)$. It is easy to see that $e_{S}$ is a normal extensional subobject. Moreover, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e_{S}(x) \otimes e_{S}(y)=\bigvee_{t, p \in \operatorname{singl}(A, \delta)} t(x) \otimes p(y) \otimes S(t) \otimes S(p) \\
& \leqslant \bigvee_{t, p \in \operatorname{singl}(A, \delta)} t(x) \otimes p(y) \otimes \tau(t, p)=\bigvee_{t, p \in \operatorname{singl}(A, \delta)} t(x) \otimes\left(\bigvee_{a \in A} t(a) \otimes p(a) \otimes p(y)\right) \\
& \leqslant \bigvee_{t, p \in \operatorname{singl}(A, \delta)} t(x) \otimes\left(\bigvee_{a \in A} t(a) \otimes \delta(a, y)\right) \leqslant \bigvee_{t \in \operatorname{singl}(A, \delta)} t(x) \otimes t(y) \leqslant \delta(x, y) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $S=\left\{e_{S}\right\}$. In fact, let $s \in \operatorname{singl}(A, \delta)$, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\{e_{S}\right\}(s) & =\tau_{(A, \delta)}\left(e_{S}, s\right)=\bigvee_{x \in A} \bigvee_{t \in \operatorname{singl}(A, \delta)} t(x) \otimes S(t) \otimes s(x) \\
& =\bigvee_{t \in \operatorname{singl}(A, \delta)}\left(\bigvee_{x \in A} t(x) \otimes s(x)\right) \otimes S(t) \\
& =\bigvee_{t \in \operatorname{singl}(A, \delta)} \tau_{(A, \delta)}(s, t) \otimes S(t) \geqslant \tau_{(A, \delta)}(s, s) \otimes S(s)=S(s)
\end{aligned}
$$

and on the other hand since $\tau(s, t) \otimes S(t) \leqslant S(s)$, we obtain that $\left\{e_{S}\right\}(s)=S(s)$. We define a functor

$$
C: \operatorname{SetF}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbf{S e t F}(\Omega)_{\operatorname{comp}}
$$

such that $C(A, \delta)=\left(\operatorname{singl}(A, \delta), \tau_{(A, \delta)}\right)$ and for a morphism $f:(A, \delta) \rightarrow(B, \gamma)$ in $\operatorname{Set} \mathbf{F}(\Omega)$ we set $C(f)=\bar{f}$, where $\bar{f}(s)(b)=\bigvee_{a \in A} s(a) \otimes \gamma(f(a), b)$. Then $\bar{f}$ is a morphism in $\operatorname{Set} \mathbf{F}(\Omega)_{\text {comp }}$. In fact it is clear that $\bar{f}(s)$ is a normal extensional subobject in $(B, \gamma)$. For any $b, c \in B$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{f}(s)(b) \otimes \bar{f}(s)(c) & =\bigvee_{x, y \in A} s(x) \otimes s(y) \otimes \gamma(f(x), b) \otimes \gamma(f(y), c) \\
& \leqslant \bigvee_{x, y \in A} \delta(x, y) \otimes \gamma(f(x), b) \otimes \gamma(f(x), c) \\
& \leqslant \bigvee_{x, y \in A} \gamma(f(x), f(y)) \otimes \gamma(f(x), b) \otimes \gamma(f(y), c) \\
& \leqslant \bigvee_{x, y \in A} \gamma(b, f(y)) \otimes \gamma(f(y), c) \leqslant \gamma(b, c) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\bar{f}(s)$ is a singleton in $(B, \gamma)$. We show further that $\bar{f}:\left(\operatorname{singl}(A, \delta), \tau_{(A, \delta)}\right) \rightarrow$ $\left(\operatorname{singl}(B, \gamma), \tau_{(B, \gamma)}\right)$ is a morphism in $\operatorname{SetF}(\Omega)$. In fact, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{(B, \gamma)}(\bar{f}(s), \bar{f}(t)) & =\bigvee_{b \in B} \bar{f}(s)(b) \otimes \bar{f}(t)(b) \\
& =\bigvee_{b \in B}\left(\bigvee_{x \in A} s(x) \otimes \gamma(f(x), b)\right) \otimes\left(\bigvee_{y \in A} t(y) \otimes \gamma(f(y), b)\right) \\
& \geqslant \bigvee_{b \in B} \bigvee_{x \in A} s(x) \otimes \gamma(f(x), b) \otimes t(x) \otimes \gamma(f(x), b) \\
& \geqslant \bigvee_{x \in A} s(x) \otimes \gamma(f(x), f(x)) \otimes t(x) \otimes \gamma(f(x), f(x)) \\
& =\bigvee_{x \in A} s(x) \otimes t(x)=\tau_{(A, \delta)}(s, t) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us now consider the singleton map

$$
(A, \delta) \xrightarrow{\{-\}} C(A, \delta)=\left(\operatorname{singl}(A, \delta), \tau_{(A, \delta)}\right) .
$$

We show that $C$ is a quasi-reflector. Since $\tau(\{x\},\{y\})=\delta(x, y)$, it is clear that $\{-\}$ is a morphism in $\operatorname{Set}(\Omega)$. Moreover let $(B, \gamma)$ be a complete object and let
$f:(A, \delta) \rightarrow(B, \gamma)$ be a morphism in $\operatorname{SetF}(\Omega)$. Then there exists a morphism $\tilde{f}:(\operatorname{singl}(A, \delta), \tau) \rightarrow(B, \gamma)$ such that the following diagram commutes:


The map $\tilde{f}$ is defined as follows. Let $s \in \operatorname{singl}(A, \delta)$. Then $C(f)(s)=\bar{f}(s): B \rightarrow \Omega$ is a singleton in $(B, \gamma)$. Since $(B, \gamma)$ is complete, there exists the unique element $b \in B$ such that $\bar{f}(s)=\{b\}$. We set $\tilde{f}(s)=b$. We show that $\tilde{f}$ is a morphism in $\operatorname{Set} \mathbf{F}(\Omega)$. In fact, let $\tilde{f}(s)=b, \tilde{f}(t)=c$. Then we have $\gamma(\tilde{f}(s), \tilde{f}(t))=\gamma(b, c)=\tau_{(B, \gamma)}(\{b\},\{c\})=$ $\tau_{(B, \gamma)}(\bar{f}(s), \bar{f}(t)) \geqslant \tau_{(A, \delta)}(s, t)$ since $\bar{f}$ is a morphism in $\operatorname{SetF}(\Omega)$. We show that the above mentioned diagram commutes. In fact, let $a \in A$, then we have $\tilde{f}(\{a\})=b$, where $\bar{f}(\{a\})=\{b\}$. But we have $\bar{f}(\{a\})(y)=\bigvee_{x \in A}\{a\}(x) \otimes \gamma(f(x), y)=\bigvee_{x \in A} \delta(a, x) \otimes$ $\gamma(f(x), y) \geqslant \delta(a, a) \otimes \gamma(f(a), y)=\gamma(f(a), y)=\{f(a)\}(y)$. On the other hand we have $\bar{f}(\{a\})(y) \leqslant \bigvee_{x \in A} \gamma(f(a), f(x)) \otimes \gamma(f(x), y) \leqslant \bigvee_{x \in A} \gamma(f(a), y)=\gamma(f(a), y)=$ $\{f(a)\}(y)$. Hence we have $\{b\}=\bar{f}(\{a\})=\{f(a)\}$ and it follows that $b=f(a)$.

Theorem 2. There exists a functor $D: \operatorname{SetF}(\Omega) \rightarrow \Omega_{\leftrightarrow}^{\operatorname{SetF}(\Omega)}$ which is a quasireflector.

Proof. Let $(A, \delta)$ be an object of $\operatorname{SetF}(\Omega)$ and let $f:(A, \delta) \rightarrow(B, \gamma)$ be a morphism in $\operatorname{SetF}(\Omega)$. We define a functor $D: \operatorname{SetF}(\Omega) \rightarrow \Omega_{\leftrightarrow}^{\operatorname{SetF}(\Omega)}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D(A, \delta)=\left(\Omega^{(A, \delta)}, \sigma_{(A, \delta)}\right), \quad D(f): D(A, \delta) \rightarrow D(B, \gamma), \\
& \left(\forall s \in \Omega^{(A, \delta)}\right)(\forall b \in B) \quad D(f)(s)(b)=\bigvee_{x \in A} s(x) \otimes \gamma(b, f(x)) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is clear that this definition is correct.
Now let $(A, \delta)$ be an object in $\operatorname{Set} \mathbf{F}(\Omega)$. We consider the map

$$
(A, \delta) \xrightarrow{\{-\}} D(A, \delta)=\left(\Omega^{(A, \delta)}, \sigma_{(A, \delta)}\right) .
$$

We show that this map is a morphism in $\operatorname{Set} \mathbf{F}(\Omega)$. In fact, for $x, y \in A$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{(A, \delta)}(\{x\},\{y\}) & =\bigwedge_{a \in A} \delta(a, x) \leftrightarrow \delta(a, y) \\
& \geqslant \bigwedge_{a \in A}(\delta(a, x) \rightarrow \delta(x, y) \otimes \delta(a, x)) \wedge(\delta(a, y) \rightarrow \delta(a, y) \otimes \delta(x, y)) \\
& \geqslant \delta(x, y)
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand we have $\sigma(\{x\},\{y\}) \leqslant \delta(x, y)$ and it follows that $\sigma(\{x\},\{y\})=$ $\delta(x, y)$.

Finally, let $f:(A, \delta) \rightarrow\left(\Omega^{(B, \gamma)}, \sigma_{(B, \gamma)}\right)$ be a morphism in $\operatorname{SetF}(\Omega)$. Then there exists a morphism $\hat{f}$ such that the following diagram commutes.


The morphism $\hat{f}: \Omega^{(A, \delta)} \rightarrow \Omega^{(B, \gamma)}$ is defined as follows.

$$
\left(\forall s \in \Omega^{(A, \delta)}\right)(\forall b \in B) \quad \hat{f}(s)(b)=\bigvee_{x \in A} f(x)(b) \otimes \sigma_{(A, \delta)}(\{x\}, s)
$$

This definition is correct. In fact, we show first that $\hat{f}(s) \in \Omega^{(B, \gamma)}$. Let $b, c \in B$, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{f}(s)(b) \otimes \gamma(b, c) & =\bigvee_{x \in A} f(x)(b) \otimes \sigma_{(A, \delta)}(\{x\}, s) \otimes \gamma(b, c) \\
& \leqslant \bigvee_{x \in A} f(x)(c) \otimes \sigma_{(A, \delta)}(\{x\}, s)=\hat{f}(s)(c)
\end{aligned}
$$

Further, $\hat{f}$ is a morphism in $\operatorname{SetF}(\Omega)$. In fact, we have

$$
\sigma_{(B, \gamma)}(\hat{f}(s), \hat{f}(t))=\bigwedge_{b \in B} \hat{f}(s)(b) \leftrightarrow \hat{f}(t)(b)
$$

According to Lemma 4 and Lemma 5 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{f}(s)(b) \leftrightarrow \hat{f}(t)(b) & =\left(\bigvee_{a \in A} f(a)(b) \otimes \sigma_{(A, \delta)}(\{a\}, s)\right) \leftrightarrow\left(\bigvee_{c \in A} f(c)(b) \otimes \sigma_{(A, \delta)}(\{c\}, t)\right) \\
& \geqslant \bigwedge_{x \in A}\left(f(x)(b) \otimes \sigma_{(A, \delta)}(\{x\}, s) \leftrightarrow f(x)(b) \otimes \sigma_{(A, \delta)}(\{x\}, t)\right) \\
& \geqslant \bigwedge_{a \in A} \sigma_{(A, \delta)}(\{a\}, s) \leftrightarrow \sigma_{(A, \delta)}(\{a\}, t) \\
& =\bigwedge_{a \in A}\left(\left(\bigwedge_{x \in A} \delta(a, x) \leftrightarrow s(x)\right) \leftrightarrow\left(\bigwedge_{y \in A} \delta(a, y) \leftrightarrow t(y)\right)\right) \\
& \geqslant \bigwedge_{a \in A} \bigwedge_{x \in A}(\delta(a, x) \leftrightarrow s(x)) \leftrightarrow(\delta(a, x) \leftrightarrow t(x)) \\
& \geqslant \bigwedge_{a \in A} \bigwedge_{x \in A}(\delta(a, x) \leftrightarrow \delta(a, x)) \otimes(s(x) \leftrightarrow t(x)) \\
& =\bigwedge_{x \in A} s(x) \leftrightarrow t(x)=\sigma_{(A, \delta)}(s, t) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that $\sigma_{(B, \gamma)}(\hat{f}(s), \hat{f}(t)) \geqslant \sigma_{(A, \delta)}(s, t)$. We show that the diagram commutes. In fact, let $a \in A, b \in B$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{f}(\{a\})(b)=\bigvee_{x \in A} f(x)(b) \otimes \sigma_{(A, \delta)}(\{x\},\{a\}) \\
& \quad=\bigvee_{x \in A} f(x)(b) \otimes \delta(x, a) \leqslant \bigvee_{x \in A} f(x)(b) \otimes \sigma_{(B, \gamma)}(f(x), f(a)) \\
& \quad=\bigvee_{x \in A} f(x)(b) \otimes\left(\bigwedge_{y \in B} f(x)(y) \leftrightarrow f(a)(y)\right) \\
& =\bigvee_{x \in A} \bigwedge_{y \in B} f(x)(b) \otimes(f(x)(y) \leftrightarrow f(a)(y)) \leqslant \bigvee_{x \in A} f(x)(b) \otimes(f(x)(b) \leftrightarrow f(a)(b)) \\
& \quad \leqslant \bigvee_{x \in A} f(x)(b) \otimes(f(x)(b) \rightarrow f(a)(b)) \leqslant f(a)(b) .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand we have

$$
\hat{f}(\{a\})(b)=\bigvee_{x \in A} f(x)(b) \otimes \delta(x, a) \geqslant f(a)(b)
$$

Hence the diagram commutes and $D: \operatorname{SetF}(\Omega) \rightarrow \Omega_{\leftrightarrow}^{\operatorname{Set}}(\Omega)$ is a quasi-reflector.
It should be observed that $\hat{f}$ is the smallest morphism for which the above diagram commutes. In fact, let $g: D(A, \delta) \rightarrow\left(\Omega^{(B, \gamma)}, \sigma_{(B, \gamma)}\right)$ be a morphism in $\operatorname{SetF}(\Omega)$ such that the diagram commutes. Then for any $s \in \Omega^{(A, \delta)}, b \in B$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{f}(s)(b) & =\bigvee_{a \in A} f(a)(b) \otimes \sigma_{(A, \delta)}(\{a\}, s) \\
& \leqslant \bigvee_{a \in A} f(a)(b) \otimes \sigma_{(B, \gamma)}(g(\{a\}), g(s))=\bigvee_{a \in A} f(a)(b) \otimes \sigma_{(B, \gamma)}(f(a), g(s)) \\
& \leqslant \bigvee_{a \in A} f(a)(b) \otimes \bigwedge_{x \in B} f(a)(x) \rightarrow g(s)(x) \\
& \leqslant \bigvee_{a \in A} f(a)(b) \otimes(f(a)(b) \rightarrow g(s)(b)) \leqslant g(s)(b)
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 3. There exists a functor $E: \operatorname{SetF}(\Omega) \rightarrow \Omega_{\otimes}^{\operatorname{SetF}(\Omega)}$ which is a quasireflector.

Proof. Let $(A, \delta)$ be an object in $\operatorname{SetF}(\Omega)$ and let $f:(A, \delta) \rightarrow(B, \gamma)$ be a morphism in $\operatorname{SetF}(\Omega)$. We define a functor $E$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E(A, \delta)=\left(\Omega^{(A, \delta)}, \tau_{(A, \delta)}\right), \quad E(f): E(A, \delta) \rightarrow E(B, \gamma), \\
& \left(\forall s \in \Omega^{(A, \delta)}\right)(\forall b \in B) \quad D(f)(s)(b)=\bigvee_{x \in A} s(x) \otimes \gamma(b, f(x)) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is clear that $\tau_{(A, \delta)}(s, t) \leqslant \tau_{(B, \gamma)}(E(f)(s), E(f)(t))$ for any $s, t \in \Omega^{(A, \delta)}$ and it follows that $E$ is defined correctly. We consider a map

$$
(A, \delta) \xrightarrow{\{-\}}\left(\Omega^{(A, \delta)}, \tau_{(A, \delta)}\right)
$$

such that $\{-\}(a)(b)=\tau_{(A, \delta)}(\{a\},\{b\})$. Since $\tau_{(A, \delta)}(\{a\},\{b\})=\delta(a, b)$, the definition is correct.

Finally, let $f:(A, \delta) \rightarrow\left(\Omega^{(B, \gamma)}, \tau_{(B, \gamma)}\right)$ be a morphism in $\operatorname{SetF}(\Omega)$. Then there exists a morphism $\hat{f}$ such that the following diagram commutes.


The morphism $\hat{f}: E(A, \delta) \rightarrow \Omega^{(B, \gamma)}$ is defined as follows.

$$
\left(\forall s \in \Omega^{(A, \delta)}\right)(\forall b \in B) \quad \hat{f}(s)(b)=\bigvee_{x \in A} f(x)(b) \otimes \tau_{(A, \delta)}(\{x\}, s)=\bigvee_{x \in A} f(x)(b) \otimes s(x)
$$

We show that $\hat{f}$ is a morphism in $\operatorname{Set} \mathbf{F}(\Omega)$. In fact, let $s, t \in \Omega^{(A, \delta)}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{(B, \gamma)}(\hat{f}(s), \hat{f}(t)) & =\bigvee_{y \in B} \bigvee_{x, z \in A} f(x)(y) \otimes f(z)(y) \otimes s(x) \otimes t(z) \\
& =\bigvee_{x, z \in A}\left(\bigvee_{y \in B} f(x)(y) \otimes f(z)(y)\right) \otimes s(x) \otimes t(z) \\
& =\bigvee_{x, z \in A} \tau_{(B, \gamma)}(f(x), f(z)) \otimes s(x) \otimes t(z) \geqslant \bigvee_{x, z \in A} \delta(x, z) \otimes s(x) \otimes t(z) \\
& \geqslant \bigvee_{x \in A} s(x) \otimes t(x)=\tau_{(A, \delta)}(s, t)
\end{aligned}
$$

The above mentioned diagram commutes. In fact, let $x \in A, b \in B$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{f}(\{a\})(b) & =\bigvee_{x \in A} f(x)(b) \otimes\{a\}(x) \geqslant f(a)(b), \\
\hat{f}(\{a\})(b) & =\bigvee_{x \in A} f(x)(b) \otimes \delta(a, x) \\
& \leqslant \bigvee_{x \in A} f(x)(b) \otimes \tau_{(B, \gamma)}(f(x), f(a)) \\
& \leqslant \bigvee_{x \in A} f(x)(b) \otimes \sigma_{(B, \gamma)}(f(x), f(a)) \leqslant f(a)(b) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $E: \operatorname{Set} \mathbf{F}(\Omega) \rightarrow \Omega_{\otimes}^{\operatorname{SetF}(\Omega)}$ is a quasi-reflector.

Theorem 4. There exists a functor $G: \operatorname{Set}(\Omega) \rightarrow \Omega_{1, \otimes}^{\operatorname{Set}(\Omega)}$ which is a quasireflector.

Proof. The functor $G$ is defined by $G(A, \delta)=\left(\Omega_{1}^{(A, \delta)}, \tau_{(A, \delta)}\right)$ which is considered as an object of a category $\operatorname{Set}(\Omega)$. Let $f:(A, \delta) \rightarrow(B, \gamma)$ be a morphism in $\operatorname{Set}(\Omega)$. The morphism $G(f)$ will be defined later. First let us define a morphism $v_{(A, \delta)}=v:(A, \delta) \rightarrow G(A, \delta)$ in $\operatorname{Set}(\Omega)$ such that $v=F(\{-\})$, where $\{-\}:(A, \delta) \rightarrow\left(\Omega_{1}^{(A, \delta)}, \tau_{(A, \delta)}\right)$ is a singleton morphism in $\operatorname{Set} \mathbf{F}(\Omega)$ and $F$ is the functor from Lemma 1. This definition is correct since for any $a, b \in A$ we have $\tau_{(A, \delta)}(\{a\},\{b\})=\delta(a, b)$ as can easily be proved. Then for any object $(B, \gamma)$ and any morphism $f:(A, \delta) \rightarrow\left(\Omega_{1}^{(B, \gamma)}, \tau_{(B, \gamma)}\right)$ in $\operatorname{Set}(\Omega)$ there exists a morphism $\tilde{f}$ in $\operatorname{Set}(\Omega)$ such that the following diagram commutes.


In fact, we set

$$
\left(\forall s \in \Omega_{1}^{(A, \delta)}\right)\left(\forall t \in \Omega_{1}^{(B, \gamma)}\right) \quad \tilde{f}(s, t)=\bigvee_{x \in A} f(x, t) \otimes v_{(A, \delta)}(x, s)
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bigvee_{t \in \Omega_{1}^{(B, \gamma)}} \tilde{f}(s, t) & =\bigvee_{t \in \Omega_{1}^{(B, \gamma)}} \bigvee_{x \in A} f(x, t) \otimes v(x, s) \\
& =\bigvee_{x \in A}\left(\bigvee_{t \in \Omega_{1}^{(B, \gamma)}} f(x, t)\right) \otimes v(x, s)=\bigvee_{x \in A} v(x, s)=\bigvee_{x \in A} \tau_{(A, \delta)}(\{x\}, s) \\
& \geqslant \bigvee_{x \in A} s(x)=1
\end{aligned}
$$

Further,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{f}(s, t) \otimes \tilde{f}\left(s, t^{\prime}\right) & =\bigvee_{a, b \in A} f(a, t) \otimes f\left(b, t^{\prime}\right) \otimes v(a, s) \otimes v(b, s) \\
& \leqslant \bigvee_{a, b \in A} f(a, t) \otimes f\left(b, t^{\prime}\right) \otimes \delta(a, b) \leqslant \bigvee_{b \in A} f(b, t) \otimes f\left(b, t^{\prime}\right) \leqslant \tau_{(A, \delta)}\left(t, t^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The other properties of a morphism in $\operatorname{Set}(\Omega)$ can be proved analogously.

We show that the diagram commutes. In fact, let $a \in A, t \in \Omega_{1}^{(B, \gamma)}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\tilde{f} \circ v)(a, t) & =\bigvee_{s \in \Omega_{1}^{(A, \delta)}} v(a, s) \otimes \tilde{f}(s, t) \\
& =\bigvee_{s \in \Omega_{1}^{(A, \delta)}} v(a, s) \otimes\left(\bigvee_{x \in A} f(x, t) \otimes v(x, s)\right) \\
& \geqslant v(a,\{a\}) \otimes \bigvee_{x \in A} f(x, t) \otimes v(x,\{a\}) \\
& =\bigvee_{x \in A} f(x, t) \otimes v(x,\{a\}) \geqslant f(a, t),
\end{aligned}
$$

and on the other hand we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{f} \circ v(a, t) & =\bigvee_{s \in \Omega_{1}^{(A, \delta)}} \bigvee_{x \in A} \tau_{(A, \delta)}(\{a\}, s) \otimes \tau_{(A, \delta)}(\{x\}, s) \otimes f(x, t) \\
& \leqslant \bigvee_{s \in \Omega_{1}^{(A, \delta)}} \bigvee_{x \in A} \tau_{(A, \delta)}(\{a\},\{x\}) \otimes f(x, t)=\bigvee_{x \in A} \delta(a, x) \otimes f(x, t) \leqslant f(a, t)
\end{aligned}
$$

The morphism $G(f): G(A, \delta) \rightarrow G(B, \gamma)$ will be defined by $G(f)=\widetilde{v_{(B, \gamma)} \circ f}$. Hence, more explicitly, we have

$$
G(f)(s, t)=\bigvee_{x \in A} \bigvee_{y \in B} f(x, y) \otimes v_{(B, \gamma)}(y, t) \otimes v_{(A, \delta)}(x, s)
$$

Theorem 5. There exists a functor $H: \operatorname{Set}(\Omega) \rightarrow \Omega^{\boldsymbol{\operatorname { S e t }}(\Omega)}$ which is a quasireflector.

Proof. Let $(A, \delta)$ be an object in $\operatorname{Set}(\Omega)$. We set $H(A, \delta)=(\mathrm{w}-\operatorname{singl}(A, \delta)$, $\left.\varrho_{(A, \delta)}\right)$. For an element $a \in A$ we define a morphism $[a]:(A, \delta) \rightarrow(\Omega, \leftrightarrow)$ in the category $\operatorname{Set}(\Omega)$ such that $[a](x, \alpha)=F(\{a\})(x, \alpha)$, for any $x \in A, \alpha \in \Omega$, where $F$ is the functor from Lemma 1 and $\{a\}:(A, \delta) \rightarrow(\Omega, \leftrightarrow)$ is a morphism in the category $\operatorname{Set} \mathbf{F}(\Omega)$ such that $\{a\}(x)=\delta(a, x)$. Then $[a]$ is a normal extensional subobject of $(A, \delta)$ in a category $\operatorname{Set}(\Omega)$. Since $[a](x, 1) \otimes[a](y, 1) \leqslant \delta(x, y)$ we obtain that $[a]$ is a weak singleton. Moreover, since $\varrho_{(A, \delta)}([a],[b])=\delta(a, b)$ we obtain that $[-]:(A, \delta) \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{w}-\operatorname{singl}(A, \delta), \varrho_{(A, \delta)}\right)$ is a morphism in $\operatorname{Set} \mathbf{F}(\Omega)$.

We define a morphism $u=u_{(A, \delta)}:(A, \delta) \rightarrow H(A, \delta)=\left(\mathrm{w}-\operatorname{singl}(A, \delta), \varrho_{(A, \delta)}\right)$ in $\operatorname{Set}(\Omega)$ such that $u(a, s)=F([-])(a, s)$ for all $a \in A, s \in \mathrm{w}-\operatorname{singl}(A, \delta)$, where $F$ is the functor from Lemma 1.

Let $f:(A, \delta) \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{w}-\operatorname{singl}(B, \gamma), \varrho_{(B, \gamma)}\right)$ be a morphism in $\operatorname{Set}(\Omega)$. Then we define a morphism $\tilde{f}:\left(\mathrm{w}-\operatorname{singl}(A, \delta), \varrho_{(A, \delta)}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{w}-\operatorname{singl}(B, \gamma), \varrho_{(B, \gamma)}\right)$ by

$$
\tilde{f}(s, t)=\bigvee_{x \in A} f(x, t) \otimes u(x, s),
$$

for all $s \in \mathrm{w}-\operatorname{singl}(A, \delta), t \in \mathrm{w}-\operatorname{singl}(B, \gamma)$. Then $\tilde{f}$ is a morphism in $\operatorname{Set}(\Omega)$. In fact, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bigvee_{t \in \mathrm{w}-\operatorname{singl}(B, \gamma)} \tilde{f}(s, t) & =\bigvee_{x \in A}\left(\bigvee_{t \in \mathrm{w}-\operatorname{singl}(B, \gamma)} f(x, t)\right) \otimes u(x, s) \\
& =\bigvee_{x \in A} u(x, s)=\bigvee_{x \in A} \bigwedge_{y \in A} \delta(x, y) \leftrightarrow s(y, 1) \geqslant \bigvee_{x \in A} s(x, 1)=1
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, the following diagram commutes.


In fact, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{f} \circ u(a, t) & =\bigvee_{s \in \mathrm{w}-\operatorname{singl}(A, \delta)} u(a, s) \otimes \tilde{f}(s, t) \\
& =\bigvee_{s \in \mathrm{w}-\operatorname{singl}(A, \delta)} u(a, s) \otimes \bigvee_{x \in A} u(x, s) \otimes f(x, t) \\
& \geqslant u(a,[a]) \otimes \bigvee_{x \in A} u(x,[a]) \otimes f(x, t) \\
& =\bigvee_{x \in A} u(x,[a]) \otimes f(x, t) \geqslant f(a, t),
\end{aligned}
$$

and on the other hand

$$
\tilde{f} \circ u(a, t) \leqslant \bigvee_{x \in A} \varrho_{(A, \delta)}([a],[x]) \otimes f(x, t)=\bigvee_{x \in A} \delta(x, a) \otimes f(x, t) \leqslant f(a, t)
$$

Let $f:(A, \delta) \rightarrow(B, \gamma)$ be a morphism in $\operatorname{Set}(\Omega)$. The morphism $H(f)$ will be defined as $u_{(B, \gamma)} \circ f$.
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