

Şule Ecevit; Muhammet Tamer Koşan  
On rings all of whose modules are retractable

*Archivum Mathematicum*, Vol. 45 (2009), No. 1, 71--74

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/128291>

## Terms of use:

© Masaryk University, 2009

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

## ON RINGS ALL OF WHOSE MODULES ARE RETRACTABLE

ŞULE ECEVIT AND MUHAMMET TAMER KOŞAN

ABSTRACT. Let  $R$  be a ring. A right  $R$ -module  $M$  is said to be *retractable* if  $\text{Hom}_R(M, N) \neq 0$  whenever  $N$  is a non-zero submodule of  $M$ . The goal of this article is to investigate a ring  $R$  for which every right  $R$ -module is retractable.

Such a ring will be called right *mod-retractable*. We proved that

- (1) The ring  $\prod_{i \in \mathcal{I}} R_i$  is right mod-retractable if and only if each  $R_i$  is a right mod-retractable ring for each  $i \in \mathcal{I}$ , where  $\mathcal{I}$  is an arbitrary finite set.
- (2) If  $R[x]$  is a mod-retractable ring then  $R$  is a mod-retractable ring.

Throughout this paper,  $R$  is an associative ring with unity and all modules are unital right  $R$ -modules.

Khuri [1] introduced the notion of retractable modules and gave some results for non-singular retractable modules when the endomorphism ring is (quasi-)continuous. For retractable modules, we direct the reader to the excellent papers [1],[2], [3] and [4] for nice introduction to this topic in the literature.

Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module.  $M$  is said to be a *retractable module* if  $\text{Hom}_R(M, N) \neq 0$  whenever  $N$  is a non-zero submodule of  $M$  ([1]).

We give some examples.

- (i) Free modules and semisimple modules are retractable.
- (ii) Any direct sum of  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^i}$  is retractable, where  $p$  is a prime number.
- (iii) The  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^\infty}$  is not retractable.
- (iv) Let  $R$  be an integral domain with quotient ring  $F$  and  $F \neq R$ . Then  $R \oplus F$  is a retractable  $R$ -module, because  $\text{End}_R(M) = \begin{pmatrix} F & F \\ 0 & R \end{pmatrix}$ .
- (v) Assume that  $M_R$  is a finitely generated semisimple right  $R$ -module. Then the module  $M_R$  is retractable and  $\text{End}_R(M)$  is semisimple artinian By [3, Corollary 2.2]
- (vi) Take an  $R$ -module  $M$ . Let  $0 \neq N \leq R \oplus M$ ; take  $0 \neq n \in N$  and construct the map  $\varphi: R \oplus M \rightarrow N$  by  $\varphi(1) = n$  and  $\varphi(m) = 0$  for all  $m \in M$ . Since  $0 \neq \varphi \in \text{Hom}_R(R \oplus M, N)$ , we have  $\text{Hom}_R(R \oplus M, N) \neq 0$ , thus  $R \oplus M$  is retractable.

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: primary 16D50; secondary 16D90.

*Key words and phrases*: retractable module, Morita invariant property.

received September 18, 2008, revised January 2009. Editor J. Trlifaj.

In this note, we deal with some ring extensions of a ring  $R$  for which every (right)  $R$ -module is retractable. Hence, such a ring will be called right *mod-retractable*. This will avoid a conflict of nomenclature with the existing concept of retractability. The following examples show that this definition is not meaningless.

We take  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules  $M = \mathbb{Q}$  and  $N = \mathbb{Z}$ . Note that  $\mathbb{Q}$  is a divisible group, so every its homomorphic image is a divisible group as well. Since the only divisible subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}$  is 0, the only homomorphism of  $\mathbb{Q}$  into  $\mathbb{Z}$  is the zero homomorphism.

Let  $R, S$  be two rings and  $M$  be an  $R$ - $S$ -bimodule. Then we consider the ring  $R' = \begin{pmatrix} R & M \\ 0 & S \end{pmatrix}$ . Let  $I = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & M \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $K = eR'$ , where  $e = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . We claim that  $\text{Hom}_{R'}(K, I) = 0$ . Note that  $I \not\subseteq K$ . Let  $f \in \text{Hom}_{R'}(K, I)$ . Then  $f(K) = f(eR) = f(eeR) = f(e)eR = f(e)K \subseteq IK = 0$ , i.e.,  $R'$  is retractable.

A ring  $R$  is called (*finitely*) *mod-retractable* if all (*finitely generated*) right  $R$ -modules are retractable.

**Example 1.** (i) Any semisimple artinian ring is mod-retractable.

(ii)  $\mathbb{Z}$  is a finitely mod-retractable ring but is not mod-retractable ring.

We start the Morita invariant property for (*finitely*) mod-retractable rings.

**Theorem 2.** (*Finite*) *mod-retractability is Morita invariant.*

**Proof.** Let  $R$  and  $S$  be two Morita equivalent rings. Assume that  $f: \text{Mod-}R \rightarrow \text{Mod-}S$  and  $g: \text{Mod-}S \rightarrow \text{Mod-}R$  are two category equivalences. Let  $M$  be a retractable  $R$ -module. Then  $M$  is a retractable object in  $\text{Mod-}R$ . Let  $0 \neq N \leq f(M)$ . Then  $\text{Hom}_R(M, g(N)) \neq 0$  since  $g(N)$  is isomorphic to a submodule of  $M$ . Thus, we have  $0 \neq \text{Hom}_S(f(M), fg(N)) \cong \text{Hom}_S(f(M), N)$ . This follows that  $f(M)$  is a retractable object in  $\text{Mod-}S$ .  $\square$

Let  $R$  be a ring,  $n$  a positive integer and the ring  $\mathbb{M}_n(R)$  of all  $n \times n$  matrices with entries in  $R$ .

**Corollary 3.** *If  $R$  is (*finitely*) mod-retractable, then  $\mathbb{M}_n(R)$  is (*finitely*) mod-retractable.*

**Proof.** By Theorem 2.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.** *The class of (*finite*) mod-retractable rings is closed under taking homomorphic images.*

**Proof.** Suppose  $R$  is a (*finite*) mod-retractable ring. It is well-known that

$$\text{Hom}_R(M, N) = \text{Hom}_{R/I}(M, N)$$

for each ideal  $I$  of  $R$  and  $M, N \in \text{Mod-}R/I$ . Now the proof is clear.  $\square$

Recall that a module  $M$  is said to be *e-retractable* if, for all every essential submodule  $N$  of  $M$ ,  $\text{Hom}_R(M, N) \neq 0$  (see [1]).

**Lemma 5.** *The following statements are equivalent for a ring  $R$ .*

- (1)  $R$  is (*finitely*) mod-retractable.
- (2) Every (*finitely generated*)  $R$ -module  $M$  is *e-retractable*.

- (3) For every (finitely generated)  $R$ -module  $M$  and  $N \leq M$ ,  $\text{Hom}_R(M, N) = 0$  if and only if  $\text{Hom}_R(M, E(N)) = 0$ , where  $E(N)$  is an injective hull of  $N$ .

**Proof.** (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) and (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) are clear.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) Let  $M$  be a (finitely generated) right  $R$ -module and  $N$  be a submodule of  $M$ . Since  $E(N)$  is an injective module, we extend the inclusion  $N \subseteq E(N)$  to the map  $\alpha: M \rightarrow E(N)$ . This implies that  $\alpha(N) = N$ . Thus  $\alpha(M) \cap N = N$ . Since  $N \leq_e N$ , we have  $N \leq_e \alpha(M)$ . This implies that  $\text{Hom}_R(\alpha(M), N) \neq 0$ . Moreover, for  $K = \text{Ker}(\alpha)$ ,

$$\text{Hom}_R(\alpha(M), N) = \text{Hom}_R(M/K, N) \subseteq \text{Hom}_R(M, N).$$

As such,  $\text{Hom}_R(M, N) \neq 0$ .

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) Let  $N$  be an essential submodule of a (finitely generated) right  $R$ -module  $M$ . Then  $E(N) \cong E(M)$ . By (3), we can obtain that  $\text{Hom}_R(M, N) = 0$ , and so  $\text{Hom}_R(M, E(N)) = 0$ . Hence  $\text{Hom}_R(M, E(M)) = 0$ .  $\square$

By Example 1, a commutative ring need not be retractable.

**Theorem 6.** Any ring that is Morita equivalent to a commutative ring is finitely mod-retractable.

**Proof.** By Theorem 2, it suffices to prove the claim for a commutative ring  $R$ . Let  $M$  be a finitely generated  $R$ -module and  $N \leq M$ . Assume that  $\text{Hom}_R(M, E(N)) \neq 0$ , and take  $0 \neq \alpha \in \text{Hom}_R(M, E(N))$ . Since  $M$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module, we can write  $\alpha(M)$  as follows (where the sum is not necessarily direct):  $\alpha(M) = Rm_1 + Rm_2 + \dots + Rm_n$  with  $m_i \in E(N)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Since  $N$  is essential in  $E(N)$ , thus there exists  $r \in R$  such that  $rm_i \in N$  for all  $i$  and  $r\alpha(M) \neq 0$ . Now we can define  $0 \neq \beta: \alpha(M) \rightarrow N$  such that  $\beta(m_i) = rm_i$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Thus  $0 \neq \beta\alpha \in \text{Hom}_R(M, N)$ . This implies that  $\text{Hom}_R(M, N) \neq 0$ . By Lemma 5, the  $R$ -module  $M$  is retractable.  $\square$

**Example 7.** Let  $R$  be a commutative artin ring. Assume that a ring  $S$  is Morita equivalent to  $R$ . First, note that every  $S$ -module is retractable and has a maximal submodule. We consider an  $S$ -module  $M$ . Let  $N$  be a maximal submodule of  $M$ . Hence we have a simple submodule  $K$  of  $N$ . Then there exists an  $S$ -homomorphism  $f: M \rightarrow E(K)$ , where  $E(K)$  is the injective hull of  $K$ . Clearly,  $f(M)$  is a finitely generated  $S$ -module. By Theorem 6,  $f(M)$  is a retractable  $S$ -module and so  $M$  is a retractable  $S$ -module.

Example 7 shows that the class of right mod-retractable rings is not closed under direct sums.

**Theorem 8.** The ring  $\prod_{i \in \mathcal{I}} R_i$  is right mod-retractable if and only if each  $R_i$  is a right mod-retractable ring for each  $i \in \mathcal{I}$ , where  $\mathcal{I}$  is an arbitrary finite set.

**Proof.**  $\Rightarrow$  Indeed,  $R_i$  is a homomorphic image of  $\prod_{i \in \mathcal{I}} R_i$ . So the result follows from Theorem 4.

$\Leftarrow$ : Let each  $e_i$  denote the unit element of  $R_i$  for all  $i \in \mathcal{I}$ . A module  $M$  over  $\prod_{i \in \mathcal{I}} R_i$  can be written as set direct product  $\prod_{i \in \mathcal{I}} M_i$ , where  $M_{iR_i} = Me_i$  and external operation defined as  $(r_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}(m_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}} = (r_i m_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ . Thus retractability of  $M$

is given by retractability of each  $M_{ii \in \mathcal{I}}$ . But, since each  $R_i$  is mod-retractable, this condition is satisfied.  $\square$

**Corollary 9.** *The class of all right mod-retractable rings is closed under taking finite direct products.*

**Proof.** By Theorem 8.  $\square$

Giving a ring  $R$ ,  $R[X]$  denotes the polynomial ring with  $X$  a set of commuting indeterminate over  $R$ . If  $X = \{x\}$ , then we use  $R[x]$  in place of  $R[\{x\}]$ .

**Theorem 10.** *If  $R[x]$  is a mod-retractable ring then  $R$  is a mod-retractable ring.*

**Proof.** Since  $R \cong R[x]/R[x]x$ , the result is clear from Theorem 4.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Khuri, S. M., *Endomorphism rings and lattice isomorphism*, J. Algebra **56** (2) (1979), 401–408.
- [2] Khuri, S. M., *Endomorphism rings of nonsingular modules*, Ann. Sci. Math. Québec **4** (2) (1980), 145–152.
- [3] Khuri, S. M., *The endomorphism rings of a non-singular retractable module*, East-West J. Math. **2** (2) (2000), 161–170.
- [4] Rizvi, S. T., Roman, C. S., *Baer and quasi-Baer Modules*, Comm. Algebra **32** (1) (2004), 103–123.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GEBZE INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
 ÇAYIROVA CAMPUS, 41400 GEBZE-KOCAELI, TURKEY  
*E-mail:* secevit@gyte.edu.tr, mtkosan@gyte.edu.tr